

Bioorganic & Medicinal Chemistry Letters 9 (1999) 2019-2024

4-HYDROXY-5,6-DIHYDRO-2*H*-PYRAN-2-ONES. 3. BICYCLIC AND HETERO-AROMATIC RING SYSTEMS AS 3-POSITION SCAFFOLDS TO BIND TO S₁' AND S₂' OF THE HIV-1 PROTEASE ENZYME.

Edmund L. Ellsworth,** John Domagala,^a J.V.N. Vara Prasad,^a Susan Hagen,^a Donna Ferguson,^b Tod Holler,^b Donald Hupe,^b Neil Graham,^b Caroline Nouhan,^b Peter J. Tummino,^b Greg Zeikus,^b and Elizabeth A. Lunney,^a Department of Chemistry,^a and Biochemistry,^b Parke-Davis Pharmaceutical Research Division of the Warner-Lambert Company, 2800 Plymouth Road, Ann Arbor, MI 48105, U.S.A.

Received 18 January 1999; accepted 2 June 1999

Abstract: 5,6-Dihydro-2*H*-pyran-2-ones are potent inhibitors of HIV-1 protease, which bind to the S_1 , S_2 , S_1 ', and S_2 ' pockets and have a unique binding mode with the catalytic aspartyl groups and the flap region of the enzyme. Efforts to explore 3-position heterocyclic scaffolds that bind to the S_1 ' and S_2 ' pockets have provided a number of selected analogs that display high HIV-1 protease inhibitory activity. © 1999 Elsevier Science Ltd. All rights reserved.

Introduction

The elucidation of the cellular events culminating in viral replication of the human immunodeficiency virus (HIV-1) has led to the identification of HIV-1 protease as a target for therapeutic intervention in the treatment of AIDS.¹ In this area, we have recently reported 4-hydroxy-5,6-dihydro-2*H*-pyran-2-ones such as 1^2 and $2^{3,4}$ as inhibitors of HIV-1 protease. Compound 1 is a potent inhibitor of HIV-1 protease yet demonstrates little cellular activity. Appropriate modifications to add polarity to the molecule while also adding hydrogen



bonding interactions with the protein provides compounds such as 2 which exhibit subnanomolar potency against the protease enzyme and demonstrate good antiviral and pharmacologic activities.

X-ray crystallographic studies of these compounds² bound to the enzyme reveal that these molecules have a unique binding mode. The 4-hydroxyl group binds at the catalytic diad aspartate residues (Asp-25 and Asp-125) and the lactone moiety displaces H₂O-301 in the flap region, a water molecule that is typically conserved in the X-ray structures of peptidic inhibitors. These inhibitors hydrogen bond directly to NH's in the flap (Ile 50/150). The more potent S-enantiomer^{2,3} binds the 6-position isopropyl or phenyl group in the S₁ site and orients the phenethyl chain in the S₂ pocket. The 3-position substituent, which occupies the S₁' site via the *t*- butyl group and the S_2 ' site via the 5-methyl substituent is achiral, thus avoiding additional complexity of synthesis.

This paper will focus on our initial efforts to explore alternative scaffolds for the 3-position of the dihydropyrone ring through the introduction of heteroaromatic systems. It was hoped that the polarity associated with these systems might provide an alternative to the peripheral functionality as used in 2. Therefore, a series of compounds substituted with bicyclics and heteroaromatics at C-3 was designed and optimized.

Chemistry

The synthetic pathway for the preparation of the 4-hydroxy-5,6-diydropyran-2-one protease inhibitors (1 and 2) has been previously described.^{2,3} The preparations of compounds **4a-k** are shown in Scheme 1. Using



^aTsSR₁ (10a,b), K₂CO₃, DMF. ^bR₁SSCO₂Et (14c-•,g-k), NaHCO₃, EtOH. ^cR₁SCI (18), Et₃N, THF.



the previously described 5,6-diydropyran-2-one 3^2 , the 3-position sulfur side chains were attached using an appropriately activated sulfur coupling reagents: 10a and 10b (tosylthiolates)³, 14c-e, g-k (activated disulfides) and 19 (sulfenyl chloride). The activated sulfur electrophiles were prepared as shown in Schemes 2, 3 and 4. Tosylthiolates 10a and 10b were prepared from phenols 5a and 5b (Scheme 2).⁵ Derivatization with dimethylthiocarbamoyl chloride provides 6a and 6b, which are then thermally rearranged to 8a and 8b.⁶ Reduction of the thiocarbamoyl groups provides 9a and 9b, which when treated with tosylbromide yields 10a and 10b.²

Activated sulfur derivatives 14c-e, g-k were prepared as shown in Scheme 3 from $11c^{7a}$, d^{7b} , g, h, I, k and bromides 12e (from $11e^{7c}$), j by metallation of each with n-BuLi and then quenching the reaction mixtures with elemental sulfur to provide thiols 13c-e, g-k. The thiols were used crude and treated with chlorocarbonylsulfenylchloride in ethanol to provide 14c-e, h-k which was used without purification.

Sulfenyl halide 18 was prepared as shown in Scheme 4 starting from 15. Flouro displacement with tbutylamine followed by reduction of the nitro group provides 16 in high yield. Treatment of 16 with carbon



^at-BuOH, H₃PO₄, 90°C. ^bdimethylthiocarbarnoyl chloride, NaH, DMF ^c300°C. ^dLAH, Et₂O. ^eTosyl bromide, CCl₄, Et₃N.

disulfide at elevated temperature generates 17. Chlorination with n-chlorosuccinamide provides 18 which is used without purification.



^an-BuLi, THF, -40 ^oC. ^bBr₂, Et₂O ^cn-BuLi, THF, -78 ^oC. ^dS₈, -78 ^oC to RT. ^achlorocarbonylsulfenyl chloride, NaHCO₃, EtOH.





The synthetic pathway for the preparation of 19 is shown in Scheme 5. Thiol 13d, prepared as described in Scheme 3, was treated with tosyl bromide to provide 20 which was then coupled with 21^3 to provide 19.

Results and Discussion

The binding site of the protease enzyme is actually not a series of distinct pockets conventionally described as S_1 , S_2 , etc.; rather a continuum from one end of the cleft to the other. As ligands bind, they not only fill the various defined binding pockets but the regions in between them. Compound 1 fills in a more conventional manner S_1 and S_2 . At S_1 ' and S_2 ', a 3-position scaffold is provided that is well complemented in size and shape to fill the pockets yet quite different than that typically observed with most peptide-like inhibitors. Molecular modeling has shown that larger bicyclic ring systems can be accommodated by the protease enzyme in

this region. In order to explore this proposal, two nonheterocyclic analogs of compound 1 (4a and 4b) have been prepared. Compound 4a is equipotent with 1 and approximately threefold more potent than 4b (Table 1) using pH 4.7 data.^{2b} The 5-membered ring of 4a is envelope-shaped and less sterically demanding than the twistboat conformation of 4b, yet each demonstrates that a larger bicyclic system is compatible in this region. Holding the *t*-butyl constant, a number of heteroaromatic systems were next examined as alternative 3-position scaffolds (4c-f) proposed to fill a similar region to that of the 3-positions of 4a and 4b and to increase the polarity of the system. Compounds 4c, 4d and 4e are approximately equipotent with 1. The most polar heterocyclic replacement (4f) drops off precipitously demonstrating a lack of tolerance for some types of polar substitution near the core of the enzyme.

It has been reported² that the protease activities of some 5,6-dihydropyran-2-ones demonstrate significant pH dependence on the conditions in which the assay is run, due to the pKa of the 4-position hydroxyl group ($pK_a = 4.5 - 6.5$). This sensitivity to pH, however, has been found to be variable and appears to be

	Yield %	mp, °C	IC ₅₀ (nM) ^b	EC ₅₀ ^d (uM)		Yield %	mp, °C	IC ₅₀ (nM) ^b	EC ₅₀ ^d (uM)
1		ref 2a	$10(35)^{c}$	46	4g	62	62 - 64	28	>65
4a	60	140 - 141	9 (130) ^c	22	4h	88	70 - 72	74	>100
4b	83	68 - 70	28	33	4i	68	76 - 78	363	>100
4c	34	66 - 68	11 (210) ^c	31	4j	81	51 - 53	195	>100
4d	22	75 - 77	7 (17)°	>66	4k	89	79-81	290	>73
4 e	70	79 - 81	18 (211) ^c	10	2		ref. 3	$(1.0)^{\rm c}$ Ki = 0.09 nM	0.5
4f	92	135 - 137	505	61	19	97	207	$(< 1.0)^{\circ}$ Ki = < 0.1 nM	1.0

Table 1. Melting Points and Inhibition Data of the HIV Protease Inhibitors Reported in this Study.

⁴Yields are those obtained from the coupling step to provide the final product. ^bData obtained at pH 4.7 and are averages of at least 2 runs (refs 2 and 7). ^cData obtained at pH 6.2 and are averages of at least 2 runs (refs 2 and 7). ^dRef 3.

subject more to substitution than to pKa.² Thus, compounds 1, 4a, 4c, 4d and 4e were retested for inhibition of protease activity at pH 6.2 (Table 1). Compound 4d demonstrates little sensitivity to pH (twofold) relative to the other bicyclic systems tested (>tenfold). It is also 2-fold more potent than 1 at pH 6.2.

Compound **4d** was docked in the binding site of the HIV protease structure using the genetic algorithm docking program GOLD.^{8,9} Five docking runs were carried out. As expected, all results generally orient the dihydropyrone ring system as has been observed in the X-ray structures of HIV protease bound with members of the dihydropyrone series.¹⁰ The highest scoring result is shown in Figure 1. The phenylethyl group is oriented in S_2 , the phenyl ring in S_1 and the *t*-butyl moiety in S_1 '. Figure 1 also shows how, relative to the peptidomimetic inhibitor, A74704¹⁰, the benzothiophene ring binds in the region occupied by the backbone and side chain of the

A74704 P_2 ' Val and further extends into what would be considered the P_3 ' region. The benzothiophene lies within 4 Å of several residues in the protease cleft, and forms hydrophobic contacts with Ile50, Val132 and Ala128. Substituted with the *t*-butyl substituent ortho to the sulfur, the benzothiophene template is a steric complement of the prime region of the enzyme.



Figure 1. Compound 4d docked in the HIV protease binding site using the program $GOLD^{8,9}$ is overlaid with the peptidomimetic inhibitor, A74704, in its bound conformation in the X-ray crystal structure. The protein is from the docking experiment and is colored greenblue. The inhibitors are shown in ball-and-stick rendering and are colored by atom type (nitrogen - blue, hydrogen - cyan, oxygen - red, sulfur - yellow, carbon for compound 4 - magenta, carbon for A74704 white). The binding sites are labeled.

In order to examine the importance of the predicted binding mode of 4d and the enzyme, a number of additional derivatives were prepared (compounds 4g - k). It is apparent that as one replaces the *t*-butyl group with smaller substituents that the activity drops off (4g, 4h and 4i), thereby demonstrating the significance of the large *t*-butyl as a P₁' substituent and its requirement² to maintain high potency.

Understanding the degree of interactions between the phenyl of the benzothiophene ring and the S_2 ' pocket necessitated the synthesis of 4j and 4k. Compound 4h demonstrates a small enhancement in activity over 4j (2.5 to 3-fold). Compounds 4i and 4k are equipotent. However, a fivefold enhancement in activity is observed going from the unsubstituted benzothiophene 4i to substituted 4h. This trend is not observed with 4k to 4j (<twofold). This observation may be explained by the benefit conferred by additional hydrophobic interactions between the inhibitor and the residues in the cleft in S_2 '. It appears, however, that in order to take advantage of these interactions, an appropriate P_1 ' substituent must also be present (4h vs 4j and 4i vs 4k).

The 3-position benzothiophene ring system of 4d coupled to the more optimal dihydropyran-2-one of 2 provides 19, which is an extraordinary inhibitor of the protease enzyme with an IC_{50} of < 1.0 nM at pH 6.2 more than 15-fold more potent than 4d and equipotent with 2.

The antiviral activity of 4d is similar to that of 1.^{2a} However, the more potent compound 19, containing the 6-isopropyl substituted dihydropyran-2-one, exhibits an antiviral EC_{50} of 1.0 uM which is similar to that observed for 2 ($EC_{50} = 0.5$ uM).^{2a}

In conclusion, a study of a variety of heterocycles as replacements for the substituted 3-postion phenyl used in compound 1 was undertaken. The benzothiophene ring system of 4d was found to be one of the best heterocycles examined to date. This substituent when combined with the dihydropyrone 21 provides 19 which demonstrates significant enzymatic and antiviral activity. Indeed, the use heterocycles may prove to be an effective alternative to that which has been previously employed³ to enhance the cellular activity of dihydropyrones as inhibitors of HIV-1 protease. More research taking this concept forward is underway.

References

- (a)Tomasselli, A. G.; Howe, J. W.; Sawyer, T. K.; Wlodawer, A.; Heinrikson, R. L Chimica Ogg.i 1991, 9, 6. (b) McQuade, T. K.; Tomasselli, A. G.; Liu, L.; Karacostas, V.; Moss, B.; Sawyer, T. K.; Heinrikson, R. L.; Tarpley, W. G. Science 1990, 247, 454. (c) Seelmeier, S.; Schmidt, H.; Turk, V.; von der Helm, K. A. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A. 1988, 85, 6612. (d) Kaplan, A. H.; Zack, J. A.; Knigge, M.; Paul, D. A.; Kempf, D. J.; Norbeck, D. W.; Swanstrom, R. J. J. Virol. 1993, 67, 4050. (e) Chang, H. E. J. Physicians Assoc. AIDS Care 1994, 8 and the references included therein. (f) Meek, Thomas D. J. Enzyme Inhib. 1992, 6(1), 65.
- (a) Tait, B. D.; Hagen, S.; Domagala, J.; Ellsworth, E. L.; Gajda, C.; Hamilton, H. W.; Vara Prasad, J. V. N.; Ferguson, D.; Graham, N.; Hupe, D.; Nouhan, C.; Tummino, P. J.; Humblet, C.; Lunney, E. A.; Pavlovski, A.; Rubin, J.; Gracheck, S. J.; Baldwin, E. T.; Bhat, T. N.; Erickson, J. W.; Gulnick, S. V.; Liu, B. J. Med. Chem. 1997, 40, 3781. (b) Tummino, P. J.; Ferguson, D.; Jacobs, C. M.; Tait, B. D.; Hupe, L.; Lunney, E. A.; Hupe, D.; Arch. Biochem. Biophys. 1995, 316, 523.
- Hagen, S; Vara Prasad, J. V. N.; Boyer, F. E.; Domagala, J.; Ellsworth, E. L.; Gajda, C.; Hamilton, H. W.; Markoski, L. J.; Steinbaugh, B. A., Tait, B. D.; Lunney, E. A.; Tummino, P. J.; Ferguson, D.; Hupe, D.; Nouhan, C.; Gracheck, S. J.; Saunders, J. M.; VanderRost, S. J. Med. Chem. 1997, 40, 3707.
- Gajda C.; Boyer, F. E.; Ellsworth, E. L.; Hagen, S. E.; Hamilton, H. W.; Kibbey, C. E.; Lunney, E. A.; Markoski, L. J.; Pavlovsky, A.; Vara Prasad, J. V. N.; Rubin, J. Steinbaugh, B.; Tait, B. D.; Tummino, P. J.; Urumov, A.; Zeikus, E. Abstracts of Papers, 37th Interscience Conference on Antimicrobial Agents and Chemotherapy. Toronto, Canada, September 1997, Abstr. I-199b.
- 5. Kasturi, T. R.; Sivaramakrishnan, R. Ind. J. Chem. 1976, 14b, 319.
- 6. Newman, M. S.; Karnes, H. A. J. Org. Chem. 1966, 31, 3980.
- (a) Karakhanov, E. A.; Drovyannikova, G. V.; Viktorova, E. A. Khim. Geterotsikl. Soedin. 1971, 7(2), 156. (b) Cooper, J.; Scrowston, R. M J. Chem. Soc., Perkin Trans. 1 1972, 3, 414. (c) Cabiddu, S.; Cancellu, D.; Floris, C.; Gelli, G.; Melis, S. Synthesis 1988, 11, 888. (d) Doad, G. J. S.; Barltrop, J. A.; Petty, C. M.; Owen, T. C. Tetrahedron. Lett. 1989, 30(13), 1597.
- 8. Jones, G.; Willett, P.; Glen, R. C.; Leach, A. R. L.; Taylor, R. J. Mol. Biol. 1997, 267, 727.
- 9. Jones, G.; Willett, P.; Glen, R. C. J. Mol. Biol. 1995, 245, 43.
- Erickson, J. W.; Neidhardt, D. J.; VanDrie, J.; Kempf, D. J.; Wang, X. C.; Norbeck, D. W.; Plattner, J. J.; Rittenhouse, J. W.; Turon, M.; Wideburg, N.; Kohlbrenner, W. E.; Simmer, R.; Helfrich, R.; Paul, D. A.; Knigge, M. Science 1990, 249, 527.