195. A New Approach to 5-Thiosugars: 5-Thio-D-Gluconhydroximo-1,5-lactone, Synthesis and Evaluation as β-Glucosidase Inhibitor

by Philipp Ermert¹) and Andrea Vasella¹)*

Organisch-Chemisches Institut der Universität Zürich, Winterthurerstrasse 190, CH-8057 Zürich

(2. VIII.93)

The thiolactone oxime 10 was synthesized in ten steps from the known tri-O-benzylglucose 13, which was transformed into the oxime 14, silylated (\rightarrow 15), and mesylated (\rightarrow 16). Treatment of 16 with Bu₄NF yielded the L-*ido*-epoxide 17 and the hydroxylamine 18; the isomeric D-gluco-configurated hydroxylamine 20 was prepared from 17. Reaction of 17 with thiourea yielded the thiirane 19. Ring opening was best effected with HBr (\rightarrow 22 · HBr). The N-glycosylhydroxylamine 22 was immediately oxidized to 24, as it reverted to 19. Similarly, 19 was transformed into the chlorides 21 and 23. The iodide 25 reacted with TEMPO to afford 29 besides 26 and 30; nucleophilic substitution of 23, 24, or 25 gave unsatisfactory yields of 26 or 27, and 28. Birch reduction transformed 29 into 10 which was isolated via the pentaacetate 32, which was also transformed into the tetraacetate 33. The weak activity of 10 as an inhibitor of sweet-almond and Agrobacter β -glucosidase is in keeping with categorization of the lactone and lactam oximes 1–5 and the 5-thiosugars 6–9 as transition-state and substrate analogs, respectively.

Introduction. – The lactone oxime 1 [1] and the lactam oxime 3 [2] [3] as well as the corresponding N-arylcarbamates 2 [1], 4, and 5 [3] are strong competitive inhibitors of β -glucosidases. Withers et al. [4] confirmed the classification of 1 and 2 as (imperfect) transition-state analogs by comparing their K_1 values against the wild-type and a mutant (Glu358Asp) β -glucosidase of Agrobacterium faecalis. The structural analogy between 1 and 3, and between their N-arylcarbamate derivatives, on the one hand, and their similar behaviour as inhibitors of β -glycosidases, on the other hand, indicate that the nojirilactam derivatives 3–5 are also transition-state analogs. This raises the question about the inhibitory activity of the corresponding 5-thiolactone oximes.

The 5-thio- α -D-glucopyranose (6) [5] and 5-thio- α -L-fucose (7) [6] are reasonably strong inhibitors of α -glucosidase and α -fucosidase, respectively. The rather weak inhibitor 5-thio-D-glucal (8) [7] inhibits β -glucosidase and α -mannosidase about as well as glucal; 1-deoxy-5-thiomannose ('1-deoxythiomannojirimycin' [8]) 9 is a weak α -glucosidase inhibitor and does not inhibit sweet-almond β -glucosidase, which is inhibited by deoxymannojirimycin with a K_1 of 5.3 mm [9]. The structure of these 5-thiosugars and their K_1 values indicate that they act as substrate analogs. If so, replacement of the ring heteroatom in the transition-state analogs 1 and 3 by an S-atom, leading to 10, should entail a dramatic loss of inhibitory activity. Although but a negative result, this finding would constitute evidence in favour of the classification of 1-5 as transition-state analogs, and of 6-9 as substrate analogs.

Present address: Laboratorium f
ür Organische Chemie, ETH-Zentrum, Universit
ätstrasse 16, CH-8092 Z
ürich.



We thus prepared the 5-thiolactone oxime 10, particularly as we required its appropriately protected precursors for the synthesis of 1-azi-5-thiopyranoses. The synthesis of 10, as outlined in *Schemes 1* and 2, follows an approach which further explores (*cf.* [10] [11]) the potential of inter- and intramolecular displacements at C(5) of pyranose-derived acyclic²) oximes, and which should constitute a general approach to the preparation of 5-thioglyconolactone derivatives.



a) CF₃CO₂H, Ac₂O, 2°, 1 h. b) 0.5M aq. H₂SO₄, dioxane, reflux, 205 min, 77% from **11**. c) NH₂OH, 96% EtOH, 7.5 h. d) t-BuMe₂SiCl, ¹H-imidazole, DMF, molecular sieves (3 Å), r.t., 40 min, 88% from **13**. e) MsCl, pyridine, 0° to r.t., 14 h. f) Bu₄NF · 3 H₂O, THF, r.t., 210 min; **17** (81% from **15**) and 7% of **18**. g) (NH₂)₂CS, MeOH, r.t., 5 d, 86%. h) LiCl, THF, reflux, 22 h, 43%.

²) For examples of related approaches toward 5-thioaldose derivatives, cf. [12–14].

Results and Discussion. – Acid-catalyzed acetolysis of 11 afforded the diacetate 12 [15] [16], which was hydrolyzed [17] to the crystalline tri-*O*-benzylglucose 13 (77%; α -D/ β -D ca. 1:1). The oxime 14 was obtained [18] almost quantitatively (*Scheme 1*).

Mesylation of the monoalcohol 15 (88%; (E)/(Z) ca. 8:2), obtained by selective di-O-silvlation [19] of 14, with a large excess of MsCl in pyridine proceeded smoothly, while a number of other conditions, such as MsCl and Et₃N or (i-Pr), NEt in CH₂Cl₂ led to incomplete conversion and to further transformation of the product 16. The mesylate 16 was desilylated with $Bu_4NF \cdot 3 H_2O$ in THF to afford the crystalline L-ido-oxirane 17 (81% from 15; (E)/(Z) ca. 7:3) and the hydroxylamine 18 (7% from 15), possessing a skeleton similar to that of tropane alkaloids such as the calystegines [20] [21]. The intermediates 14-16 were sufficiently pure to be directly transformed in yields which compare well to those obtained from pure compounds, while the epoxide 17 was best chromatographed, direct crystallization being difficult. The hydroxylamine 18 is presumably formed by intramolecular nucleophilic substitution by the oxyimino group either of the mesylate 16 or of the oxirane 17, leading to a nitrone which reacts further by intramolecular nucleophilic addition of the primary OH group. Inter- and intramolecular nitrone formation from epoxides and oximes [22], and from epoxyoximes [23], respectively, and ring-chain tautomerism of $N-(\beta-hydroxyalkyl)$ aldononitrones by a formal 5-endo-trig process are well known [24]. The configuration of 18 could not be unambiguously deduced from the ¹H-NMR data, since similar ³J values are expected for 18 (derived from the mesylate 16) in a ${}^{4}C_{1}$ conformation and for 20 (derived from the oxirane 17) in a B_{N3} conformation. As treatment of 17 with LiCl [23] in boiling THF produced the diastereoisomeric hydroxylamine 20 (43%), 18 must possess the L-ido- and 20 the Dgluco-configuration.

The O-silylaldoxime or aldoxime function of 15–17 and 19 is evidenced by characteristic d's between 6.9 and 7.6 ppm and C(1) signals at 149–155 ppm. The configuration of the C=N bond of the major and minor diastereoisomers of these compounds is assigned on the basis of chemical-shift differences of the H-C(1) and H-C(2) signals, with H-C(1) of the major isomer resonating at lower and H-C(2) at higher field than the corresponding H of the minor isomer [25] [26].

The presence of the oxirane ring in 17 is evidenced by the shift to higher fields (as compared to the corresponding signals of 15 or 16) of the H--C(5), H--C(6), and H'--C(6) signals, and by the small value for the geminal coupling constant (4.8 or 4.9 Hz for the (*E*)- or (*Z*)-isomer, resp.) for H--C(6) and H'--C(6). The constitution of a 1,6-anhydro-5-deoxy-5-(hydroxyamino)pyranose is assigned to 18 on the basis of signals of 3 Bn groups, 7 H of the carbohydrate skeleton and 1 CD₃OD exchangeable H (OH bands at 3580 and at 3390 cm⁻¹). In the ¹³C-NMR spectrum, a *d* is observed at 95.87 ppm, in keeping with the presence of a O,N-substituted C-atom; the mass spectrum shows $[M + H]^+$ at m/z 448. Osmometric molecular-weight determination confirms the monomeric nature of 18.

The D-gluco-thiirane 19 was obtained in 86% yield ((E)/(Z) ca. 8:2) by exposing 17 to thiourea in MeOH [27] [12]. Conversion of the oxirane 17 into the thiirane 19 causes an upfield shift for the signals of C(5) and C(6).

Acid or base-catalyzed ring opening of **19** with O-nucleophiles went along with formation of other products, among them 2,3,4-tri-O-benzyl-5-thio-D-glucose, or with formation of complex mixtures. Good results (*Scheme 2*) were obtained with anhydrous HCl in dioxane or HBr in MeOH, which converted **19** into the salts of the hydroxyl-amines **21** or **22**, respectively. After neutralisation, the hydroxylamines reverted partially back to **19**; this process occurred within hours for the bromide **22** (as detected by TLC³)).

³) After 30 min, 19 became visible (5% vanillin in H_2SO_4) besides 22 as a characteristic yellow spot, which was preponderant after 40 h.

Thus, **21** and **22** were immediately oxidized by active MnO_2 [28] to give the 6-halothiolactone oximes **23** (73–81%) and **24** (65–80%), respectively⁴). The iodide **25** (86%) was obtained from the bromide **24** with NaI in boiling acetone. Conversion of the chloride **23** proceeded only very slowly under these conditions to yield, after 24 h, a 85:15 mixture of **23** and **25**.



a) 15% HCl in dioxane, r.t., 75 min; or: LiBr, H₂SO₄, MeOH, 0° to r.t., 14 h. *b*) NaHCO₃. *c*) MnO₂, MeOH, r.t., 50 min, **23** (73–81% from **19**); or MnO₂, MeOH, AcOEt, r.t., 30 min, **24** (65–80% from **19**). *d*) Nal, acetone, reflux, 4 h, 86%. *e*) KNO₂, DMF, 110–120°, 200 min, 43%. *f*) CsOAc, [18]crown-6, DMI, 80–90°, 145 min; **27** (42% from **24**) and 35% of **28**. *g*) TEMPO, Bu₃SnH, benzene, r.t., 4 h; 56% of **29**; or 47% of **29**, 12% of **30**, and 7% of **26**. *h*) Ac₂O, pyridine, r.t., 18 h. *i*) Na, NH₃, THF; Ac₂O, pyridine; 78%. *k*) Pyridine hydrochloride, MeOH, CHCl₃, r.t., 2 d, 70%. *l*) NaOMe, MeOH, r.t., 1 h, 74%.

⁴) Reaction of **19** with conc. aqueous HCl solution or with 48% aqueous HBr solution in dioxane, followed by oxidation of the intermediary hydroxylamines, produced **23** and **24** in yields of *ca*. 50% only.

The displacement of the halide atom of 23, 24, or 25 gave unsatisfactory yields. Reaction of either 23, 24, or 25 with KNO₂ produced the alcohol 26 in yields of only 40–45%; 26 was also obtained in poor yields (23%) by treating 25 with KO₂ [29] [30]. The reaction of 24 with CsOAc in 1,3-dimethylimidazolidin-2-one (DMI) produced 27 in 42% yield, besides 35% of the alkene 28; other conditions gave even less acetate. The best results were obtained by treating 25 with 2,2,6,6-tetramethylpiperidin-1-oxyl radical (TEMPO) [31] [32] in the presence of Bu₃SnH and afforded 29 (56%), the yield reflecting the laborious purification and the formation of by-products. The by-products were isolated in a preliminary experiment performed under slightly different conditions yielding 47% of 29, 12% of 30, and 7% of 26.

Birch reduction of **29** gave **10**, which was isolated *via* the pentaacetate **32**. It was not possible to directly isolate **10**, as it was contaminated with an impurity, presumably 2,2,6,6-tetramethylpiperidine hydrochloride. Workup of the crude acetylation mixture and purification usually produced a 3:1 mixture of pentaacetate **32** and tetraacetate **33**; reacetylation (Ac₂O/pyridine) afforded pure **32**⁵) (78%), which was deacetylated (NaOMe/MeOH) to give **10** (74%). A pure sample of **33** was prepared by treating **32** with pyridine hydrochloride in MeOH/CHCl₃.

The structure of the halides 23 and 24 is evidenced by their MS which show the characteristic isotope distribution of the signals of $[M + NH_4]^+$ and $[M + H]^+$ or $[M + H]^+$, respectively. $[M + H]^+$ of 25 is found at m/z 590. In the ¹³C-NMR spectra, the signals of C(6) are found at 6.75 (25), 32.82 (24), 43.99 (23), and 62.48 ppm (26). A CD₃OD-exchangeable t at 2.26 ppm is found in the ¹H-NMR spectrum of 26, indicating the presence of a primary OH group. The signals of H–C(6) and H'–C(6) of 27 are shifted downfield by *ca*. 0.5 ppm, as compared to those of 26. The structure of 28 is evidenced by the MS ($[M + H]^+$ at m/z 462) and the ¹H-NMR spectrum, which shows two d's at 5.57 and 5.71 ppm, characterized by the typical allylic coupling constant of 1.5 or 1.7 Hz, respectively.

The structure of **29** was established by X-ray analysis⁶). Notable bond lengths are S-C(1) 1.754 Å, S-C(5) 1.840 Å, and N(1)-C(1) 1.285 Å. The torsion angles S-C(1)-N(1)-O(1) and O(1)-N(1)-C(1)-C(2) are 3.3 and 177.6°, respectively, indicating these atoms to be in the same plane. The values found for C(2)-C(3)-C(4)-C(5) and C(2)-C(1)-S-C(5) and 26.3 and 13.2°, respectively, and are in keeping with the thiolactone oxime **29** adopting a distorted $B_{2,5}$ solid-state conformation as clearly visible from the ORTEP representation (*Fig. 1*). The H,H coupling constants (see *Table*) indicate a $B_{2,5}$ conformation in CDCl₃ solution. Similar ³J values are observed for compounds **23-27**, evidencing that these compounds also adopt a $B_{2,5}$ conformation in solution. The ¹³C-NMR chemical-shift values for C(1) of **29** and **23-26** are very similar to each other ($\Delta\delta < 1$ ppm), and the (Z)-configuration⁷) was assigned to all these compounds, considering that chemical-shift values for the imino-C atom of (E)- and (Z)-lactone oximes or lactone-oxime phosphates differ by *ca.* 8-12 ppm [36].

⁵) Co-evaporation of the original acetylation mixture with toluene followed by aqueous workup and FC yielded **32**, contaminated with variable amounts of another impurity, probably acetamide.

⁶) Atomic coordinates and bond lengths and angles were deposited with the *Cambridge Crystallographic Data Center*, University Chemical Laboratory, 12 Union Road, Cambridge CB2 1EW, England.

⁷) S-Substituted thiohydroxamic acids are known to show a preference for the (Z)-form, for leading ref., see [33]; X-ray structures e.g. [34] [35].



Fig. 1. ORTEP representation of compound 29

	J(2,3)	J(3,4)	J(4,5)	J(5,6)	J(5,6')	J(6,6')	J(2,4)
23	2.5	3.9	10.6	4.5	4.5		
24	2.6	3.8	10.5	5.1	3.9		
25	2.5	3.6	10.3	6.0	3.1	10.6	
26	2.1	4.5	10.8	4.6	4.6		
27	2.6	3.6	10.9	6.1	3.0	11.8	
29	2.4	3.6	10.9	8.0	3.3	9.1	
32	3.4	3.7	11.0	4.6	4.6		0.6
10	5.8	6.5	9.8	6.3	3.3	12.1	

Table. H,H-Coupling Constants of Compounds 23-27, 29, 32 and 10

The presence of the Me group of **30** is indicated by a d at 1.36 ppm in the ¹H-NMR spectrum, which also shows signals of 2 Bn groups. $[M + H]^+$ is found at m/z 374. Further evidence for the structure of **30** is obtained from the ¹H-NMR spectrum of the diacetate **31**, showing the signal of H–C(4) shifted to lower fields by ca. 1.4 ppm. A qd at 3.86 ppm is assigned to H–C(5) of **31**.

The pentaacetate **32** adopts a distorted $B_{2,5}$ conformation in CDCl₃, as indicated by the H,H coupling constants. The notable long-range coupling ${}^{4}J(2,4)$ (0.6 Hz) would not be expected for an ideal $B_{2,5}$ conformer. The 1 H-NMR spectrum of **33** indicates the presence of 4 AcO groups and of a NOH function (exchangeable H at 8.26 ppm). The signal of C(1) is shifted upfield by 9 ppm as compared to the corresponding resonance of **32**.

The structure of **10** was established by X-ray analysis⁶) (*Fig. 2*). The configuration of the thiolactone oxime is again (*Z*). There are two symmetry-independent molecules in the asymmetric unit, but there are no significant differences between their conformations. The molecules only differ in the way they are H-bonded to neighbouring molecules. In the solid state, **10** adopts a flattened ${}^{4}C_{1}$ conformation as indicated by the torsional angles C(2)-C(1)-S(1)-C(5) and C(2)-C(4)-C(5) which are -34.2 (-30.9)° or 62.5

(63.1)°, respectively. The atoms O(1), N(1), C(1), and S(1) are in the same plane with C(2) slightly above it, as shown by the torsion angles S(1)-C(1)-N(1)-O(1) and O(1)-N(1)-C(1)-C(2) of -1.6 (-1.4)° and -170.9 (-172)°, respectively. These torsion angles compare well with those found for the lactam oxime **3** [3], but C(2) of **3** is in the same plane as the lactam-oxime function, indicating a more half-chair-like conformation of **3**. Notable bond lengths of **10** are S(1)-C(1) 1.760 (1.765) Å, S(1)-C(5) 1.822 (1.827) Å, and C(1)-N(1), which is 1.277 (1.279) Å. The values of the vicinal coupling constants J(2,3) and J(3,4) (5.8 and 6.5 Hz) of **10** suggest a mixture of conformers in D₂O solution, probably $B_{2,5}$ and ⁴H₃ or ⁴C₁.



Fig. 2. ORTEP representation of compound 10

The thiolactone oxime 10 is a very weak competitive inhibitor of sweet-almond β -glucosidase ($K_1 \approx 50$ mM, pH 6.8) and of Agrobacterium β -glucosidase ($K_1 = 10.8$ mM, pH 7.0); it binds to the enzymes several hundred times more weakly than the lactone oxime 1, and several thousand times more weakly than the lactam oxime 3, in keeping with the transition-state character of 1, 3, and their derivatives, and the character of substrate analogs of the 5-thiosugars 6–9.

We thank Prof. Dr. S. Withers, University of British Columbia, Department of Chemistry, 2036 Main Mall, Vancouver, B. C., Canada V6T 1Z1, for measuring the inhibition constant against Agrobacterium β -glucosidase, Dr. A. Linden, Organisch-chemisches Institut, Universität Zürich, Winterthurerstrasse 190, CH-8057 Zürich, for the X-ray structure determinations, and the Swiss National Science Foundation and F. Hoffmann-La Roche AG, Basel, for generous support.

Experimental Part

General. Solvents were distilled before use. Active MnO₂ was prepared following a procedure by Attenburrow [28]. Normal workup implies distribution of the crude product between the indicated org. solvent and H₂O, drying of the org. layer (MgSO₄), filtration, and evaporation of the filtrate. TLC: Merck silica gel 60F-254 plates; detection by heating with 5% vanillin in conc. H₂SO₄ or with mostain [37] (400 ml of 10% H₂SO₄ soln., 20 g of (NH₄)₆Mo₇O₂₄·6H₂O, 0.4 g of Ce(SO₄)₂). Flash chromatography (FC): silica gel Merck 60 (0.04–0.063 mm). M.p.: uncorrected. NMR Spectra: ¹H at 300 MHz, and ¹³C at 50 MHz, if not indicated otherwise; chemical shifts δ in ppm and coupling constants J in Hz.

2,3,4-Tri-O-benzyl-D-glucopyranose [17] [38] (13). CF_3CO_2H (23.5 ml) was added at 2° to a soln. of 11 (20.0 g, 46.3 mmol) in Ac₂O (340 ml), and stirring was continued at 2° for 60 min. The soln. was diluted with toluene (100 ml) and evaporated (bath 40-45°). The residue was co-evaporated 4 times with toluene (150 ml each) and dried *i.v.* for 60 min. To a soln. of the remaining yellow oil (12) in dioxane (745 ml) was added 0.5M aq. H₂SO₄ (85 ml). The

soln. was refluxed for 205 min. Sat. aq. NaHCO₃ soln. (150 ml) was added (until pH 6 was reached), and 600 ml of the solvent were removed by evaporation. The resulting suspension was treated with H₂O (1 l) and cooled to 10° to give a precipitate which was collected. Recrystallisation from EtOH/H₂O and then from CHCl₃/hexane gave 13 (13.9 g, 67%; α -D/ β -D ca. 1:1) as a colorless solid. M.p. 77–81°. FC (hexane/AcOEt 1:2) of the mother liquor gave 13 (2.09 g, 10%; α -D/ β -D ca. 1:1) as a colorless solid. M.p. 77–81°. FC (hexane/AcOEt 1:2) of the mother liquor gave 13 (2.09 g, 10%; α -D/ β -D ca. 1:1) as a colorless solid. M.p. 77–81°. FC (hexane/AcOEt 1:2) 0.21. IR (CHCl₃): 3600m, 3410m (br.), 3070w, 3010m, 2930m, 2880m, 1955w, 1875w, 1810w, 1500m, 1460m, 1400w, 1360m, 1245w, 1150s, 1080s (br.), 1030s, 915w, 835w, 700s. ¹H-NMR (CDCl₃): 1.88 (t, $J \approx 6$, 0.5 H, exchange with CD₃OD, OH-C(6)); 2.35 (br. s, 0.5 H, exchange with CD₃OD, OH-C(6)); 3.30–3.42 (m, 7 H, 6 H after addn. of CD₃OD), 4.61–4.97 (m, 6.5 H); 5.18 (t, $J \approx 3$, 0.5 H, d after addn. of CD₃OD, H_{eq}-C(1)); 7.5.7.37 (m, 15 arom. H). ¹³C-NMR (CDCl₃): 61.50 (t); 81.36 (d); 83.05 (d); 84.27 (d); 90.55 (d); 97.05 (d); 127.19–128.39 (several d); 137.74–138.44 (several s). CI-MS (NH₃): 469 (25), 468 (100, [M + NH₄]⁺), 450 (17, M^+).

(E)- and (Z)-2,3,4-Tri-O-benzyl-6-O-[(tert-butyl)dimethylsilyl]-D-glucose Oxime O-[(tert-Butyl)dimethylsilyl] Ether (15). NH₂OH·HCl (43.75 g, 630 mmol) was added at 55° to a stirred soln. of Na (7.7 g, 335 mmol) in 96% aq. EtOH (1.4 l). Stirring was continued for 5 min and was followed by addition of 13 (35 g, 78 mmol) and 96% aq. EtOH (0.5 l). The mixture was stirred for 7.5 h at 55-60° and filtered. The residue was washed with AcOEt, and the combined filtrates and washings were concentrated. Normal workup (AcOEt, H₂O) gave crude 14 (36.8 g). Yellow oil.

Molecular sieves (Union Carbide, type 3 Å, powder; 36 g) were dried in the reaction vessel at 130-140° i.v. for 18 h. After cooling to r.t., 1H-imidazole (26.3 g, 387 mmol) was added and drying i.v. continued for 30 min at r.t. A soln. of 14 (36.0 g, 77 mmol) in dry DMF (360 ml) and t-BuMe₂SiCl (29.2 g, 193 mmol) were added. The mixture was stirred for 40 min and filtered; the filtrate was poured into $H_2O(11)$ and extracted with hexane. The org. layers were washed (H₂O), dried (MgSO₄), and evaporated to give a yellow clear oil (57 g) which was filtered through silica gel to give 15 (50.0 g, 93%; (E)/(Z) ca. 8:2). Colorless oil. FC (hexane/AcOEt 9:1) of crude 15 (861 mg) gave pure 15 (815 mg). $R_{\rm f}$ (hexane/AcOEt 9:1) 0.26. $[\alpha]_{D}^{25} = +20.2$ (c = 0.865, CHCl₃). IR (CHCl₃): 3550*m* (br.), 3090*w*, 3070w, 3000m, 2960s, 2930s, 2890s, 2860s, 1595w, 1455m, 1390w, 1360w, 1250m, 1080s (br.), 1030m, 1010w, 915s, 840s. ¹H-NMR (CDCl₃): 0.0-0.14 (m, 12 H, Me₂Si); 0.85-0.92 (m, 18 H, t-BuSi); 2.58 (d, J = 5.8, 0.8 H, exchange with CD₃OD, OH-C(5) (*E*)); 2.66 (*d*, J = 4.6, 0.2 H, exchange with CD₃OD, OH-C(5) (*Z*)); 3.59-3.95 (*m*, 5 H); 4.37-4.86 (*m*, 6.8 H, PhCH₂, H–C(2) (*E*)); 5.12 (*dd*, *J* = 4.9, 6.6, 0.2 H, H–C(2) (*Z*)); 7.08 (*d*, *J* = 6.6, 0.2 H, H–C(2) (*Z*)); 7.08 (*d* = 7.6, 0.2 H, H– H-C(1)(Z); 7.14–7.32 (m, 15 arom. H); 7.52 (d, J = 8.1, 0.8 H, H-C(1)(E)). ¹³C-NMR (CDCl₃): major isomer: -5.50 to -5.16 (several q); 17.98-18.27 (several s); 25.63-26.13 (several q); 63.79(t); 71.08(t); 71.08(d); 73.40(t); 74.38 (t); 76.84 (d); 77.58 (d); 79.32 (d); 127.00-128.16 (several d); 137.44-138.40 (several s); 153.19 (d); minor isomer: 71.81 (d); 71.99 (t); 73.73 (t); 74.49 (t); 154.50 (d). CI-MS (NH₃): 696 (20), 695 (51), 694 (100, $[M + H]^+$), 562 (24). Anal. calc. for C₃₉H₅₉NO₆Si₂ (694.080): C 67.49, H 8.57, N 2.02; found: C 67.30, H 8.85, N 2.02.

(E)- and (Z)-2,3,4-Tri-O-benzyl-6-O-[(tert-butyl)dimethylsilyl]-5-O-(methylsulfonyl)-D-glucose Oxime O-[(tert-Butyl)dimethylsilyl] Ether (16), (E)- and (Z)-5,6-Anhydro-2,3,4-tri-O-benzyl-L-idose Oxime (17), and 1,6-Anhydro-2,3,4-tri-O-benzyl-5-N-hydroxy- β -L-idopiperidinose (18). MsCl (8.31 g, 73 mmol) was added to a stirred ice-cold soln. of 15 (5.03 g, 7.3 mmol) in dry pyridine (100 ml); stirring was continued for 14 h at 0° \rightarrow r.t. The soln. was concentrated to about half of its volume, poured into sat. aq. NaHCO₃ soln., stirred for 20 min, and extracted with AcOEt. The org. layers were washed (sat. aq. NaHCO₃ soln., H₂O), dried (MgSO₄), and evaporated. The remaining oil was co-evaporated with toluene and dried *i.v.* fr (hexane/AcOEt 85:15) 0.30. ¹H-NMR (CDCl₃): -0.03 to 0.18 (m, 12 H, Me₂Si); 0.82-0.99 (m, 18 H, *t*-BuSi); 2.81 (s, 2.4 H, MsO (E)); 2.83 (s, 0.6 H, MsO (Z)); 3.77-3.90 (m, 2.2 H); 3.97 (dd, J = 4.1, 11.4, 0.8 H); 4.03 (t, J = 4.1, 0.8 H); 4.13 (dd, J = 3.1, 6.1, 0.2 H); 5.01 (dd, J = 4.5, 6.5, 0.2 H, H-C(2) (Z)); 7.09 (d, J = 6.5, 0.2 H, H-C(1) (Z)); 7.21-7.30 (m, 15 arom. H); 7.56 (d, J = 8.0, 0.8 H, H-C(1) (E)).

A soln. of $Bu_4NF \cdot 3H_2O$ (5.72 g, 18 mmol) in THF (70 ml) was added to a soln. of crude 16 (6.05 g) in THF (50 ml). The soln. was kept at r.t. for 210 min and evaporated. FC (hexane/AcOEt 3:1) of the residue gave 17 (2.63 g, 81%; (E)/(Z) ca. 7:3; yellowish solid) and 18 (0.24 g, 7%; colorless solid).

 0.7 H, H–C(4) (*E*)); 3.35 (*dd*, J = 5.6, 6.7, 0.3 H, H–C(4) (*Z*)); 3.68 (*dd*, J = 4.2, 5.8, 0.7 H, H–C(3) (*E*)); 3.85 (*dd*, J = 4.1, 5.5, 0.3 H, H–C(3) (*Z*)); 4.36 (*dd*, J = 5.8, 7.7, 0.7 H, H–C(2) (*E*)); 4.41–4.82 (*m*, 6 H, PhCH₂); 5.09 (*dd*, J = 4.0, 6.5, 0.3 H, H–C(2) (*Z*)); 6.94 (*d*, J = 6.5, 0.3 H, H–C(1) (*Z*)); 7.24–7.36 (*m*, 15.7 H, arom. H, NOH (*E*)); 7.43 (*d*, J = 7.7, 0.7 H, H–C(1) (*E*)); 7.56 (*s*, 0.3 H, NOH (*Z*)); irrad. at 7.43 → change at 4.36 (*d*); irrad. at 6.94 → change at 5.09 (*d*); irrad. at 3.09–3.16 → change at 3.35, 3.25, 2.56, 2.49 (*d*), 2.41, 2.36 (*d*). ¹³C-NMR (CDCl₃): major isomer: 42.93 (*t*); 52.78 (*d*); 71.16 (*t*); 72.20 (*t*); 74.18 (*t*); 76.37 (*d*); 79.92 (*d*); 80.19 (*d*); 127.39–128.33 (several *d*); 137.26–137.75 (several *s*); 149.28 (*d*); minor isomer: 43.36 (*t*); 52.55 (*d*); 70.70 (*d*); 71.93 (*t*); 72.49 (*t*); 74.48 (*t*); 151.10 (*d*). CI-MS (NH₃): 449 (28), 448 (100, [*M* + H]⁺), 447 (42), 430 (12), 303 (10), 195 (30), 133 (12), 116 (45), 108 (26), 106 (11), 86 (10). Anal. calc. for C₂₇H₂₉NO₅ (447.532): C 72.46, H 6.53, N 3.13; found: C 72.34, H 6.31, N 3.04.

An anal. sample of **18** was obtained by recrystallisation in benzene/pentane. R_f (hexane/AcOEt 1:1) 0.29. M.p. 134°. [α]_D²⁵ = +29.6 (c = 1.13, CHCl₃). IR (CHCl₃): 3580m, 3390m (br.), 3060w, 3030w, 3005m, 2900m, 2870m, 1950w, 1875w, 1810w, 1495m, 1455m, 1365m, 1315w, 1190w, 1110s, 1090s, 1070s, 1030m, 985w, 960m, 905m, 850m, 700s. ¹H-NMR (CDCl₃): 3.53 (m, 1 H); 3.62–3.70 (m, 2 H); 3.78 (m, 1 H); 3.98 (dd, J = 4.6, 7.8, 1 H); 4.06 (d, J = 7.7, 1 H); 4.64 (s, 2 H, PhCH₂); 4.64 (d, J = 11.9, PhCH₂); 4.71 (d, J = 12.0, PhCH₂); 4.80 (s, 2 H, PhCH₂); 5.00 (br. s, 1 H); 5.33 (s, exchange with CD₃OD, NOH); 7.24–7.37 (m, 15 arom. H). ¹H-NMR (C₆D₆): 3.53 (t, $J \approx 3.9$, H–C(5)); 3.63 (dd, J = 1.4, 7.8, H–C(2)); 3.71 (dd, J = 3.7, 8.1, H–C(4)); 3.89 (t, $J \approx 8.0$, H–C(3)); 4.05 (dd, J = 4.5, 7.4, H–C(6)); 4.12 (d, J = 7.5, H'–C(6)); 4.33 (d, J = 12.1, PhCH₂); 4.37 (d, $J \approx 12$, PhCH₂); 4.41 (d, J = 12.1, PhCH₂); 5.10 (br. s, H–C(1)); 7.03–7.35 (m, 15 arom. H); irrad. at 3.89 → change at 3.71 and 3.63; irrad. at 3.71 → change at 3.53 (d) and 3.89; irrad. at 3.53 → change at 3.71 (dJ, ≈ 8) and 4.05 (d, $J \approx 7.5$); irrad. at 3.63 → change at 3.89. ¹³C-NMR (CDCl₃): 64.11 (t); 66.58 (d); 72.11 (t); 72.26 (t); 75.37 (t); 78.70 (d); 81.12 (d); 81.81 (d); 95.87 (d); 127.49–128.43 (several d); 137.79 (s); 137.87 (s); 138.44 (s). CI-MS (NH₃): 449 (30), 448 (100, [M + H]⁺), 402 (11), 340 (24), 216 (15), 186 (25), 108 (13), 91 (8). Anal. cale. chor C₂₇H₂₉NO₅ (447.532): C 72.46, H 6.53, N 3.13; found: C 72.31, H 6.38, N 3.31. Molecular-weight determination (osmometry): 481 (CHCl₃).

(E)- and (Z)-2,3,4-Tri-O-benzyl-5,6-dideoxy-5,6-epithio-D-glucose Oxime (19). A soln. of 17 (2.15 g, 4.8 mmol) and thiourea (1.83 g, 24 mmol) in MeOH (50 ml) was kept at r.t. for 5 d and evaporated. FC (hexane/AcOEt 3:1) of the residue gave 19 (1.91 g, 86%; (E)/(Z) ca. 8:2) as a yellowish solid, which was recrystallized in AcOEt/hexane. $R_{\rm f}$ (hexane/AcOEt 6:4) 0.42, 0.5. M.p. 91–93°. $[\alpha]_{25}^{25} = -9.1$ (c = 0.715, CHCl₃). IR (CHCl₃): 3580m, 3330m (br.), 3060m, 3000m, 2870m, 1950w, 1875w, 1810w, 1610w, 1590w, 1495m, 1455m, 1395m, 1355m, 1330*m*, 1305*m*, 1200*m*, 1070*s* (br.), 1045*s*, 1030*s*, 920*m* (br.), 700*s*. ¹H-NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃): 2.18 (*dd*, J = 1.1, 5.5, 0.8 H, H–C(6) (E)); 2.20 (dd, J = 1.0, 5.5, 0.2 H, H–C(6) (Z)); 2.44 (dd, J = 1.0, 6.1, 0.2 H, H–C(6) (Z)); 2.48 (dd, J = 1.1, 6.0, 0.8 H, H'-C(6) (E)); 3.07 (dd, J = 2.5, 8.1, 0.8 H, H-C(4) (E)); 3.10-3.19 (m, 1 H, H-C(5)); 3.(E/Z); 3.23 (dd, $J \approx 3.5, 7.5, 0.2$ H, H–C(4) (Z)); 3.87 (dd, J = 2.5, 7.4, 0.8 H, H–C(3) (E)); 3.89 (dd, J = 4.3, 6.9, 1.50.2 H, H--C(3) (Z)); 4.42 (t, $J \approx 7.7, 0.8$ H, H--C(2) (E)), 4.49-4.71 (m, 5 H, PhCH₂); 4.83 (d, J = 11.4, 0.2 H, $PhCH_{2}(Z)$; 4.88 (d, J = 11.4, 0.8 H, $PhCH_{2}(E)$); 5.20 (dd, $J \approx 6, 7, 0.2$ H, H-C(2)(Z)); 6.94 (d, J = 7.2, 0.2 H, H-C(2)(Z)); 6.94 (d, J = 7.H-C(1) (Z)); 7.26-7.34 (m, 15.8 H, arom. H, NOH (E)); 7.47 (d, J = 7.8, 0.8 H, H-C(1) (E)); 7.55 (s, 0.2 H, NOH (Z)). ¹³C-NMR (CDCl₃): major isomer: 25.49 (t); 33.18 (d); 71.39 (t); 72.44 (t); 74.79 (t); 77.15 (d); 81.62 (d); 82.76 (d); 127.15-128.52 (several d); 137.44-137.92 (several s); 149.24 (d); minor isomer: 24.55 (t); 70.87 (d); 72.13 (t); 72.95 (t); 74.69 (t); 80.93 (d); 82.31 (d); 150.47 (d). CI-MS (NH_3) : 481 $(3, [M + NH_4]^+)$, 465 (19), 464 (50, 10)[M + H]⁺), 463 (73, M⁺), 449 (29), 448 (100), 431 (13), 417 (11), 416 (38). Anal. calc. for C₂₇H₂₉NO₄S (463.601): C 69.95, H 6.31, N 3.02, S 6.92; found: C 69.68, H 6.33, N 2.98, S. 6.64.

1,6-Anhydro-2,3,4-tri-O-benzyl-5-N-hydroxy-β-D-glucopiperidinose (**20**). A soln. of **17** (325 mg, 0.73 mmol) and LiCl (615 mg, 14.5 mmol) in dry THF (50 ml) was boiled under reflux for 22 h and then concentrated. Usual workup (AcOEt, H₂O) and FC (hexane/AcOEt 7:3) afforded **20** (139 mg, 43%). Colorless oil. R_f (hexane/AcOEt 6:4) 0.32. [α]_D²⁵ = -4.1 (c = 1.015, CHCl₃). IR (CHCl₃): 3540m, 3400m (br.), 3070w, 3010m, 2920m, 2870m, 1955w, 1875w, 1815w, 1500m, 1460m, 1400w, 1365m, 1330w, 1315w, 1270w, 1240w, 1195w, 1115s, 1090s, 1075s, 1055s, 1030s, 955m, 915m, 850m, 700s. ¹H-NMR (c_6D_6): 3.26 (dd, J = 7.1, 12.1 H–C(6)); 3.49 (dd, J = 3.6, 8.4, H–C(2)); 3.52 (dd, $J \approx 4.0, 7.9, H–C(4)$); 3.65 (t, $J \approx 8.2, H–C(3)$); 3.80 (d, J = 12.2, H'–C(6)); 4.24 (d, J = 12.0, PhCH₂); 4.28 (d, J = 4.1, 6.9, H–C(5)); 4.34 (d, J = 11.9, PhCH₂); 4.44 (d, J = 12.1, PhCH₂); 4.50 (d, J = 12.1, PhCH₂); 4.78 (d, J = 11.6, PhCH₂); 4.84 (d, J = 11.6, PhCH₂); 5.26 (d, J = 3.5, H–C(1)); 7.02–7.31 (m, 15 arom. H); 7.84 (s, exchange with CD₃OD, NOH); irrad. at 3.63 – change at 3.80 and 4.28; irrad. at 3.65 – change at 3.49 and 3.52. ¹³C-NMR (CDCl₃): 59.88 (t); 72.59 (t; 73.91 (t); 73.01 (d); 75.28 (t); 78.93 (d); 79.29 (d); 81.89 (d); 97.56 (d); 127.49–128.39 (several d); 137.75 (s); 137.99 (s); 138.51 (s). CI-MS (NH₃): 449 (20), 448 (100, [M + H]⁺), 433 (15), 432 (72), 430 (15). Anal. calc. for C_{2.7}H₂₉NO₅ (447.532): C 72.46, H 6.53, N 3.13; found: C 72.35, H 6.78, N 3.43. Molecular-weight determination (osmometry): 478 (CHCl₃).

 $N-(2,3,4-Tri-O-benzyl-6-chloro-6-deoxy-5-thio-\alpha-D-glucopyranosyl)hydroxylamine (21) and (Z)-2,3,4 Tri-O-benzyl-6-chloro-6-deoxy-5-thio-D-gluconhydroximo-1,5-lactone (23). A soln. of 19 (250 mg, 0.54 mmol) in 15% (w/w) HCl in dry dioxane (12.5 ml) wa stirred at r.t. for 75 min, poured into sat. aq. NaHCO₃ soln. and extracted with AcOEt. Normal workup (sat. aq. NaHCO₃ soln., H₂O) gave crude 21 as a yellowish oil which was dried$ *i.v.*for 30 min, dissolved in MeOH (15 ml), and treated with MnO₂ (150 mg, 1.72 mmol). The mixture was stirred for 50 min at r.t. and filtered through Celite. The residue was washed with AcOEt. Filtrate and washings were evaporated. FC (hexane/AcOEt 8:2) gave 23 (218 mg, 81 %). Yellowish oil.

Data of **21**: IR (CHCl₃): 3590m, 3400w (br.), 3290w, 3100w, 3070w, 3010m, 2930m, 2880m, 1605w, 1495w, 1455m, 1355m, 1055s (br., sh), 1030s, 930w, 700m. ¹H-NMR (CDCl₃): ca. 1.6 (br. s, NH); 3.57 (ddd, J = 2.6, 4.1, 9.3, H-C(5)); 3.72–3.83 (m, H–C(3), H–C(4), H–C(6)); 3.94 (dd, J = 4.3, 9.3 H–C(2)); 4.11 (dd, J = 4.2, 11.6, H'-C(6)); 4.31 (d, J = 4.2, H-C(1)); 4.72 (s, 2 H, PhCH₂); 4.73 (d, $J = 10.7, PhCH_2$); 4.78 (d, $J = 11.0, PhCH_2$); 4.88 (d, $J = 10.8, PhCH_2$); ca. 4.9 (br. s, OH); 4.96 (d, $J = 10.7, PhCH_2$); 7.26–7.36 (m, 15 arom. H).

Data of **23**: R_f (hexane/AcOEt 6:4) 0.44. $[\alpha]_{D}^{25} = +75.0$ (c = 1.74, CHCl₃). IR (CHCl₃): 3570*m*, 3270*m* (br.), 3060*w*, 3005*m*, 2970*m*, 1950*w*, 1875*w*, 1810*w*, 1605*m*, 1500*m*, 1455*m*, 1430*w*, 1390*w*, 1355*m*, 1315*w*, 1295*w*, 1245*w*, 1180*w*, 1090*s*, 1070*s*, 1030*m*, 990*w*, 965*w*, 935*m*, 835*w*, 700*s*. ¹H-NMR (CDCl₃): 3.81 (*dd*, J = 3.9, 10.6, H–C(4)); 3.87 (*d*, J = 4.5, H–C(6), H'–C(6)); 3.93 (*dd*, J = 2.4, 3.8, H–C(3)); 4.17 (*td*, J = 4.4, 10.6, H–C(5)); 4.39 (*d*, J = 11.7, PhCH₂); 4.40 (*d*, J = 2.5, H–C(2)); 4.42 (*d*, J = 12.0, PhCH₂); 4.49 (*d*, J = 11.1, PhCH₂); 4.56–4.60 (*m*, 2 H, PhCH₂); 4.69 (*d*, J = 11.9, PhCH₂); 7.21–7.37 (*m*, 15 arom. H); 7.86 (*s*, exchange with CD₃OD, NOH). ¹³C-NMR (CDCl₃): 42.11 (*d*); 43.99 (*t*); 70.62 (*t*); 71.51 (*t*); 72.92 (*t*); 76.35 (*d*); 81.90 (*d*); 82.16 (*d*); 127.72–128.40 (several *d*); 136.93 (2 *s*); 137.47 (*s*); 151.86 (*s*). CI-MS (NH₃): 517 (6, [$M + NH_4$]⁺), 515 (15, [$M + NH_4$]⁺), 501 (12), 500 (42, [M + H]⁺), 499 (32), 498 (100, [M + H]⁺), 354 (12). Anal. calc. for C₂₇H₂₈ClNO₄S (498.037): C 65.11, H 5.67, N 2.81, Cl 7.12, S 6.44; found: C 65.01, H 5.87, N 2.89, Cl 7.24, S 6.25.

(Z)-2,3,4-Tri-O-benzyl-6-bromo-6-deoxy-5-thio-D-gluconhydroximo-1,5-lactone (24). Conc. H₂SO₄ (5.4 ml) in MeOH (20 ml) was added dropwise to an ice-cold soln. of 19 (1.229 g, 2.7 mmol) and LiBr (4.61 g, 53 mmol) in MeOH (65 ml). The soln. was stirred 14 h at $0^{\circ} \rightarrow r.t.$, poured into sat. aq. NaHCO₃ soln. (500 ml), and extracted twice with Et₂O (500 ml each). The combined org. layer was dried (MgSO₄) and evaporated and the residue (yellow oil) immediately dissolved in MeOH (75 ml)/AcOEt (12 ml) and treated with MnO₂ (1.475 g, 17 mmol). The mixture was stirred vigorously for 30 min at r.t. and filtered through Celite; the residue was washed with AcOEt. Filtrate and washings were concentrated. FC (hexane/Et₂O 6:4) gave 19 (impure, 0.11 g) and 24 (1.103 g, 77%). Yellow, clear oil. $R_{\rm f}$ (hexane/AcOEt 6:4) 0.48. $[\alpha]_{\rm D}^{25} = +65.4$ (c = 1.035, CHCl₃). IR (CHCl₃): 3570m, 3260m (br.), 3060m, 3005m, 2970m, 1950w, 1875w, 1810w, 1605w, 1495m, 1455m, 1420w, 1390w, 1350m, 1315w, 1265w, 1185w, 1090s, 1070s, 1030m, 985m, 945m, 910m, 830w, 700s. ¹H-NMR (CDCl₃): 3.73 (d, J = 5.1, H–C(6)); 3.75 (d, J = 5.1, H–C(6) J = 3.9, H'-C(6); 3.77 (dd, J = 3.7, 10.5, H-C(4)); 3.94 (dd, J = 2.5, 3.8, H-C(3)); 4.13 (ddd, J = 3.9, 5.1, 10.4, JH-C(5); 4.39 (d, J = 11.7, PhCH₂); 4.40 (d, J = 2.7, H-C(2)); 4.42 (d, J = 12.1, PhCH₂); 4.51 (d, J = 11.2, PhCH₂); 4.51 (d, J = 11.2; $PhCH_{2}$; 4.57 (d, J = 11.7, $PhCH_{2}$); 4.58 (d, J = 11.1, $PhCH_{2}$); 4.69 (d, J = 11.8, $PhCH_{2}$); 7.22–7.39 (m, 15 arom. H); 7.96 (s, exchange with CD₃OD, NOH). 13 C-NMR (CDCl₃): 32.82 (t); 41.72 (d); 70.59 (t); 71.56 (t); 73.02 (t); 76.42 (d); 82.29 (d); 82.81 (d); 127.70–128.69 (several d); 136.97 (2s); 137.53 (s); 151.69 (s). CI-MS (NH₃): 545 (31), 544 $(100, [M + H]^+)$, 543 (30), 542 $(96, [M + H]^+)$, 464 (14), 463 $(20, [M + H - Br]^+)$, 431 (13). Anal. calc. for C₂₇H₂₈BrNO₄S (542.487): C 59.78, H 5.20, Br 14.73, N 2.58, S 5.91; found: C 60.04, H 5.41, Br 15.00, N 2.58, S 5.62.

(Z)-2,3,4-Tri-O-benzyl-6-deoxy-6-iodo-5-thio-D-gluconhydroximo-1,5-lactone (25). A soln. of 24 (164 mg, 0.3 mmol) and NaI (907 mg, 6.0 mmol) in acetone (10 ml) was boiled under reflux for 4 h (\rightarrow precipitate). Normal workup (AcOEt, sat. aq. NaHCO₃ soln., H₂O). FC (hexane/AcOEt 8:2) afforded 25 (154 mg, 86%). Colorless oil. R_f (CHCl₃/EtOH 99:1) 0.35. [α]_D²⁵ = +51.8 (c = 0.73, CHCl₃). IR (CHCl₃): 5580m, 3280m (br.), 3100w, 3080m, 3010m, 2980m, 1955w, 1875w, 1815w, 1610m, 1500m, 1460m, 1420w, 1395w, 1355m, 1315w, 1095s, 1075s, 1030m, 990w, 930m, 700m. ¹H-NMR (CDCl₃): 3.50 (dd, J = 6.0, 10.6, H–C(6)); 3.57 (dd, J = 3.1, 10.6, H'–C(6)); 3.64 (dd, J = 3.6, 10.4, H–C(4)); 3.73 (ddd, J = 3.1, 6.0, 10.2, H–C(5)); 3.94 (dd, J = 2.5, 3.6, H–C(3)); 4.39 (d, J = 11.7, PhCH₂); 4.40 (d, J = 2.9, H–C(2)); 4.42 (d, J = 12.2, PhCH₂); 4.52 (d, J = 11.1, PhCH₂); 4.58 (d, J ≈ 10.5, 2 H, PhCH₂); 6.67 (d, J = 11.8, PhCH₂); 7.2–7.40 (m, 15 arom. H); 7.78 (br. s, exchange with CD₃OD, NOH). ¹³C-NMR (CDCl₃): 6.75 (t; 41.27 (d); 70.62 (t); 71.53 (t); 73.00 (t); 76.37 (dd), 52.22 (d); 84.26 (d); 127.75–128.64 (several d); 136.94 (2 s); 137.53 (s); 151.96 (s). CI-MS (NH₃): 591 (30), 590 (100, [M +H]⁺), 464 (24), 463 (20, [M + H - I]⁺), 431 (21). Anal. calc. for C₂₇H₂₈INO₄S (589.487): C 55.01, H 4.79, I 21.53, N 2.38, S 5.44; found: C 54.90, H 5.00, I 21.30, N 2.52, S 5.69.

(Z)-2,3,4-Tri-O-benzyl-5-thio-D-gluconhydroximo-1,5-lactone (26). A mixture of 24 (216 mg, 0.4 mmol) and KNO₂ (848 mg, 9.9 mmol) in dry DMF (10 ml) was stirred at $110-120^{\circ}$ for 200 min. Normal workup (AcOEt, H₂O) and FC (hexane/AcOEt 6:4) of the crude gave 26 (82 mg, 43%). Yellow oil. R_f (hexane/AcOEt 6:4) 0.21.

2696

 $[\alpha]_{D}^{25} = +76.4 (c = 0.633, CHCl_3)$. IR (CHCl_3): 3560*m*, 3260*m* (br.), 3060*w*, 3030*m*, 3000*m*, 2920*w*, 2870*m*, 1950*w*, 1870*w*, 1810*w*, 1605*w*, 1495*m*, 1455*m*, 1350*m*, 1180*w*, 1070*s*, 1030*m*, 945*m*, 700*s*. ¹H-NMR (CDCl_3): 2.26 (*t*, $J \approx 6.3$, exchange with CD₃OD, OH–C(6)); 3.77–3.82 (*m*, H–C(4), H–C(6), H'–C(6)); 3.92 (*td*, J = 4.6, 10.8, H–C(5)); 3.95 (*dd*, J = 2.1, 4.5, H–C(3)); 4.38 (*d*, J = 2.1, H–C(2)); 4.41 (*d*, $J = 11.8, PhCH_2$); 4.42 (*d*, J = 11.7, PhCH₂); 4.53 (*d*, J = 11.3, PhCH₂); 4.59 (*d*, J = 11.6, PhCH₂); 4.64 (*d*, J = 11.3, PhCH₂); 4.69 (*d*, J = 11.8, PhCH₂); 7.20–7.40 (*m*, 15 arom. H); 7.91 (br. *s*, exchange with CD₃OD, NOH). ¹³C-NMR (CDCl₃): 41.77 (*d*); 62.48 (*t*); 70.43 (*t*); 71.49 (*t*); 72.91 (*t*); 76.36 (*d*); 82.89 (*d*); 83.34 (*d*); 127.85–128.63 (several *d*); 137.05 (2*s*); 137.41 (*s*); 151.50 (*s*). CI-MS (NH₃): 497 (10, [*M* + NH₄]⁺), 481 (19), 480 (100, [*M* + H]⁺), 464 (12). Anal. calc. for C₂₇H₂₉NO₅S (479.592): C 67.62, H 6.10, N 2.92, S 6.68; found: C 67.56, H 6.39, N 3.16, S 6.70.

6-O-Acetyl-2,3,4-tri-O-benzyl-5-thio-D-gluconhydroximo-1,5-lactone (27) and 2,3,4-Tri-O-benzyl-5-thio-D-xylo-hex-5-enonhydroximo-1,5-lactone (28). A mixture of 24 (50 mg, 0.09 mmol), [18]crown-6 (6 mg), and CsOAc (177 mg, 0.9 mmol) was dried *i.v.* for 30 min, dissolved in DMI (1 ml), and stirred over 145 min at 80–90°. Normal workup (AcOEt, H₂O) and FC (hexane/AcOEt 3:1) afforded 27 (20 mg, 42%) and 28 (15 mg, 35%), both as yellow oils.

Data of 27: R_f (hexane/AcOEt 6:4) 0.35. IR (CHCl₃): 3570*m*, 3270*m* (br.), 3060*m*, 3000*m*, 2930*m*, 2870*m*, 1950*w*, 1875*w*, 1810*w*, 1740*s*, 1605*m*, 1495*m*, 1455*s*, 1385*s*, 1365*s*, 1250*s*, 1190*m*, 1070*s* (br.), 1030*s*, 985*w*, 950*s*, 910*w*, 700*s*. ¹H-NMR (CDCl₃): 1.99 (*s*, AcO); 3.74 (*dd*, J = 3.6, 10.9, H-C(4)); 3.94 (*dd*, J = 2.6, 3.6, H-C(3)); 4.10 (*ddd*, J = 3.0, 6.1, 10.8, H-C(5)); 4.25 (*dd*, J = 6.1, 11.8, H-C(6)); 4.37-4.47 (*m*, 5 H, PhCH₂, H-C(2), H'-C(6)); 4.56 (*d*, $J = 11.4, PhCH_2$); 4.58 (*d*, $J = 11.7, PhCH_2$); 4.70 (*d*, $J = 11.9, PhCH_2$); 7.19-7.40 (*m*, 15 arom. H); 8.31 (*s*, NOH). CI-MS (NH₃): 540 (8), 539 (27, [*M* + NH₄]⁺), 524 (16), 523 (48), 522 (100, [*M* + H]⁺), 521 (14), 506 (19), 480 (12), 464 (24), 463 (67).

Data of **28**: R_f (hexane/AcOEt 6:4) 0.46. IR (CHCl₃): 3570m, 3270m (br.), 3060m, 3010m, 2870m, 1955w, 1875w, 1810w, 1605m, 1500m, 1455m, 1390w, 1355m, 1315w, 1185w, 1075s (br.), 1030m, 950m, 910m, 700s. ¹H-NMR (CDCl₃): 3.81 (dd, J = 2.5, 5.4, H-C(3)); 4.20 (td, J = 1.6, 5.4, H-C(4)); 4.28 (d, J = 2.5, H-C(2)); 4.35 (d, $J = 12.0, PhCH_2$); 4.50 (d, $J = 11.8, PhCH_2$); 4.51 (d, $J = 11.8, PhCH_2$); 4.57 (d, $J = 11.8, PhCH_2$); 4.65 (d, $J = 12.0, PhCH_2$); 4.70 (d, $J = 11.8, PhCH_2$); 5.57 (d, J = 1.5, H-C(6)); 5.71 (d, J = 1.7, H'-C(6)); 7.22–7.35 (m, 15 arom. H); 8.03 (s, NOH). CI-MS (NH₃): 463 (64), 462 (100, [M + H]⁺), 308 (39).

Treatment of **25** with 2,2,6,6-Tetramethylpiperidin-1-oxyl Radical (TEMPO): **26**, (Z)-2,3,4-Tri-O-benzyl-6-O-(2,2,6,6-tetramethylpiperidin-1-yl)-5-thio-D-gluconhydroximo-1,5-lactone (**29**), 2,3-Di-O-benzyl-6-deoxy-5-thio-D-gluconhydroximo-1,5-lactone (**30**), and 2,3-Di-O-benzyl-6-deoxy-5-thio-D-gluconhydroximo-1,5-lactone (**30**). A soln, of Bu₃SnH (6.48 g, 22.3 mmol) in benzene (7 ml) was added over 15 min at r.t. and stirring was continued for 4 h. The decolorized soln, was evaporated to a yellow oil. FC (hexane/Et₂O 65:35 \rightarrow Et₂O) produced Fraction A ($R_{\rm f}$ (hexane/Et₂O 1:1) ca. 0.3) and B ($R_{\rm f}$ ca. 0.15, 0.07). Fr. A : The material was dried i.v. at 70–80° for 5 h and resubjected to FC (hexane/Et₂O 65:35) to give **29** (635 mg, 47%), which was crystallized (hexane/Et₂O) to give colorless prisms. Fr. B : The material was resubjected to FC (hexane/Et₂O 45:55) to give **30** (98 mg, 12%; colorless oil) and **26** (73 mg, 7%; yellow oil). An anal. sample of **30** was acetylated (pyridine/Ac₂O 1:1, 2 ml) for 18 h at r.t., the soln, then diluted with toluene and evaporated, and the residue co-evaporated with toluene and dried *i.v.* to give **31**.

b) TEMPO (1.58 g, 10 mmol) was added to a soln. of **25** (1.19 g, 2 mmol) in benzene (100 ml). Bu₃SnH (5.88 g, 20 mmol) was added after 5 min and the soln. kept at r.t for 4 h (\rightarrow decolorization). The soln. was evaporated to a yellow oil. FC (hexane/AcOEt 85:15) afforded a red oil which was dried *i.v.* at 60° for 90 min to give a yellow oil (1.40 g). The latter was resubjected to FC (hexane/Et₂O 65:35), dried *i.v.* at 75° for 2 h and overnight at r.t. to yield **29** (699 mg, 56%) as a yellow oil which crystallized upon standing at r.t. for several weeks.

Data of **29**: R_f (hxane/Et₂O 1:1) 0.3. M.p. 116–117°. $[\alpha]_{D}^{25} = +62.4$ (c = 0.83, CHCl₃). IR (CHCl₃): 3565m, 3260m (br.), 3000m, 2970m, 2930m, 2870m, 1950w, 1870w, 1810w, 1600w, 1495w, 1470m, 1455m, 1375m, 1360m, 1090s, 1070s, 1025m, 955m, 695s. ¹H-NMR (CDCl₃): 1.14–1.68 (m, 18 H); 3.72 (dd, J = 3.6, 10.9, H–C(4)); 3.89–3.96 (m, H–C(3), H–C(6)); 4.10 (ddd, J = 3.1, 8.0, 11.2, H–C(5)); 4.21 (dd, J = 3.3, 9.1, H'–C(6)); 4.37 (d, J = 2.4, H–C(2)); 4.38 (d, J = 11.7, PhCH₂); 4.43 (d, J = 11.8, PhCH₂); 4.44 (d, J = 11.4, PhCH₂); 4.53 (d, $J \approx 11$, PhCH₂); 4.57 (d, J = 11.8, PhCH₂); 4.72 (d, J = 11.8, PhCH₂); 7.18–7.85 (m, 15 arom. H); 7.85 (br. s, NOH). ¹³C-NMR (CDCl₃): 16.69 (t); 19.90 (q); 20.04 (q); 32.42 (q); 32.47 (q); 39.12 (2t); 40.24 (d); 59.90 (s); 70.10 (t); 71.07 (t); 71.99 (t); 76.02 (d); 81.83 (d); 81.93 (d); 127.28–128.65 (several d); 136.98 (s); 137.04 (s); 137.55 (s); 152.17 (s). CI-MS (NH₃): 620 (42), 619 (100, [M + H]⁺), 513 (12), 464 (26), 374 (11), 158 (10), 142 (19). Anal. calc. for C₃₆H₄₆N₂O₅S (618.833): C 69.87, H 7.49, N 4.53, S 5.18; found: C 69.65, H 7.68, N 4.28, S 5.40.

Data of **30**: R_f (hexane/Et₂O 4:6) 0.28. IR (CHCl₃): 3570m, 3290m (br.), 3000m, 2930w, 2870m, 1950w, 1875w, 1810w, 1715w, 1605m, 1495m, 1455m, 1350m, 1310w, 1285w, 1090s, 1075s, 1040m, 1030m, 950m, 700s. ¹H-NMR

 $(CDCl_3)$: 1.36 (*d*, J = 6.3, Me); 2.27 (br. *s*, OH-C(4)); 3.52-3.65 (*m*, H-C(4), H-C(5)); 3.72 (*dd*, J = 2.7, 4.4, H-C(3)); 4.35 (*d*, J = 2.7, H-C(2)); 4.40 (*d*, J = 11.6, PhCH₂); 4.51 (*d*, J = 12.0, PhCH₂); 4.65 (*d*, $J \approx 11.5$, PhCH₂); 4.67 (*d*, $J \approx 11.5$, PhCH₂); 7.26-7.38 (*m*, 10 arom. H); 8.14 (br. *s*, NOH). CI-MS (NH₃): 375 (24), 374 (100, [M + H]⁺).

Data of **31**: ¹H-NMR (CDCl₃): 1.21 (*d*, J = 6.9, Me); 2.01 (*s*, AcO); 2.22 (*s*, AcO); 3.75 (*dd*, $J \approx 2.9$, 3.5, H–C(3)); 3.86 (*qd*, J = 6.8, 10.9, H–C(5)); 4.45 (*d*, J = 12.3, PhCH₂); 4.51 (*d*, J = 11.7, PhCH₂); 4.56 (*d*, J = 2.5, H–C(2)); 4.62 (*d*, J = 12.3, PhCH₂); 4.70 (*d*, J = 11.7, PhCH₂); 5.04 (*dd*, J = 3.6, 10.8, H–C(4)); 7.22–7.39 (*m*, 10 arom. H).

(Z)-5-Thio-D-gluconhydroximo-1,5-lactone 1-N,2,3,4,6-Pentaacetate (32). Na (100 mg) was added in portions at -70° to NH₃ (15–20 ml), and the mixture was stirred for 15 min. A soln. of **29** (162 mg, 0.26 mmol) in dry THF (5 ml) was added over 5 min to the deep-blue mixture, which was stirred for 10 min at -70° and for 60 min at reflux. After cooling to -70° , NH₄Cl (185 mg) was added. The decolorized mixture was allowed to warm to r.t. The residue was diluted with MeOH, the soln. evaporated, and the residue suspended in pyridine (10 ml). After addition of Ac₂O (10 ml), the mixture was kept at r.t. for 20 h, diluted with toluene, and evaporated. The residue was co-evaporated with toluene. FC (hexane/AcOEt 1:1) of the crude afforded a yellowish oil (82 mg), which was reacetylated (pyridine/Ac₂O 1:1, 4 ml). Co-evaporation with toluene gave **32** (86 mg, 78 %). $R_{\rm f}$ (hexane/AcOEt 4:6) 0.29. IR (CHCl₃): 3050w, 2950w, 1750s (br.), 1590m, 1460w, 1435w, 1370s, 1245s, 1175s, 1145m, 1070m, 1040s, 1000m, 930m, 900m. ¹H-NMR (CDCl₃): 2.09 (s, AcO); 2.10 (s, AcO); 2.12 (s, AcO); 2.17 (s, AcO); 2.23 (s, AcO); 4.03 (*td*, J = 4.6, 11.0, H-C(5)); 4.29 (*d*, J = 4.6, H-C(6), H'-C(6)); 5.16 (*ddd*, J = 0.6, 3.7, 10.9, H-C(4)); 5.24 (*t*, $J \approx 3.5, H-C(3)$); 5.78 (*dd*, J = 0.6, 3.4, H-C(2)). ¹³C-NMR (CDCl₃): 19.02–20.61 (several q); 39.99 (*d*); 61.06 (*t*); 70.87 (*d*); 72.41 (*d*); 72.45 (*d*); 155.93 (*s*); 166.83 (*s*); 167.64 (*s*); 168.68 (*s*); 169.19 (*s*); 170.12 (*s*). CI-MS (NH₃): 438 (18), 437 (100, [$M + NH_4$]⁺).

(Z)-5-Thio-D-gluconhydroximo-1,5-lactone 2,3,4,6-Tetraacetate (33). A soln. of 32 (116 mg, 0.28 mmol) in CHCl₃ (5 ml)/MeOH (15 ml) was treated with pyridine hydrochloride (320 mg, 2.76 mmol) and kept at r.t. for 2 d. Normal workup (AcOEt, sat. aq. NaHCO₃ soln., H₂O), co-evaporation (toluene), and FC (hexane/AcOEt 1:1) afforded 33 (73 mg, 70%). Colorless oil. R_f (CHCl₃/EtOH 19:1) 0.51. IR (CHCl₃): 3564w, 3258w (br.), 3042w, 3007w, 1750s, 1608w, 1430w, 1370s, 1252s, 1072m, 1036s, 981w, 948w, 909m. ¹H-NMR (CDCl₃): 2.09 (s, AcO); 2.10 (s, AcO); 2.16 (s, AcO); 3.96 (m, H–C(5)); 4.30 (dd, J = 3.9, 12.1, H–C(6)); 4.31 (dd, J = 5.4, 12.1, H'–C(6)); 5.17–5.22 (m, H–C(3), H–C(4)); 5.66 (m, H–C(2)); 8.26 (br. s, exchange with CD₃OD, NOH). ¹³C-NMR (75 MHz, CDCl₃): 20.60–20.81 (several q); 39.32 (d); 61.57 (t); 71.02 (d); 72.81 (d); 73.29 (d); 146.93 (s); 168.39 (s); 169.26 (s); 169.55 (s); 170.62 (s). FAB-MS (3-nitrobenzyl alcohol): 400 (6, $[M + Na]^+$), 378 (10, $[M + H]^+$), 318 (18).

(Z)-5-Thio-D-gluconhydroximo-1,5-lactone (10). NaOMe (1M, 0.6 ml) was added to a soln. of 32 (245 mg, 0.58 mmol) in MeOH (30 ml). The soln. was stirred at r.t. over 60 min, and neutralized by addition of *Dowex 50 × 8* (H⁺). The mixture was filtered and the residue thoroughly washed with MeOH. The filtrate and the washings were evaporated to give a turbid oil (109 mg, 89%). FC (AcOEt/MeOH 17:3) afforded 10 (90 mg, 74%). Yellow oil. For analysis, a sample was crystallized (Et₂O, MeOH). $R_{\rm f}$ (AcOEt/MeOH 8:2) 0.38. M.p. > 155° (dec.). $[\alpha]_{\rm D}^{22}$ = +145.2 (c = 0.635, H₂O). IR (KBr): 3370vs (br.), 2870w, 1600m, 1555w, 1535w, 1455m, 1380w, 1355m, 1295w, 1255w, 1230w, 1105m, 1070s, 1055m, 995s, 960s, 805m, 745m. ¹H-NMR (500 MHz, D₂O): 3.55 (ddd, J = 3.4, 6.4, 9.8, H–C(5)); 3.72 (dd, J = 6.5, 9.9, H–C(4)); 3.76 (dd, J = 5.9, 6.4, H–C(3)); 3.87 (dd, J = 6.3, 12.1, H–C(6)); 3.96 (dd, J = 3.3, 12.1, H'-C(6)); 4.39 (dd, J = 0.3, 5.8, H–C(2)). ¹³C-NMR (125 MHz, D₂O): 48.00 (d); 63.26 (t); 75.59 (d); 76.17 (d); 79.13 (d); 156.09 (s). FAB-MS (glycerol): 302 (5, [M + H + glycerol]⁺), 210 (11, [M + H]⁺). Anal. calc. for C₆H₁₁NO₅S (209.22): C 34:44, H 5.30, N 6.69; found: C 34.32, H 5.45, N 6.46.

Enzymology. Emulsin (from almonds, E.C. 3.2.1.21; Fluka Biochemica; used without any further purification) and Agrobacter β -glucosidase (purified as described previously [39]) were assayed using 4-nitrophenyl β -D-glucopyranoside and 80 mM potassium-phosphate buffer (pH 6.8) or 50 mM sodium-phosphate buffer, 0.1% BSA (pH 7.0), respectively. Release of nitrophenolate was monitored at 37° by UV/VIS spectroscopy through measurements at 400 nm. The K₁ values were determined by measurement of rates of a series of 5 substrate concentrations which bracket K_M value in the presence of 3 different concentrations of inhibitor. Data were analysed as described elsewhere [3].

REFERENCES

- [1] D. Beer, A. Vasella, Helv. Chim. Acta 1986, 69, 267.
- [2] B. Ganem, G. Papandreou, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1991, 113, 8984.
- [3] R. Hoos, A. B. Naughton, W. Thiel, A. Vasella, W. Weber, K. Rupitz, S.G. Withers, Helo. Chim. Acta 1993, 76, 2666.
- [4] S.G. Withers, K. Rupitz, D. Trimbur, R.A.J. Warren, Biochemistry 1992, 31, 9979.
- [5] T. Kajimoto, K. K.-C. Liu, R. L. Pederson, Z. Zhong, Y. Ichikawa, J. A. Porco, C.-H. Wong, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1991, 113, 6187.
- [6] H. Hashimoto, T. Fujimori, H. Yuasa, J. Carbohydr. Chem. 1990, 9, 683.
- [7] W. Korytnyk, N. Angelino, O. Dodson-Simmons, M. Hanchak, M. Madson, S. Valentekovic-Horvath, Carbohydr. Res. 1983, 113, 166.
- [8] I. I. Cubero, M. T. Plaza Lopez-Espinosa, A. C. Richardson, M. D. Suarez Ortega, Carbohydr. Res. 1993, 242, 109.
- [9] G. Legler, E. Jülich, Carbohydr. Res. 1984, 128, 61.
- [10] P. Ermert, A. Vasella, Helv. Chim. Acta 1991, 74, 2043.
- [11] P. Ermert, A. Vasella, M. Weber. K. Rupitz, S.G. Withers, Carbohydr. Res., in press.
- [12] E. Tanahashi, M. Kiso, A. Hasegawa, Carbohydr. Res. 1983, 117, 304.
- [13] H. Hashimoto, M. Kawanishi, H. Yuasa, Tetrahedron Lett. 1991, 32, 7087.
- [14] K. Blumberg, A. Fuccello, T. van Es, Carbohydr. Res. 1979, 70, 217.
- [15] B. Helpap, Dissertation, Universität Hamburg, 1988.
- [16] A. Chaperon, Diplomarbeit, Universität Zürich, 1991.
- [17] R. Eby, S.J. Sondheimer, C. Schuerch, Carbohydr. Res. 1979, 73, 273.
- [18] B. M. Aebischer, H. W. Hanssen, A. T. Vasella, W. B. Schweizer, J. Chem. Soc., Perkin Trans. 1 1982, 2139.
- [19] M. Salunkhe, M. Hartmann, W. Schmid, E. Zbiral, Liebigs Ann. Chem. 1988, 187.
- [20] O. Duclos, A. Dureault, J. D. Depezay, Tetrahedron Lett. 1992, 33, 1059.
- [21] O. Duclos, M. Mondange, A. Dureault, J.C. Depezay, Tetrahedron Lett. 1992, 33, 8061.
- [22] W. Kliegel, Liebigs Ann. Chem. 1970, 733, 192.
- [23] R. Grigg, J. Markandu, Tetrahedron Lett. 1989, 30, 5489.
- [24] W. Kliegel, H. Becker, Chem. Ber. 1977, 110, 2090.
- [25] G.J. Karabatsos, R.A. Taller, Tetrahedron 1968, 24, 3347.
- [26] J. M. J. Tronchet, F. Barbalat-Rey, N. Le-Hong, Helv. Chim. Acta 1971, 54, 2615.
- [27] A. M. Creighton, L. N. Owen, J. Chem. Soc. 1960, 1024.
- [28] J. Attenburrow, J. Chem. Soc. 1952, 1094.
- [29] M. A. Brimble, G. M. Williams, R. Baker, J. Chem. Soc., Perkin Trans. 1 1991, 2221.
- [30] E.J. Corey, K.C. Nicolaou, M. Shibasaki, Y. Machida, C.S. Shiner, Tetrahedron Lett. 1975, 3183.
- [31] R. J. Kinney, W. D. Jones, R. C. Bergman, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1978, 100, 7902.
- [32] A. G. M. Barrett, L. M. Melcher, B. C. B. Bezuidenhoudt, Carbohydr. Res. 1992, 232, 259.
- [33] W. Walter, E. Schaumann, Synthesis 1971, 111.
- [34] J. Waser, W. H. Watson, Nature (London) 1963, 198, 1297.
- [35] M. E. Jung, D. D. Grove, S. I. Khan, J. Org. Chem. 1987, 52, 4570.
- [36] D. Beer, A. Vasella, Helv. Chim. Acta 1985, 68, 2254.
- [37] T. Storz, Diplomarbeit, Universität Konstanz, 1989.
- [38] P. Kovac, H.J.C. Yeh, G.L. Jung, J. Carbohydr. Chem. 1987, 6, 423.
- [39] J. B. Kempton, S. G. Withers, Biochemistry 1992, 31, 9961.