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## Double-helical ruthenium complexes of

$2^{\prime}: 6^{\prime}, 2^{\prime \prime}: 6^{\prime \prime}, 2^{\prime \prime \prime}: 6^{\prime \prime \prime}, 2^{\prime \prime \prime}-$ quinquepyridine (qpy) are prepared from $\mathrm{RuCl}_{3} \cdot \mathrm{xH}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ and qpy and the crystal structure of $\left[\mathrm{Ru}_{2}(\mathrm{qpy})_{2}\left(\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{4}\right)\right]\left[\mathrm{CF}_{3} \mathrm{SO}_{3}\right]_{2} \cdot 6 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ determined; chemical and electrochemical oxidations of $\left[\mathrm{Ru}_{2}(\mathrm{qpy})_{2}\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)_{2}\right]^{4+}$ in aqueous solution generate high-valent oxoruthenium complexes of qpy, which are powerful oxidants.

Two classes of ruthenium polypyridyl complexes have initiated much interest in the past two decades. The first is ruthenium(II) complexes of aromatic diimines, represented by $\left[\mathrm{Ru}(\text { bipy })_{3}\right]^{2+}$ (bipy $=2,2^{\prime}$-bipyridine), ${ }^{1}$ as benchmark examples in photoinduced electron-transfer reactions. The other is high-valent oxoruthenium polypyridyl complexes which have important applications in oxidation chemistry. ${ }^{2}$ Herein is described an approach that by means of bridging oligopyridines such as qpy, ${ }^{3}$ it is possible to bring the photoreactive ruthenium-polypyridyl and $\mathrm{Ru}=\mathrm{O}$ fragments in the same molecule, and that these $\mathrm{Ru}-$ qpy complexes undergo interesting oxidation chemistry.
$\left[\mathrm{Ru}_{2}(\mathrm{qpy})_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}\right]^{2+} \mathbf{1} \dagger$ was prepared by refluxing $\mathrm{RuCl}_{3} \cdot x \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ (1 equiv.) with qpy and LiCl in ethylene glycol and was isolated as a dark red crystalline perchlorate salt. Reaction of $\mathbf{1}\left[\mathrm{ClO}_{4}\right]_{2}$ with $\mathrm{AgCF}_{3} \mathrm{SO}_{3}$ in water afforded $\left[\mathrm{Ru}_{2}(\mathrm{qpy})_{2}\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)_{2}\right]^{4+} 2$. $\left[\mathrm{Ru}_{2}(\mathrm{qpy})_{2}\left(\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{4}\right)\right]^{2+} \mathbf{3}$ and $\left[\mathrm{Ru}_{2}(\mathrm{qpy})_{2}(\mathrm{py})_{2}\right]^{4+} \mathbf{4}$ were prepared by the reaction of 2 with disodium oxalate and pyridine respectively. As expected, the UV-VIS absorption spectra of complexes $\mathbf{1}-\mathbf{4}$ show $\pi^{*}$ (diimine) $\leftarrow \mathrm{d}_{\pi}\left(\mathrm{Ru}^{\mathrm{II}}\right)$ charge-transfer transitions at $480-550 \mathrm{~nm}$. The structure of $\mathbf{3}\left[\mathrm{CF}_{3} \mathrm{SO}_{3}\right]_{2}$ has been established by a X-ray crystal analysis. $\ddagger$ As shown in Fig. 1, the structure features the first example of a doublehelical ruthenium complex. ${ }^{4}$ Both Ru atoms are in a distorted octahedral geometry with $\mathrm{Ru}(1)$ coordinated to two terpyridyl fragments in a mer configuration and $\mathrm{Ru}(2)$ bonds to the two bipyridyl fragments and to the bidentate oxalate. Similar to other double-helical metal complex of qpy, $\pi-\pi$ stacking between the terpyridyl and bipyridyl fragments of the two apy in 3 exists $^{3}$ with a close average stacking distance of $3.014 \AA$. A major twist of the qpy ligand occurs between the terpyridyl and

bipyridyl fragments with twist angles of 114.2 and $66.4^{\circ}$ for the two ligands. The $R u(1) \cdots R u(2)$ separation is $4.611(1) \AA$.

Like most ruthenium(II)-diimine complexes, these dinuclear ruthenium complexes have potential photochemical applications. For example, complex $\mathbf{3}$ shows an emission centred at 715 nm in $\mathrm{EtOH}-\mathrm{MeOH}$ glass at 77 K , which is attributed to the ${ }^{3}$ MLCT excited state.

Complex 2 displays interesting electrochemistry. As shown in Fig. 2, its cyclic voltammogram at pH 2.1 displays two reversible couples at 0.80 and $1.09 \mathrm{~V} v s$. SCE, both of which are pH dependent. Constant potential electrolysis at 0.95 V established $n=2.0 \pm 0.1$, suggesting the electrode reaction is represented by eqn. (1).
$\left[\mathrm{Ru}(1)^{\mathrm{IR} R}(2)^{1 \mathrm{H}}(\mathrm{qpy})_{2}\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)_{2}\right]^{4+} \rightleftharpoons$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left[\mathrm{Ru}(1)^{\mathrm{II} R u}(2)^{\mathrm{IV}}(\mathrm{qpy})_{2}\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)(\mathrm{O})\right]^{4+}+2 \mathrm{H}^{+}+2 \mathrm{e}^{-} \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since the couple at 1.09 V is of similar magnitude to that at 0.80 V , it is assigned to a two-electron reaction, presumably, the
 (2) $\left.{ }^{\mathrm{VI}}(\mathrm{qpy})_{2}(\mathrm{O})_{2}\right]^{4+}$ [eqn. (2)].
$\left[\mathrm{Ru}(1)^{\mu \mathrm{Ru}}(2)^{\mathrm{IV}}(\mathrm{qpy})_{2}\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)(\mathrm{O})\right]^{4+} \rightleftharpoons$
$\left[\mathrm{Ru}(1)^{\mathrm{II} R u}(2)^{\mathrm{VI}}(\mathrm{qpy})_{2}(\mathrm{O})_{2}\right]^{4+}+2 \mathrm{H}^{+} 2 \mathrm{e}^{-}$


Fig. 1 A perspective view of the complex cation $\left[\mathrm{Ru}_{2}(\mathrm{qpy})_{2}\left(\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{4}\right)\right]^{2+}$. Selected bond distances ( $\AA$ ) and angles ( ${ }^{\circ}$ ): $\mathrm{Ru}(1)-\mathrm{N}(1) 2.069(6), \mathrm{Ru}(1)-$ $\mathrm{N}(2) 1.986(6), \mathrm{Ru}(1)-\mathrm{N}(3) 2.139(6), \mathrm{Ru}(1)-\mathrm{N}(6) 2.071(6), \mathrm{Ru}(1)-\mathrm{N}(7)$ 1.982(6), $\mathrm{Ru}(1)-\mathrm{N}(8) 2.124(6), \mathrm{Ru}(2)-\mathrm{N}(4) 2.055(6), \mathrm{Ru}(2)-\mathrm{N}(5) 2.046(6)$, $\mathrm{Ru}(2)-\mathrm{N}(9) 2.085(6), \mathrm{Ru}(2)-\mathrm{N}(10)$ 2.034(6), $\mathrm{Ru}(2)-\mathrm{O}(1) 2.081(5), \mathrm{Ru}(2)-$ $\mathrm{O}(2) 2.077(5), \mathrm{N}(1)-\mathrm{Ru}(1)-\mathrm{N}(3) 156.8(2), \mathrm{N}(2)-\mathrm{Ru}(1)-\mathrm{N}(7)$ 170.3(3), $\mathrm{N}(6)-\mathrm{Ru}(1)-\mathrm{N}(8) 156.9(2), \mathrm{N}(5)-\mathrm{Ru}(2)-\mathrm{N}(10) 171.9(2), \mathrm{O}(1)-\mathrm{Ru}(2)-\mathrm{N}(9)$ 165.3(2), O(2)-Ru(2)-N(4) 166.9(2).


Fig. 2 Cyclic voltammograms of $\left[\mathrm{Ru}_{2}(\mathrm{qpy})_{2}\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)\right]^{4+}$ in $(a)$ the absence of alcohols and in the presence of $(b) 0.2 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{dm}^{-3}$ benzyl alcohol and (c) 0.2 $\mathrm{mol} \mathrm{dm}{ }^{-3}$ isopropyl alcohol at pH 2.1 , scan rate $50 \mathrm{mV} \mathrm{s}^{-1}$; basal-plane pyrolytic graphite working electrode

At $\mathrm{pH}=9.1$, four poorly resolved couples with potentials at $0.14,0.37,0.48$ and 0.59 V are observed and these are attributed to the stepwise electrochemical oxidations of cis-diaqua to cisdioxoruthenium(VI) [eqns. (3)-(6)].

$$
\begin{align*}
& {\left[\mathrm{Ru}(1)^{\mathrm{II}} \mathrm{Ru}(2)^{\mathrm{II}}(\mathrm{qpy})_{2}\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)_{2}\right]^{4+} \rightleftharpoons} \\
& {\left[\mathrm{Ru}(1)^{\mu \mathrm{Ru}}(2)^{\mathrm{II}}(\mathrm{qpy})_{2}(\mathrm{OH})_{2}\right]^{3+}+2 \mathrm{H}^{+}+\mathrm{e}^{-}}  \tag{3}\\
& {\left[\mathrm{Ru}(1)^{\mathrm{II}} \mathrm{Ru}(2)^{\mathrm{II}}(\mathrm{qpy})_{2}(\mathrm{OH})_{2}\right]^{3+} \rightleftharpoons} \tag{4}
\end{align*}
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
& {\left[\mathrm{Ru}(1)^{\mathrm{II} R u}(2)^{\mathrm{IV}}(\mathrm{qpy})_{2}(\mathrm{OH})(\mathrm{O})_{2}\right]^{3+} \rightleftharpoons} \\
& {\left[\mathrm{Ru}(1)^{\mu \mathrm{Ru}}(2)^{\mathrm{v}}(\mathrm{qpy})_{2}(\mathrm{O})_{2}\right]^{3+}+\mathrm{H}^{+}+\mathrm{e}^{-}}  \tag{5}\\
& {\left[\mathrm{Ru}(1)^{1 \mathrm{R} R u}(2)^{\mathrm{V}}(\mathrm{qpy})_{2}(\mathrm{O})_{2}\right]^{3+} \rightleftharpoons} \\
& {\left[\mathrm{Ru}(1)^{{ }^{I I} \mathrm{Ru}}(2)^{\mathrm{VI}_{\mathrm{I}}}(\mathrm{qpy})_{2}(\mathrm{O})_{2}\right]^{4+}+\mathrm{e}^{-}} \tag{6}
\end{align*}
$$

In the region $\mathrm{pH} 1-9$, there is no pH -independent oxidation couple suggesting that the potential required for the oxidation of $\mathrm{Ru}(1)$ is probably greater than $1.2 \mathrm{~V} v s$. SCE. This is consistent with the absence of an oxidation couple in the cyclic voltammogram of 4 measured in $\mathrm{CF}_{3} \mathrm{CO}_{2} \mathrm{H}\left(0.1 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{dm}^{-3}\right)$.
An oxoruthenium complex of qpy has been isolated. When an excess of $\left(\mathrm{NH}_{4}\right)_{2}\left[\mathrm{Ce}\left(\mathrm{NO}_{3}\right)_{6}\right]$ was added to a solution of $2\left[\mathrm{ClO}_{4}\right]_{4}$ in $\mathrm{CF}_{3} \mathrm{CO}_{2} \mathrm{H}\left(0.1 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{dm}{ }^{-3}\right)$ at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, the solution changed from deep red to reddish brown. Upon addition of $\mathrm{NaClO}_{4}$, a reddish brown solid was obtained and was identified as complex $5\left[\mathrm{ClO}_{4}\right]_{4}$. Its IR spectrum shows the expected $\mathrm{Ru}^{\mathrm{IV}}=\mathrm{O}$ stretching at $776 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$. Its UV-VIS absorption spectrum shows the characteristic $\pi^{*}($ diimine $) \leftarrow \mathrm{d}_{\pi}\left(\mathrm{Ru}^{\mathrm{II}}\right)$ transition at $c a .480 \mathrm{~nm}$. Presumably, one of the two ruthenium sites of $\mathbf{5}$ is at the $\mathrm{Ru}^{\mathrm{II}}$ state and this should be $\mathrm{Ru}(1)$. In acetonitrile and at room temperature, $5\left[\mathrm{ClO}_{4}\right]$ reacted with cyclooctene and styrene to give cyclooctene oxide in $50 \%$ yield and benzaldehyde in $85 \%$ yield. The ruthenium product after the oxidation was found to be $\left[\mathrm{Ru}_{2}(\mathrm{qpy})_{2}(\mathrm{MeCN})_{2}\right]^{4+} 6$. However, $5\left[\mathrm{ClO}_{4}\right]_{4}$ does not react with cyclohexane.
Complex 2 catalyses the electrochemical oxidation of alcohols and oxidation of alkenes and alkanes by Bu'OOH (Table 1). The cyclic voltammogram of 2 reveals electrocatalytic oxidation reactions in the presence of alcohols. As shown in Fig. 2, at pH 2.1 and in the presence of alcohols, the cyclic voltammogram reveals a large catalytic oxidative wave at $c a$. 1.0 V , which is attributed to the oxidation of alcohols by the electrogenerated high-valent oxoruthenium complexes of qpy. For the $\mathrm{Bu}^{\mathrm{t}} \mathrm{OOH}$ oxidations, high turnover numbers of the organic products have been found. The catalyst was robust and the turnovers of cyclohexanone increased to $>1000$ after reaction for 5 d .

Table 1 Catalytic oxidation of various organic substrates ( 2 mmol ) with $\left.\mathrm{Bu} \mathrm{OOH}_{(2 \mathrm{ml}}\right)$ by $\left[\mathrm{Ru}_{2}(\mathrm{qpy})_{2}\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)_{2}\right]\left[\mathrm{ClO}_{4}\right]_{4}(0.01 \mathrm{mmol})$ in acetone ( 10 ml ) at $20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 12 h

| Substrate | Product(s) | Turnover $^{a}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :---: |
| styrene | styrene oxide | 50 |
|  | benzaldehyde | 126 |
| cyclooctene | cyclooctene oxide | 168 |
| norbornene | exo-2,3-epoxynorborane | 111 |
| cyclohexane $^{b}$ | cyclohexanol | 308 |
|  | cyclohexanone | 395 |

${ }^{a}$ Based on the ratio of moles of oxidised products formed to moles of metal catalysed used. ${ }^{b}$ Reaction conditions: cyclohexane ( 4 ml ), TBHP ( 4 ml ) and $\left[\mathrm{Ru}_{2}(\mathrm{qpy})_{2}\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)_{2}\right]\left[\mathrm{ClO}_{4}\right]_{4}(0.02 \mathrm{mmol})$ in acetone $(10 \mathrm{ml})$ for 16 h.
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## Footnotes

+ UV-VIS $\left[\lambda_{\max } / \mathrm{nm}\left(\varepsilon_{\max } / \mathrm{dm}^{3} \mathrm{~mol}^{-1} \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}\right)\right] \mathbf{1}(\mathrm{MeCN}) 521$ (20600), 324 (71800), 294 (63600); $2\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right) 485$ (14900), $300(68400) ; 3(\mathrm{MeOH}) 485$ (15900), 301 ( 73900 ); 4 (MeCN) 485 (19500), 300 ( 84100 ); 6 ( MeCN$) 464$ (14200), 298 (71200).
$\ddagger$ Crystal data for $3\left[\mathrm{CF}_{3} \mathrm{SO}_{3}\right]_{2} \cdot 6 \mathrm{H}_{2}: \mathrm{C}_{54} \mathrm{H}_{34} \mathrm{~F}_{6} \mathrm{~N}_{10} \mathrm{O}_{10} \mathrm{Ru}_{2} \mathrm{~S}_{2} \cdot 6 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}, M=$ 1471.27, monoclinic, space group $C 2 / c$ (no. 15 ), $a=30.056(6), b=$ $14.619(7), c=27.33(1) \AA, \beta=112.68(3)^{\circ}, U=11081(8) \AA^{3}, Z=8$, $D_{\mathrm{c}}=1.764 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{~cm}^{-3}, \mu(\mathrm{Mo}-\mathrm{K} \alpha)=7.23 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}, F(000)=5936, T=298 \mathrm{~K}$. A purple crystal of dimensions $0.20 \times 0.15 \times 0.25 \mathrm{~mm}$ was used for data collection on a Rigaku AFC7R diffractometer with graphite-monochromated Mo-K $\alpha$ radiation $(\lambda=0.71073 \AA$ ) using $\omega-2 \theta$ scans. Intensity data were corrected for decay and for Lorentz and polarization effects. The structure was solved by Patterson methods and expanded using Fourier methods (PATTY5) and refined by full-matrix least squares using the software TeXsan, ${ }^{6} 8526$ reflections were uniquely measured, 5544 of which with $I>3 \sigma(I)$ were considered observed and used in the structural analysis. Convergence for 820 variable parameters by least-squares refinement on $F$ with $w=4 F_{\mathrm{o}}^{2} / \sigma^{2}\left(F_{\mathrm{o}}^{2}\right)$, where $\sigma^{2}\left(F_{\mathrm{o}}^{2}\right)^{2}=\left[\sigma^{2}(I)+\left(0.018 F_{\mathrm{o}}^{2}\right)^{2}\right]$ for 5544 reflections with $I>3 \sigma(I)$ was reached at $R=0.049, R_{\mathrm{w}}=0.056$ with a goodness-of-fit of $2.06(\Delta / \sigma)_{\max }=0.04$. The final difference Fourier map was featureless, with maximum positive and nevative peaks of 1.06 and $0.98 \mathrm{e}^{\AA}{ }^{-3}$ respectively.

Atomic coordinates, bond lengths and angles, and thermal parameters have been deposited at the Cambridge Crystallographic Data Centre (CCDC). See Information for Authors, Issue No. 1. Any request to the CCDC for this material should quote the full literature citation and the reference number $182 / 46$.
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