The interaction of 4-ethoxy-1,1,1-trifluoro-3-buten-2-one with C-nucleophiles — organo-magnesium and -zinc compounds

M.G. Gorbunova, I.I. Gerus* and V.P. Kukhar

Institute of Bioorganic and Oil Chemistry, Ukrainian Academy of Sciences, Murmanskaya 1, 253660 Kiev (Ukraine)

(Received June 18, 1992; accepted December 1, 1992)

Abstract

4-Ethoxy-1,1,1-trifluoro-3-buten-2-one (1) reacts with phenylmagnesium bromide to give ethoxy group substitution products while the reaction of 1 with organozinc compounds gives products arising from 1,2-addition to the carbonyl group. Enone 1 reacts with electron-rich aromatic systems such as indole and N,N-dimethylaniline in the presence of a Lewis acid catalyst to give a β -arylvinyltrifluoromethylketone.

Introduction

We have reported previously [1, 2] that 1,1,1-trifluoro-4-ethoxy-3-buten-2-one (1) reacts readily with N-nucleophiles to give stable N-(β -trifluoroacetyl)vinyl amine derivatives. Compound 1 can be also used in peptide syntheses as a protection agent for amino groups. Kinetic investigations have shown that the reaction of enone 1 with diethylamine is 3-4-orders faster than that of the non-fluorinated analogue of 1, i.e. compound 2. The significant influence of the fluorine atoms on the electron-density distribution in α, β -unsaturated ketones was demonstrated by comparison of ¹³C NMR spectra of enone 1 and enone 2 [3].

Al ko
$$\alpha$$
 R = CF₃; Alk = Et (1)
 α R = Me; Alk = Me (2)
(1, 2)

With the enone 1, the 13 C NMR signal for the β -carbon atom shifts to lower field by 6.0 ppm relative to the position of the β -carbon atom for enone 2 (162.6 ppm). This indicates that the trifluoroacetyl group is more electron-withdrawing than the acetyl group. However, the regioselectivity N-nucleophilic reactions with enones 1 and 2 is the same, N- β -acetylvinyl compounds being formed in both cases. This paper deals with the behaviour of enone 1 in reactions with organomagnesium and -zinc compounds, i.e. C-nucleophilic agents, as well as with electron-rich aromatic systems.

Results and discussion

Enone 1 reacts with indole and N,N-dimethylaniline in the presence of the Lewis acid catalyst $ZnCl_2$ to give β -arylvinyltrifluoromethylketones 3, 4 because of the electron-deficiency of the β -carbon atom. In the case of indole, a few mole per cent of $ZnCl_2$ is sufficient to give a 60% yield of ketone 3. The yield of the reaction product in the case of N,N-dimethylaniline, even in the presence of excess $ZnCl_2$, was not more than 20%. It is probable that the action of the catalyst consists in $ZnCl_2$ -carbonyl group complex formation which results in a significant increase in the β -carbon atom electrophilicity.

The α, β -unsaturated ketones 3, 4 are coloured crystalline substances; from the ¹H NMR spectra, the vinyl protons are in the *trans* configuration.

All our attempts to carry out the β -trifluoroacetyl-vinylation of anisole with enone 1 were unsuccessful. The reaction does not proceed in the presence of ZnCl₂. The application of stronger Lewis acids such as BF₃ or TiCl₄ at room temperature or on heating only led to the formation of tars. Probably activation of the

^{*}To whom all correspondence should be addressed.

benzene ring by the methoxy group is insufficient in this case.

The reaction of phenylmagnesium bromide with enone 1 gives a mixture of two products, i.e. β -phenylvinyltrifluoromethylketone (5) and the allyl alcohol 6. The total yield of the mixture was 40–60% (based on 1).

Compounds 5, 6 are colourless liquids. According to the ¹H NMR spectra of 5 and 6, the vinyl fragments of the molecules are in the trans configuration. For a reaction time of 1-2 h, the ratio of the products 5 and 6 obtained was almost unity; increasing the reaction time resulted in a substantial increase in the reduction product 6 (GLC methods). The composition of the mixture and the ratio of products does not depend upon the order of addition of the reagents. Thus, the phenyl anion attacks enone 1 only in the β -position with substitution of ethoxy group (product 5) and alcohol 6 is formed by reduction of ketone 5 in the presence of organomagnesium compounds. However, the nonfluorinated ketone 2 reacts with phenylmagnesium bromide with attack on either the β -carbon atom or the carbonyl group to give a mixture of products 7 and 8 in a 1:2 ratio (GLC methods).

Compounds 7 and 8 were purified by column chromatography and identified by ¹H NMR spectroscopy. From the NMR spectra (which were identical to those quoted in the literature [4]), aldehyde 8 is a mixture of E and Z isomers (2:3).

Although fluorine substitution into the molecule of ketone 2 (enone 1) leads to anionic attack on the β -carbon atom alone, the formation of both 5 and 6 during this reaction makes it useless for the preparation of the pure ketone 5. The latter is formed as the sole product of the reaction of phenylmagnesium bromide with β -(N,N-diethylamino)vinyltrifluoromethylketone (9) which can be obtained easily in high yield from diethylamine and enone 1 [1, 5].

$$1 \xrightarrow{\text{EL}_2\text{NH}} \underset{\text{EL}_2\text{N}}{\underbrace{\text{PhMgBr}}} 5 \xrightarrow{\text{H}_2, \text{Pd/C}} \underset{\text{Ph}}{\underbrace{\text{O}}}$$

Ketone 5 was isolated with 55% yield in more than 99% purity (GLC methods). Hence, this reaction can be recommended as a simple and readily available method for the preparation of ketone 5 which was previously synthesized by the reaction of ω -styrylmagnesium bromide with trifluoroacetic acid [6] or by the condensation of benzaldehyde with trifluoroacetone [7]. Ketone 5 has been used for the synthesis of trifluoromethyl-containing retinoid [7] and studied as a murine hepatic cytosolic and microsomal epoxide hydrolase substrate [8]. Compound 5 may be reduced with hydrogen over palladium on carbon to give ketone 10 in high yield. According to the literature [9, 10], ketone 10 is a very effective carboxylesterase inhibitor.

In contrast to phenylmagnesium bromide, organozinc compounds formed *in situ* react with enone 1 only at the carbonyl group. Thus, under Reformatsky reaction conditions, enone 1 reacts with ethyl bromoacetate to give compound 11, whilst allylation of enone 1 results in the formation of dienol 12.

Compounds 11 and 12 are colourless viscous liquids. Even after thorough washing, enol 12 contains c. 5–10% of DMF. Ester 11 decomposes on vacuum distillation and hence was purified by column chromatography. The ¹H NMR spectra of compounds 11 and 12 are in complete agreement with the structures depicted. The vinyl fragment of enone 1 retains its *trans* configuration in the products of its reaction with organozinc compounds. Compounds 11 and 12 are β -trifluoromethyl- β -hydroxy-aldehyde derivatives and can be used as intermediates in the synthesis of biologically active fluorine-containing compounds.

Experimental

NMR spectra were determined on Bruker WP-200 and Varian Gemini-200 instruments using TMS and CCl₃F as internal references for ¹H and ¹⁹F respectively (positive sign corresponds to low field). UV spectra were measured on a Specord M-40 spectrophotometer. IR spectra were obtained on a Specord M-80 spec-

trometer. GLC analyses were undertaken using a Chrom-5 gas chromatograph equipped with an FID detector, thermostat temperature 100–150 °C with He as the carrier gas at a flow rate of 20–30 ml min⁻¹, the column being of stainless steel 3 min×240 mm, packed with SE-30 (5%) on Chromosorb N-AW-DMCS. Silica gel L 40/100 Lachema was used for column chromatography.

The starting materials were synthesized according to literature methods — enone 1 [1], enaminone 9 [5].

trans-1, 1, 1-Trifluoro-4-(3-indolyl)-3-buten-2-one (3)

To a solution of indole (0.82 g, 7 mmol) and enone 1 (1.2 g, 7 mmol) in CH_2Cl_2 (5 ml) was added $ZnCl_2$ (0.01 g, 1 mol%). The reaction mixture was stirred for 3 h at 22 °C. The resulting precipitate was filtered, washed with CH_2Cl_2 (2×10 ml) and dried. Yield 1.03 g (60.6%), m.p. 164 °C. UV (CH_2Cl_2) (λ_{max}): 379 nm. ¹H NMR (CD_3CN) δ : 8.2 (d, 1H, H_4 , J=15.4 Hz); 7.9 (m, 2H, H_{indole}); 7.83 (d, 1H, $H_{2-indole}$, J=2.8 Hz); 7.5 (m, 1H, H_{indole}); 7.25 (m, 2H, H_{indole}), 6.95 (d, 1H, H_3 , J=15.4 Hz) ppm. ¹⁸F NMR (CD_3CN) δ : -76.6 (s) ppm. IR (CH_2Cl_2) (cm⁻¹): 3430 (m) (NH); 1690 (m) (C=O); 1575 (vs) (C=C). Analysis: Found: C, 60.58; H, 3.14; F, 23.42%. $C_{12}H_8F_3NO$ requires: C, 60.25; H, 3.35; F, 23.44%.

trans-1, 1, 1-Trifluoro-4-(4-dimethylaminophenyl)-3-buten-2-one (4)

To a solution of N,N-dimethylaniline (0.88 g, 7 mmol) and enone 1 (1.2 g, 7 mmol) in CH_2Cl_2 (5 ml) was added $ZnCl_2$ (0.2 g, 20 mol%). The reaction mixture was stirred for 14 h at 20 °C. It was then washed, extracted with diethyl ether (3×40 ml) and dried with MgSO₄. The solvent was evaporated and the product 4 recrystallized from hexane. Yield 0.34 g (19.5%), m.p. 118–119 °C. UV (CH_2Cl_2) (λ_{max}): 437 nm. ¹H NMR (CD_3CN) δ : 7.86 (d, 1H, H_4 , J=15.4 Hz); 7.5 (d, 2H, C_6H_4 , J=8.8 Hz); 6.7 (d, 1H, H_3 , J=15.4 Hz); 6.6 (d, 2H, C_6H_4 , J=8.8 Hz) ppm. ¹⁹F NMR ($CDCl_3$) δ : -77.6 (s) ppm. IR (CH_2Cl_2) (cm⁻¹): 1685 (m) (C=O); 1560 (vs) (C=C). Analysis: Found: C, 59.35; C, 50.2; C, 24.04%. C₁₀C₁₂C₁₃NO requires: C, 59.27; C₁, 5.02; C₁, 23.85%.

trans-1, 1, 1-Trifluoro-4-phenyl-3-buten-2-ol (6)

To a solution of PhMgBr [prepared from 0.88 g (37 mmol) of Mg and 4.9 g (37 mmol) of PhBr in diethyl ether (30 ml)] was added a solution of enone 1 (5 g, 29.7 mmol) in diethyl ether (10 ml) with stirring in an argon atmosphere at -10 °C. The mixture was warmed to 20 °C and stirred for 20 h. The resulting mixture was then poured into water, acidified with 1 N HCl to a pH value of 4-5, extracted with diethyl ether (3×20 ml) and dried with MgSO₄. The solvent was

evaporated. Distillation of the residue gave alcohol **6**. Yield 2.46 g (42.5%), b.p. 88–90 °C/10 mmHg, m.p. 42–43 °C (recrystallized from pentane). Lit. value [11], b.p. 76–77 °C/1 mmHg. ¹H NMR (CDCl₃) δ : 7.4–7.2 (m, 5H, C₆H₅); 6.8 (d, 1H, H₄, J=15.6 Hz); 6.1 (dd, 1H, H₃, J=15.6, 6.3 Hz); 4.55 (ddq, 1H, H₂, J=6.3, 5.8, 6.4 Hz); 2.27 (d, 1H, OH, J=5.8 Hz) ppm. ¹°F NMR (CDCl₃) δ : -79.7 (d, J=6.4 Hz) ppm.

Interaction of PhMgBr with trans-4-methoxy-3-buten-2-one (2)

To a solution of PhMgBr [prepared from 2.48 g (18 mmol) of PhBr and 0.45 g (18 mmol) of Mg in diethyl ether (30 ml)] was added *trans*-4-methoxy-3-buten-2-one (2) (1.5 g, 15 mmol) at 0 °C. The mixture was warmed to room temperature and stirred for 10 h. It was then made up with a saturated solution of NH₄Cl (40 ml), extracted with diethyl ether (3×20 ml) and dried with MgSO₄. The solvent was evaporated. A mixture of benzalacetone 7 and aldehyde 8 was separated by column chromatography using silica gel and chloroform/hexane (1:1) as eluent. Yield 0.35 g (15.8%) of aldehyde 8 (R_t =0.46) and 0.16 g (7.2%) of benzalacetone 7 (R_f =0.26). The ¹H NMR spectra of compounds 7 and 8 were in accordance with those reported in the literature [4].

trans-1, 1, 1-Trifluoro-4-phenyl-3-buten-2-one (5)

To a solution of PhMgBr [prepared from 0.62 g (26 mmol) of Mg and 3.38 g (26 mmol) of PhBr in diethyl ether (30 ml)] was added a solution of enaminone 9 (4 g, 20.5 mmol) in diethyl ether (10 ml) at 0 °C. The reaction mixture was stirred for 20 h at 20 °C. The mixture was then poured into water, acidified with 6 N HCl to a pH value of 4–5, extracted with diethyl ether, dried with MgSO₄ and the solvent evaporated. Distillation of the residue gave ketone 5. Yield 2.16 g (52.7%), b.p. 79–80 °C/10 mmHg. Lit. value [6], b.p. 57 °C/0.3 mmHg. ¹H NMR (CDCl₃) δ : 7.9 (d, 1H, H₄, J=15.5 Hz); 7.5 (m) and 7.4 (m, 5H, C₆H₅); 6.95 (dq, 1H, H₃, J=15.5, 0.8 Hz) ppm. ¹⁹F NMR (CDCl₃) δ : -78.2 (d, J=0.8 Hz) ppm. IR (CH₂Cl₂) (cm⁻¹): 1730 (s) (C=O); 1618 (vs) (C=C).

1, 1, 1-Trifluoro-4-phenylbutan-2-one (10)

Hydrogen was bubbled through a mixture of ketone 5 (1.65 g, 8 mmol) and Pd/C (5%, 0.1 g) in dry ethyl acetate (6 ml) for 12 h. The catalyst was then filtered and the solvent evaporated. Distillation of the residue gave ketone 10. Yield 1.02 g (61%), b.p. 97 °C/20 mmHg. Lit. value [12], b.p. 75–77 °C/4 mmHg. 1 H NMR (CDCl₃) δ : 7.3–7.1 (m, 5H, C₆H₅); 2.94 (m, 4H, 2CH₂) ppm. 19 F NMR (CDCl₃) δ : -79.7 (s) ppm.

Ethyl trans-5-ethoxy-3-hydroxy-3-trifluoromethyl-4-pentenoate (11)

To a solution of ethyl bromoacetate (2.39 g, 20 mmol) and enone 1 (2.4 g, 14 mmol) in dry diethyl ether (15 ml) was added Zn powder (1.4 g, 21.5 mmol) and the reaction mixture refluxed for 3 h. It was then cooled to 20 °C and made up with a saturated solution of NH_4Cl (20 ml), extracted with diethyl ether (3×40 ml) and dried with MgSO₄. The solvent was evaporated and the residue separated by column chromatography using silica gel and chloroform/pentane (1:2) as the eluent. Yield 1.61 g (44%) ($R_f = 0.4$). ¹H NMR (CDCl₃) δ : 6.76 (d, 1H, H₅, J=12.6 Hz); 4.9 (s, 1H, OH); 4.75 (d, 1H, H₄, J = 12.6 Hz); 4.22 (q, 2H, 1-OCH₂, J = 7.2Hz); 3.78 (q, 2H, 5-OCH₂, J=7 Hz); 2.82 (d) and 2.64 (d, 2H, AB, 2-CH₂, J = 15.5 Hz); 1.29 (br t, 6H, 2CH₃, J=7 Hz) ppm. Analysis: Found: C, 46.73; H, 5.98; F, 22.10%. C₁₀H₁₅F₃O₄ requires: C, 46.90; H, 5.98; F, 22.26%.

trans-1-Ethoxy-3-hydroxy-3-trifluoromethyl-1,5-hexadiene (12)

To a solution of allyl bromide (1.3 g, 11 mmol) and enone 1 (1.2 g, 7 mmol) in DMF (5 ml) was added Zn powder (0.7 g, 11 mmol). The mixture was stirred for 1 h and then warmed to 50 °C. The mixture was then made up with a saturated solution of NH₄Cl (20 ml), extracted with diethyl ether (3×20 ml) and dried with MgSO₄. The solvent was evaporated and the residue

distilled. Yield 1.2 g (80.5%), b.p. 83–86 °C/20 mmHg. ¹H NMR (CDCl₃) δ : 6.67 (d, 1H, H₅, J= 12.8 Hz); 5.8 (m, 1H, H₅); 5.3–5.17 (m, 2H, 2H₆); 4.8 (d, 1H, H₂, J= 12.8 Hz); 3.8 (q, 2H, OCH₂, J= 7 Hz); 2.51 (m, 2H, CH₂); 2.2 (s, 1H, OH); 1.3 (t, 3H, CH₃, J= 7 Hz) ppm. Analysis: Found: C, 51.70; H, 6.39; F, 27.02%. C₉H₁₃F₃O₂ requires: C, 51.45; H, 6.19; F, 27.13%.

References

- 1 I.I. Gerus, M.G. Gorbunova, S.I. Vdovenko, Y.L. Yagupolskii and V.P. Kukhar, Zh. Org. Khim., 26 (1990) 1877.
- 2 M.G. Gorbunova, I.I. Gerus, S.V. Galushko and V.P. Kukhar, Synthesis, (1991) 207.
- 3 V.P. Kukhar, S.I. Vdovenko, I.I. Gerus, M.G. Gorbunova and G.L. Pit. Dokl. Akad. Nauk. Ukr., (1991) 129.
- 4 S.N. Makin, R.I. Kruglikova and N.N. Lenina, Zh. Org. Khim., 23 (1987) 938.
- 5 S.V. Pasenok, I.I. Gerus, E.A. Chayka and L.M. Yagupolskii, Zh. Org. Khim., 25 (1989) 379.
- 6 O. Neunhoffer, G. Aldsorf and H. Ulrich, Chem. Ber., 92 (1959) 252.
- 7 D. Mead, R. Loh, A.E. Asato and R.S. Lin, *Tetrahedron Lett.*, 26 (1985) 2873.
- 8 B.D. Hammock and L.S. Hasagaua, Biochem. Pharmacol., 32 (1983) 1155.
- 9 B.D. Hammock, Biochem. Pharmacol., 36 (1987) 1669.
- 10 B.A. Mohamed, D.E. Moody and B.D. Hammock, *Toxicol. Appl. Pharmacol.*, 89 (1987) 361.
- 11 T. Kitazume and N. Ishikawa, J. Fluorine Chem., 29 (1985) 431.
- 12 N. Ishikawa, M. Koh and T. Kitazume, J. Fluorine Chem., 24 (1984) 419.