Control of Chemo- and Stereoselectivity in the Reactions of Organocuprates with α -Oxoketene Dithioacetals

R. Karl Dieter,*[†] Louis A. Silks, III, Jeffrey R. Fishpaugh, and M. E. Kastner

Contribution from the Department of Chemistry, Boston University, Boston, Massachusetts 02215. Received August 1, 1984

Abstract: α -Oxoketene dithioacetals can be induced to undergo a selective substitution reaction with organocopper reagents to afford β -alkylthio- α,β -enones. The effect of substrate structure, transferable copper ligand, copper reagent, and solvent upon the chemo- and stereoselectivity of the reaction was examined. Cyclic and acyclic substrates afforded the $E \beta$ -alkylthio- α,β -enones in good to excellent yields with generally high stereoselectivity (i.e., >90:10) upon reaction with (PhSCuR)Li and (MeOCMe₂C=CuR)Li mixed cuprates containing Me, *n*-Bu, *sec*-Bu, and *t*-Bu transferable ligands with the exception of acyclic substrates 5 and 6 which afforded the Z isomer upon reaction with *t*-Bu cuprates. Ester and lactone derivatives generally undergo preferential reduction of α -oxoketene dithioacetals 13a and 13b containing two different alkylthio substituents with lithium dimethylcuprate occurred with stereospecific substrates of the ketone carbonyl. The stereoselectivity of the reaction is accounted for in terms of an addition-elimination pathway.

Introduction

We have, over the past several years, investigated the chemistry of α -oxoketene dithioacetals¹ as potentially versatile substrates for the sequential regioselective construction of new carbon-carbon bonds.² During the course of this investigation, we discovered that α -oxoketene dithioacetals could be induced to undergo a selective reaction with organocopper reagents to afford β -alkylthio- α,β -enones (vinylogous thiol esters) with some degree of stereoselectivity.³ We now report the results of an extensive study on the stereo- and chemoselectivity⁴ of this reaction as a function of solvent, organocuprate, and substrate structure. The geometry about the carbon-carbon double bond in one of the vinylogous thiol esters has been firmly established by an X-ray diffraction study, and trends in the UV and NMR spectra have been established which permit assignment of olefin configuration throughout the series. A model is proposed to account for the observed stereoselectivities, and an examination of 2-carboalkoxyketene dithioacetals has established a correlation between substrate structure and reactivity. Studies examining the configurational stabilities of the vinylogous thiol esters and the equilibrium E/Z isomer distribution are also described. Synthetic applications involve a regiospecific synthesis of α -pyrones which has been reported^{2b} and a stereoselective synthesis of α,β -unsaturated ketones that will be detailed in a subsequent paper.

The reaction of organocopper reagents with α,β -unsaturated carbonyl compounds containing a good leaving group at the β carbon atom has been actively investigated over the past decade.^{3,5-7} The substitution of the leaving group by the alkyl ligand of the cuprate generally affords β -alkyl- α , β -unsaturated carbonyl compounds in a chemoselective fashion, although bis-conjugate addition to afford β , β -dialkyl carbonyl compounds may be competitive with the more reactive cuprates and substrates.^{5a} The reaction has been assumed to proceed by an addition-elimination mechanism,^{5b,c} and substrates have been designed to undergo sequential conjugate addition-elimination-conjugate addition events that afford spiro^{6a} (eq 1) and vicinally disubstituted cycloalkanones (eq 2 and 3).6b,c Utilization of substrates that yield less reactive β -alkyl-substituted α , β -enones has facilitated the use of a different organocopper reagent in the second substitution reaction (eq 3).6c Few studies, however, have examined substrates containing two good leaving groups at the β -carbon atom of the α,β -unsaturated carbonyl compound. Although the addition of organocuprates to α -oxoketene dithioacetals^{7a,b} (eq 4 and 5) and bis(methylthio)methylene malonates⁷ has been reported, the transformations have involved the addition of either two or three alkyl groups to afford α -alkylidene or α -tertiary alkyl ketones and



malonates. To our knowledge, a preliminary report³ from our laboratory described the first successful addition of a single alkyl

[†]Address correspondence to this author at the Department of Chemistry and Geology, Clemson University, Clemson, SC 29634-1905.

⁽¹⁾ β , β -Dialkylthio- α , β -unsaturated ketones have been described in the literature by several convenient and simple descriptive names. These include α -oxoketene dithioacetals (Marino, J. P.; Kostusyk, J. L. Tetrahedron Lett. **1979**, 2489), α -keto ketene mercaptals (Shahak, I.; Sasson, Y. Ibid. **1973**, 4207), α -di(alkylmercapto)methylene ketones (Arjona, O.; Cereceda, J. A.; Quiroga, M. L. Tetrahedron **1980**, 36, 2137), and α -dithiomethylene ketones (see ref 7a).

 ^{(2) (}a) Dieter, R. K.; Jenkitkasemwong, Y. Tetrahedron Lett. 1982, 23, 3747.
 (b) Dieter, R. K.; Fishpaugh, J. R. J. Org. Chem. 1983, 48, 4439.
 (c) Dieter, R. K.; Dieter, J. W. J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun. 1983, 1378.
 (d) Dieter, R. K.; Jenkitkasemwong, Y.; Dieter, J. W. J. Org. Chem. 1984, 49, 3183.

⁽³⁾ Dieter, R. K.; Fishpaugh, J. R.; Silks, L. A. Tetrahedron Lett. 1982, 23, 3751 and references cited therein.

⁽⁴⁾ The term chemoselectivity is employed to describe the selective reaction of α -oxoketene dithioacetals with organocuprates in the presence of the product vinylogous thiol esters. See: Warren, S. "Organic Synthesis: The Disconnection Approach"; Wiley: Chichester, England, 1982; Chapter 5, p 34.

group from organocuprates to an α,β -unsaturated carbonyl compound containing two good leaving groups at the β -carbon atom.

Preparative Experiments

 α -Oxoketene dithioacetals 1–7, lactone 9, and carbomethoxyketene dithioacetals 10 and 11 were prepared by an established procedure⁸ involving the sequential addition of carbon disulfide, lithium diisopropylamide (LDA), or lithium hexamethyldisilyl azide (LHMDS) as THF solutions, and iodomethane to the appropriate ketone or ester enolate anion.

The β , β -dichloro enone 8 was prepared by Friedel-Crafts acylation of vinylidene dichloride with acetyl chloride.⁹ The two diastereomers of an α -oxoketene dithioacetal containing two different alkylthio substituents were required to probe the stereospecificity of the reaction. Deprotonation of 12a according



to the procedure of Marino¹⁰ followed by quenching with methylsulfinyl chloride afforded 13a and 13b as a nearly 1:1 mixture. Considering a possible role of the chloride ion in the isomerization process, sulfenylating reagents containing less nucleophilic leaving groups were examined. Deprotonation of 12a and reaction of the carbanion with methyl methylthiosulfonate¹¹ afforded 13a stereoselectively. Attempted purification on silica gel, however, afforded a mixture of 13a and 13b (\sim 1:1). For this reason an unpurified sample of 13a containing a small amount of 12a (12%) was employed in the organocopper substitution reaction. In a similar manner 12b was converted into 13b employing ethyl ethylthiosulfonate¹¹ as the sulfenylating reagent.

The β -phenylthic derivative 14 was obtained in 71% yield as a mixture of E and Z geometrical isomers by reaction of 3-heptyn-2-one¹² with thiophenol in THF.¹³ The diastereomers were separated by column chromatography and the configurations determined by NMR chemical shifts [olefinic proton: δ 5.60 (E), 6.30 (Z); methylene protons: δ 2.70 (E), 2.08 (Z)]. The chemical shift trends were consistent with those reported for the E and Zisomers of ethyl 3-(phenylthio)-2-butenoate.5c

Results

In an initial experiment it was discovered that some degree of chemoselectivity could be achieved by simply controlling the reaction temperature. Treatment of 1 with lithium dimethylcuprate at -50 °C afforded the E vinylogous thiol ester 15a in 46% yield which could be increased to 65% yield by lowering the reaction

(8) Dieter, R. K. J. Org. Chem. 1981, 46, 5031

(9) Heilbron, I.; Jones, E. R. H.; Julia, M. J. Chem. Soc. 1949, 1430. 10) Marino, J. P.; Katterman, L. C. J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun. 1979 946

(11) The procedure of Trost for the preparation of benzene phenylthio-sulfonate was employed: Trost, B. M.; Massiot, G. S. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1977, 99, 4405.

temperature to -78 °C. Reasoning that a greater degree of chemoselectivity could be achieved by utilization of less reactive cuprates, the phenylthic heterocuprate¹⁴ of Posner and the acetylenic mixed cuprate of Corey¹⁵ were examined. The methyl-(phenvlthio)cuprate afforded a mixture of E(79%) and Z(2%)stereoisomers in good yield while the methylacetylenic cuprate was more efficient, affording the E isomer in 96% yield (Table I, entries 2 and 3).

Table I reveals that the addition of organocopper reagents to α -oxoketene dithioacetals afforded the E vinylogous thiol esters as the predominant stereoisomer with the exception of acyclic substrates 5 and 6 which afforded the Z isomer upon reaction with t-Bu cuprates (Table I, entries 20, 21, 27). The stereoselectivity of the reaction is dependent upon the substrate structure and organocuprate. Although there is considerable variation in the isomer ratios and chemical yields consistent with the simultaneous influence of several reaction parameters, several patterns do emerge. First, the cyclopentanone derivative 1, cyclohexenone derivatives 3 and 4, and the acyclic substrates 5-7 exhibited very good to excellent stereoselectivity for organocuprates containing primary, secondary, and tertiary alkyl ligands. The stereoselectivities (E:Z isomer ratios) and chemical yields for the reactions of 1, 5, and 6 were uniformly high along the phenylthio mixed organocuprate series CH₃, n-Bu, sec-Bu, and t-Bu (Table I, entries 2, 4, 6, 7, 17-19, 21, 22, 24, and 26), although reaction of the acyclic substrate 5 with the t-Bu cuprate in THF afforded predominantly a product arising from reduction of the carbon-sulfur bond and low yields of the substitution product (entry 20). Reaction of 5 and 6 with the tert-butyl(phenylthio)cuprate in diethyl ether afforded vinylogous thiol esters 20d and 22d in good to excellent yields, although 22d was formed with modest stereoselectivity (entries 21 and 27). It should also be noted that in three instances the acetylenic mixed cuprates afforded higher yields of vinylogous thiol esters than the corresponding phenylthio mixed cuprates (entries 3, 9, 25 vs. 2, 8, 24) although this was not always the case (entries 5, 23 vs. 4, 22). Several experiments revealed the dependence of the chemical yield upon both the substrate and organocuprate (Table I, compare entries 2-6, 20-25). Cyclohexenones 3 and 4 (entries 14-16) reacted with organocuprates containing a primary alkyl ligand in a highly stereoselective manner. Reaction of the sec-Bu and t-Bu organocuprates with 3 and 4 was not examined. The acyclic derivative 7 containing a tetrasubstituted olefin also reacted with the methyl- and nbutyl(phenylthio)cuprates to afford the E isomers with a high degree of stereoselectivity. Second, the cyclohexanone derivative 2 gave consistently lower stereoselectivities and chemical yields for the CH₃ and sec-Bu cuprates but better results for the n-Bu and t-Bu cuprates (Table I, entries 10-13). Third, addition of lithium cyclopropyl(phenylthio)cuprate to 1 afforded the vinylogous thiol ester 15e as a 70:30 E:Z mixture of stereoisomers (entry 8). Although addition of lithium tert-butyl(phenylthio)cuprate to 1 was initially observed to afford a 1:1 mixture of Eand Z stereoisomers, it was eventually discovered that this ratio resulted from isomerization during the purification procedure (silica gel, column chromatography). Utilization of careful work-up conditions afforded crude tert-butyl vinylogous thiol ester (15d) as a 95:5 mixture of E and Z stereoisomers. Reaction of 1 with the cyclopropyl cuprate, however, always afforded a mixture of E and Z stereoisomers and the stereoselectivity of this reaction could not be improved. The E and Z cyclopropyl vinylogous thiol esters (E)-15e and (Z)-15e could be separated by column chromatography but were configurationally unstable. The Z isomer underwent partial isomerization within 0.5 h at room temperature in CDCl₃ while the E isomer could be stored in a frozen benzene matrix. In general, the vinylogous thiol esters exhibited good configurational stability with the exception of the highly unstable tert-butyl and cyclopropyl vinylogous thiol esters 15d and 15e, respectively. The vinylogous thiol esters derived from the cy-

^{(5) (}a) Posner, G. H.; Brunelle, D. J. J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun. 1973, 907. (b) Casey, C. P.; Marten, D. F.; Boggs, R. A. Tetrahedron Lett. 1973, 2071. (c) Kobayashi, S.; Takei, H.; Mukaiyama, T. Chem. Lett. 1973, 1097. (d) Sum, F.-W.; Weiler, L. Can. J. Chem. 1979, 57, 1431, and ref. erences cited therein. (e) Piers, E.; Cheng, K. F.; Nagakura, I. Ibid. 1982, 60, 1256, and references cited therein. (f) Dieter, R. K.; Silks, L. A. J. Org.

⁽b) (1256; and references cited therein. (f) Dicter, N. N., Shiss, E. A. J. Org. Chem. 1983, 48, 2786, and references cited therein.
(6) (a) Wender, P. A.; Eck, S. L. Tetrahedron Lett. 1977, 1245. (b) Smith, A. B., III; Wexler, B. A.; Slade, J. S. Ibid. 1980, 21, 3237. (c) Takahashi, T.; Hori, K.; Tsuji, J. Ibid. 1981, 22, 119.
(7) (a) Corey, E. J.; Chen, R. H. K. Tetrahedron Lett. 1973, 3817. (b) Johansen, O. H.; Undheim, K. Acta Chem. Scand., Ser. B 1979, 33, 460. (c) Weth V. Schebel J. Surger 1200.

Ittah, Y.; Shahak, I. Synthesis 1976, 320.

^{(12) 3-}Heptyn-2-one was prepared by reaction of 1-lithiopentyne with acetyl chloride in THF at -76 °C. For an alternative preparation see: Castro, C. E.; Havlin, R.; Honwad, V. K.; Malte, A.; Moje, S. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1969, 91, 6464.

⁽¹³⁾ Reaction of 3-heptyn-2-one with thiophenol and triethylamine in THF afforded 14 as an E:Z mixture in 67-71% yield. For an alternative synthetic procedure see: Kobayashi, S.; Meguro-ku, O.; Mukaiyama, T. Chem. Lett. 1974, 705.

⁽¹⁴⁾ Posner, G. H.; Whitten, C. E.; Sterling, J. H. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1973, 95, 7788. (15) Corey, E. J.; Floyd, D.; Lipshutz, B. H. J. Org. Chem. 1978, 43, 3418.

clohexanone substrate displayed moderate stability and did undergo partial isomerization over several days when stored neat or as solutions.

In view of the moderate to high configurational instability of some of the product vinylogous thiol esters, several control experiments were performed. Treatment of vinylogous thiol ester (E)-17a with lithium methyl(phenylthio)cuprate [(i) THF, -78 to 0 °C; (ii) satd aq NH₄Cl)] afforded pure (E)-17a and a minor amount of 2-(1-methylethylidene)cyclohexanone. In this instance, isomerization of (E)-17a under these reaction conditions was not significant. However, reaction of vinylogous thiol ester (E)-15a and (E)-22c with the higher order cuprate, di-tert-butylcyanocuprate,¹⁶ in diethyl ether afforded (Z)-15a and (Z)-22c as the principal products in 64 and 70% yield, respectively, indicating that isomerization is quite possible under certain conditions. This isomerization process was examined in greater detail for (E)-22c and (Z)-22c. Treatment of (E)-22c with 0.1, 0.2, and 2.0 equiv of di-tert-butylcyanocuprate afforded 95:5, 89:11, and 17:83 mixtures, respectively, of E and Z stereoisomers while treatment of (Z)-22c with 2.0 equiv of the cuprate afforded a 19:81 E:Z isomer ratio. Vinylogous thiol esters (E)-22c and (Z)-22c did not undergo isomerization in THF under the same reaction conditions.

The configurational instabilities of some of the vinylogous thiol esters and the isomerization of (E)-15a and (E)-22c under the reaction conditions prompted a brief examination of the equilibrium stereoisomer ratios. The thermodynamic stability of the *E* and *Z* geometrical isomers of vinylogous thiol esters 14, 15a, 17a, 20a, 20c, 22c, and 24a was examined. Each purified isomer was dissolved in CDCl₃ in an NMR tube to which a trace of HCl was added. The isomerization process was monitored by NMR until equilibrium was obtained as indicated by identical isomer compositions from either the *E* or *Z* geometrical isomer. The results (Table II) indicate considerable variation but are consistent with literature reports of *E* β -alkylthio derivatives¹⁷ being more stable than the *Z* isomers, while *Z* β -arylthio derivatives are generally more stable than the corresponding *E* isomers.

A series of organocopper reagents were examined in order to explore the effect of specific organocuprate reagent upon product distributions and stereoselectivity. The ketene dithioacetal of 4-phenylcyclohexanone (31) was chosen as substrate since all of the possible products would be relatively nonvolatile and material balance could be easily determined. Reaction of 31 with nine



organocopper reagents was examined in THF and, where organocuprate solubility permitted, in diethyl ether, toluene, or benzene. The reaction afforded the *E* and *Z* vinylogous thiol esters (*E*)-32 and (*Z*)-32, α -alkylidene ketone 33, reduction product 34, and recovered starting material. The results are listed in Table III and several patterns emerge. First, (CH₃)₂CuLi, [(CH₃)₂CuS-

CN]Li₂, $[(C_6H_{11})_2PCuCH_3]Li$, and $[(CH_3)_2CuCN]Li_2$ gave substantial quantities of bis-substitution product 33 (i.e., >14%) when 1.2 equiv of organocopper reagent was utilized. The yield of 33 increased along the solvent series THF, Et₂O, PhCH₃ when (CH₃)₂CuLi (21, 33, 65%), [(CH₃)₂CuCN]Li₂ (51, 65, 75%), and $[(C_6H_{11})_2PCuCH_3]Li (39, 65, 66\%)$ were employed and decreased along the solvent series when [(CH₃)₂CuSCN]Li₂ (37, 19, 14%) was employed (Table III, entries 1, 2, 4, 12-20). These results for $[(C_6H_{11})_2PCuCH_3]Li$ were obtained when the reagent was generated by warming the solution to 0 °C over a 40-min period and then cooling to -78 °C before the addition of 31. Attempted generation of this reagent at -40 °C afforded different yields of 32, 33, and 31 in THF (67, 11, 10%), ether (46, 32, 1%), and toluene (31, 41, 16%) than the reagent generated at 0 °C. Second, the mixed cuprates (PhSCuCH₃)Li and (CH₃OCMe₂C \equiv $CCuCH_3$)Li afforded good yields of vinylogous thiol esters (E)-32 and (Z)-32 and low yields of 33 (<6%) in THF (Table III, entries 5, 10). The mixed cuprate (PhSCuCH₃)Li afforded poor results in Et₂O as a result of limited solubility. Third, the acetylenic mixed cuprate afforded the highest yield of reduction product 34 (14%) in diethyl ether (Table III, entry 11) consistent with earlier observations involving other α -oxoketene dithioacetals (Table I, entry 5). The phosphine complexed cuprates $Ph_3P(CH_3)_2CuLi$ and n-Bu₃P·(CH₃)₂CuLi afforded good yields of vinylogous thiol ester 32 in THF while the latter cuprate afforded increased yields of 33 in Et_2O and PhCH₃ (Table III, entries 6–9). Fourth, the lower order cyanocuprate, (CH₃CuCN)Li, did not effect substitution under the reaction conditions (Table III, entries 21, 22). Fifth, the stereoselectivity of the reaction showed some variation with organocuprate reagent and solvent utilized. In general the E:Z distribution hovered around a 70:30 ratio and the influence of solvent was not uniform but depended on the specific organocuprate employed (Table III; compare entries 1-4, 12-14, and 18-20 vs. 7, 8, and 15-17). The phosphine complexed cuprate Ph₃P·(CH₃)₂CuLi afforded the highest selectivity (80:20) paralleling an earlier report on the effectiveness of $n-Bu_3P$. (CH₃)₂CuLi in stereoselective substitution reactions.¹⁹

Having established the stereoselectivity of the reaction, α -oxoketene dithioacetals **13a** and **13b** having two different alkylthio substituents were examined in order to explore the stereospecificity of the reaction. Reaction of **13a** with lithium dimethylcuprate

afforded **35a** (67%) stereospecifically while reaction of **13b** afforded **35b** (45%) (eq 6). Similarly, reaction of **13a** with methyl(phenylthio)cuprate afforded **35a** (64%) stereospecifically. In all instances, the alkylthio substituent syn to the ketone carbonyl was replaced by the cuprate ligand in a stereospecific process.

The β,β -dichloro enone 8 underwent the substitution reaction with lithium dimethylcuprate to cleanly afford the *E* β -chloro enone 25 stereoselectively in 84% yield (Table I, entry 30).²⁰

Finally, the ester derivatives 9–11 were briefly examined to explore the generality of the reaction. Treatment of lactone 9 with lithium dimethylcuprate afforded the reduction product 26 cleanly in 60% yield (Table I, entry 31), while the methyl ester 10 upon reaction with lithium dialkylcuprates afforded primarily reduction product 28. Reaction of ester 10 with *n*-BuCu^{18d} or *t*-BuCu afforded primarily recovered starting material along with small amounts of substitution product 27 and reduction product 28. The yield of 27a could not be increased by use of CH₃-Cu·BF₃.^{18e} However, reaction of 10 with lithium *tert*-butyl-(phenylthio)cuprate in diethyl ether afforded 27c in 90% yield with excellent stereoselectivity (entry 35). Methyl ester 11 which

⁽¹⁶⁾ Lipshutz, B. H.; Wilhelm, R. S.; Kozlowski, J. Tetrahedron Lett. 1982, 23, 3755.

^{(17) (}a) Furukawa, N.; Fukumura, M.; Nishio, T.; Oae, S. Phosphorus Sulfur, 1978, 5, 191.
(b) Nishio, T.; Omote, Y. J. Chem. Soc., Perkin Trans. 1 1981, 934.
(c) Cavalchi, B.; Landini, D.; Montanari, F. J. Chem. Soc. C 1969, 1204.

^{(18) (}a) Hooz, J.; Layton, R. B. Can. J. Chem. 1970, 48, 1626. (b) Bertz, S.; Dabbagh, G. J. Org. Chem. 1984, 49, 1119. (c) Lipshutz, B. H.; Wilhelm, R. S.; Kozlowski, J. Ibid. 1983, 48, 546. (d) Corey, E. J.; Chen, R. H.; K. Tetrahedron Lett. 1973, 1611. (e) Yanamoto, Y.; Yamamoto, S.; Yatagai, H.; Ishihara, Y.; Maruyama, K. J. Org. Chem. 1982, 47, 119. (f) Marino, J. P.; Floyd, D. M. Tetrahedron Lett. 1979, 675.

⁽¹⁹⁾ Casey, C. P.; Marten, D. F. Tetrahedron Lett. 1974, 925.

⁽²⁰⁾ Barrett, A. G. M.; Morris, T. M.; Barton, D. H. R. J. Chem. Soc., Perkin Trans. 1 1980, 2272.

Table I. Reactions of α -Oxoketene Dithioacetals with Organocuprates

Entry Substrate	Cuprate Ligand R (Cuprate ^a	Products (E:Z) ^b % Yield ^c
တို နငH ₃			<u>Я</u> к. Р. н.
SCH,			SCH. SCH. S.M.
,			45 46
10			erd and
1	a Me	A	ره (۵۲.۱) ۹۱ –
2		C	(98:2) 96 -
-	b n-Bu	в	(92:8) ^e 83 9
5		с	(92:8) 55 12 38
6	c <u>sec</u> -Bu	В	(97:3) 93
7	d <u>tert</u> -Bu	В	(95:5) ^e 96
8	e c ₃ H ₅	В	(70:30) 64
9		С	(70:30) 7/
O ŞCH,			¢ Ŗ
SCH			
			Juni Juni
Ę	. M.		よく (191-19) - 75
10	a Me	B	(90:10) 70
12	c sec-Bu	В	(79:21) ^e 62
13	d <u>tert</u> -Bu	в	(95:5) ^e 96
O \$CH3			O R
SCH			
			SCH3
2	- M-	p	λξ (αλ.6.)€ 79
14	a me b Me.CH≖CHCH.CH.	B	(96:4) 75
	2	-	
o sch			Ŷ I
SCH,			SCH,
P			o 19
			\sim \sim
16	Me	В	(93:7) 84
0 SCH.			o R o H
			SCH. S.M.
λ			20 SCH, 21
17	a Me	в	(95:5) 92
18	b <u>n</u> -Bu	В	(91:9) 88
19	с <u>sec</u> -Ви	В	(91:9) 87
20	d <u>tert</u> -Bu	в	(8:92) ^e 14 65 14
21		B ^f	(8:92) ^e 89
			-
о scн,			
SCH,			
0			*** ***
22	a Me	В	(94:6) 87 2.5
23		C	(96:4) 51 (93:7) 64
24	b <u>n</u> -Bu	в С	ده (/:دد) (89:11) 93
25	c sec-Bu	В	(94:6) 97
27	d <u>tert</u> -Bu	вf	(20:80) ^c 53

Table I (Continued)

<u> </u>	Entry	Substrate	Cuprate Ligand R	Cuprate ^a	Products (E:Z) ^b % Yield ^c	
		o sch ₃ sch ₃			с к к к к к к к к к к к к к	
	28		a Me	В	(97:3) 88	
	29		<u>в п</u> -ви	В	(96:4) 47	
		e ci			ا ر المراجع المر المراجع المراجع م المراجع المراجع م المراجع	
	30		Me	A	> (95:5) ^e 84	
	11	SCH, SCH,			р н sch,	
	51		G , 46	5	00	
	сн₃о-	o sch, sch,			CH,0 CH, CH, CH,0 CH,0 + S.M	
	32		a Me	A f	13 ^d 61 8	
	33		b <u>n</u> -Ви	D	18 - 67	
	34		c <u>tert</u> -Bu	D ^f	24 ^d 15 46	
	сн,о-	o sch, sch, øs		Ð		
	36 37		a Me b <u>n</u> -Bu	B	(24:/6) 86 11 - <(5:95) ^e 84 - 13	

^a The following organocopper reagents were employed: $A = R_2 CuLi$, B = (PhSCuR)Li, $C = (MeOCMe_2C=CCuR)Li$, D = RCu. The reactions were run in THF unless otherwise noted. ^b E:Z isomer ratios were determined by NMR and GLC peak areas unless otherwise noted. ^c Yields are based upon isolated products purified by medium-pressure liquid chromatography (MPLC) on silica gel. ^d Only the E isomer was isolated. ^e E:Z isomer ratio was determined by NMR integration only. ^f Et₂O was used as solvent.

Table II.	Acid-Catalyzed	Isomerization	of i	E and	Ζ	Vinylogous	Thiol
Esters							

entry	substrate ^a	E:Z ratio at equil ^b	
1	14 E	42:58	
2	Ζ	43:57	
3	15a E	84:16	
4	Ζ	85:15	
5	17a E	60:40	
6	Ζ	64:36	
7	20a E	73:27	
8	Ζ	73:27	
9	20c E	82:18	
10	Ζ	81:19	
11	22c E	81:19	
	Ζ	81:19	
12	24a <i>E</i>	55:45	
	Ζ	56:44	

^aSubstrate was treated with a trace of HCl in CDCl₃. ^bRatios were determined by NMR integration at 90 or 300 MHz.

contains an α -phenylthic substituent underwent clean reaction with lithium dialkylcuprates to afford the substitution products **29** in a stereoselective fashion.

Assignment of Double-Bond Configuration

The geometry about the carbon-carbon double bond was rigorously established by an X-ray diffraction study for (E)-15d which was obtained pure by fractional crystallization. The OR-TEP drawing²¹ is provided in Figure 1 and the torsion angles in the ring, bond angles and bond distances are listed in Table IV. Table V provides the fractional crystal coordinates. There is a high degree of strain in the molecule, with a torsion angle about the C2-C6 double bond of 15.9°. The bulky *tert*-butyl group has a close, nonbonded contact from C10 to the oxygen of 3.04 Å. The five-membered ring is also highly strained, with an approximate C_2 axis through the carbonyl group.

⁽²¹⁾ Johnson, C. K. "ORTEPII", Oak Ridge National Laboratory Technical Report ORNL-5138, Oak Ridge, Tenn.

Table III. Product Distributions as a Function of Solvent and Cuprate in the Reactions of α -Oxoketene Dithioacetal 31 with Organocopper Reagents

			products (E:Z), % yield"					
entry	cuprate	solvent	$(32E + 32Z)^b$	33	34	31	total yield	ref ^c
1	(CH ₃) ₂ CuLi	THF	(74:26) 73	21		5	99	
2		Et_2O	(76:24) 62	33		1	96	
3		PhH	(59:41) 34	54		7	95	
4		PhCH ₃	(65:35) 12	65		4	81	
5	(PhSCuCH ₃)Li	THF	(67:33) 82	4		5	91	14
6	Ph ₃ P·(CH ₃) ₂ CuLi	THF	(80:20) 75	10		5	90	18a
7	n-Bu ₃ P·(CH ₃) ₂ CuLi	THF	(69:31) 61	6	1	18	86	18a
8		Et ₂ O	(68:32) 41	29	4	23	97	
9		PhCH,	(73:27) 40	19	5	31	95	
10	(CH ₃ OCMe ₂ C≡CCuCH ₃)Li	THF	(76:24) 86	6		7	99	15
11		Et ₂ O	(71:29) 68	11	14	3	96	
12	$[(C_6H_{11})_2PCuCH_3]Li$	THF	(70:30) 41	39		10	84	18b
13		Et ₂ O	(65:35) 28	65		1	93	
14		PhCH ₃	(45:55) 22	66			88	
15	[(CH ₃) ₂ CuSCN]Li ₂	THF	(59:41) 46	37	8	19	91	18c
16		Et_2O	(71:29) 51	19		19	89	
17		PhCH ₃	(73:27) 67	14		18	99	
18	$[(CH_3)_2CuCN]Li_2$	THF	(79:29) 34	51		4	89	16
19		Et ₂ O	(30:70) 27	65			91	
20		$PhCH_3$	(56:44) 9	75			91	
21	(CH ₃ CuCN)Li	THF				95		18f
22		Et ₂ O				98		

^a Yields are based upon isolated products purified by TLC on silica gel. ^b Isomer ratios were determined from the NMR spectra of the crude reaction mixture and the purified mixture of (E)-32 and (Z)-32. ^c Literature reference for preparation of the cuprate.



Figure 1. ORTEP drawing of vinylogous thiol ester (E)-15d.²¹

A consistent set of empirical patterns was obtained from UV and NMR spectral data that permits assignment of olefin geometry. Separation and purification of the E and Z stereoisomers of 17 vinylogous thiol esters revealed that the E isomers exhibit a more intense absorption in the UV spectrum at shorter wavelengths then the corresponding Z isomers (Table VI). This pattern had been previously reported for several vinylogous thiol esters^{17a,b} and it was suggested^{17a} that the UV data were indicative of an interaction between the carbonyl group and the sulfur atom in the Z isomer. In this regard, it is interesting to note that (E)-22c $(\lambda_{max} 297, 290, 288)$ and (Z)-22c $(\lambda_{max} 308, 302, 300)$ display virtually identical shifts to shorter wavelengths along the solvent series ethanol, ether, and hexane, respectively. In addition, the β -methyl or β -methylene protons syn to the ketone carbonyl in the E isomers display NMR absorptions downfield relative to the corresponding absorptions for the Z isomers (Table VI). This pattern is also in accord with a well-established trend for the β protons or β -methyl substituents of α,β -unsaturated esters and ketones.²² The E isomers of 15c, 15e, 20c, and 22c exhibit

Table IV. Bond Distances, Bond Angles, and Torsion Angles for (E)-15d Determined by X-ray Diffraction^{*a*}

bond type	distance, Å	bond type	angle, deg	bond	torsion angle, deg
S-C6	1.763	C6-S-C11	105.42	C1-C2	+13.6
S-C11	1.801	O-C1-C2	127.33	C2-C3	-31.4
0-C1	1.219	0-C1-C5	123.56	C3-C4	+37.4
C1-C2	1.481	C2-C1-C5	108.87	C4-C5	-29.0
C1-C5	1.507	C1-C2-C3	104.86	C1-C5	+9.5
C2-C3	1.524	C1-C2-C6	130.02	C2-C6	+15.9
C2C6	1.358	C3-C2-C6	125.09		
C3-C4	1.513	C2-C3-C4	103.58		
C4-C5	1.510	C3-C4-C5	104.14		
C6-C7	1.546	C1-C5-C4	104.88		
C7–C8	1.545	S-C6-C2	119.41		
C7-C9	1.532	S-C6-C7	113.89		
C7-C10	1.522	C2-C6-C7	126.70		
		C6-C7-C8	110.55		
		C6-C7-C9	108.87		
		C6-C7-C10	112.03		
		C8-C7-C9	107.55		
		C8-C7-C10	106.32		
		C9C7C10	111.41		

 a The estimated standard deviation in bond lengths is 0.001 to 0.002 Å, and in bond angles it is 0.02 to 0.04 deg.

Table V. Fractional Crystal Coordinates for Compound (E)-15d^a

atom	x	y	z	
S	0.79971	0.19633	0.73528	
0	0.85824	0.00635	1.17260	
C1	0.95406	0.05392	1.18344	
C2	0.92992	0.11305	1.04799	
C3	1.10397	0.14150	1.08587	
C4	1.19360	0.12657	1.30002	
C5	1.12733	0.05710	1.33153	
Č6	0.78790	0.13985	0.91938	
Č7	0.60869	0.12643	0.91461	
Č8	0.49392	0.18737	0.81697	
Č9	0.54094	0.06190	0.79159	
C10	0.60020	0.11950	1.11876	
C11	0.94952	0.15823	0.64297	

^aThe estimated standard deviation in the coordinates is between 0.00001 and 0.00003.

 γ -methine absorptions downfield relative to those of the Z isomers while the γ -methyl substituent in the E isomers of **15c**, **20c**, and

Table VI. UV and NMR Spectral Data for Assignment of Olefin Configuration in β -Alkylthio- α , β -enones

	UV (MeOH).	 NMR, δ					
enone	$\lambda_{\rm max}~(\epsilon \times 10^4)$	α -(=CH)	β-CH ₃	β -CH ₂	γ -H	γ -CH ₃	
14 E	296 (1.40) ^a	5.58		2.70			
Ζ	303 (1.20) ^a	6.30		2.08			
15a E	311 (2.40)		2.56				
Z	322 (1.60)		2.11				
15b E	312 (1.85)			2.95			
Z	322 (1.35)			2.69			
15c E	313 (1.25) ^a				4.17	1.09	
Z	321 (0.65) ^a				2.76	1.17	
15e E	317 (1.13)				$\simeq 2.66$		
Z	$320 (0.65)^a$				$\simeq 1.65$		
17a E	307 (1.80)		2.40				
Z	320 (1.20)		2.14				
17b E	307 (1.40)						
Z	$318 (0.79)^a$						
18b E	331 (0.90)			2.83			
Z	339 (0.67)			$\simeq 2.50$			
19 E	321 (1.50)		2.36				
Z	332 (1.25)		2.14				
20a E	294 (0.80)	5.89	2.37				
Z	307 (0.60)	6.30	2.22				
20b E	294 (0.60)	5.82		2.80			
Z	304 (0.46)	6.26		2.40			
20c E	299 (1.60)	5.74			4.09	1.07	
Z	309 (0.99)	6.24			3.65	1.17	
22a E	294 (1.80)	5.91	2.40				
	308 (0.67)	6.37	2.25				
22b E	295 (1.09)	5.87		2.73			
Z	303 (0.78)	6.31		$\simeq 2.49$			
22c E	297 (1.60)	5.80			4.12	1.14	
Z	308 (0.65)	6.27	• • •		$\simeq 2.66$	1.19	
24a E	296 (0.85)		2.10				
Z	$310(0.24)^{a}$		2.07				
24b E	296 (0.57)			2.55			
Z	304 (0.54)			2.40			

"Measured in 95% ethanol.

22c appears upfield relative to the γ -methyl absorption in the Z isomers. Similarly, the E stereoisomers containing a tert-butyl group syn to the ketone carbonyl generally exhibit absorptions in the NMR upfield relative to the tert-butyl absorption of the Z isomer. The difference in chemical shift between the γ -methyls of a β sec- or tert-butyl group of E and Z vinylogous thiol esters is much smaller than that found for the β protons or methyl groups in similar substrates. The tert-butyl groups in vinylogous thiol esters (E)-15d and (Z)-15d have virtually the same chemical shift. Finally, the E trisubstituted enones²³ 20a-c and 22a-c exhibit an upfield absorption for the α -olefinic proton relative to the olefinic absorption of the Z isomer.^{17a,b} This undoubtedly reflects shielding by the spacially proximate sulfur heteroatom, and a similar trend is observed for the allylic methylene group in the E and Z isomers of 15d. The downfield absorptions of the vinyl proton in the spectra of 20d and 22d (δ 6.40 and 6.44, respectively) is consistent with the Z configuration. This was confirmed by difference NOE experiments in which irradiation of the t-Bu group affords an NOE enhancement in the vinyl absorptions of 20d and 22d. These patterns are consistent throughout all the pairs of E and Z stereoisomers examined and should provide a reliable guide for the assignment of olefin geometry in vinylogous thiol esters. There

is, however, a substantial variation in the absolute values of the absorption maxima, extinction coefficient, and chemical shift for individual substrates so that assignment of geometry in the absence of a mixture or pair of isomers is difficult.²⁴

Vinylogous thiol ester 17d was isolated as a single stereoisomer, and the olefin was assigned the E configuration by analogy with (E)-15d. In an effort to confirm this assignment 17d was treated with LiAlH₄, DIBAL, or methyllithium to afford the corresponding allylic alcohols. Reduction of 17d gave two allylic alcohols²⁵ while addition of methyllithium afforded a single allylic alcohol. The ¹H NMR spectra of the allylic alcohols were recorded as benzene solutions containing 0-0.5 equiv of the Eu(fod)₃ chemical shift reagent, and plots of log $\Delta \delta$ vs. log r afford a scatter of points for the structures containing a Z olefin and lines of negative slope for structures containing the E olefin consistent with the original assignment.²⁶ It was also observed that addition of alkyllithium reagents to α -oxoketene dithioacetal 2, in which the two methylthio substituents exhibit the same chemical shift (CDCl₃, δ 2.36), afforded α -hydroxyketene dithioacetals in which the methylthio substituent presumably syn to the hydroxyl group is shifted upfield (the methylthic absorptions for the α -hydroxyketene dithioacetals were δ 2.33 and 2.23 for the methyllithium addition product and δ 2.36 and 2.28 for the allyllithium addition product). The methylthio substituent in 17d and the reduction and methyllithium addition products derived from it display similar chemical shifts (CDCl₃, δ 2.17, 2.19, and 2.19, respectively) suggesting the E stereoisomer.

Discussion

The actual mechanism involved in the conjugate addition of organocuprates to α,β -unsaturated carbonyl compounds has not yet been fully elucidated despite intensive investigations over the past decade. Nucleophilic addition²⁷ of the organocuprate to the β -carbon atom of the enone has been suggested as an alternative to the original proposal of House involving electron transfer.²⁸ Both views postulate a copper(III) species that has never been detected spectroscopically and which is presumed to undergo very rapid reductive elimination to afford an enolate anion. Alternatively, the copper(III) intermediate can be avoided by involving participation of both copper atoms of the cuprate dimer.²⁹ Direct addition of the cuprate across the carbon-carbon double bond to afford an α -cuprio ketone has also been proposed.³⁰ Investigations by House have provided evidence for the formation of intermediate lithium enolate anions at 0 °C or above although it could not be determined whether the conjugate adduct insoluble at low temperatures (-44 to -78 °C) was a lithium or copper enolate.³¹ The nature of the enolate anion generated by organocopper conjugate addition remains a point of controversy.³² Regardless of the actual

(28) House, H. O. Acc. Chem. Res. 1976, 9, 59.

⁽²²⁾ A methyl substituent cis to the carbonyl functionality in β -methyl α,β -unsaturated carbonyl compounds resonates downfield in the NMR spectrum relative to the trans methyl substituent. See: (a) Jackman, L. M.; Sternhell, S. "Applications of Nuclear Magnetic Resonance Spectroscopy in Organic Chemistry", 2nd ed.; Pergamon Press: London, 1969; pp 222-224, and references cited therein.

⁽²³⁾ Although (Z)-4-methylthio-3-penten-2-one has been reported [Duus, F.; Anthonsen, J. W. Acta Chem. Scand., Ser. B 1977, 31, 40. Fabian, J. Tetrahedron 1973, 29, 2449], the mode of preparation and the UV and NMR spectra data appear to be more consistent with the E isomer: UV max (C_6H_{12} 286; NMR (60 MHz, CCl₄) δ 5.73 olefinic proton). Comparison of the vinyl proton absorption with those reported in Table VI and ref 17a,b suggests that the E isomer was actually obtained.

⁽²⁴⁾ The initial assignment of the Z configuration to the major stereoisomer of **24a** was tentatively determined from the UV spectrum of the single isomer and subsequently shown to be incorrect. See ref 3.

⁽²⁵⁾ The conformational mobility of cyclohexane derivatives would suggest that the two allylic alcohols are the E and Z double-bond geometrical isomers, although the difference in chemical shift between the methylthio substituents (CDCl₃, δ 2.19 and 2.16) is smaller than expected. Presumably, isomerization of the olefin could occur under the reaction conditions or during the work-up procedure since the addition of methyllithium to 17d alforded a single product. (26) Kime, K. A.; Sievers, R. E. Aldrichimica Acta 1977, 10, 54, and references cited therein.

^{(27) (}a) Whitesides, G. M.; Kendall, P. E. J. Org. Chem. 1972, 37, 3718.
(b) Casey, C. P.; Cesa, M. C. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1979, 101, 4236. (c) Krauss, S. R.; Smith, S. G. Ibid. 1981, 103, 141. (d) Frejaville, C.; Jullien, R.; Stahl-Lariviere, H.; Wanat, M.; Zann, D. Tetrahedron 1982, 38, 2671.

^{(29) (}a) Alexakis, A.: Chuit, C.; Commercon-Bourgain, M.; Foulon, J. P.;
Jabri, N.; Mangeney, P.; Normant, J. F. Pure Appl. Chem. 1984, 56, 91. (b)
Pearson, R. G.; Gregory, C. D. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1976, 98, 4098. (c)
Cabaret, D.; Welvart, Z. J. Organomet. Chem. 1979, 177, 75.

⁽³⁰⁾ Berlan, J.; Battioni, J.-P.; Koosha, K. Bull. Soc. Chim. Fr. 1979, 183.
Four, P.; Riviere, H.; Tang, P. W. Tetrahedron Lett. 1977, 3879.
(31) (a) House, H. O.; Wilkins, J. M. J. Org. Chem. 1978, 43, 2443. (b)

^{(31) (}a) House, H. O.; Wilkins, J. M. J. Org. Chem. 1978, 43, 2443. (b)
House, H. O.; Wilkins, J. M. Ibid. 1976, 41, 4031.
(32) Posner, G. H. "An Introduction to Synthesis Using Organocopper

⁽³²⁾ Posner, G. H. "An Introduction to Synthesis Using Organocopper Reagents"; Wiley: New York, 1980; Chapter 2, pp 42–47, and references cited therein.

Scheme I



mechanistic pathway, formation of an intermediate enolate anion is established by trapping with a wide range of electrophiles.³² Although a direct substitution reaction cannot be ruled out,³³ the addition-elimination pathway originally proposed by Casey^{5b} provides a rational model that is consistent with the present results. A similar mechanism has been invoked for the reaction of 1halogeno-2-phenylsulfonylethylenes with lithium dimethylcuprate.^{33b} α -Oxoketene dithioacetal 7, lactone 9, and ester 10 undergo the substitution reaction with a general trend toward diminished yields as expected for a conjugate addition process involving these less electrophilic or less easily reduced α,β -unsaturated carbonyl compounds. Introduction of an α -phenylthio substituent into 10 (e.g., 11) increases the electrophilicity of the enoate and the efficiency of the substitution reaction. For the lactone 9 and ester 10, reduction of the C-S bond becomes the predominant reaction pathway, although reaction of lithium tert-butyl(phenylthio)cuprate with 10 in Et₂O did afford the substitution product in 90% yield.

The initial organocopper conjugate addition event would lead after reductive elimination to an enolate anion containing two good leaving groups at the γ -carbon atom (β -carbon of the original enone). In order for facile elimination to occur, there must be a rotation about the γ -carbon atom of the enolate such that the carbon-sulfur bond of the leaving group achieves a trans periplanar arrangement with the enolate π cloud (Scheme I). This can be achieved by either a 60 or 120° rotation, and the concept of minimum motion in the transition state would favor a 60° motion. There are, however, two good leaving groups at the γ -carbon atom which can rotate 60° in opposite directions, thereby decreasing the likelihood of a stereoselective process. Rotation of 60° in a clockwise direction would require motion of an alkylthio substituent past the enolate oxygen atom and its attendant solvent shell. This would be expected to be disfavored as a result of either steric or dipolar interactions. Indeed, α -oxoketene dithioacetals 13a and 13b containing two different alkylthio substituents undergo a stereospecific substitution reaction with loss of the alkylthio substituent syn to the ketone carbonyl consistent with motion of the syn alkylthio substituent away from the enolate oxygen atom in a counterclockwise direction. The reaction displays a high degree of stereoselectivity for organocuprates containing primary, secondary, and tertiary alkyl ligands and for cyclic and acyclic α -oxoketene dithioacetals. Although the organocopper substitution reactions were carried out in THF or ether, the isomerization studies (Table II) would seem to indicate that the isomer distributions in these reactions generally reflect kinetic control. The formation of the Z isomers from the reaction of 5 and 6 with the t-Bu cuprate may arise from isomerization events or reflect the larger A value (4.4) of the t-Bu group in the rotation process.³⁴ Reaction of the cyclic substrates 1 and 2 with a t-Bu cuprate would be expected to afford the E isomers of 15d and 17d predominantly during either the initial elimination sequence or during subsequent isomerization events as a result of $A^{\bar{1},3}$ strain³⁵ present in the Z isomer. The variation in stereoselectivity for reaction of a given substrate with various cuprates, the stereoselective isomerization of several vinylogous thiol esters under the reaction conditions, and the configurational instability of several vinylogous thiol esters suggest that partial isomerization of products under the reaction conditions or during workup and isolation may account for those reactions displaying moderate stereoselectivity. The isomerization of (E)-15a to (Z)-15a and (E)-22c to (Z)-22c is intriguing as the apparently less stable isomer is formed stereoselectively. Complexation of the sulfur substituent with the organocuprate^{33b,36} prior to formation of the carbon-copper (III) bond and collapse of an intermediate copper complex may account for these results.³⁷ Finally, this substitution reaction exhibits modest to high stereoselectivity depending upon the specific organocuprate reagent and solvent utilized (Table III). The range of isomer ratios obtained, however, reflects relatively small differences in transition state and solvation energies.

The chemoselectivity of the reaction is dependent upon a delicate balance between α -oxoketene dithioacetal, vinylogous thiol ester, and organocuprate reactivity. This is most clearly seen in the study of product distributions as a function of organocuprate and solvent (Table III). Reaction of 1.18-1.20 equiv of lithium dimethylcuprate with 31 afforded substantial quantities of bis-substitution product 33 which increases along the solvent series THF < Et₂O < PhH \sim PhCH₃. The composite yields of substitution products

^{(33) (}a) Collman, J. P.; Hegedus, L. S. "Principles and Applications of Organotransition Metal Chemistry"; University Science Books: Mill Valley, Calif., 1980; Chapter 11, p 553. (b) Maffeo, C. V.; Marchese, G.; Neso, F.; Barchese, G.; Neso, F.; Ronzini, L. J. Chem. Soc., Perkin Trans. 1, 1979, 92.

⁽³⁴⁾ Eliel, E. L. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. Engl. 1965, 4, 761.
(35) Johnson, F. Chem. Rev. 1968, 68, 375.

⁽³⁶⁾ Kalli, M.; Landor, P. D.; Landor, S. R. J. Chem. Soc., Perkin Trans. 1 1973, 1347.

⁽³⁷⁾ Evidence for the initial formation of an olefin-copper(I) π complex in these conjugate addition reactions has recently been obtained by a ¹³C See: Hallnemo, G.; Olsson, T.; Ullenius, C. J. Organomet. NMR study. Chem. 1984, 265, C22. A referee's suggestion that this isomerization occurs by removal of the γ proton by a base in the reaction mixture seems unlikely since organocuprates undergo conjugate addition reactions to reasonably strong carbon acids such as Hagemann's ester (see: Kametani, T.; Nemoto, H. Tetrahedron Lett. 1979, 3309). Although the presence of an equilibrium concentration of free tert-butyllithium cannot be ruled out (see ref 38), a mechanism involving base-promoted isomerization must also account for the selective formation of the Z isomer.

Organocuprates with Dithioacetals

in these reactions require 1.14, 1.28, 1.40, and 1.41 equiv of organocopper reagents indicating that 0-20% of the products are arising from either CH₃Cu or a heterocuprate [(CH₃SCuCH₃)Li] formed in the reaction mixture from CH₃Cu and LiSCH₃. Good coordinating solvents such as THF and DME slow down organocopper conjugate addition reactions,^{31a} and this would account for the observed solvent effect. As the coordinating ability of the solvent decreases, the organocopper reagent becomes more reactive and less selective toward the α -oxoketene dithioacetal and product vinylogous thiol ester. Although (PhSCuCH₃)Li was ineffective in diethyl ether and toluene owing to limited solubility, the mixed organocuprate (CH₃SCuCH₃)Li, presumably generated in situ, appears to be influenced by these solvents in the same manner since the excess substitution products increase from 0 to 20% along the solvent series. Chemoselectivity can also be achieved by modulating the reactivity of the organocuprate by utilization of cuprates containing nontransferable [e.g., (PhSCuCH₃)Li and (MeOCMe₂C=CCuCH₃)Li] or coordinating [e.g., Ph₃P· (CH₃)₂CuLi and n-Bu₃P·(CH₃)₂CuLi] ligands. The higher order cuprates [(CH₃)₂CuSCN]Li₂ and [(CH₃)₂CuCN]Li₂ also afford substantial quantities of bis-substitution product 33 which increase along the solvent series $THF < Et_2O < PhCH_3$ for the latter and decreases for the former. The structure of these higher order cuprates is not known and the influence of solvent upon the extent of aggregation may have some bearing on the product distributions.38

In summary, α -oxoketene dithioacetals undergo a chemo- and stereoselective substitution reaction with organocuprates to afford vinylogous thiol esters. The reaction proceeds well with organocopper reagents containing primary, secondary, and tertiary alkyl ligands and with cyclic and acyclic α -oxoketene dithioacetals. The reaction generally affords the E vinylogous thiol ester with generally high stereoselectivity (i.e., >90:10), and instances of low stereoselectivity appear to be related to configurational instability of the products.

Experimental Section

Proton NMR spectra were recorded as CDCl₃ solutions, unless otherwise noted, on either a Varion EM-360L or JEOL-FX90Q instrument. Chemical shifts are reported as values in parts per million relative to tetramethylsilane as internal standard. Unless otherwise noted, the carbon NMR (¹³C NMR) chemical shifts are in parts per million downfield from tetramethylsilane and are referenced with respect to internal CDCl₃. Infrared spectra were recorded on a Perkin-Elmer 710B grating spectrophotometer as CCl₄ solutions unless otherwise noted. Melting points were determined on a Thomas-Hoover melting-point apparatus and are uncorrected. Elemental analyses were determined by Atlantic Microlab Inc., Atlanta, GA.

CuI was freshly purified by dissolving an appropriate quantity of CuI in boiling saturated NaI(aq) over a period of 30 min. Pure CuI was produced by cooling and diluting the solution with H₂O followed by filtration and washing sequentially with H2O, EtOH, EtOAc, ether, and pentane and drying in vacuo for 24 h.³⁹ CuBr was purified according to a procedure employed for CuCl that was slightly modified.⁴⁰ Commercial CuBr (Alfa) was dissolved in 48% HBr, precipitated by the addition of water, and filtered. The precipitate was washed sequentially with H₂O, EtOH, and Et₂O and then dried in vacuo. CuSCN was prepared according to an established procedure.⁴¹ CuCN was obtained from Matheson Coleman and Bell, and $(C_6H_{11})_2PH$ was obtained from Aldrich. These reagents were used without further purification. The concentration of alkyllithium reagents in commercial or prepared solutions was determined by titration of diphenylacetic acid to the yellow end point.⁴² (4-Methylpent-3-en-1-yl)lithium was prepared according to an established procedure.43 Thiophenol was distilled and stored over 3-Å molecular sieves. Tetrahydrofuran and Et₂O were distilled from sodium/benzophenone prior to use.

General Procedure A: Substitution Using Lithium Alkyl(phenylthio)cuprates, (RCuSPh)Li. n-Butyllithium (0.53 mL, 2.40 M, 1.25 mmol) was added dropwise to a 0 °C solution of thiophenol (0.13 mL, 1.27 mmol) and 7 mL of tetrahydrofuran (THF) in a three-neck flask equipped with a N_2 inlet, solid addition funnel, and septum; the solution was stirred for 10 min. Copper iodide (243 mg, 1.27 mmol) was added via the solid addition funnel and the solution was stirred for 15 min. The resulting clear yellow solution was cooled to -78 °C and the appropriate alkyllithium (1.27 mmol) was added by syringe. The solution slowly changed to a clear (R = Me) or a light brown, opaque (R = n-Bu), sec-Bu) solution over a 1-h period. A -78 °C solution of ketene dithioacetal (1.00 mmol) in 2 mL of THF was added via a double-tipped needle into the -78 °C alkyl(phenylthio)cuprate solution. The reaction was monitored by TLC until starting material was consumed and then quenched at -78 °C with 2 mL of methanol and warmed to room temperature. The reaction mixture was then poured into a mixture of 50 mL of ether (Et₂O) and NH₄Cl (satd) and stirred for 15 min. The copper salts were filtered off, the filtrate was extracted with 2×25 mL of Et₂O, washed with brine, dried over MgSO4, and concentrated in vacuo to afford the crude vinylogous thiol ester, which was purified by mediumpressure liquid chromatography (MPLC) (petroleum ether/2-20% ethyl acetate, v/v)

(E)-5,5-Dimethyl-2-(1-ethylthio-1-methylthiomethylene)cyclopentanone (13a). 2-Formylcyclopentanone⁴⁴ was converted to (E)-1-(ethylthiomethylene)cyclopentanone (i) according to the procedure of Ireland and Marshall.⁴⁵ Treatment of 2-formylcyclopentanone with 1.1 equiv of p-TsCl in pyridine at 0 °C for 0.5 h followed by the addition of 3.0 equiv of ethanethiol afforded i in 32% yield after purification by MPLC ($R_f 0.29$, petroleum ether/5% ethyl acetate, v/v): IR (CHCl₃) 3020 (w), 2970 (s), 2930 (m), 1695 (s), 1585 (vs), 1455 (m), 1440 (m), 1410 (m), 1290 (s), 1265 (s), 1240 (s), 1195 (s) cm⁻¹; NMR (90 MHz) δ 1.37 (t, J = 7.3 Hz, 3 H), 1.65–2.08 (m, 2 H), 2.12–2.70 (m, 4 H), 2.88 (q, J = 7.3 Hz, 2 H), 7.37 (t, J = 2.3 Hz, 1 H); ¹³C NMR δ 202.1, 136.0, 132.2, 37.7, 28.0, 27.5, 18.9, 15.2.

n-Butyllithium (22.0 mL, 2.3 M, 50.6 mmol) was added dropwise to a solution of 2,6-di-tert-butyl-4-methylphenol (11.20 g, 51 mmol) in 300 mL of THF cooled to 0 °C. The solution was stirred at 0 °C for 15 min, and then a solution of (E)-1-(ethylthiomethylene)cyclopentanone (2.65 g, 17 mmol) in 10 mL of THF was added via cannula; the solution was stirred for an additional 15 min. Methyl iodide (3.40 mL, 55 mmol) was syringed into the red solution maintained at 0 °C and the reaction mixture was allowed to warm to room temperature and stirred overnight. The reaction mixture was diluted with saturated aqueous NH₄Cl and extracted with 2×50 mL of Et₂O. The Et₂O extracts were washed with brine and dried over MgSO₄. Purification by MPLC (R_f 0.43, petroleum ether/5% ethyl acetate, v/v) gave 563 mg (18%) of 12a: IR (CHCl₃) 3020 (w), 2990 (m), 2960 (s), 2920 (m), 1690 (s), 1580 (vs), 1440 (m), 1380 (m), 1300 (m), 1280 (m), 1265 (s), 1120 (s) cm⁻¹; NMR (90 MHz) δ 1.06 (s, 6 H), 1.17 (t, J = 7.3 Hz, 3 H), 1.78 (t, J = 7.4 Hz, 2 H), 2.35 (dt, J = 7.4 Hz, J = 2.6 Hz, 2 H), 2.84 (q, J = 7.3 Hz, 2 H), 7.46 (t, J)J = 2.6 Hz, 1 H); ¹³C NMR δ 206.6, 137.8, 131.8, 45.4, 35.0, 28.2, 24.2, 23.7 (2 C), 15.5.

n-Butyllithium (0.26 mL, 2.3 M, 0.6 mmol) was added to a solution of diisopropylamine (90 µL, 0.61 mmol) in 4 mL of THF cooled to 0 °C. After 15 min the solution was cooled to -78 °C and hexamethylphosphoramide (0.12 mL, 0.61 mmol) was added and stirring was continued for 30 min. A solution of 12a (55 mg, 0.30 mmol) in 1 mL of THF was added and the solution was stirred at -78 °C for 45 min whereupon methylthio methylsulfonate (110 mg, 0.90 mmol) was added neat to the orange solution. The reaction mixture was slowly warmed to -30 °C over a 1-h period, diluted with saturated aqueous NaHCO₃, and extracted with 2×30 mL of petroleum ether. The organic phase was washed with saturated NaHCO₃, dried over MgSO₄, and concentrated in vacuo to afford 67 mg of a crude oil which by NMR consisted of 12% 12a and 87% 13a (R_f 0.46, petroleum ether/5% ethyl acetate, v/v). Attempted purification resulted in isomerization of 13a so the crude mixture was used in the substitution reaction. The NMR absorptions of 13a were readily apparent in the spectra of the crude material: NMR (90 MHz) δ 1.08 (s, 6 H), 1.30 (t, J = 7.2 Hz, 3 H), 1.75 (t, J = 7.4 Hz, 2 H), 2.46 (s, 3 H), 2.75 (t, J = 7.4 Hz, 2 H), 2.92 (q, J = 7.2 Hz, 2 H); ¹³C NMR δ 206.0, 150.2, 134.5, 47.4, 35.1, 29.3, 24.3, 24.1 (2 C), 18.4, 17.5; mass spectrum m/e 230.0834 (M⁺) (calcd for C11H18OS2: 230.0799)

(Z)-5,5-Dimethyl-2-(1-ethylthio-1-methylthiomethylene)cyclopentanone (13b). 2-Formylcyclopentanone⁴⁴ was converted into 13b in three steps by procedures analogous to those described for the synthesis of 13a.

⁽³⁸⁾ Lipshutz, B.; Kozlowski, J. A.; Wilhelm, R. S. J. Org. Chem. 1984, 49, 3943.

⁽³⁹⁾ Kauffman, G. B.; Teter, L. A. Inorg. Synth. 1963, 7, 9.
(40) Österlof, J. Acta Chem. Scand. 1950, 4, 374.
(41) Demmerle, R. L.; Taebel, W. A.; Anderson, W. F. Ind. Eng. Chem. 1950, 42, 2

⁽⁴⁴⁾ Eaton, P. E.; Jobe, P. G. Synthesis 1983, 796.

⁽⁴⁵⁾ Ireland, R. E.; Marshall, J. A. J. Org. Chem. 1962, 27, 1620.

(*E*)-1-(Methylthiomethylene)cyclopentanone (R_f 0.25, petroleum ether/5% ethyl acetate, v/v) was obtained in 66% yield: mp 43-44 °C; IR (CHCl₃) 3010 (w), 2970 (m), 2930 (m), 1700 (s), 1585 (vs), 1435 (m), 1410 (m), 1285 (s), 1190 (s) cm⁻¹; NMR (90 MHz) δ 1.80-2.13 (m, 2 H), 2.20-2.62 (m, 4 H), 2.47 (s, 3 H), 7.31 (t, J = 2.6 Hz, 1 H); ¹³C NMR δ 202.1, 136.0, 132.1, 37.8, 27.6, 19.1, 17.0.

12b (R_f 0.36 petroleum ether/5% ethyl acetate, v/v) was obtained in 7% yield: IR (CHCl₃) 3025 (w), 3000 (m), 2960 (s), 2930 (s), 2870 (m), 1695 (s), 1585 (vs), 1460 (m), 1300 (m), 1285 (s), 1020 (s) cm⁻¹; NMR (90 MHz) δ 1.07 (s, 6 H), 1.78 (t, J = 7.2 Hz, 2 H), 2.33–2.52 (m, 2 H), 2.47 (s, 3 H), 7.36 (t, J = 2.6 Hz, 1 H); ¹³C NMR δ 206.0, 138.9, 131.5, 45.2, 35.0, 24.0, 23.7 (2 C), 17.0.

13b (R_f 0.46, petroleum ether/5% ethyl acetate, v/v) was obtained in 72% yield: IR (CHCl₃) 2960 (s), 2930 (s), 2860 (m), 1680 (s), 1530 (vs), 1455 (m), 1260 (m), 1230 (m), 1200 (m) cm⁻¹; NMR (90 MHz) δ 0.99 (s, 6 H), 1.25 (t, J = 7.6 Hz, 3 H), 1.75 (t, J = 7.4 Hz, 2 H), 2.47 (s, 3 H), 2.72 (t, J = 7.4 Hz, 2 H), 2.94 (q, J = 7.6 Hz, 2 H); ¹³C NMR δ 205.5, 149.5, 134.9, 47.1, 34.8, 29.0, 24.0 (2 C), 23.8, 17.3, 14.3.

(E)-5,5-Dimethyl-2-(1-ethylthio)ethylidenecyclopentanone (35a). Methyllithium (0.50 mL, 1.60 M, 0.80 mmol) was added dropwise to a suspension of CuI (72 mg, 0.40 mmol) in 5 mL THF at 0 °C and then stirred for 10 min. The clear cuprate solution was cooled to -78 °C and then a solution of 13a (69 mg crude) and 2 mL of THF was added via cannula to the -78 °C cuprate solution. The reaction mixture was stirred at -78 °C for 30 min and then quenched with methanol. The reaction mixture was worked up as in general procedure A and purified by column chromatography to yield 35a (R_f 0.50, petroleum ether/5% ethyl acetate, v/v) in 67% yield: IR (CHCl₃) 2970 (s), 2935 (m), 2870 (m), 1685 (s), 1575 (vs), 1455 (m), 1380 (m), 1370 (m) cm⁻¹; NMR (90 MHz) δ 1.04 (s, 6 H), 1.32 (t, J = 7.33 Hz, 3 H), 1.71 (t, J = 7.20 Hz, 2 H), 2.36-2.60 (m, 2 H), 2.56 (s, 3 H), 2.91 (q, J = 7.33 Hz, 2 H); ¹³C NMR δ 208.0, 151.5, 127.8, 47.1, 34.9, 26.6, 24.2 (2 C), 23.9, 15.9, 14.9; mass spectrum m/e 198.1073 (M⁺) (calcd for C₁₁H₁₈OS: 198.1078).

(*E*)-5,5-Dimethyl-2-(1-methylthioethylidene)cyclopentanone (35b). Reaction of 13b with 1.4 equiv of lithium dimethylcuprate as described above afforded 35b after purification by column chromatography (R_f 0.50, petroleum ether/5% ethyl acetate, v/v) in 45% yield: IR (CHCl₃) 2990 (m), 2970 (s), 2940 (s), 2830 (m), 1690 (s), 1580 (vs), 1460 (m), 1370 (m), 1260 (s) cm⁻¹; NMR (90 MHz) δ 1.05 (s, 6 H), 1.72 (t, J =7.2 Hz, 2 H), 2.07–2.60 (m, 2 H), 2.38 (s, 3 H), 2.56 (s, 3 H); ¹³C NMR δ 207.9, 151.9, 127.6, 47.2, 34.9, 26.6, 24.3 (2 C), 15.7, 13.5; mass spectrum m/e 184.0913 (M⁺) (calcd for C₁₀H₁₆OS: 184.0922).

2-[1-(Methylthio)ethylidene]cyclopentanone (15a). A light yellow solution of lithium methyl(phenylthio)cuprate (39 mmol) was generated in 300 mL of THF according to general procedure A. A solution of 5.59 g (29.7 mmol) of 1 in 20 mL of THF was added to the clear, cold (-78 °C) cuprate solution via cannula, stirred for 45 min at -78 °C, warmed to -40 °C over a 15-min period, and then quenched with 20 mL of methanol. The solution was warmed to room temperature and worked up as described above. Purification by column chromatography afforded the *E* isomer, (*E*)-**15a** (R_f 0.40, petroleum ether/5% ethyl acetate, v/v) in 78% yield: UV max (CH₃OH) 311 (ϵ 24 000); IR (CHCl₃) 2960 (s), 2920 (s), 2880 (s), 1680 (vs), 1580 (vs), 1410 (vs), 1360 (s), 1230 (vs), 1080 (m), 995 (m), 980 (m) cm⁻¹; NMR (90 MHz) δ 1.80 (p, *J* = 7.0 Hz, 2 H), 2.38 (s, 3 H), 2.56 (s, 3 H), 2.30-2.70 (m, 2 H); ¹³C NMR δ 204.0, 163.6, 128.3, 40.7, 30.5, 19.3, 15.6, 13.5.

Anal. Calcd for $C_8H_{12}OS$: C, 61.50; H, 7.74. Found: C, 61.41; H, 7.62.

Purification by column chromatography afforded the Z isomer, (Z)-15a (R_f 0.14, petroleum ether/5% ethyl acetate, v/v) in 3% yield: UV max (CH₃OH) 332 (ϵ 16 000); IR 2920 (m), 1690 (s), 1560 (s), 1430 (m), 1243 (m), 1142 (s), 905 (w) cm⁻¹; NMR (90 MHz) δ 1.60–2.10 (m, 2 H), 2.11 (t, J = 1.2 Hz, 3 H), 2.30 (s, 3 H), 2.31 (t, J = 7.3 Hz, 2 H), 2.63 (t, J = 6.5 Hz, 2 H); ¹³C NMR δ 204.5, 148.7, 128.5, 39.5, 30.4, 19.9, 19.7, 13.9.

2-[1-(Methylthio)pentylidene]cyclopentanone (15b). A light brown solution of lithium *n*-butyl(phenylthio)cuprate (14.8 mmol) was generated in 75 mL of THF according to procedure A. A solution of 2.0 g (10.6 mmol) of 1 in 20 mL of THF was added to the cold (-78 °C) cuprate solution via cannula. The reaction was stirred at -78 °C for 30 min, warmed to -60 °C over 30 min, quenched with 20 mL of methanol and then warmed to room temperature. The general work-up procedure was followed. Purification by column chromatography afforded the *E* isomer, (*E*)-**15b** (R_f 0.21, petroleum ether/2% ethyl acetate, v/v) in 78% yield: UV max (CH₃OH) 312 (ϵ 18 500); IR 2960 (s), 2921 (s), 2870 (m), 1672 (s), 1560 (s), 1425 (m), 1230 (s), 1200 (s) cm⁻¹; NMR (60 MHz, CCl₄) δ 0.87 (t, J = 7.0 Hz, 3 H), 1.03-1.53 (m, 4 H), 1.82 (p, J = 6.8 Hz, 2 H), 2.51 (t, J = 6.8 Hz, 2 H), 2.95 (t, J = 7.0 Hz, 2 H); ¹³C NMR δ 203.0, 155.7, 127.8,

40.5, 31.8, 30.5, 28.0, 22.4, 19.1, 13.7, 13.0.

Anal. Calcd for C₁₁H₁₈OS: **C**; 66.70; H, 9.18. Found: C, 66.55; H, 9.14.

Purification by column chromatography afforded the Z isomer, (Z)-15b ($R_f 0.03$, petroleum ether/2% ethyl acetate, v/v) in 2% yield: UV max (CH₃OH) 322 (ϵ 13 500); IR 2960 (s), 2920 (s), 2860 (m), 1680 (s), 1545 (s), 1454 (m), 1434 (m), 1239 (m), 1134 (s) cm⁻¹; NMR (90 MHz) δ 0.96 (t, J = 5.0 Hz, 3 H), 1.03–1.62 (m, 4 H), 1.96 (app q, J =7.0 Hz, 2 H), 2.34 (s, 3 H), 2.13–2.34 (m, 4 H), 2.69 (t, J = 7.0 Hz, 2 H); ¹³C NMR δ 205.0, 153.2, 128.4, 39.6, 32.7, 30.8, 30.2, 22.7, 20.2, 13.8 (2 C).

Purification also afforded **16** (R_f 0.04) in 9% yield: IR 2920 (s), 1700 (vs), 1590 (vs), 1430 (m), 1280 (s), 1190 (vs) cm⁻¹; NMR (90 MHz) δ 1.93 (app q, J = 7.0 Hz, 2 H), 2.18–2.60 (m, 4 H), 2.44 (s, 3 H), 7.33 (t, J = 3.0 Hz, 1 H); ¹³C NMR δ 195.4, 136.8, 131.2, 37.4, 27.7, 20.0, 18.0.

2-(2-Methyl-1-methylthiobutylidene)cyclopentanone (15c). A light brown solution of lithium sec-butyl(phenylthio)cuprate (4.8 mmol) was generated in 40 mL of THF according to general procedure A. A solution of 800 mg (4.25 mmol) of 1 in 6.0 mL of THF was added via cannula to the cold (-78 °C) cuprate solution. The solution was stirred at -78 °C for 40 min, quenched with 6.0 mL of methanol, warmed to room temperature, and worked up as described above. Purification by column chromatography afforded (E)-15c in 90% yield (R_f 0.20, petroleum ether/2% ethyl acetate, v/v): UV max (C₂H₅OH) 313 (ϵ 12 500); IR 3015 (m), 2975 (s), 2940 (m), 1700 (s), 1570 (m), 1460 (m), 1380 (w), 1220 (s), 1090 (w), 800 (m), 720 (m) cm⁻¹; NMR (90 MHz) δ 0.83 (t, J = 6.9 Hz, 3 H), 1.09 (d, J = 6.8 Hz, 3 H), 1.30-1.75 (m, 2 H), 1.90(p, J = 6.8 Hz, 2 H), 2.20-2.60 (m, 2 H), 2.30 (s, 3 H), 2.40 (t, J = 6.8 Hz)Hz, 2 H), 4.17 (sext, J = 6.8 Hz, 1 H); ¹³C NMR δ 204.8, 161.0, 135.5, 40.5, 37.1, 33.1, 28.1, 19.8, 18.9, 17.5, 12.0; mass spectrum m/e 198.1059 (M^+) (calcd for $C_{11}H_{18}OS$: 198.1078).

The Z isomer, (Z)-15c, was obtained in 2.8% yield (R_f 0.09, petroleum ether/2% ethyl acetate, v/v): UV max (C_2H_5OH) 321 (ϵ 6500); IR (CHCl₃) 3015 (s), 2980 (s), 2940 (s), 2880 (m), 1680 (s), 1560 (s), 1230 (m), 1180 (m), 1140 (m), 835 (m) cm⁻¹; NMR (90 MHz) δ 0.87 (t, J = 7.0 Hz, 3 H), 1.17 (d, J = 6.8 Hz, 3 H), 1.58 (q, J = 7.0 Hz, 2 H), 1.94 (app q, J = 6.8 Hz, 2 H), 2.34 (s, 3 H), 2.20–2.54 (m, 2 H), 2.58–2.83 (m, 1 H), 2.76 (t, J = 6.8 Hz, 2 H); ¹³C NMR δ 203.8, 158.0, 131.3, 42.0, 40.0, 31.2, 27.7, 19.8, 18.8, 18.2, 12.2.

(E)-2-[Methylthio(2,2-dimethyl)propylidene]cyclopentanone ((E)-(15d)). A beige solution of lithium *tert*-butyl(phenylthio)cuprate (5.70 mmol) in 20 mL of THF was generated according to procedure A. A solution of 536 mg (2.85 mmol) of 1 in 4.0 mL of THF was added via cannula to the cold (-78 °C) cuprate solution. The solution was stirred for 40 min at -60 °C, quenched with 5.0 mL of methanol, warmed to room temperature, and worked up as described in general procedure A. No purification was necessary on the crude product. The product crystallized upon standing to give a 96% yield of 15d (R_f 0.33, petroleum ether/10% methylene chloride, 10% diethyl ether, v/v): UV max (C-H₃OH) 320 (ϵ 8900); IR 2960 (s), 2920 (s), 2850 (m), 1690 (s), 1545 (vs), 1525 (s), 1170 (s), 1070 (m) cm⁻¹; NMR (90 MHz) δ 1.31 (s, 9 H), 1.88 (app q, J = 6.8 Hz, 2 H), 2.24 (s, 3 H), 2.30 (t, J = 6.8 Hz, 2 H), 2.68 (t, J = 6.8 Hz, 2 H); ¹²C NMR δ 205.5, 166.9, 137.5, 40.6, 39.5, 37.2 (2 C), 29.2 (3 C), 20.6

Anal. Calcd for $C_{11}H_{18}OS$: C, 66.61; H, 9.15. Found: C, 66.39; H, 9.17.

(E)-2-(1-Methylthio-1-cyclopropylmethylene)cyclopentanone (15e). sec-Butyllithium (1.70 M, 6.64 mL) was added in one portion via syringe to a cold (-78 °C) solution of cyclopropyl bromide (682 mg, 5.65 mmol) in 10 mL of THF. The resultant light yellow solution became clear upon warming to room temperature, whereupon it was added via cannula to a cold (-78 °C) solution of phenylthiocopper (5.65 mmol) and stirred for 30 min. A solution of 1 (530 mg, 2.82 mmol) in 3.0 mL of THF was added to the cuprate solution which was stirred at -60 °C for 45 min, quenched with 5.0 mL of methanol, and warmed to room temperature. The general work-up procedure was employed. Purification afforded a 64% yield of both (E)-15e and (Z)-15e. (E)-15e (R_f 0.40, petroleum ether/10% ethyl acetate, v/v): UV max (CH₃OH) 317 (ϵ 11 300); IR 2920 (m), 1690 (s), 1560 (s), 1410 (w), 1340 (w), 1230 (m), 1190 (m), 1170 (m), 1140 (m), 960 (w) cm⁻¹; NMR (90 MHz) δ 0.63-1.00 (m, 4 H), 1.89 (p, J = 6.8 Hz, 2 H), 2.22 (s, 3 H), 2.20-2.40 (m, 2 H), 2.66(t, J = 6.5 Hz, 2 H + CH, 1 H); ¹³C NMR δ 204.0, 156.0, 135.0, 40.7, 32.6, 19.8, 16.4, 14.0 (2 C), 8.8.

Anal. Calcd for $C_{10}H_{14}OS$: C, 65.91; H, 7.74. Found: C, 65.93; H, 7.76.

(Z)-15e (R_f 0.25, petroleum ether/10% ethyl acetate, v/v): UV max (C₂H₅OH) 320 (ϵ 6500); IR 3080 (w), 2960 (s), 2880 (m), 1685 (s), 1547 (s), 1435 (m), 1410 (m), 1345 (m), 1230 (s), 1210 (s), 1140 (s), 960 (m), 865 (m) cm⁻¹; NMR (90 MHz) δ 0.59–1.13 (m, 4 H),

1.41–2.09 (m, 3 H), 2.19–2.47 (m, 2 H), 2.41 (s, 3 H), 2.73 (t, J = 6.8 Hz, 2 H); ¹³C NMR δ 200.5, 152.7, 132.1, 39.3, 31.1, 20.3, 15.7, 15.2, 8.4.

2-(1-Methylthioethylidene)cyclohexanone (17a). General procedure A was employed, with the exception that 15.4 g (76.2 mmol) of **2** was reacted with 99 mmol of lithium methyl(phenylthio)cuprate in 600 mL of THF. Purification by column chromatography afforded (*E*)-**17a** (R_f 0.40, petroleum ether/5% ethyl acetate, v/v) in a 58% yield: UV max (CH₃OH) 307 (ϵ 18000); IR 2950 (s), 2870 (m), 1662 (s), 1535 (s), 1430 (m), 1280 (vs), 1140 (m), 960 (m) cm⁻¹; NMR (90 MHz) δ 1.53–1.73 (m, 4 H), 2.35 (s, 3 H), 2.40 (t, *J* = 1.6 Hz, 3 H), 2.40–2.80 (m, 4 H); ¹³C NMR δ 199.6, 149.6, 129.7, 41.6, 30.2, 23.6(2C), 18.5, 14.1.

Anal. Calcd for $C_9H_{14}OS$: C, 63.48; H, 8.29. Found: C, 63.36; H, 8.32.

Purification by column chromatography afforded (Z)-17a ($R_f 0.19$, petroleum ether/5% ethyl acetate, v/v) in 17% yield: UV max (CH₃OH) 320 (ϵ 12 000); IR 2925 (s), 2860 (m), 1635 (s), 1495 (s), 1430 (m), 1320 (m), 1285 (s), 1170 (m), 960 (w) cm⁻¹; NMR (90 MHz) δ 1.61–1.72 (m, 4 H), 2.14 (t, J = 1.0 Hz, 3 H), 2.29 (s, 3 H), 2.31–2.65 (m, 4 H); ¹³C NMR δ 198.4, 153.3, 127.1, 39.5, 29.7, 23.5, 22.4, 18.2, 15.8.

2-(1-Methylthiopentylidene)cyclohexanone (17b). General procedure A was employed, with the exception that 3.0 g (14.8 mmol) of **2** was treated with 19.2 mmol of lithium *n*-butyl(phenylthio)cuprate in 75 mL of THF. Purification by column chromatography afforded (*E*)-17b (R_f 0.40, petroleum ether/5% ethyl acetate, v/v) in 63% yield: UV max (CH₃OH) 308 (ϵ 14 000); IR 2900 (s), 2840 (m), 1645 (m), 1440 (w), 1420 (m), 1260 (s), 1130 (m), 1110 (m), 1095 (m), 960 (w), 900 (w) cm⁻¹; NMR (90 MHz) δ 0.94 (t, *J* = 6 Hz, 3 H), 1.21–1.48 (m, 4 H), 1.48–1.82 (m, 4 H), 2.32 (s, 3 H), 2.02–2.88 (m, 6 H); ¹³C NMR δ 199.2, 153.9, 129.4, 41.7, 31.8, 30.7, 30.3, 23.6, 22.6(2C), 13.7, 13.5. Anal. Calcd for C₁₂H₂₀OS: C, 67.87; H, 9.49. Found: C, 67.86; H, 9.54.

The Z isomer, (Z)-17b (R_f 0.14, petroleum ether/5% ethyl acetate, v/v), was also obtained in 7% yield after purification: UV max (CH₃O-H) 318 (ϵ 7900); IR 2920 (s), 2860 (m), 1638 (m), 1490 (m), 1430 (m), 1290 (m), 1270 (m), 1142 (m), 960 (w) cm⁻¹; NMR (90 MHz) δ 0.95 (t, J = 6.1 Hz, 3 H), 1.25–1.56 (m, 4 H), 1.56–1.88 (m, 4 H), 2.26 (s, 3 H), 2.20–2.65 (m, 6 H); ¹³C NMR δ 198.0, 156.0, 127.0, 40.0, 31.0, 30.5, 29.0, 24.0, 23.0, 22.9, 15.0, 14.0.

2-(2-Methyl-1-methylthiohutylidene)cyclohexanone (17c). General procedure A was employed, with the exception that 3.0 g (14.8 mmol) of **2** was treated with 19.2 mmol of lithium *sec*-butyl(phenylthio)cuprate in 75 mL of THF. Purification by column chromatography afforded (*E*)-17c (R_f 0.41, petroleum ether/5% ethyl acetate, v/v) in 62% yield: UV max (C_2H_5 OH) 298 (ϵ 4000); IR 2960 (s), 2920 (s), 2850 (m), 1685 (s), 1570 (w), 1450 (m), 1270 (m), 1130 (m) cm⁻¹; NMR (90 MHz) δ 0.77 (t, J = 7.1 Hz, 3 H), 1.09 (d, J = 6.6 Hz, 3 H), 1.16–1.52 (m, 2 H), 1.56–1.94 (m, 4 H), 2.16 (s, 3 H), 2.19–3.00 (m, 5 H); ¹³C NMR δ 204.9, 150.2, 143.2, 43.9, 40.7, 34.2, 27.5, 26.1, 25.7, 19.5, 18.7, 11.9; mass spectrum *m*/*e* 212.1247 (M⁺) (calcd for C₁₂H₂₀OS: 212.1235). The *C* increment of the isolated numerical spectra of the isolated

The Z isomer could not be isolated pure.

(E)-2-[Methylthio(2,2-dimethyl)propylidene]cyclohexanone (17d). General procedure A was employed, with the exception that 3.0 g (14.8 mmol) of 2 was treated with 19.2 mmol of lithium *tert*-butyl(phenyl-thio)cuprate in 75 mL of THF. Purification by column chromatography afforded 17d in a 96% yield (R_r 0.24, petroleum ether/2% ethyl acetate, v/v): UV max (CH₃OH) 285 (¢ 9700); IR 2930 (s), 2850 (m), 1683 (s), 1480 (w), 1430 (w), 1350 (w), 1300 (w), 1260 (w), 1220 (w), 1130 (m), 1110 (m), 1060 (m), 960 (w), 925 (w) cm⁻¹; NMR (90 MHz) δ 1.17 (s, 9 H), 1.59–2.00 (m, 4 H), 2.14 (s, 3 H), 2.59 (t, J = 6.0 Hz, 2 H), 2.88 (t, J = 6.0 Hz, 2 H); ¹³C NMR δ 210.7, 150.0, 128.0, 45.0, 40.3, 38.7, 29.9 (3 C), 28.5, 26.8, 20.8; mass spectrum m/e 212.1234 (M⁺) (calcd for C₁₂H₂₀OS: 212.1235).

Anal. Calcd for $C_{12}H_{20}OS$: C, 67.87; H, 9.49. Found: C, 68.02; H, 9.50.

The other isomer could not be isolated by chromatography.

3-Methyl-6-(1-methylthioethylidene)cyclohex-2-enone (18a). Purification by column chromatography afforded the *E* isomer, (*E*)-**18a** (R_f 0.36, petroleum ether/20% ethyl acetate, v/v) in 79% yield: IR (CH₂Cl₂) 2950 (s), 2930 (s), 2870 (s), 1640 (s), 1620 (m), 1460 (m), 1420 (m), 1380 (m), 1320 (m), 1190 (m), 900 (m), 860 (m); NMR (90 MHz) δ 1.92 (s, 3 H), 2.35 (s, 3 H), 2.07-2.47 (m, 2 H), 2.46 (s, 3 H), 2.80 (t, J = 6.0 Hz, 2 H), 5.88 (br s, 1 H); ¹³C NMR δ 187.8, 158.9, 147.2, 128.6, 127.1, 30.7, 28.7, 23.6, 18.3, 13.9; mass spectrum *m/e* 182.0764 (M⁺) (calcd for C₁₀H₁₄OS 182.0765).

3-Methyl-6-(1-methylthio-5-methyl-4-hexenylidiene)cyclohex-2-enone (18b). Purification by column chromatography afforded the *E* isomer, (*E*)-18b (R_f 0.40, petroleum ether/10% ethyl acetate, v/v) in 73% yield: UV max (CH₃OH) 331 (ϵ 9000), 246 (ϵ 11 000); IR 2970 (m), 2910 (s), 1545 (s), 1430 (m), 1285 (s), 1220 (s), 970 (w) cm⁻¹; NMR (90 MHz) δ 1.66 (s, 6 H), 1.92 (s, 3 H), 2.32 (s, 3 H), 2.20–2.40 (m, 4 H), 2.68–2.95 (m, 4 H), 5.19 (t, J = 7.1 Hz, 1 H), 5.85 (br s, 1 H); ¹³C NMR δ 187.4, 158.2, 150.9, 132.0, 128.7, 127.8, 123.3, 30.8, 30.7, 29.1, 28.1, 25.5, 23.5, 17.5, 13.8.

The Z isomer, (Z)-18b (R_f 0.13, petroleum ether/10% ethyl acetate, v/v), was obtained in 2% yield: UV max (CH₃OH) 339 (ϵ 6700), 245 (8600); IR 2960 (s), 2905 (s), 1330 (m), 1300 (s), 1225 (s), 1190 (s), 970 (w), 880 (w) cm⁻¹; NMR (90 MHz) δ 1.62 (s, 3 H), 1.69 (s, 3 H), 1.93 (s, 3 H), 2.29 (s, 3 H), 2.02–2.85 (m, 8 H), 5.20 (t, J = 7.1 Hz, 1 H), 5.95 (br s, 1 H); ¹³C NMR δ 188.0, 157.8, 151.7, 133.0, 128.2, 124.9, 122.3, 31.1, 30.8, 28.0 (2 C), 25.6, 23.6, 17.6, 15.1.

3-(1-Methylethoxy)-6-(1-methylthioethylidene)cyclohex-2-enone (19). General procedure A was employed and the reaction was quenched at -78 °C with 2.0 mL of methanol. Purification by column chromatography afforded (*E*)-**19** (R_f 0.40, petroleum ether/18% ethyl acetate, v/v) in 78% yield: UV max (CH₃OH), 321 (ϵ 15000), 266 (10 500), 258 (10 100); IR (CHCl₃) 3000 (s), 2940 (m), 1620 (vs), 1570 (s), 1370 (s), 1290 (s), 1190 (s), 1110 (s) cm⁻¹; NMR (90 MHz) δ 1.18 (d, *J* = 6.1 Hz, 6 H), 2.25 (s, 3 H), 2.36 (t, *J* = 1.0 Hz, 3 H), 2.73 (t, *J* = 6.0 Hz, 4 H), 4.32 (sept, *J* = 6.1 Hz, 1 H), 5.23 (s, 1 H); ¹³C NMR δ 188.8, 174.8, 145.2, 127.3, 104.6, 70.7, 29.4, 27.7, 21.4 (2 C), 18.3, 14.0.

Anal. Calcd for $C_{12}H_{18}O_2S$: C, 63.69; H, 8.02. Found: C, 63.68; H, 8.06.

Purification by column chromatography afforded the Z isomer, (Z)-19 (R_f 0.20, petroleum ether/18% ethyl acetate, v/v), in 6% yield: UV max (CH₃OH), 332 (ϵ 12 500), 260 (9600), 254 (8800), 248 (7500), 241 (6200); IR (CHCl₃) 3000 (m), 2940 (m), 2860 (m), 1610 (s), 1550 (m), 1385 (s), 1330 (s), 1310 (s), 1195 (vs), 1110 (s), 995 (m), 925 (w), 845 (m) cm⁻¹: NMR (90 MHz) δ 1.25 (d, J = 6.1 Hz, 6 H), 2.14 (s, 3 H), 2.25 (s, 3 H), 2.34 (t, J = 6.8 Hz, 2 H), 2.72 (t, J = 6.4 Hz, 2 H), 4.40 (sept, J = 6.1 Hz, 1 H), 5.36 (s, 1 H); ¹³C NMR δ 188.6, 173.1, 146.2, 124.7, 103.9, 70.7, 29.4, 26.9, 21.5 (2 C), 18.2, 15.4.

4-Methylthiopent-3-en-2-one (20a). General procedure A was employed, with the exception that 3.0 g (18.5 mmol) of **5** was treated with 20.3 mmol of lithium methyl(phenylthio)cuprate in 150 mL of THF. Purification by column chromatography afforded an 87% yield of the *E* isomer, (*E*)-**20a** (R_f 0.40, petroleum ether/10% ethyl acetate, v/v): UV max (CH₃OH) 294 (ϵ 8100); IR 2960 (m), 2908 (m), 1666 (s), 1550 (s), 1420 (s), 1370 (s), 1350 (s), 1190 (s), 1110 (s), 1000 (m), 960 (m) cm⁻¹; NMR (90 MH2) δ 2.17 (s, 3 H), 2.31 (s, 3 H), 2.37 (d, *J* = 0.74 Hz, 3 H), 5.89 (s, 1 H); ¹³C NMR δ 193.2, 162.9, 112.6, 30.0, 16.8, 14.4.

Purification by column chromatography afforded a 5% yield of the Z isomer, (Z)-**20a** (R_f 0.10, petroleum ether/10% ethyl acetate, v/v): UV max (CH₃OH) 307 (ϵ 6000); IR 3000 (s), 2920 (w), 1652 (s), 1540 (s), 1440 (m), 1425 (s), 1190 (s), 1010 (m), 955 (m) cm⁻¹; NMR (90 MHz) δ 2.16 (s, 3 H), 2.22 (d, J = 0.9 Hz, 3 H), 2.34 (s, 3 H), 6.30 (s, 1 H); ¹³C NMR δ 195.5, 159.0, 120.1, 29.9, 23.4, 14.0.

4-Methylthiooct-3-en-2-one (20b). General procedure A was employed, with the exception that 3.0 g (18.5 mmol) of 5 was treated with 20.3 mmol of lithium *n*-butyl(phenylthio)cuprate in 150 mL of THF. Purification by column chromatography afforded the *E* isomer, (*E*)-20b (R_f 0.37, petroleum ether/10% ethyl acetate, v/v), in an 80% yield: UV max (CH₃OH) 294 (ϵ 6000); IR 2900 (s), 2827 (s), 1660 (s), 1540 (s), 1415 (s), 1340 (s), 1165 (s), 950 (m) cm⁻¹; NMR (90 MHz) δ 0.92 (t, J = 6.4 Hz, 3 H), 1.20–1.67 (m, 4 H), 2.15 (s, 3 H), 2.30 (s, 3 H), 2.80 (t, J = 7.5 Hz, 2 H), 5.82 (s, 1 H); ¹³C NMR δ 192.9, 165.0, 114.8, 33.9, 31.6, 31.1, 22.3, 14.4, 13.3.

Anal. Calcd for $C_9H_{16}OS$: C, 62.74; H, 9.36. Found: C, 62.86; H, 9.38.

Purification by column chromatography afforded the Z isomer, (Z)-20b (R_f 0.10, petroleum ether/10% ethyl acetate, v/v) in an 8% yield: UV max (CH₃OH) 304 (ϵ 4600); IR 2950 (s), 2860 (m), 1651 (s), 1525 (s), 1425 (s), 1350 (s), 1190 (s), 960 (m) cm⁻¹; NMR (90 MHz) δ 0.95 (t, J = 7.0 Hz, 3 H), 1.25–1.60 (m, 4 H), 2.17 (s, 3 H), 2.32 (s, 3 H), 2.40 (t, J = 7.4 Hz, 2 H), 6.26 (s, 1 H); ¹³C NMR δ 195.8, 163.0, 119.6, 35.6, 31.8, 30.3, 22.2, 13.8 (2 C).

5-Methyl-4-methylthiohept-3-en-2-one (20c). General procedure A was followed, with the exception that 4.03 g (24.9 mmol) of 5 was treated with 46.9 mmol (1.88 equiv) of lithium sec-butyl(phenylthiocuprate) in 300 mL of THF. After 1 h the reaction mixture was allowed to warm to -30 °C over a period of 20 min. The reaction was quenched after 45 min at -30 °C. Purification by column chromatography afforded the *E* isomer, (*E*)-20c (*R*_f 0.14, petroleum ether/2% ethyl acetate, v/v), in 79% yield: UV max (CH₃OH) 299 (ϵ 16000); IR 2960 (m), 2920 (m), 2860 (w), 1670 (s), 1543 (s), 1450 (w), 1420 (w), 1353 (m), 1185 (s), 1140 (m), 973 (w) cm⁻¹; NMR (90 MHz) δ 0.81 (t, *J* = 7.0 Hz, 3 H), 1.07 (d, *J* = 6.85 Hz, 3 H), 1.25-1.60 (m, 2 H), 2.12 (s, 3 H), 2.19 (s, 3 H), 4.09 (sext, *J* = 7.0 Hz, 1 H), 5.74 (s, 1 H); ¹³C NMR δ 193.5, 170.8, 115.2, 44.5, 37.0, 28.5, 19.2, 13.9, 11.2; mass spectrum *m/e* 172.0916

 (M^+) (calcd for C₉H₁₆OS: 172.0922).

Purification by column chromatography afforded the Z isomer, (Z)-20c (R_f 0.05, petroleum ether/2% ethyl acetate, v/v) in 7.5% yield: UV max (CH₃OH) 309 (ϵ 9900); IR 3040 (s), 2995 (s), 2940 (s), 2895 (m), 1680 (s), 1540 (vs), 1440 (s), 1370 (s), 1205 (s), 1065 (m) cm⁻¹; NMR (90 MHz) δ 0.94 (t, J = 7.1 Hz, 3 H), 1.17 (d, J = 6.8 Hz, 3 H), 1.35-1.75 (m, 2 H), 2.20 (s, 3 H), 2.31 (s, 3 H), 3.65 (sext, J = 6.9 Hz, 1 H), 6.24 (s, 1 H); ¹³C NMR δ 195.6, 167.9, 116.9, 38.3, 30.4, 29.7, 20.3, 13.9, 11.4.

(Z)-5,5-Dimethyl-4-methylthiohex-3-en-2-one (20d). General procedure A was followed, with the exception that 444 mg (2.74 mmol) of 5 was treated with 7.89 mmol (2.88 equiv) of lithium *tert*-butyl(phenyl-thio)cuprate in 50 mL of THF, and the reaction mixture was warmed to -60 °C over a period of 60 min. Purification by TLC (silica gel, 1000 μ) afforded 20d (R_f 0.19, petroleum ether/2% ethyl acetate, v/v) in 14% yield: IR (CHCl₃) 2960 (s), 1665 (s), 1545 (s), 1365 (s), 1335 (m), 1285 (s), 895 (w) cm⁻¹; NMR (90 MHz) δ 1.24 (s, 9 H), 2.38 (s, 3 H), 2.33 (s, 3 H), 6.43 (s, 1 H); ¹³C NMR δ 196.8, 166.6, 123.0, 39.8, 31.1, 29.0 (3 C), 20.2; mass spectrum m/e 172.0924 (M⁺) (calcd for C₉H₁₆OS: 172.0922).

Purification also afforded **21** in 65% yield: NMR (90 MHz) δ 2.23 (s, 3 H), 2.37 (s, 3 H), 6.03 (d, J = 15 Hz, 1 H), 7.71 (d, J = 15 Hz, 1 H).

In a different experiment (entry 21) general procedure A was followed, except that 2.50 equiv of lithium *tert*-butyl(phenylthio)cuprate was used and THF was replaced by ether as the solvent. Purification afforded an 89% yield of **20d** without any detection of the reduction product **21**.

2-Methyl-5-methylthiohex-4-en-3-one (22a). General procedure A was employed, with the exception that the reaction mixture was stirred at -50 °C for 1.5 h and then quenched with 2.0 mL of methanol. Purification by column chromatography (*R*, 0.44, petroleum ether/5% ethyl acetate v/v) afforded the *E* isomer, (*E*)-**22a**, in 82% yield: UV max (CH₃OH) 294 (ϵ 18 000); IR 2960 (s), 2920 (s), 2880 (m), 1670 (s), 1560 (s), 1455 (m), 1420 (m), 1370 (s), 1350 (m), 1060 (s), 950 (w), 890 (w) cm⁻¹; NMR (90 MHz) δ 1.09 (d, *J* = 6.9 Hz, 6 H), 2.31 (s, 3 H), 2.40 (d, *J* = 0.97 Hz, 3 H), 2.67 (sept, *J* = 6.9 Hz, 1 H), 5.91 (s, 1 H); ¹³C NMR δ 200.2, 159.6, 114.2, 41.2, 21.2, 18.3 (2 C), 14.7.

The isomer (Z)-22a ($R_f 0.18$, petroleum ether/5% ethyl acetate v/v) was also obtained in 5% yield after purification: UV max (CH₃OH) 308 (ϵ 6700): IR 2960 (s), 2920 (s), 1647 (s), 1530 (vs), 1440 (m), 1180 (m), 1130 (s), 1080 (m) cm⁻¹; NMR (90 MHz) δ 1.09 (d, J = 6.9 Hz, 6 H), 2.25 (d, J = 1.2 Hz, 3 H), 2.32 (s, 3 H), 2.61 (sept, J = 6.5 Hz, 1 H), 6.37 (m, 1 H); ¹³C NMR δ 190.3, 159.5, 118.7, 40.4, 23.6, 18.6 (2 C), 14.3.

Purification also afforded **23** (R_f 0.19) in 2.5% yield: IR (CDCl₃) 2960 (s), 2920 (s), 2860 (m), 1650 (s), 1545 (vs), 1460 (m), 1300 (m), 1070 (s), 945 (m) cm⁻¹; NMR (90 MHz) δ 1.11 (d, J = 6.8 Hz, 6 H), 2.36 (s, 3 H), 2.77 (sept, J = 6.8 Hz, 1 H), 6.11 (d, J = 15 Hz, 1 H), 7.75 (d, J = 15 Hz, 1 H); ¹³C NMR δ 199.8, 146.1, 120.1, 38.8, 18.4 (2 C), 14.3.

2-Methyl-5-methylthionon-4-en-3-one (22b). General procedure A was employed, with the exception that 6.01 g (31.6 mmol) of **6** was treated with 39.5 mmol of lithium *n*-butyl(phenylthio)cuprate in 325 mL of THF. Purification by column chromatography afforded a 64% yield of the *E* isomer, (*E*)-**22b** (R_f 0.57, petroleum ether/10% ethyl acetate, v/v): UV max (CH₃OH) 295 (ϵ 10900); IR 2940 (s), 2900 (s), 2840 (m), 1663 (s), 1543 (s), 1450 (m), 1420 (m), 1370 (m), 1345 (m), 1105 (m), 1060 (s) cm⁻¹; NMR (90 MHz) δ 0.91 (t, J = 7.0 Hz, 3 H), 1.09 (d, J = 7.0 Hz, 6 H), 1.25–1.75 (m, 4 H), 2.27 (s, 3 H), 2.58 (sept, J = 7.0 Hz, 1 H), 2.73 (t, J = 7.0 Hz, 2 H), 5.87 (s, 1 H); ¹³C NMR δ 203.2, 164.6, 118.8, 41.5, 34.4, 31.7, 22.4, 18.4 (2 C), 14.8, 14.0; mass spectrum m/e 200.1236 (M⁺) (calcd for C₁₁H₂₀OS: 200.1235).

Purification by column chromatography afforded a 5% yield of the Z isomer, (Z)-**22b** (R_f 0.25, petroleum ether/10% ethyl acetate, v/v): UV max (CH₃OH) 303 (ϵ 7800); IR 2940 (s), 2910 (s), 2833 (m), 1655 (s), 1525 (s), 1450 (m), 1420 (s), 1170 (m), 1120 (s), 1090 (s) cm⁻¹; NMR (90 MHz) δ 0.98 (t, J = 7.0 Hz, 3 H), 1.13 (d, 6.8 Hz, 6 H), 1.25–1.75 (m, 4 H), 2.31 (s, 3 H), 2.09–2.80 (m, 3 H), 6.31 (s, 1 H); ¹³C NMR δ 201.8, 163.2, 117.6, 40.2, 35.5, 31.5, 21.9, 18.3(2C), 13.5, 13.4.

2.6-Dimethyl-5-methylthiooct-4-en-3-one (22c). General procedure A was employed, with the exception that 1.41 g (7.4 mmol) of **6** was treated with 14.8 mmol (2.00 equiv) of lithium *sec*-butyl(phenylthio)cuprate in 75 mL of THF and the solution was allowed to warm to -30 °C over a 1-h period. Purification by column chromatography afforded the *E* isomer, (*E*)-**22c** (R_f 0.60, petroleum ether/10% ethyl acetate, v/v) in a 91% yield: UV max (CH₃OH) 297 (ϵ 16 000); IR 2940 (s), 2900 (m), 2840 (m), 1660 (m), 1535 (s), 1445 (m), 1350 (m), 1105 (w), 1054 (s) cm⁻¹; NMR (90 MHz) δ 0.87 (t, J = 7.2 Hz, 3 H), 1.10 (d, J = 6.8 Hz, 6 H), 1.14 (d, J = 6.8 Hz, 3 H), 1.25–1.65 (m, 2 H), 2.3 (s, 3 H), 2.66 (sept, J = 6.8 Hz, 1 H), 4.12 (sext, J = 7.1 Hz, 1 H), 5.80 (s, 1 H); ¹³C

NMR δ 200.1, 170.8, 114.5, 41.5, 37.3, 28.8, 19.6, 18.5, 13.9, 11.7(2C). The Z isomer, (Z)-**22c** (R_f 0.24, petroleum ether/10% ethyl acetate, v/v), was also obtained by column chromatography in 6% yield: UV max (CH₃OH) 321 (ϵ 6500); IR 3020 (m), 2980 (s), 2940 (m), 2880 (m), 1660 (s), 1540 (vs), 1470 (m), 1385 (m), 1135 (m), 1075 (m) cm⁻¹; NMR (90 MHz) δ 0.94 (t, J = 7.0 Hz, 3 H), 1.11 (d, J = 6.8 Hz, 6 H), 1.19 (d, J = 6.7 Hz, 3 H), 1.53 (p, J = 7.0 Hz, 2 H), 2.30 (s, 3 H), 2.40–2.80 (m, 2 H), 6.27 (s, 1 H); ¹³C NMR δ 202.3, 168.3, 115.5, 40.8,

38.6, 30.0, 20.6, 18.6, 14.2, 11.6(2C). **2,6,6-Trimethyl-5-methylthiohept-4-en-3-one (22d).** General procedure A was followed, with the exception that the reaction mixture was stirred at -78 °C for 1 h, warmed to -55 °C over a 30-min period, and then quenched with 2.0 mL of methanol. Purification yielded **16** in 53%, in which both isomers were present and inseparable by chromatography (R_f 0.55, petroleum ether/10% diethyl ether, v/v). By NMR, the ratio of E/Z isomers was 1:4. Z isomer: UV max (CH₃OH) 305 (ϵ 8600); IR 2960 (s), 1673 (s), 1540 (s), 1455 (m), 1360 (m), 1161 (s) cm⁻¹; NMR (90 MHz) δ 1.04 (d, J = 7.0 Hz, 6 H), 1.18 (s, 9 H), 2.17 (s, 3 H), 2.65 (sept, J = 7.0 Hz, 1 H), 6.39 (s, 1 H); ¹³C NMR δ 203.3, 167.6, 121.5, 41.6, 40.3, 29.4, 28.8, 18.5. E isomer: NMR (90 MHz) δ 1.27 (s, 9 H), 5.60 (s, 1 H).

4-Methyl-5-methylthiohex-4-en-3-one (24a). General procedure A was followed, with the exception that 2.83 g (14.9 mmol) of 7 was treated with 23.8 mmol of lithium methyl(phenylthio)cuprate in 150 mL of THF and the reaction was allowed to warm to -30 °C. Purification afforded the *E* isomer, (*E*)-**24a** (R_f 0.39, petroleum ether/2% ethyl acetate, v/v), in 88% yield: UV max (CH₃OH) 297 (ϵ 8500); IR 2980 (s), 2905 (s), 2860 (m), 1670 (s), 1550 (s), 1430 (s), 1370 (s), 1340 (s), 1230 (s), 1020 (s), 970 (m), 907 (s) cm⁻¹; NMR (90 MHz) δ 0.95 (t, J = 7.3 Hz, 3 H), 1.88 (q, J = 1.5 Hz, 3 H), 2.10 (q, J = 1.5 Hz, 3 H), 2.21 (s, 3 H), 2.42 (q, J = 7.3 Hz, 2 H); ¹³C NMR δ 203.3, 142.4, 129.6, 34.2, 18.2, 16.3, 13.7, 7.9.

Anal. Calcd for $C_8H_{14}OS$: C, 60.71; H, 8.92. Found C: 60.81; H, 8.94.

The Z isomer, (Z)-24a (R_f 0.11, petroleum ether/2% ethyl acetate, v/v), was also obtained in 6.5% yield after purification: UV max (C₂-H₅OH) 304 (ϵ 5400); IR (CHCl₃) 2995 (s), 2940 (s), 2880 (m), 1700 (s), 1660 (s), 1530 (m), 1460 (m), 1435 (m), 1380 (m), 1150 (m), 1095 (m), 1030 (m), 985 (m) cm⁻¹; NMR (90 MHz) δ 1.06 (t, J = 7.1 Hz, 3 H), 1.93 (s, 3 H), 2.07 (s, 3 H), 2.21 (s, 3 H), 2.51 (q, J = 7.1, 2 H); ¹³C NMR δ 203.7, 144.1, 133.4, 34.3, 18.5, 16.5, 15.7, 8.0.

4-Methyl-5-methylthionon-4-en-3-one (24b). General procedure A was followed, with the exception that 915 mg (4.8 mmol) of 7 was treated with 6.33 mmol of lithium *n*-butyl(phenylthio)cuprate in 55 mL of THF and the reaction mixture was stirred at -78 °C for 30 min, warmed to -60 °C over a 30-min period, and then quenched with 5.0 mL of methanol. Purification by column chromatography afforded the *E* isomer, (*E*)-24b (R_f 0.36 petroleum ether/2% ethyl acetate, v/v), in 45% yield: UV max (CH₃OH) 296 (ϵ 5700); IR 2960 (s), 1667 (s), 1540 (s), 1450 (s), 1425 (s), 1370 (m), 1331 (m), 1230 (s), 970 (s) cm⁻¹; NMR (90 MHz) δ 0.81–1.00 (m, 3 H), 1.07 (t, J = 7.3 Hz, 3 H), 1.16–1.69 (m, 4 H), 1.99 (s, 3 H), 2.28 (s, 3 H), 2.25–2.69 (m, 4 H); ¹³C NMR δ 203.4, 159.0, 146.1, 34.3, 31.6, 30.7, 22.2, 16.5, 13.5 (2 C), 7.9; mass spectrum m/e 200.1250 (M⁺) (calcd for C₁₁H₂₀OS: 200.1235).

Purification by column chromatography afforded a 2% yield of the Z isomer, (Z)-24b (R_f 0.21, petroleum ether/2% ethyl acetate, v/v): UV max (CH₃OH) 310 (ϵ 2400); IR (CHCl₃) 2960 (s), 2920 (s), 2860 (m), 1688 (s), 1510 (w), 1455 (m), 1430 (m), 1370 (m), 1335 (m), 1225 (w), 1135 (w), 950 (w) cm⁻¹; NMR (90 MHz) δ 0.95 (t, J = 7.0 Hz, 3 H), 1.16–1.69 (m, 4 H), 1.95 (s, 3 H), 2.20 (s, 3 H), 2.41 (t, J = 7.8 Hz, 2 H), 2.61 (q, J = 7.8 Hz, 2 H); ¹³C NMR δ 204.9, 143.0, 133.2, 34.3, 30.7, 30.4, 22.2, 16.0, 15.5, 13.6, 7.9.

2-[1-Methylthioethylidene]- γ -butyrolactone (26). General procedure A was followed, with the exception that 1.5 equiv of methyl (phenyl-thio)cuprate was used and the reaction mixture was warmed over 60 min from -78 to 0 °C. Purification by column chromatography afforded the reduction product 26 (R_f 0.23, petroleum ether/20% ethyl acetate, v/v) in 60% yield: IR 3000 (m), 2950 (s), 2870 (w), 1770 (s), 1635 (s), 1450 (m), 1390 (s), 1310 (s), 1200 (s), 1070 (m), 1040 (s), 870 (s), 740 (m) cm⁻¹; NMR (60 MHz, CCl₄) δ 2.50-2.93 (m, 2 H), 2.55 (s, 3 H), 4.33 (t, J = 6.5 Hz, 2 H), 7.31 (t, J = 3.0 Hz, 1 H); ¹³C NMR δ 169.5, 141.5, 119.4, 65.1, 26.2, 17.4.

Anal. Calcd for $C_6H_8O_2S$: C, 49.98; H, 5.59. Found: C, 49.79; H, 5.62.

Methyl 3-Methylthio-2-butenoate (27a). Methyllithium (2.54 mmol) was added to a cold (0 °C) suspension of CuI (243 mg, 1.27 mmol) and 10.0 mL of THF in a three-neck flask fitted with a nitrogen inlet, stopper, and septum. The solution was stirred for 1 h and chilled to -78 °C; ketene dithioacetal 10 (178.0 mg, 1.00 mmol) in 4.0 mL of THF was added in one portion via syringe. The reaction mixture was monitored

by TLC, quenched at -78 °C with 2.0 mL of methanol, and warmed to room temperature. The reaction mixture was poured into a mixture of 50 mL of ether and NH₄Cl (satd) and stirred for 15 min. The copper salts were filtered off; the filtrate was extracted with 2 × 25 mL of Et₂O, washed with brine, dried over MgSO₄, and concentrated in vacuo to afford the crude products.

Purification by medium-pressure liquid chromatography afforded **27a**⁴⁶ (R_f 0.51 petroleum ether/5% ethyl acetate, v/v) in 13% yield: UV max (CH₃OH) 273 (¢ 7300); IR (CHCl₃) 3030 (vw), 2970 (w), 2940 (w), 2900 (w), 2840 (vw), 1710 (s), 1605 (s), 1440 (m), 1355 (m), 1270 (vs), 1205 (s), 1110 (m) cm⁻¹; NMR (90 MHz) δ 2.29 (s, 3 H), 2.40 (d, J = 0.98 Hz, 3 H), 3.69 (s, 3 H), 5.44 (m, 1 H); ¹³C NMR δ 165.6, 160.0, 107.7, 50.8, 20.8, 15.1.

The reduction product **28** (R_f 0.39, petroleum ether/5% ethyl acetate, v/v) was obtained in 61% yield: IR (CHCl₃) 3030 (w), 3010 (w), 2950 (m), 2920 (m) 2850 (w), 1710 (s), 1590 (vs), 1445 (s), 1330 (s), 1310 (s), 1290 (s), 1275 (s), 1200 (m), 1180 (s) cm⁻¹; NMR (90 MHz) δ 2.33 (s, 3 H), 3.73 (s, 3 H), 5.66 (d, J = 14.9 Hz, 1 H), 7.75 (d, J = 14.9 Hz, 1 H); ¹³C NMR δ 165.6, 147.3, 112.8, 51.4, 14.4.

Starting material was recovered in an 8% yield.

Methyl 3-Methylthio-2-heptenoate (27b). To 0.1423 g of CuBr (0.99 mmol) were sequentially added 2.5 mL of dimethyl sulfide and 10 mL of ether. This mixture was cooled to -50 °C and 0.44 mL of *n*-butyl lithium was added (2.27 M, 0.99 mmol). The mixture was stirred for 45 min. The brown mixture was then chilled to -78 °C and 10 was added via syringe (10 mL of ether). After 1 h, the mixture was warmed to -20 °C and quenched with NH₄Cl (satd). The resulting suspension was filtered through Celite and extracted with ether $(3 \times 25 \text{ mL})$. The ether extracts were dried (MgSO₄) and concentrated in vacuo to give 0.0987 g of crude material. Purification by TLC (SiO₂, 1000 μ) afforded 0.0177 g (18%) of 27b (R_f 0.47, petroleum ether/2% ethyl acetate, v/v): IR (CDCl₃) 2950 (s), 2920 (s), 2810 (s), 1700 (s), 1675 (s), 1590 (m), 1450 (m), 1430 (m), 1350 (m), 1280 (m), 930 (m) cm⁻¹; NMR (90 MHz) δ 0.94 (t, J = 7.0 Hz, 3 H), 1.11-1.79 (m, 4 H), 2.28 (s, 3 H), 2.86 (t, J)= 7.9 Hz, 2 H), 3.75 (s, 3 H), 5.41 (s, 1 H); 13 C NMR δ 165.8, 165.1, 107.0, 50.8, 34.0, 32.2, 27.7, 15.0, 13.8.

Purification also gave 0.589 g of 10 (67%).

Methyl 4.4-Dimethyl-3-methylthio-2-pentenoate (27c). To a stirred solution of 2.0 mL of dimethyl sulfide in 15 mL of diethyl ether and 0.1409 g of CuBr (0.98 mmol), maintained at -78 °C, was added via syringe 0.60 mL (0.98 mmol) of tert-butyllithium. After the mixture was stirred for 1 h, 10 was added via syringe (5.0 mL ether). The reaction mixture was allowed to warm to 20 °C and stirring was continued overnight. The mixture was quenched with NH4Cl (satd), filtered through Celite, and extracted with ether $(3 \times 25 \text{ mL})$. The ethereal extracts were dried over MgSO4 and concentrated in vacuo to give the crude product. Purification by TLC (SiO₂, 1000 μ , petroleum ether/2% ethyl acetate, v/v) afforded 0.0220 g (24%) of 27c (R_f 0.39): IR (CDCl₃) 2960 (m), 1710 (s), 1567 (s), 1430 (m), 1361 (m), 1270 (vs), 940 (m), 880 (m) cm⁻¹; NMR (90 MHz) δ 1.38 (s, 9 H), 2.20 (s, 3 H), 3.68 (s, 3 H), 5.29 (s, 1 H); ¹³C NMR δ 171.0, 165.1, 107.6, 50.9, 38.8, 28.8 (3 C), 16.1; mass spectrum m/e 188.0860 (M⁺) (calcd for C₉H₁₆O₂S: 188.0871). Purification also afforded 28 in 15% yield and 10 was recovered in

46% yield.

In a separate experiment (entry 34) general procedure A was employed with 57 mg (0.32 mmol) of 10 and 1.60 mmol (5.0 equiv) of *tert*-butyl(phenylthio)cuprate in 50 mL of Et_2O at -78 °C. The reaction mixture was warmed to room temperature over 30 min, stirred for 5 h, and then quenched with 5 mL of saturated aqueous NH₄Cl. Purification afforded a 90% yield of 27c with no detection of 28.

Methyl 3-Methylthio-2-phenylthio-2-butenoate (29a). General procedure A was followed, with the exception that 520 mg (1.82 mmol) of 11 was treated with 1.99 mmol of lithium methyl(phenylthio)cuprate in 10 mL of THF. Purification by column chromatography afforded the Z isomer, (Z)-29a, in 65% yield (R_f 0.25, petroleum ether/5% ethyl acetate, v/v): UV max (CH₃OH) 286 (ϵ 15 500), 254 (14500); IR (CHCl₃) 3070 (w), 3050 (w), 2980 (s), 2950 (m), 2930 (m), 2820 (w), 1715 (br, s), 1685 (s), 1590 (s), 1530 (br, s), 1480 (s), 1440 (s), 1375 (m), 1265 (vs), 1235 (vs), 1160 (m), 1085 (m), 1050 (m), 1030 (s), 970 (m), 950 (w) cm⁻¹; NMR (90 MHz) δ 2.29 (s, 3 H), 2.52 (s, 3 H), 3.57 (s, 3 H), 7.10–7.35 (m, 5 H); ¹³C NMR δ 165.2, 164.5, 135.4, 128.6 (2 C), 126.9 (2C), 125.5, 114.1, 51.7, 19.8, 15.2; mass spectrum m/e 254.0425 (M⁺) (calcd for C₁₂H₁₄O₂S₂: 254.0435).

The *E* isomer, (*E*)-**29a** (R_f 0.35, petroleum ether/5% ethyl acetate, v/v), was obtained in 21% yield: mp 60–61 °C; UV max (CH₃OH) 291 (ϵ 10 100), 250 (10 500); IR (CHCl₃) 3050 (vw), 3035 (w), 2970 (w), 2930 (m), 2900 (m), 1710 (s), 1690 (s), 1580 (m), 1550 (s), 1475 (s), 1440 (s), 1420 (m), 1330 (m), 1320 (w), 1260 (vs), 1235 (vs), 1190 (m),

1155 (s), 1050 (s), 1020 (m), 965 (w) cm⁻¹; NMR (90 MHz) δ 2.41 (s, 3 H), 2.54 (s, 3 H), 3.66 (s, 3 H), 7.06–7.35 (m, 5 H); 13 C NMR δ 166.4, 164.6, 137.0, 128.7 (2 C), 126.2(2C), 125.2, 113.5, 51.9, 22.0, 16.3.

The disubstituted product, **30a**, was obtained in 11% yield (R_f 0.56, petroleum ether/5% ethyl acetate, v/v): IR (CHCl₃) 3020 (m), 2960 (m), 2920 (w), 1715 (vs), 1585 (m), 1485 (m), 1445 (m), 1260 (s), 1230 (s), 1100 (m), 1030 (m) cm⁻¹; NMR (90 MHz) δ 2.13 (s, 6 H), 3.60 (s, 3 H), 7.19–7.55 (m, 5 H); ¹³C NMR δ 167.4, 153.5, 135.8, 128.7 (2 C), 127.8(2C), 125.8, 120.4, 51.9, 23.9, 23.4.

Methyl 3-Methylthio-2-phenylthio-2-heptenoate (29b). General procedure A was followed, with the exception that 385 mg (1.35 mmol) of 11 was treated with 1.88 mmol of lithium *n*-butyl phenylthiocuprate in 10 mL of THF and the reaction mixture was warmed for 45 min from -78 to -25 °C. Purification by column chromatography afforded the *E* isomer, (*E*)-28b, in 75% yield (R_f 0.40, petroleum ether/5% ethyl acetate, v/v): UV max (C₂H₃OH) 285 (ϵ 11 000), 250 (10 100); IR (CHCl₃) 3020 (m), 2970 (m), 2940 (m), 2880 (w), 1710 (s), 1585 (w), 1520 (m), 1485 (m), 1440 (m), 1410 (s), 1240 (s), 1030 (m), 1020 (m) cm⁻¹; NMR (90 MHz) δ 0.85-1.15 (m, 3 H), 1.20-1.90 (m, 4 H), 2.34 (s, 3 H), 2.80-3.10 (m, 2 H), 3.61 (s, 3 H), 7.15-7.55 (m, 5 H); ¹³C NMR δ 168.4, 165.6, 136.8, 129.0 (2C), 127.4 (2 C), 125.9, 114.8, 52.1, 32.4, 32.1, 22.7, 15.2, 13.9.

General Procedure for the Addition of Methylcuprates to α -Oxoketene Dithioacetal 31. A 5-mL solution of 0.12 M (1.2 equiv) cuprate solution $[(CH_3CuSPh)Li, (n-Bu)_3P\cdot(CH_3)_2CuLi, Ph_3P\cdot(CH_3)_2CuLi, [(CH_3)_2-P)^2P\cdot(CH_3)_2CuLi, [(CH_3)_2-P)^2P\cdot(CH_3)_2P\cdot(CH_3)_2CuLi, [(CH_3)_2-P)^2P\cdot(CH_3)_2P\cdot(CH_3)_2P\cdot(CH_3)_2P\cdot(CH_3)_2P\cdot(CH_3)_2P\cdot(CH_3)_2P\cdot(CH_3)_2P\cdot(CH_3)_2P\cdot(CH_3)_2P\cdot(CH_3)_2P\cdot(CH_3)_2P\cdot(CH_3)_2P\cdot(CH_3)_2P\cdot(CH_3)_2P\cdot(CH_3)_2P\cdot(CH_3)_2P\cdot(CH_3)_2P\cdot(CH_3)_2P\cdot(CH_3)_2P\cdot(CH_3)_2P\cdot(CH_3)_2P\cdot(CH_3)_2P\cdot(CH_3)_2P\cdot(CH_3)_2P\cdot(CH_3)_2P\cdot(CH_3)_2P\cdot(CH_3)_2P\cdot(CH_3)_2P\cdot(CH_3)_2P\cdot(CH_3)_2P\cdot(CH_3)_2P\cdot(CH_3)_2P\cdot(CH_3)_2P\cdot(CH_3)_2P\cdot(CH_3)_2P\cdot(CH_3)_2P\cdot(CH_3)_2P\cdot(CH_3)_2P\cdot(CH_3)_2P\cdot(CH_3)_2P\cdot(CH_3)_2P\cdot(CH_3)_2P\cdot(CH_3)_2$ CuSCN]Li₂, [(CH₃)₂CuCN]Li₂, [3-methoxy-3,3-dimethylbutynyl- $CuCH_3$]Li, [(C_6H_{11})₂PCuCH₃]Li] was prepared according to literature procedure(s) in a 10-mL round-bottom flask that had been purged with nitrogen and flame-dried. The cuprate solution was cooled to -78 °C and then a solution of 31 (0.50 mmol) in 2 mL of THF was slowly added to the cuprate solution via a double-tipped needle. The reaction mixture was allowed to slowly warm to 0 °C over a 1-h period where it was quenched with 2 mL of methanol. The reaction mixture was poured into 30 mL NH₄Cl (satd) and 50 mL Et₂O. The ether layer was separated and the aqueous layer was extracted with 2×20 mL of Et₂O. The combined organic layers were washed with 20 mL of water and 20 mL of brine and dried over MgSO4. Concentration in vacuo gave a crude yellow oil. Purification by column chromatography (10% ethyl acetate/petroleum ether) gave pure compounds 31, (E)-32, (Z)-32, 33, and 34.

31 (R_f 0.34): IR (CHCl₃) 3050 (m), 3000 (s), 2930 (s), 1720 (m), 1660 (br, s), 1500 (s), 1460 (s), 1420 (s), 1230 (br s), 1140 (m) cm⁻¹; NMR (90 MHz) δ 7.46–7.05 (m, 5 H), 3.20–2.72 (m, 2 H), 2.70–1.80 (m, 5 H), 2.34 (s, 6 H); ¹³C NMR δ 198.6, 148.3, 144.6, 137.3, 128.4 (2 C), 126.5 (2 C), 126.4, 41.6, 40.7, 40.4, 30.7, 18.1 (2 C); mass spectrum m/e 278.0800 (M⁺) (calcd for C₁₅H₁₈OS₂: 278.0799).

(*E*)-32 (R_f 0.30): UV max (CH₃OH) 309 (ϵ 14000); IR (CHCl₃) 3070 (vw), 3055 (w), 3030 (m), 2920 (s), 2880 (m), 1715 (w), 1670 (br, s), 1610 (m), 1550 (s), 1530 (s), 1505 (s), 1460 (s), 1435 (s), 1375 (m), 1335 (m), 1305 (m), 1275 (s), 1240 (s), 1210 (m), 1180 (m), 1160 (m), 1080 (m), 990 (m), 965 (w) cm⁻¹; NMR (90 MHz) δ 7.44–7.05 (m, 5 H), 3.18–2.76 (m, 2 H), 2.67–2.20 (m, 3 H), 2.44 (s, 3 H), 2.32 (s, 3 H), 2.26–1.85 (m, 2 H); ¹³C NMR δ 198.5, 151.5, 145.5, 145.1, 128.4 (2 C), 126.6 (2 C), 126.3, 41.0, 40.8, 37.9, 30.2, 18.4, 13.9.

(Z)-32 (R_f 0.18): UV max (CH₃OH) 313 (ϵ 8900); IR (CHCl₃) 3070 (vw), 3050 (w), 3030 (m), 2930 (s), 2880 (m), 1710 (w), 1670 (s), 1650 (s), 1640 (s), 1605 (m), 1530 (br, s), 1500 (s), 1460 (s), 1430 (s), 1330 (m), 1310 (m), 1270 (vs), 1240 (s), 1180 (m), 1160 (m), 1080 (m), 980 (m), 960 (w) cm⁻¹; NMR (90 MHz) δ 7.43–7.08 (m, 5 H), 3.14–2.76 (m, 2 H), 2.74–2.37 (m, 3 H), 2.28 (s, 3 H), 2.17–1.85 (m, 2 H), 2.11 (s, 3 H); ¹³C NMR δ 197.2, 154.5, 145.4, 145.0, 128.4 (2 C), 126.5 (2 C), 126.3, 41.0, 38.9, 38.1, 29.1, 18.3, 15.7.

33 (R_f 0.55): IR 3070 (w), 3050 (m), 2990 (s), 2920 (br, s), 1715 (s), 1680 (vs), 1605 (s), 1500 (m), 1460 (s), 1430 (m), 1380 (m), 1290 (m), 1275 (m), 1240 (br, m), 1175 (m), 1140 (m), 1070 (m), 1035 (m), 990 (m), 960 (w) cm⁻¹; NMR (90 MHz) δ 7.52–6.96 (m, 5 H), 3.15–2.70 (m, 2 H), 2.66–2.35 (m, 3 H), 2.31–1.89 (m, 2 H), 2.03 (d, J = 1.7 Hz, 3 H), 1.76 (d, J = 1.2 Hz, 3 H); ¹³C NMR δ 203.2, 145.3, 143.7, 131.1, 128.4(2C), 126.5(2C), 126.3, 41.8, 41.4, 37.6, 31.1, 23.0, 22.1; mass spectrum m/e 214.1368 (M⁺) (calcd for C₁₅H₁₈O: 214.1358).

34 (R_f 0.24): IR (CHCl₃) 3030 (w), 2930 (m), 1725 (br, m), 1670 (br, s), 1610 (w), 1550 (s), 1500 (m), 1460 (m), 1440 (m), 1310 (s), 1270 (vs), 1240 (m), 1190 (m), 1050 (m) cm⁻¹; NMR (90 MHz) δ 7.61 (m, 1 H), 7.45–7.10 (m, 5 H), 3.20–1.84 (m, 7 H), 2.46 (s, 3 H); ¹³C NMR δ 195.4, 145.0, 144.6, 130.0, 128.6 (2 C), 127.6 (2 C), 126.5, 40.4, 38.5, 35.6, 30.1, 17.5.

X-ray Analysis. Vinylogous thiol ester (*E*)-**15d** crystallized as large, colorless plates. A small fragment of one such sheet extinguished cleanly in polarized light. The space group is P_{2_1}/c , with cell constants of a = 8.571 (5) Å, b = 19.39 (1) Å, c = 7.298 (3) Å, $\beta = 110.17$ (4)°, V =

⁽⁴⁶⁾ Paquer, D.; Pou, R. Bull. Chim. Soc. Fr. 1972, 3887.

1138 (1) Å. $C_{11}H_{18}OS$ has a molecular weight of 198.32 amu, and with Z = 4. The calculate density is 1.16 g/cm³. Data were collected on a Syntex P2₁ four-circle diffractometer in the θ -2 θ mode using copper radiation, λ 1.5418 Å; 1034 reflections were retained as observed, 250 rejected as unobserved. The structure was solved by direct methods⁴ and refined by least-squares methods.⁴⁸ The final agreement factors were $R_1 = 0.04$, $R_2 = 0.05$. The final difference map was judged to be free of significant features. Final atomic positional parameters are given in Table IV.

Acknowledgment. We are pleased to acknowledge support of this investigation by NSF (Grant CHE-8219093) and NIH (Grant GM 31776-01A1). We thank Regina Zibuck and Professor Amos B. Smith, III, of the University of Pennsylvania for providing several 300-MHz NMR spectra. We thank Dr. Catherine E. Costello, Associate Director of the Massachusetts Institute of Technology Mass Spectrometry Laboratory (NIH Division of Research Resources, Grant No. RR00317 to K. Biemann), for the high-resolution mass spectra.

Registry No. 1, 17649-89-7; **2**, 17649-90-0; **3**, 96898-99-6; **4**, 79300-00-8; **5**, 17649-86-4; **6**, 87615-87-0; **7**, 51507-08-5; **8**, 5780-61-0; **9**, 21441-31-6; **10**, 89489-55-4; **11**, 96899-00-2; **12a**, 96899-01-3; **12b**, 96899-02-4; **13a**, 96899-03-5; **13b**, 96899-04-6; (*E*)-**14**, 96899-05-7; (*Z*)-**14**, 86310-05-6; (*E*)-**15a**, 84308-03-2; (*Z*)-**15a**, 96899-06-8; (*E*)-**15b**, 84308-04-3; (*Z*)-**15b**, 96899-07-9; (*E*)-**15c**, 87615-88-1; (*Z*)-**15c**,

96899-08-0; (E)-15d, 84308-05-4; (Z)-15d, 84308-06-5; (E)-15e, 96899-09-1; (Z)-15e, 96899-10-4; 16, 96899-11-5; (E)-17a, 84308-07-6; (Z)-17a, 84308-08-7; (E)-17b, 96899-12-6; (Z)-17b, 96899-13-7; (E)-17c, 96899-14-8; (Z)-17c, 96899-15-9; (E)-17d, 96899-16-0; (Z)-17d, 96899-17-1; (E)-18a, 96899-18-2; (Z)-18a, 96899-19-3; (E)-18b, 96899-20-6; (Z)-18b, 96899-21-7; (E)-19, 84308-09-8; (Z)-19, 84308-10-1; (E)-20a, 86310-01-2; (Z)-20a, 62453-10-5; (E)-20b, 86310-02-3; (Z)-20b, 96899-22-8; (E)-20c, 96899-23-9; (Z)-20c, 96899-24-0; (E)-20d, 96899-25-1; (Z)-20d, 96899-26-2; 21, 33944-94-4; (E)-22a, 86310-03-4; (Z)-22a, 96899-27-3; (E)-22b, 86310-04-5; (Z)-22b, 96899-28-4; (E)-22c, 96899-29-5; (Z)-22c, 96899-30-8; (E)-22d, 96899-31-9; (Z)-22d, 96899-32-0; 23, 96899-33-1; (E)-24a, 87615-89-2; (Z)-24a, 84308-11-2; (E)-24b, 96899-34-2; (Z)-24b, 96899-35-3; (E)-25; 49784-51-2; (Z)-25, 49784-64-7; (E)-26, 96899-36-4; (E)-27a, 40595-76-4; (E)-27b, 96899-37-5; (E)-27c, 96899-38-6; (Z)-27c, 96899-39-7; 28, 15904-85-5; (E)-29a, 96899-40-0; (Z)-29a, 96899-41-1; (E)-29b, 96899-42-2; (Z)-29b, 96899-43-3; 30, 66716-63-0; 31, 96899-44-4; (E)-32, 96899-45-5; (Z)-32, 96899-46-6; 33, 96899-47-7; 34, 96899-48-8; 35a, 96899-49-9; 35b, 96899-50-2; i, 96913-37-0; (CH₃)₂CuLi, 15681-48-8; (PhSCuCH₃)Li, 56831-21-1; (MeOCMe₂C=CCuCH₃)Li, 79135-33-4; (PhSCuBu)Li, 53128-68-0; (MeOCMe₂C=CCuBu)Li, 66777-74-0; (PhSCuBu-sec)Li, 53972-31-9; (PhSCuBu-t)Li, 50281-66-8; (PhSCuC₃H₅)Li, 84180-46-1; (MeOCMe₂C \equiv CCuC₃H₅)Li, 96898-97-4; (PhSCuCH2CH2CH=CMe2)Li, 61136-35-4; BuCu, 34948-25-9; t-BuCu, 56583-96-1; Ph₃P·(CH₃)₂CuLi, 96898-98-5; n-Bu₃P·(CH₃)₂CuLi, 61817-79-6; [(C₆H₁₁)₂PCuCH₃]Li, 88766-01-2; [(CH₃)₂CuSCN]Li₂, 91606-28-9; [(CH₃)₂CuCN]Li₂, 80473-70-7; (CH₃CuCN)Li, 41753-78-0; EtSH, 75-08-1; CH₃SSO₂CH₃, 2949-92-0; 2-formylcyclopentanone, 1192-54-7; (E)-2-(methylthiomethylene)cyclopentanone, 82753-83-1.

Supplementary Material Available: Atomic thermal parameters and structure factor tables for (E)-15d (11 pages). Ordering information is given on any current masthead page.

Carbon-Oxygen Bond Cleavage Reactions by Electron Transfer. 2. Electrochemical Formation and Dimerization Reaction Pathways of Cyanodiphenyl Ether Radical Anions

Miles D. Koppang, Neil F. Woolsey, and Duane E. Bartak*

Contribution from the Department of Chemistry, University of North Dakota, Grand Forks, North Dakota 58202. Received December 7, 1984

Abstract: The radical anions of 4-cyanodiphenyl ether (1) and 2-cyanodiphenyl ether (2) have been electrochemically generated and subsequently shown to dimerize in dry N,N-dimethylformamide (DMF). The radical anion of 1 undergoes irreversible dimerization ($k_2 = 1.1 \times 10^2 \text{ M}^{-1} \text{ s}^{-1}$) to form dimeric dianions, which result from coupling either at the 2 and 4 positions or at the 4 and 4 positions with respect to the cyano substituent. The 4-4 coupled dianion undergoes carbon-oxygen bond cleavage with loss of phenoxide ions ($k_1 = 3.8 \times 10^{-2} \text{ s}^{-1}$) to form 4,4'-dicyanobiphenyl (3). The 2-4 coupled dianion undergoes relatively rapid loss of one phenoxide ion to form an anion, which can be either further reduced to a radical dianion or oxidized in a two-electron process to 2,4'-dicyano-5-phenoxybiphenyl (4). The radical anion of 2 reversibly dimerizes ($k_f = 1.2 \times 10^3$ $M^{-1} \text{ s}^{-1}$, $k_b = 9.5 \times 10^{-2} \text{ s}^{-1}$) to three possible coupled dimeric dianions. Although the 4-4 and 4-6 coupled products (respective to the cyano substituent) are apparently resistant to further reactions, the 2-4 coupled product undergoes loss of phenoxide ion to produce an anion which can be oxidized to 2',4-dicyano-3-phenoxybiphenyl (5).

Introduction

Recent reports on the reductive carbon-oxygen bond cleavage of cyano-substituted anisole radical anions suggested decay by three major pathways, which are dependent on the electron-density distribution of the particular radical-anion isomer.¹ In the case of 2-cyanoanisole, dimerization of the radical anion results in the intermediacy of a dimeric dianion, which undergoes intramolecular electron transfer to produce 2-cyanophenoxide, methide ion, and 2-cyanoanisole. No dimeric-type products were observed either by cyclic voltammetry or analysis of the final products of electrolysis. In contrast to the 2-cyano isomer, the 4-cyanoanisole radical anion undergoes facile carbon-oxygen bond cleavage to form 4-cyanophenoxide and methyl radical.

There are no reports on the reductive electrochemical cleavage of carbon-oxygen bonds in diaryl ethers, including either diphenyl ether or substituted diphenyl ethers. In contrast, there are several reports on the reductive cleavage of diphenyl ether using chemical reductants.²⁻¹⁰ Recent data by Woolsey and co-workers suggest

⁽⁴⁷⁾ Main, P. "Multan 78", Department of Physics, University of York, York, England.

⁽⁴⁸⁾ Stewart, J. M. "The XRAY System", Technical Report TR-446 of the Computer Science Center, University of Maryland, College Park, MD, version of 1976.

⁽¹⁾ Koppang, M. D.; Woolsey, N. F.; Bartak, D. E. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1984, 106, 2799.

⁽²⁾ Stock, L. M. In "Coal Science"; Gorbaty, M. L., Larson, J. W., Wender, I., Eds.; Academic Press: New York, 1982; Vol. 1, pp 169–173, reviews carbon-oxygen bond cleavage pathways in reductive alkylation reactions.