

Tetrahedron Letters 40 (1999) 5913-5914

A New Approach to the Synthesis of the 17β-Butenolide Fragment of Cardenolides

Zdzisław Paryzek* and Krzysztof Błaszczyk

Faculty of Chemistry, Adam Mickiewicz University, Grunwaldzka 6, 60-780 Poznań, Poland

Received 29 April 1999; accepted 8 June 1999

Abstract: A new, efficient synthesis of the 17 β -butenolide fragment characteristic of cardenolides is effected by [2 + 2]-cycloaddition of dichloroketene to 3 β -acetoxypregna-5,20-diene, as a key step. © 1999 Elsevier Science Ltd. All rights reserved.

Keywords: Cyclobutanones; Steroids; Cardenolides

Cardenolides are plant steroids, which occur as glycosides and possess powerful cardiotonic activity. They are considered as "the most ingested drugs in medicine".¹ Since the pioneering studies by Ruzicka² and the first synthesis of digitoxigenin³ in 1962 enormous efforts have been directed toward synthetic cardenolides.⁴ Despite the long history of research in the area, the interest in cardenolides continues. Recently reported work on cardenolides concerns the total synthesis, ^{1a} the biosynthesis, ⁵ and the search for new, less toxic digitalis-like compounds for therapeutic use with better pharmacological properties.⁶ The new methods of introduction of the 17β-butenolide moiety⁴ and synthetic approaches to complex cardenolides have also been reported.⁷ Besides the 14β-hydroxyl group, the 17β-butenolide moiety is one of the crucial features of cardenolides indispensable for their biological activity. 17-Oxoandrostanes and 21-hydroxy-20-oxopregnanes have been widely used as substrates in the syntheses of cardenolides.^{4,8}

This work is a continuation of our interest in steroidal cyclobutanones.⁹ Since the transformation of pregnane derivatives into 17β -butenolide steroids requires a two carbon side chain elongation, the reaction of the appropriate olefinic substrate with a reactive ketene appeared to be an attractive approach to the four carbon side-chain moiety characteristic of cardenolides.

The Scheme 1 illustrates the synthetic pathway. The starting olefin 1, the 3 β -acetoxypregna-5,20-diene, was obtained from 3 β -acetoxypregn-5-en-20-one following the reported procedure.¹⁰ The regioselective [2 + 2] cycloaddition of 1 and dichloroketene^{11a} afforded dichlorocyclobutanone 2 in 58% isolated yield.^{11b} This could be effectively reduced with zinc in AcOH to 3 or 4, depending on the reaction conditions.¹² However, when the crude cycloaddition product was immediately reduced 4 was isolated in 82% yield.^{11e} At this stage of the synthesis, the 3 β -hydroxyl group had to be protected as a TBDMS-ether in two steps: hydrolysis of 4 (K₂CO₃, MeOH; 98% yield of 5) followed by the reaction with t-butyldimethylsilyl chloride (imidazole, DMF, 1h, r.t.) gave 6 in 92% yield. The Baeyer-Villiger oxidation of 6 (30% H₂O₂, MeOH-THF, NaOH) resulted in formation of the lactone 7 (87% yield after short column chromatography) as a 1:1 mixture of C-20 epimers.^{11d} The dehydrogenation of the lactone 7 was achieved by taking advantage of the phenylselenylation-oxidation procedure.¹³ Compound 8 was isolated in 75% yield from the reaction of 7 with LDA and PhSeCl (THF, -70 °C), while oxidation of 8 (30% H₂O₂, THF-AcOH) gave butenolide 9 in 67% yield. The deprotection of the Rom 3 β -hydroxy derivative 10.¹⁴

This method of constructing the butenolide fragment of cardenolides is relatively simple and efficient (the total yield of the five step synthesis of 9 from the readily available 1 is 32 %). The transformation of 14α -card-20(22)-enolide to the Δ^{14} olefin and 14β -hydroxy derivatives has been reported.¹⁵



References and notes

- (a) Stork, G.; West, F.; Lee, H. Y.; Isaacs, R. C. A.; Manabe, S. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1996, 118, 10660-10661; (b) Repke, K. R. H.; Megges, R.; Weiland, J.; Schön, R. Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. Engl. 1995, 34, 282-294.
- 2. Ruzicka, L.; Plattner, P. A.; Fürst, A. Helv. Chim. Acta, 1941, 716-724.
- 3. Danieli, N.; Mazur, Y.; Sondheimer, F. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1962, 84, 875-876.
- 4. Kabat, M. M. J. Org. Chem. 1995, 60, 1823-1827 and references cited therein.
- 5. Stuhlemmer, U.; Kreis, W. Tetrehedron Lett. 1996, 37, 2221-2224.
- 6. Corey E.J.; Stolz B.M. Tetrahedron Lett. 1999, 40, 2061-2064; Almirante, N.; Cerri, A. J. Org. Chem. 1997, 62, 3402-3404.
- 7. Hynes, J.; Overman, L.E.; Nasser, T.; Rucker, P. Tetrahedron Lett. 1998, 39, 4647-4650.
- 8. Harnisch, W.; Morera, E.; Ortar, G. J. Org. Chem. 1985, 50, 1990-1992 and references cited therein.
- a) Błaszczyk, K.; Paryzek, Z. Liebigs Ann. Chem. 1995, 341-344; b) Paryzek, Z.; Błaszczyk, K. Liebigs Ann. Chem. 1990, 665-670.
- 10. Dave, R.D.; Wright, J.L.C. Can.J. Chem., 1987, 65, 666-669.
- 11. (a) Cl₂C=C=O was generated *in situ* from Cl₃COCl and Zn in Et₂O, under sonification conditions; (b) purification of the crude reaction product on SiO₂ column is usually accompanied by the slow decomposition of the dichlorcyclobutanones (ref. 9b); (c) Δ^5 -double bond was unreactive toward dichloroketene; (d) the ratio was estimated from the integration of the low field signals at δ : 4.47, 4.37, 3.93 and 3.83 in the ¹H NMR spectrum.
- 12. Błaszczyk, K.; Tykarska, E.; Paryzek, Z. J. Chem. Soc., Perkin Trans. 2, 1991, 257-261.
- 13. Clive, D. L. J. Tetrahedron, 1978, 34, 1049-1132 and references cited therein.
- 14. (a) Pettit, G. R.; Herald, C. L.; Yardley, J. P. J. Org. Chem. 1970, 35, 1389-1392; (b) Seldes, A. M.; Anding, C. A. Gros, E. G.; Steroids 1980, 36, 575-580.
- (a) Fritsch, W.; Haede, W.; Radscheit, K.; Stache, U.; Ruschig, H. Liebigs Ann. Chem. 1974, 621-629; (b) Donovan, S. F.; Avery, M.A.; McMurry, J. E. Tetrahedron Lett. 1979, 35, 3278-3290.
- 16. 2: IR (CHCl₃): v = 1805, 1725 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃): $\delta = 5.38$ (br d, J = 4.9 Hz, 1H, 6-H), 4.60 (m, 1H, 3 α -H), 3.22 3.03 (m, 2H, CH₂CO), 2.03 (s, 3H, CH₃CO₂), 1.03 and 1.02 (s, 3H, 19H₁), 0.78 and 0.72 (s, 3H, 18-H). 4: m.p. 130-132°C (MeOH),); IR: v = 1775, 1725 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (CDCl₃): $\delta = 5.38$ (br d, J = 5.1 Hz, 1H, 6-H), 4.60 (m, 1H, 3 α -H), 3.14 3.00 (m, 2H, CH₂CO), 2.87 2.70 (m, 2H, CH₂CO), 2.03 (s, 3H, CH₃CO₂), 1.03 (s, 3H, 19-H), 0.70 (s, 3H, 18-H). 7: m.p. 201-205 °C; IR (CHCl₃): v = 1775 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (CDCl₃): $\delta = 5.33$ (bd, J = 5.2 Hz, 1H, 6-H), 4.47 (dd, $J_1 = 8.24$ Hz, $J_2 = 8.52$ Hz, 1H, CH₂O, isomer A) and 4.37 (dd, $J_1 = 8.24$ Hz, $J_2 = 7.97$ Hz, 1H, CH₂O, isomer A), 3.93 (dd, $J_1 = 9.07$ Hz, $J_2 = 9.34$ Hz, 1H, CH₂O, isomer B) and 3.83 (dd, $J_1 = 9.07$ Hz, $J_2 = 9.61$ Hz, 1H, CH₂O, isomer B), 3.48 (m, 1H, 3 α -H), 2.65-2.48 (m, 2H, CH₂CO), 1.00 (s, 3H, 19-H), 0.89 [9H, s, C(CH₃)₃], 0.70 and 0.69 (s, 3H, 18-H), 0.06 [s, 6H, Si(CH₃)₂]. 9: mp: 183-185 °C (heptane), [α]_D = -40° (c = 0.25, CHCl₃); IR (CHCl₃): v = 1785, 1750, 1630 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (CDCl₃): $\delta = 5.85$ (d, J = 1.6 Hz, 1H, 22-H), 5.32 (bd, J = 5.2 Hz, 1H, 6-H), 4.83 and 4.69 (ABX, J = 17.6 Hz and 1.6 Hz, 2H, CH₂O), 3.48 (m, 1H, 3 α -H), 1.00 (s, 3H, 19-H), 0.89 [s, 9H, C(CH₃)₃], 0.64 (s, 3H, 18-H), 0.06 [s, 6H, Si(CH₃)₂].