ChemComm

This article was published as part of the

2009 'Catalysis in Organic Synthesis' web theme issue

Showcasing high quality research in organic chemistry

Please see our website (<u>http://www.rsc.org/chemcomm/organicwebtheme2009</u>) to access the other papers in this issue.

ChemCommon of the second secon

Iron-catalyzed addition of Grignard reagents to activated vinyl cyclopropanes[†][‡]

Benjamin D. Sherry and Alois Fürstner*

Received (in Cambridge, UK) 10th September 2009, Accepted 5th October 2009 First published as an Advance Article on the web 29th October 2009 DOI: 10.1039/b918818e

A highly regioselective iron-catalyzed addition of branched primary, secondary or tertiary alkyl Grignard reagents to activated vinyl cyclopropanes is described, which likely proceeds by a direct addition mechanism as opposed to single electron transfer or an iron-allyl based process.

Iron-catalyzed reactions are gaining prominence in synthetic chemistry due to the associated economic and environmental benefits.¹ Amongst the various transformations of π -bonds effected by low-valent iron,^{1,2} alkene carbometalation^{3,4} and allylic substitution reactions⁵ have received considerable attention. A juxtaposition of the reported systems for these two processes reveals several features unique to each reaction class. In the recorded allylic substitution reactions, the leaving group is a stabilized oxygen (phosphate, carboxylate, alkoxide) or halide atom, and the unsaturated system is frequently an α -olefin.⁵ In contrast, alkene carbometalation is generally reported within the context of strained systems.³ The latter reactions benefit, however, from the generation of a reactive carbon-metal bond, allowing further functionalization after the iron-catalyzed step. A desire to bridge these two systems, and in so doing capitalize on the advantages of each, led us to consider the reaction of suitably activated vinyl cyclopropanes with low-valent iron-catalysts.⁶⁻⁹ Such a process could conceivably take place with simple unstrained alkenes, and through scission of the carbon–carbon σ -bond, provide a reactive carbon nucleophile for additional bond-forming reactions.

The study commenced with an examination of the reaction between vinyl cyclopropane **1** and organomagnesium reagents (Table 1). Aryl nucleophiles reacted efficiently but displayed poor regioselectivity (entries 1 and 2). Transitioning to primary alkyl Grignard reagents resulted in an increase in the relative amount of 1,7-addition (**a**), with a further enhancement in the selectivity observed with more sterically hindered nucleophiles (entries 3–6). Secondary and tertiary alkyl Grignard reagents displayed excellent regioselectivity, affording the 1,7-addition products in good yield as predominately the *E*-isomers (entries 7–9).

Max-Planck-Institut für Kohlenforschung, D-45470 Mülheim/Ruhr, Germany. E-mail: fuerstner@mpi-muelheim.mpg.de;

 Table 1
 Examination of Grignard reagents in iron-catalyzed addition reactions

EtO ₂ C	$\begin{array}{c} & & \\$	a a	R EtC +	EtO ₂ C R	b
Entry	RMgX, cosolvent	Product	$\mathbf{a}:\mathbf{b}^b$	$\mathbf{a}E:Z^b$	Yield ^c (%)
1	PhMgCl, THF	2a,b	1.5 : 1	5.7:1	75
2	(Mesityl)MgBr, THF	3a,b	1.4 : 1	2.7:1	84
3	MeMgCl, THF	4a,b	4.3:1	4.3:1	79
4	<i>n</i> PrMgCl, THF	5a,b	8.9:1	5.6 : 1	48
5	iBuMgCl, THF	6a.b	11.9:1	7.2:1	82
6	tBuCH ₂ MgBr, THF	7a.b	8.6:1	8.4:1	83
7	iPrMgČl, THF	8a	>20:1	5.8:1	79
8	cC5H9MgCl. Et2O	9a	>20:1	6.5 : 1	61
9	tBuMgCl, Et ₂ O	10a	>20:1	8.2:1	78

^{*a*} Fe(acac)₃ (10 mol%, 99.9 + % purity), RMgX (1.5 equiv.), toluene, -30 °C, 30 min. ^{*b*} Determined by ¹H NMR analysis of the crude reaction mixture. ^{*c*} Yield of regio- and stereoisomeric mixture after chromatography.



Scheme 1 Reagents and conditions: (a) $Fe(acac)_3$ (10 mol%, 99.9+% purity), *i*PrMgCl (1.5 equiv.), toluene, -30 °C, 30 min; (b) allyl bromide (3 equiv.), DMPU, room temperature, 23 h; (c) propargyl bromide (3 equiv.), DMPU, room temperature, 23 h.

As secondary alkyl Grignard reagents provided high levels of regiocontrol, a more thorough study with this class of nucleophiles was conducted. We initially sought to utilize the putative magnesium enolate generated upon cleavage of the cyclopropane. Addition of an alkyl halide and DMPU to the reaction mixture once **1** was consumed allowed telescoping of the iron-catalyzed process with traditional malonate chemistry, and thereby opened convenient access to dialkylated malonates *via* this two-step one-pot approach (Scheme 1).

We next turned our attention to the iron-catalyzed bondforming event itself. Three potential mechanisms were considered (Scheme 2): (a) single electron transfer from a reduced iron complex to afford a malonate anion and delocalized allyl radical, followed by recombination of the allyl radical with an alkyl group from iron (b) nucleophilic displacement, potentially involving an iron-ate type intermediate, (c) oxidative insertion of iron into the strained carbon–carbon bond to afford an iron-allyl intermediate with expulsion of the malonate anion, followed by reductive elimination.

Fax: +49 208 306 2994; Tel: +49 208 306 2342

[†] This article is part of a ChemComm 'Catalysis in Organic Synthesis' web-theme issue showcasing high quality research in organic chemistry. Please see our website (http://www.rsc.org/chemcomm/organic webtheme2009) to access the other papers in this issue.

[‡] Electronic supplementary information (ESI) available: Experimental section and characterization data for all new compounds. See DOI: 10.1039/b918818e



Scheme 2 Three conceivable pathways for iron-catalyzed addition.



Scheme 3 Reagents and conditions: (a) $Fe(acac)_3$ (10 mol%, 99.9+% purity), 13 (1.5 equiv.), toluene, -30 °C, 30 min.

To interrogate pathway (*a*) the reaction was first conducted in the presence of *H*-atom donor γ -terpinene, the Grignard addition proceeded unperturbed, and no reduced product was detected by ¹H NMR. Radical generation in the nucleophilic component of the reaction was further investigated using 2-norbornylmagnesium bromide as a stereochemical probe (Scheme 3).¹⁰ The addition of a diastereomeric mixture of Grignard reagent **13** (1.4 : 1.0 *endo* : *exo*) afforded an essentially identical mixture of diastereomeris in the product malonate **14**. However, when diastereomerically enriched *endo*-2-norbornylmagnesium bromide was employed, a >20 : 1 mixture of *endo* : *exo* diastereomers was generated, providing evidence against alkyl radical generation during the reaction.

To examine radical generation in the vinyl cyclopropane component, alkene tethered substrate **15** was examined.¹¹ No 5-*exo*-trig radical cyclization was observed suggesting that if single electron transfer occurs the delocalized radical is trapped at a rate greater than intramolecular cyclization (Scheme 4).

Alkene stereochemistry is not established in the iron-allyl mechanism until after cleavage of the cyclopropane,¹² whereas non-bonding interactions in the substrate are expected to influence the product alkene geometry in a direct addition process. Therefore, substituent effects may allow one to distinguish pathways b and c. Substrate 17, which bears a methyl group on the cyclopropane, was found to be less *E*-selective than the unsubstituted system 1 (Scheme 5). Reaction of 19, carrying a methyl group at the internal alkene carbon, resulted in a modest preference for the *Z*-olefin. As shown with 21, these substituent effects work in concert to provide tetrasubstituted alkene 22 solely as the *Z*-isomer in 65% yield. This observation is noteworthy, as the stereoselective formation of



Scheme 4 Reagents and conditions: (a) Fe(acac)₃ (10 mol%, 99.9 + % purity), *i*PrMgCl (1.5 equiv.), toluene, -30 °C, 30 min, 61%, E: Z = 1: 4.9.



Scheme 5 Reagents and conditions: (a) Fe(acac)₃ (10 mol%, 99.9 + % purity), *i*PrMgCl (1.5 equiv.), toluene, -30 °C, 30 min; bottom: hypothetical reactive conformations.

tetrasubstituted olefins remains a challenge to modern synthetic technologies.¹³

The preceding observations, assuming alkene generation is under kinetic control, are most consistent with a transition state where overlap of the π -system with the cyclopropane σ -bond is required. Two reactive conformations, **A** and **B**, fulfill this stereoelectronic constraint, and lead to opposite alkene geometry (Scheme 5, bottom). In the unsubstituted case ($\mathbf{R}^1 = \mathbf{R}^2 = \mathbf{H}$), reaction through conformer **A** minimizes non-bonding interactions; this conformation becomes progressively less favorable relative to **B** upon substitution. In the disubstituted system ($\mathbf{R}^1 = \mathbf{R}^2 = \mathbf{M}e$), $\mathbf{A}^{1,3}$ -strain resulting from methyl substitution on the cyclopropane and an eclipsing interaction between \mathbf{R}^2 and the cyclopropane ring apparently destabilize **A** to the extent that the reaction occurs exclusively through **B**.¹⁴

The correlation between the bulk of the incoming nucleophile and the reaction selectivity provides further evidence for a direct addition pathway (Table 1). Moreover, the reversal in regioselectivity observed in reactions between the slim and hence less selective MeMgBr and the differently substituted vinyl cyclopropanes 1, 19 and 24 also speaks against an allyl mechanism (Table 2). Should the reaction proceed through an η^3 -allyl-iron intermediate, the regiochemistry is not expected to be significantly altered by a methyl group at the central carbon of the π -allyl unit;¹⁵ the product distribution obtained with substrates 1 and 19, however, shows that the absence or presence of a methyl branch at this position exerts a strong effect. In line with the preceding observations, this result argues against an allyl-iron based process and single electron transfer, even though at present no single mechanism can be completely excluded.

Although a limited number of catalytic reactions of vinyl cyclopropanes with carbon nucleophiles have been reported,⁷ the present study is the first example of the catalytic addition of a hard organometallic nucleophile, and benefits from the use of a cheap and benign precatalyst. The iron-catalyzed reaction effectively outcompetes the otherwise rapid 1,2-addition of the Grignard reagent to the substrate,¹⁶ providing another illustration of the kinetic competence of reactions catalyzed by low-valent iron.¹ Moreover, though the structural details of the *in situ* generated catalyst are not known, the most convincing support to date for a direct addition mechanism is provided,¹¹ representing a rare case of mechanistic insight

Table 2 Product distribution in the iron-catalyzed ring opening of differently substituted vinyl cyclopropanes; E = COOMe unless stated otherwise^b



^{*a*} E = COOEt. ^{*b*} Fe(acac)₃ (10 mol%, 99.9 + % purity), MeMgBr (1.5 equiv.), toluene, -30 °C, 30 min. ^{*c*} **a** isomer, E : Z = 8.7 : 1. ^{*d*} **a** isomer, E : Z = 15.2 : 1. ^{*e*} **a** isomer, E : Z = 1 : 1.7.



Scheme 6 *Reagents and conditions*: (a) Fe(acac)₃ (10 mol%, 99.9+% purity), *i*PrMgCl (1.5 equiv.), toluene, -30 °C, 30 min, 60%.

into iron-catalyzed C–C-bond formations.^{2,12,17–19} Furthermore, early studies indicate that this reaction can be extended to other π -systems such as **26** (Scheme 6), which is reminiscent of the *syn*-selective iron-catalyzed opening of propargyl epoxides previously described by our group.²⁰

Financial support by the Fonds der Chemischen Industrie and the Alexander-von-Humboldt Foundation (fellowship to B.D.S.) is gratefully acknowledged. We thank Dr R. Mynott and D. Bartels for assistance with NMR spectroscopy and Mrs H. Krause for supporting experiments.

Notes and references

- General reviews: (a) C. Bolm, J. Legros, J. Le Paih and L. Zani, *Chem. Rev.*, 2004, **104**, 6217; (b) B. D. Sherry and A. Fürstner, *Acc. Chem. Res.*, 2008, **41**, 1500; (c) W. M. Czaplik, M. Mayer, J. Cvengroš and A. Jacobi von Wangelin, *ChemSusChem*, 2009, **2**, 396.
- 2 (a) R. J. Trovitch, E. Lobkovsky, E. Bill and P. J. Chirik, Organometallics, 2008, 27, 1470; (b) K. T. Sylvester and P. J. Chirik, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2009, 131, 8772; (c) J. Y. Wu, B. Moreau and T. Ritter, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2009, 131, 12915 and literature cited therein.
- 3 (a) M. Nakamura, A. Hirai and E. Nakamura, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2000, **122**, 978; (b) M. Nakamura, K. Matsuo, T. Inoue and E. Nakamura, Org. Lett., 2003, **5**, 1373; (c) Y. Wang, E. A. F. Fordyce, F. Y. Chen and H. W. Lam, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed., 2008, **47**, 7350.
- 4 Iron-catalyzed conjugate additions: (a) K. Fukuhara and H. Urabe, *Tetrahedron Lett.*, 2005, **46**, 603; (b) Z. Lu, G. Chai and S. Ma, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2007, **129**, 14546; (c) Z. Lu, G. Chai, X. Zhang and S. Ma, Org. Lett., 2008, **10**, 3517;

(d) S. Okada, K. Arayama, R. Murayama, T. Ishizuka, K. Hara, N. Hirone, T. Hata and H. Urabe, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2008, **47**, 6860.

- J. L. Roustan, J. Y. Mérour and F. Houlihan, *Tetrahedron Lett.*, 1979, 20, 3721; (b) Y. Xu and B. Zhou, J. Org. Chem., 1987, 52, 974; (c) B. Akermark and M. P. T. Sjögren, Adv. Synth. Catal., 2007, 349, 2641; (d) B. Plietker and A. Dieskau, Eur. J. Org. Chem., 2009, 775; (e) for iron-catalyzed deallylation of allylated malonates, see: D. Nečas, M. Kotora and I. Císařová, Eur. J. Org. Chem., 2004, 1280.
- 6 For the stoichiometric addition of carbon nucleophiles to 1, see: (a) R. W. Kierstead, R. P. Linstead and B. C. L. Weedon, J. Chem. Soc., 1952, 3616; (b) S. Danishefsky, G. Rovnyak and R. Cavanaugh, J. Chem. Soc. D, 1969, 636; (c) G. Daviaud and P. Miginiac, Tetrahedron Lett., 1972, 13, 997; (d) P. A. Grieco and R. Finkelhor, J. Org. Chem., 1973, 38, 2100; (e) K. Fugami, K. Oshima, K. Utimoto and H. Nozaki, Bull. Chem. Soc. Jpn., 1987, 60, 2509; (f) R. Bambal and R. D. W. Kemmitt, J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun., 1988, 734; (g) S. Danishefsky, Acc. Chem. Res., 1979, 12, 66.
- 7 For the catalytic addition of nucleophiles to 1, see: Pd(0):
 (a) K. Burgess, J. Org. Chem., 1987, 52, 2046; (b) S. Sebelius,
 V. J. Olsson, O. A. Wallner and K. J. Szabó, J. Am. Chem. Soc.,
 2006, 128, 8150; Yb(OTf)₃; (c) D. B. England, T. D. O. Kuss,
 R. G. Keddy and M. A. Kerr, J. Org. Chem., 2001, 66, 4704; Ni(0);
 (d) H. Gong, R. S. Andrews, J. L. Zuccarello, S. J. Lee and
 M. R. Gagné, Org. Lett., 2009, 11, 879.
- 8 For oxidative insertion of iron carbonyl complexes, see: (a) S. Sarel, Acc. Chem. Res., 1978, 11, 204; (b) D. F. Taber, K. Kanai, Q. Jiang and G. Bui, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2000, 122, 6807.
- 9 General reviews pertaining to metal-catalyzed reactions of cyclopropanes: (a) R. I. Khusnutdinov and U. M. Dzhemilev, J. Organomet. Chem., 1994, 471, 1; (b) M. Rubin, M. Rubina and V. Gevorgyan, Chem. Rev., 2007, 107, 3117.
- 10 (a) F. R. Jensen and K. L. Nakamaye, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 1966, 88, 3437; (b) J. D. Morrison and G. Lambert, J. Org. Chem., 1972, 37, 1034; (c) M. J. Campbell and J. S. Johnson, Org. Lett., 2007, 9, 1521.
- 11 For the use of intramolecular reactions to probe for radical anion intermediates, see: H. O. House and P. D. Weeks, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 1975, 97, 2778.
- 12 This implies n³-hapticity, which is in line with a recent study: A. Fürstner, R. Martin, H. Krause, G. Seidel, R. Goddard and C. W. Lehmann, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2008, 130, 8773.
- 13 A. B. Flynn and W. W. Ogilvie, Chem. Rev., 2007, 107, 4698.
- 14 This analysis is consistent with previous observations on the effect of an internal alkene substituent on alkene stereochemistry, see:
 (*a*) K. Miura, N. Fujisawa and A. Hosomi, *J. Org. Chem.*, 2004, 69, 2427; (*b*) Y. Sumida, H. Yorimitsu and K. Oshima, *Org. Lett.*, 2008, 10, 4677.
- 15 T. Tsuda, M. Tokai, T. Ishida and T. Saegusa, J. Org. Chem., 1986, 51, 5216.
- 16 In the absence of iron, the starting material is consumed within 30 min to give what appears to be a mixture of 1,2-addition products.
- 17 D. Noda, Y. Sunada, T. Hatakeyama, M. Nakamura and H. Nagashima, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2009, 131, 6078.
- 18 (a) A. Fürstner, K. Majima, R. Martin, H. Krause, E. Kattnig, R. Goddard and C. W. Lehmann, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2008, 130, 1992; (b) A. Fürstner, H. Krause and C. W. Lehmann, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed., 2006, 45, 440.
- 19 M. Holzwarth, A. Dieskau, M. Tabassam and B. Plietker, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed., 2009, 48, 7251.
- 20 (a) A. Fürstner and M. Méndez, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed., 2003, 42, 5355; (b) A. Fürstner, E. Kattnig and O. Lepage, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2006, 128, 9194.