

\$0040-4039(96)00596-5

Aminium Salt Promoted Catalytic Substitution Reactions of Acetals with Silylated Nucleophiles

Masaki Kamata,^{a*} Yukiko Yokoyama,^a Natsuko Karasawa,^a Mitsuaki Kato,^a and Eietsu Hasegawa^{b*}

^aDepartment of Chemistry, Faculty of Education and ^bDepartment of Chemistry, Faculty of Science, Niigata University, Ikarashi, Niigata 950-21, Japan

Abstract: A catalytic amount of tris(p-bromophenyl)aminium hexachloroantimonate promoted the substitution reactions of dimethylacetals with various silylated nucleophiles through an electron transfer mechanism. Silyl compounds include silyl enol ether, ketene silyl acetal, and allylsilane. One methoxy group of the substrates was effectively substituted by nucleophiles to form carbon-carbon bonds. Copyright © 1996 Elsevier Science Ltd

Cationic transformations of acetals include synthetically important deprotection and nucleophilic substitution reactions.¹ We have recently demonstrated how electron transfer processes are useful for the transformations of the related sulfur compounds.² Although aminium salts are considered to be feasible reagents for electron transfer reactions, the scope of their synthetic applications is still limited.³ This may be due to the anticipation that typical aminium salt, tris(*p*-bromophenyl)aminium salt, would not be effective for the oxidation of weak electron donors because of the low oxidation potential of tris(*p*-bromophenyl)amine $(E_0^{\text{ox}} = 1.05 \text{ V vs SCE})$.⁴ Therefore, it must be an interesting challenge to determine the applicability on this aminium salt to the oxidative transformations of acetals since their oxidation potentials are relatively high (2.00-3.00 V).⁵ For this task, we chose the reaction systems of acetals 1 and silyl enol ethers to achieve carbon-carbon bond formations (eq-1). Our basic idea shown in eq-2 and eq-3 is unique, however, plausible.

$$\underset{MeO}{\overset{R^{1}}{\xrightarrow{}}} \overset{R^{2}}{\xrightarrow{}} \underset{R^{4}}{\overset{+}{\xrightarrow{}}} \overset{R^{3}}{\xrightarrow{}} \underset{R^{5}}{\overset{(p-BrC_{6}H_{4})_{3}}{\overset{+}{\overset{N}}} \overset{SbCl_{6}}{\xrightarrow{}} (2)} \underset{MeO}{\overset{R^{1}}{\xrightarrow{}}} \overset{R^{2}}{\underset{R^{3}}{\overset{(eq-1)}{\xrightarrow{}}}} \overset{(eq-1)}{\xrightarrow{}}$$

Namely, oxidation of silyl enol ethers with aminium salt first occurs to generate trimethylsilyl hexachloroantimonate (TMSSbCl₆) since the oxidation potentials of such silyl compounds are relatively low (eq-2).^{3g, 6} Then, the TMSSbCl₆ attacks acetals to produce oxonium species which subsequently react with silyl enol ethers (eq-3).⁷ Overall reaction pathways finally give β -methoxy carbonyl compounds (eq-1). We also planned to conduct the reactions of 1 with other silyl compounds. Acetals and silyl compounds used for the reactions are shown together with the products in Figure 1. All the results are summarized in Table 1.⁸

We first conducted the reaction of piperonal dimethyl acetal 1a with 1-(trimethylsilyloxy)cyclohexene3. When tris(*p*-bromophenyl)aminium hexachloroantimonate 2 (0.01 mmol) was added to a dry acetonitrile solution containing 1a (1.00 mmol) and 3 (1.05 mmol) at 0 °C, characteristic deep blue color of 2 immediately disappeared. After TLC separation of the crude reaction mixture, β -methoxy ketone 4a was isolated in 95%



yield as the diastereomeric mixture (70/30) (entry 1). Methylene chloride was also found to be a suitable solvent for the reaction. Similarly, the reactions of 1b-1f with 3 gave products 4b-4f in good yields (entry 2-6). For the reaction of 1a with 1,1-dimethylketene methyltrimethylsilylacetal 5 under the 2 promoted conditions, nearly quantitative formation of β -methoxy ester 6a was observed (entry 7). Compounds 6b-6f were also obtained in good yields for the reactions of 1b-1f with 5 (entry 8-12). These results encouraged us to perform the reactions of 1 with relatively weak electron donor, such as allyltrimethylsilane 7. Unlike the reactions with 3 or 5, the color of 2 lasted for a while during the reaction. However, the reaction of 1a and 7 with a catalytic amount of 2 gave 95% of 8a (entry 13). Other acetals 1b-1f also reacted with 7 to give the corresponding products 8b-8f in good to excellent yields (entry 14-18).

Although an electron transfer from the silyl compounds 3, 5, or 7 to 2 are considered to be thermodynamically unfavorable,⁹ following reactions of the formed cation radicals of the silyl compounds must be efficient.³ If an electron transfer from the silyl compounds to 2 first occurs, the efficient fragmentation of

entry	1 ^{<i>a</i>}	TMSNu	2/mol%	reaction time/min.	product	yield/%
1	1a	3	1	10	4a	9 5 °
2	1b	3	2	10	4 b	75 ^c
3	1c	3	3	60	4 c	98 ^c
4	1d	3	3	120	4d	93 ^c
5	1e	3	1	10	4e	86 ^c
6	1 f	3	3	30	4f	72 ^c
7	1a	5	3	10	6a	100
8	1b	5	3	20	6 b	90
9	1c	5	10	300	6c	86
10	1 d	5	10	240	6d	92
11	1e	5	3	20	6e	77
12	1f	5	5	120	6f	78
13	1a	7	1	10	8a	95
14	1b	7	1	30	8 b	87
15	1c	7	2	180	8c	92
16	1d	7	5	60	8d	94
17	le	7	2	180	8e	85
18	lf	7	5	60	8f	83

Table 1. Aminium Salt Promoted Reactions of Acetals with Silyl Compounds.

^a 1 (1.00 mmol); MeCN (10 mL); silyl compounds (1.05-1.10 mmol). ^b Isolated yields.

^c Compounds 4 were obtained as diastereomeric mixtures: 4a (70/30), 4b (81/19), 4c (50/50),

4d (55/45), 4e (80/20), 4f (54/46). The assignment of relative configuration was not determined.

the cation radicals would produce TMSSbCl₆.^{3g, 10} We suspected that TMSSbCl₆ probably promoted the reactions and still remained in the solutions at the completion of the reactions. To substantiate this, we conducted the following experiments. To the decolorized solution obtained by the treatment of 2 (0.03 mmol) with 5 (1.10 mmol) in a dry acetonitrile solution for 10 sec (the time required for decolorization was about 1 sec), 1a (1.00 mmol) was added and stirred for 10 min. Then, 63% of 6a was isolated at 71% conversion of 1a. Interestingly, only 7% conversion of 1a and no isolation of 6a was observed when 1a was added to the decolorized solution after 1 min. These results suggest that TMSSbCl₆ must be formed, however, no longer active enough to promote the reaction smoothly after the complete consumption of 2. Further, to compare the catalytic ability of 2 with that of trimethylsilyl triflate (TMSOTf), we conducted the reaction of 1a and 3 with TMSOTf (1 mol%) under the similar reaction conditions to that with 2. The substituted product 4a was obtained in 95% yield as the diastereomeric mixture (71/29). This result implies that 2 has almost comparable activity to that of TMSOTf.

In conclusion, we have first found that a catalytic amount of aminium salt 2 promoted the reactions of acetals with various silyl compounds. In these reactions, 2 is considered to act as single-electron oxidant to generate silyl cationic species.¹¹ Thus, aminium salts should be recognized as more widely applicable reagents

to the synthetically useful oxidative transformations since they can be easily prepared, handled, used under mild conditions, and recycable. We will conduct the further studies to elucidate the more detailed mechanism for the reactions and to apply this methodology to the other synthetically useful reactions.

Acknowledgement. We are grateful for the partial support from a Grant for Promotion of Research (Niigata University) and from a Grant-in-aid for Scientific Research (No. 06640683) from the Ministry of Education, Science and Culture, Japan.

REFERENCES AND NOTES

- a) Greene, T. W. Protective Groups in Organic Synthesis Second Edition, John Wiley and Sons, New York, 1991. b) Tanemura, K.; Suzuki, T.; Horaguchi, T. J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun., 1992, 979-980.
 c) Oku, A.; Kinugasa, M.; Kamada, T. Chem. Lett., 1993, 165-168. d) Mukaiyama, T.; Murakami, M. Synthesis, 1987, 1043-1054 and references therein. e) Sato, T.; Otera, J.; Nozaki, H. J. Am. Chem. Soc., 1990, 112, 901-902. f) Kobayashi, S.; Hachiya, I.; Takabori, T. Synthesis, 1993, 371-373.
 g) Miura, T.; Masaki, Y. J. Chem. Soc., Perkin Trans. 1, 1994, 1659-1660 and 1995, 2155-2158.
- a) Kamata, M.; Kato, Y.; Hasegawa, E. Tetrahedron Lett., 1991, 32, 4349-4352. b) Kamata, M.; Sato, M.; Hasegawa, E. Tetrahedron Lett., 1992, 33, 5085-5088. c) Kamata, M.; Murakami, Y.; Tamagawa, Y.; Kato, M.; Hasegawa, E. Tetrahedron, 1994, 50, 12821-12828.
- a) Bauld, N. L.; Advances in Electron Transfer Chemistry; Mariano, P. S. Eds., JAI Press, Greenwich, 1992, Vol. 2, pp. 1-66 and references therein. b) Lopez, L.; Calo, V.; Stasi, F. Synthesis, 1987, 947-948.
 c) Heyer, J.; Dapperheld, S.; Steckhan, E. Chem. Ber., 1988, 121, 1617-1623. d) Kamata, M.; Murayama, K.; Miyashi, T. Tetrahedron Lett., 1989, 30, 4129-4132. e) Mirafzal, G. A.; Liu, J.; Bauld, N. L. J. Am. Chem. Soc., 1993, 115, 6072-6077. f) Lopez, L.; Mele, G.; Mazzeo, C. J. Chem. Soc., Perkin Trans. 1, 1994, 779-781. g) Schmittel, M.; Levis, M. Chem. Lett., 1994, 1935-1939 and 1939-1940.
- a) Seo, E. T.; Nelson, R. F.; Fritsch, J. M.; Marcoux, L. S.; Leedy, D. W.; Adams, R. N. J. Am. Chem. Soc., 1966, 88, 3498-3503.
 b) Nelson, R. F.; Adams, R. N. J. Am. Chem. Soc., 1968, 90, 3925-3930.
- 5. Mella, M.; Fasani, E.; Albini, A. J. Org. Chem., 1992, 57, 3051-3057.
- 6. Fukuzumi, S.; Fujita, M.; Otera, J.; Fujita, Y. J. Am. Chem. Soc., 1992, 114, 10271-10278.
- a) Murata, S.; Suzuki, M.; Noyori, R. J. Am. Chem. Soc., **1980**, 102, 3248-3249. b) Noyori, R.; Murata, S.; Suzuki, M. Tetrahedron, **1981**, 37, 3899-3910. c) Sakurai, H.; Sasaki, K.; Hosomi, A. Bull. Chem. Soc. Jpn., **1983**, 56, 3195-3196. d) Kim, S.; Do, J. Y.; Kim, S. H.; Kim, D. J. Chem. Soc., Perkin Trans. 1, **1994**, 2357-2358.
- 8. All products were isolated by silica gel TLC and characterized by their spectroscopic data.
- 9. The oxidation peak potentials (E_P^{ox} vs. SCE) of the acetals 1 and the silvl compounds were measured by cyclic voltammetry at v = 100 mV/sec in MeCN containing 0.1M Et₄NClO₄:1a (1.84 V), 1b (> 3.00 V), 1c (> 3.00 V), 1d (2.45 V), 1e (2.25 V), 1f (2.75 V), 3 (1.15 V), 5 (1.05 V), 7 (2.24V).
- a) Ohga, K.; Mariano, P. S. J. Am. Chem. Soc., 1982, 104, 617-619. b) Mizuno, K.; Ikeda, M.; Otsuji, Y. Tetrahedron Lett., 1985, 26, 461-464. c) Borg, R. M.; Mariano, P. S. Tetrahedron Lett., 1986, 27, 2821-2824. d) Mizuno, K.; Nakanishi, K.; Otsuji, Y. Chem. Lett., 1988, 1833-1836. e) Gassman, P. G.; Bottorff, K. J. J. Org. Chem., 1988, 53, 1097-1100. f) Mariano, P. S. Photoinduced Electron Transfer; Fox, M. A.; Chanon, M. Eds., Elsevier, Amsterdam, 1988, Part C, pp 372-420. g) Dinnocenzo, J. P.; Farid, S.; Goodman, J. L.; Gould, I. R.; Todd, W. P.; Mattes, S. L. J. Am. Chem. Soc., 1989, 111, 8973-8975. h) Kyushin, S.; Nakadaira, Y.; Ohashi, M. Chem. Lett., 1990, 2191-2194.
- a) Otera, J.; Wakahara, Y.; Kamei, H.; Sato, T.; Nozaki, H.; Fukuzumi, S. *Tetrahedron Lett.*, **1991**, *32*, 2405-2408. b) Patz, M.; Mayer, H. *Tetrahedron Lett.*, **1993**, *34*, 3393-3396. c) Carreira, E. M.; Singer, R. A. *Tetrahedron Lett.*, **1994**, *35*, 4323-4326. d) Denmark, S. E.; Chen, C. *Tetrahedron Lett.*, **1994**, *35*, 4327-4330.

(Received in Japan 1 March 1996; revised 22 March 1996; accepted 25 March 1996)