3-Hydroxy-3-methylglutaryl-coenzyme A Reductase Inhibitors. 3. 7-(3,5-Disubstituted [1,1'-biphenyl]-2-yl)-3,5-dihydroxy-6-heptenoic Acids and Their Lactone Derivatives

G. E. Stokker,*[†] A. W. Alberts,[‡] P. S. Anderson,[†] E. J. Cragoe, Jr.,[†] A. A. Deana,[†] J. L. Gilfillan,[‡] J. Hirshfield,[‡] W. J. Holtz,[†] W. F. Hoffman,[†] J. W. Huff,[‡] T. J. Lee,[†] F. C. Novello,[†] J. D. Prugh,[†] C. S. Rooney,[†] R. L. Smith,[†] and A. K. Willard,[†]

Merck Sharp & Dohme Research Laboratories, West Point, Pennsylvania 19486, and Rahway, New Jersey 07065. Received May 2, 1985

The syntheses of a series of 7-(3,5-disubstituted [1,1'-biphenyl]-2-yl)-3,5-dihydroxy-6-heptenoic acids and their lactones are reported. Intrinsic 3-hydroxy-3-methylglutaryl-coenzyme A reductase inhibitory activity is enhanced markedly when the biphenyl moiety is substituted by chloro or methyl groups at positions 3 and 5 and a fluoro group at position 4'. These substitutions, followed by resolution, provided compounds 100(+) and 110(+) with 2.8 times the intrinsic inhibitory activity of compactin. Compound 100(+) was shown to possess the same chirality in the lactone ring as compactin by single-crystal X-ray crystallography.

We previously reported on a series of 3,5-dihydroxy-pentanoic acids, their δ lactones, and other derivatives which were shown to possess varying degrees of intrinsic 3-hydroxy-3-methylglutaryl-coenzyme A (HMG-CoA) reductase inhibitory activity. The most potent inhibitor bearing a monocyclic substituent was the dihydroxy acid form of lactone 1. In part 2, we described the structure-activity relationships (SAR) determined for a series of 7-phenyl-3,5-dihydroxy-6-heptenoic (and heptanoic) acids bearing different aryl substituents. Lactone 2 emerged as the most interesting monosubstituted phenyl compound of this series and potentially the most exploitable. In the

present study, we have extended the latter investigation by determining the effects of aryl substitution on both rings of a series of 7-(3,5-disubstituted [1,1'-biphenyl]-2-yl)-3,5-dihydroxy-6-heptenoic acids on activity. Use of the 3,5-disubstitution pattern in the biphenyl moiety was based on the observations of part 2 wherein the 2,4,6-trisubstitution pattern on the phenyl ring was shown to be optimal. This report also extends the investigation of bridging elements between the two aryl rings with a direct bond, a methylene, ethylene, or ethenyl unit. The other bridges previously examined were oxygen, methyleneoxy, and oxymethylene.²

Chemistry. The compounds prepared for this study are listed in Table III and their syntheses are summarized in Schemes I-IV. With the exception of 6, all of the

6-substituted 4-hydroxypyran-2-ones were synthesized from the appropriate aldehydes via condensation with the dianion of methyl acetoacetate followed by borohydride reduction, hydrolysis, and lactonization as described in part 1. The characterization of the trans isomer was based on the chemical shift of the C-6 and the coupling constants

[†]Merck Sharp & Dohme, West Point, PA.

[‡] Merck Sharp & Dohme, Rahway, NJ.

[§] Present address: Stuart Pharmaceuticals, Division of ICI Americas, Wilmington, DE 19897.

⁽¹⁾ Stokker, G. E.; Hoffman, W. F.; Alberts, A. W.; Cragoe, E. J., Jr.; Deana, A. A.; Gilfillan, J. L.; Huff, J. W.; Novello, F. C.; Prugh, J. D.; Smith, R. L.; Willard, A. K. J. Med. Chem. 1985, 28, 347.

⁽²⁾ Hoffman, W. F.; Alberts, A. W.; Cragoe, E. J., Jr.; Deana, A. A.; Evans, B. E.; Gilfillan, J. L.; Gould, N. P.; Huff, J. W.; Novello, F. C.; Prugh, J. D.; Rittle, K. E.; Smith, R. L.; Stokker, G. E.; Willard, A. K. J. Med. Chem., preceding paper in this icone.

Scheme II

^a Br₂, hν. ^b NaOAc, Δ . ^c OH⁻. ^d ClSi(CH₃)₂C(CH₃)₃. ^e Mg. ^f ZnBr₂. ^g Ni(Ph₃P)₂Cl₂. ^h Dibal. ⁱ-CH₂CO--CHCO₂CH₃. ^f Et₃B, NaBH₄, -98 °C. ^k H⁺. ^l C₆H₅CH₃, Δ . ^m (n-C₄H₉)₄NF.

of the C-4 protons in the NMR as described in part 2.2 (Since the completion of the work described in part 1, a trialkylborane-mediated stereoselective reduction of β hydroxy ketones has been described.3 This reduction yields a much higher percentage of the desired erythro diol and an illustrative example (15a) is included in the experimental section.) The cis-6-ethenyl-4-hydroxypyran-2-ones (i.e., the biologically inactive isomers) may be epimerized at C₆ to a 1:1 (cis-trans) mixture by refluxing in aqueous acetonitrile with 1 equiv of mercuric chloride. The resolution of lactones 100 and 110 was accomplished via chromatographic separation of their respective diastereomeric (S)- α -methylbenzylamides followed by basic hydrolysis and relactonization to yield 100(+), 100(-), 110(+), and 110(-). Lactone 6 was prepared by an intramolecular Reformatsky reaction of the antecedent 4-(2-bromoacetoxy)-6-hexen-2-one which was obtained after bromoacylation of the aldol product of acetone and propenal 84 (Scheme I).

The synthesis of biphenylylpropenals 4 (Table II) was accomplished by condensation of the corresponding biphenylcarboxaldehyde (3) with lithium ethoxyethylene (Scheme I) as described in part 1 with the exception of 14. In the latter instance, conversion of 4-bromo-2-methyl-1-fluorobenzene (7) to silyl ether 11 was effected via the

Scheme III

four-step sequence $7 \rightarrow 8 \rightarrow 9 \rightarrow 10 \rightarrow 11$, using the reagents indicated in Scheme II. Grignard formation followed by transmetalation with anhydrous zinc bromide provided arylzinc bromide A, which was immediately coupled with cinnamyl nitrile 12 catalyzed by bis(triphenylphosphine)nickel dichloride. Reduction of nitrile 13 with dissobutylaluminum hydride (Dibal) provided aldehyde 14, the requisite biphenylylpropenal for lactone 15. The elaboration of the only non biphenyl aldehydes (22 and 28) required for the dianion condensation route are outlined in Schemes III and IV, respectively.

Ozonolysis of 16 followed by reductive workup and subsequent acid methanolysis provided 17, which was reduced to propanol 18. Conversion of 18 to the corresponding tosylate followed by displacement by thiophenoxide and then acid hydrolysis yielded 19. Grignard addition of phenylmagnesium bromide to aldehyde 19 followed by Swern oxidation gave benzophenone 20, which was then subjected to a Wolff-Kishner reduction (21) and a halogen-mediated oxidation to form 22.

Scheme IV delineates the preparation of propenal 28 from aniline 24. Meerwein arylation of methyl acrylate with the diazonium salt of 25 followed by treatment with potassium hydroxide at elevated temperature provided 26. Treatment of 26 with 4-chlorostyrene under Heck⁵ arylation conditions yielded cinnamic acid 27, which was then converted to 28 via its acid chloride by reduction with bis(triphenylphosphine)copper(I) tetrahydroborate.⁶

⁽⁴⁾ Sletzinger, M.; Verhoven, T. R.; Volante, R. P.; McNamara, J. M.; Corley, E. G.; Liu, T. M. H. Tetrahedron Lett. 1985, 2951.

⁽⁵⁾ Plevyak, J. E.; Dickenson, J. E.; Heck, R. F. J. Org. Chem.

<sup>1979, 44, 4078.
(6)</sup> Fleet, G. W. J.; Fuller, C. J.; Harding, P. J. C. Tetrahedron Lett. 1978, 1440.

The biphenylcarboxaldehydes 3 (Table I) were prepared by the two independent methods summarized in Schemes V and VI (method 1) and Scheme VII (method 2). Method 1, the key step of which is based on the work of Meyers,⁷ involves an aryl-Grignard displacement of the 2-methoxy group of 36 followed by quaternization with methyl iodide, borohydride reduction, and acidic hydrolysis.⁸ No product resulting from displacement of the 6-chloro group was detected in the Grignard reaction on 36. The apparent inactivity of the o-chloro substituent in this displacement was confirmed by failure to detect any biphenylyloxazoline in the reaction of phenylmagnesium bromide with 2-(2,6dichlorophenyl)-4,5-dihydro-4,4-dimethyloxazole under identical conditions. The synthesis of 35, the immediate precursor to 36, is outlined in Scheme V. In the original route (A), $30 \rightarrow 31 \rightarrow 32 \rightarrow 34 \rightarrow 35$, only the demethylation of 32 requires comment. The nucleophilic displacement of sterically hindered carboxylates by tertiary amines (DBN,9 Dabco,9 3-quinnuclidinol,9 and 1,1-dimethylhydrazine¹⁰) is well-known. The use of 4-(aminomethyl)piperidine as the nucleophile allowed a lower temperature (100 °C vs. 140 °C for the bicyclic amines) and a shorter reaction time $(1^1/_2 \text{ h vs. 6-12 h for the hy-}$ drazine) to be used, and although of no real advantage in

Scheme V. Method 1

Scheme VI. Method 1

^a RMgX. ^b MeI. ^c NaBH₄. ^d H₃O⁺, Δ .

this instance, 4-(aminomethyl)piperidine was found to be superior to the other amines studied for this transformation (i.e., N-methylbenzylamine, 2,6-dimethylmorpholine, piperidine, 2,6-dimethylpiperidine, or 2-amino-2-methyl-1-propanol). Proton and ¹³C NMR examination of the amine recovered after displacement showed that only the secondary amine was methylated, and when 2-amino-2-methyl-1-propanol was used, the only methylated amine detected was the (N,N-dimethylamino)propanol.

Route B, the more expeditious of the two routes, was based on the key conversion of $33 \rightarrow 35$ via radical bromination/oxidation and subsequent amination.¹¹ The oxidation of $33 \rightarrow 34$ with "purple benzene", essentially

⁽⁷⁾ Meyers, A. I.; Gabel, R.; Mihelich, E. J. Org. Chem. 1978, 43. 1372.

⁽⁸⁾ Nordin, I. C. J. Heterocycl. Chem. 1966, 3, 531.

⁽⁹⁾ Miles, D. H.; Huang, B.-S. J. Org. Chem. 1976, 41, 208 and references cited therein.


⁽¹⁰⁾ Kasina, S.; Nematallahi, J. Tetrahedron Lett. 1978, 1403.

Table I. Physical Properties of Biphenylcarboxaldehydes 3^a

no.	A	В	X	Y	method	recryst solvent	yield, ^b %	mp, °C	formula	anal. c,d
3d	Н	Н	3-Cl	5-C1	1	pet. ether	80	48-50	C ₁₃ H ₈ Cl ₂ O	C, H
$3d^e$	H	H	3-Cl	5-Cl	1	n-BuCl/hexane	45	82-83.5	$C_{15}H_{12}Cl_2O$	C, H^f
42	2′-Me	H	3-C1	5-Cl	2	,	70	oil	$C_{14}H_{10}Cl_2O$	
43	3′- M e	H	3-C1	5-Cl	2	hexane	75	84-85	$C_{14}H_{10}Cl_2O$	g C, H
44	4'-Me	H	3-C1	5-C1	2		61	oil	$C_{14}H_{10}Cl_2O$	g
45 ^h	3'- E t	H	3-Cl	5-Cl	2		68	oil	$C_{15}H_{12}Cl_{2}O$	g g C, H
46	3'-MeO	H	3-C1	5-Cl	2	$CH_2Cl_2/hexane$	58	9798	$C_{14}H_{10}Cl_2O_2$	Č, H
47	4'-MeO	H	3-C1	5-C1	2	hexane	64	90-91.5	$C_{14}H_{10}Cl_2O_2$	C, H
3b	4'-Cl	H	3-Cl	5-C1	1		23	89-92	$C_{13}H_{17}Cl_3O$	g
48	3′-F	Н	3-C1	5-C1	2		67	gum	$C_{13}H_7Cl_2FO$	g
3c	4′-F	H	3-C1	5-Cl	1	pet. ether	$64 (37)^i$	73-74	$C_{13}H_7Cl_2FO$	Č, H
					2	pet. ether	$73 \ (72)^i$	71–73		
49	4'-F	Н	$3-\mathbf{F}$	5-F	2 2		59	69-71	$C_{13}H_7F_3O$	g
50	4′-F	H	3- M e	5-Me	2	hexane	74	68-70	$C_{15}H_{13}FO$	Č, H
51	3′- M e	5′-Me	3-Cl	5-Cl	2	hexane	79	103-105	$\mathrm{C_{15}H_{12}Cl_{2}O}$	С, Н
52	3′- M e	5′-Me	3- M e	5-Me	2	${f sublimed}$	85	79–81	$C_{17}H_{18}O$	C, H
53	2′- M e	4'-F	3-Cl	5-Cl	2		64	gum	$\mathrm{C_{14}H_9Cl_2FO}$	g
54	3′- M e	4'-F	3-Cl	H	2	$\mathrm{CH_2CL_2}/\mathrm{hexane}$	71	74-79	$C_{14}H_{10}ClFO$	C, H
55	3′- M e	4'-F	3-Cl	5-Me	2	$\mathrm{CH_2Cl_2}/\mathrm{hexane}$	66	70-73	$C_{15}H_{12}ClFO$	С, Н
56	3′- M e	4'-F	3- Me	5-Cl	2 2 2		49	sticky solid	$C_{15}H_{12}CIFO$	g
57	3′-Me	4'-F	3-C1	5-Cl	2	hexane	64	77–79	$C_{14}H_9Cl_2FO$	C, H
58	3′-Me	4'-F	3- M e	5-Me		sublimed	82	80-81	$C_{16}H_{15}FO$	С, Н
59 ^j	$3',5'$ -Me $_2$	4'-F	3-Me	5-Me	$egin{smallmatrix} 2 \ 2 \end{matrix}$	sublimed	76	137-139	$C_{17}H_{17}FO$	C, H
60	3′-Cl	4'-Cl	3-C1	5-Cl	2	hexane	83	118-119	$C_{13}H_6Cl_4O$	C, H
61	3′-Cl	5′-Cl	3-Cl	5-Cl	2	hexane	75	106-106.5	C ₁₃ H ₆ Cl ₄ O	С, Н
62	3′-Cl	4'-Cl	3-Me	5-Me	2	hexane	75	80-81	$C_{15}H_{12}Cl_2O$	C, H
63	3'-Cl	4'-Cl	3-Me	6-Me	2		36	gum	$C_{15}H_{12}Cl_2O$	g

^a All of the starting benzaldehydes (30 or 39) were commercially available as were the bromides for the Grignard reaction unless so indicated. ^bRepresents overall yield from Grignard reaction. ^cAnalytical results are within ±0.4% of the theoretical values unless otherwise noted. d H NMR spectra were recorded on all compounds in CDCl₃ and the diagnostic aldehydic proton appeared as a singlet between δ 9.9 and 10.3. Complete spectra are available as supplementary material. Ethyl bridge between aryl rings. Anal. Calcd: C, 64.54. Found: C, 63.76. This compound was isolated but not purified or analyzed before use in next step. Pope, G. W.; Bogert, M. T. J. Org. Chem. 1937, 2, 276. Represents overall yield from starting benzaldehydes 30 or 39c. See Experimental Section (59a).

Scheme VII. Method 2



^a H₂NC₆H₅. h₃P. ^d H₃+O. ^b Pd(OAc)₂. ^c ABC₆H₃MgX, 4 equiv of

combining routes A and B, was tried because the oxidation of 33 with basic Ag₂O was unsuccessful.

A more facile procedure for the preparation of 3 is shown in Scheme VII (method 2). This three-step palladiummediated sequence is based on that of Murahashi.¹² Four equivalents of triphenylphosphine per atom of palladium was required whenever there was a reducible halogen (Cl) because, with lesser amounts of the phosphine (i.e., only 3 equiv in the preparation of 47 or 48), all of the possible monochloro isomers were also isolated. In addition to the deschloro byproducts isolated in the synthesis of 47, the corresponding symmetrical biphenyl (a ubiquitous byproduct in the formation of stabilized aryl-Grignard reagents, a phenomenon unrelated to this particular reaction) was isolated along with 1,1-bis(4-methoxyphenyl)ethylene. The formation of the latter was interpreted to result from a 2-fold addition of the Grignard reagent to the acetate ligand of the Pd(II) complex followed by dehydration. This byproduct can be obviated by using the Pd(II) complex wherein the acetate ligand is replaced by chloride.

X-ray Crystallography. The absolute configuration of the more potent, dextrarotatory enantiomer of translactone 100(±) was determined by X-ray crystallographic analysis. This enantiomer was found to have 4R.6S chirality in the lactone portion corresponding to the analogous centers in compactin or mevinolin; the conformation is illustrated in Figure 1. The dihedral angle between the two aryl rings (atoms C_{11} , C_{10} , C_{15} , and C_{16}) is 54.7° and

⁽¹²⁾ Murahashi, S.; Tamba, Y.; Yamamura, M.; Yoshimure, N. J. Org. Chem. 1978, 43, 4099.

Table II. Physical Properties of 3-Biphenylylpropenals 4

no.	A	В	X	Y	recryst solvent	yield, %	mp, °C	formula	anal.ª,b
64	Н	Н	3-C1	5-Cl	hexane	83	76-77	C ₁₅ H ₁₀ Cl ₂ O	С, Н
65^c	Н	H	3-Cl	5-Cl		66	gum	$\mathrm{C_{17}H_{14}Cl_{2}O}$	d
66	2'- M e	Н	3-Cl	5-Cl	hexane	47	98-99	$C_{16}H_{12}Cl_2O$	С, Н
67	3′- M e	H	3-C1	5-Cl		67	oil	$C_{16}H_{12}Cl_2O$	d
68	4′-Me	H	3-C1	5-Cl	hexane	67	109-111	$C_{16}H_{12}Cl_2O$	C, H
69	3′-Et	H	3-Cl	5-C1		79	oil	$C_{17}H_{14}Cl_2O$	d
70	3'-MeO	H	3-C1	5-Cl	$\mathrm{Et_2O}$	63	81-82	$\mathrm{C_{16}H_{12}Cl_2O_2}$	С, Н
71	4'-MeO	Н	3-C1	5-Cl	hexane	63	94-95	$C_{16}H_{12}Cl_2O_2$	С, Н
72	4′-Cl	H	3-C1	5-Cl	toluene/hexane	64	96-97.5	$C_{15}H_9Cl_3O$	С, Н
73	3' -F	H	3-C1	5-Cl	hexane	71	91-92	$C_{15}H_9Cl_2FO$	C, He
74	4'-F	H	3-Cl	5-Cl	toluene/hexane	64	136-138	$C_{15}H_9Cl_2FO$	C, H
75	4′-F	H	3- F	5 - \mathbf{F}	hexane	69	81-82	$C_{15}H_9F_3O$	C, H
76	4'-F	Н	3 -Me	5- Me	pet. ether	81	70-72	$C_{17}H_{15}FO$	C, H
77	3'- Me	5'-Me	3-Cl	5-Cl		66	oil	$C_{17}H_{14}Cl_2O$	d
78	3'- M e	5′- M e	3 -M e	5-Me	sublimed	74	gum	$C_{19}H_{20}O$	$egin{array}{c} d \ d \end{array}$
79	2′- M e	4'-F	3-Cl	5-Cl		61	gum	$C_{16}H_{11}Cl_2FO$	d
80	3'- Me	4'-F	3-Cl	H		37	oil	$C_{16}H_{12}ClFO$	d
81	3′- Me	4'-F	3-Cl	5-Me		60	79-81	$C_{17}H_{14}CIFO$	C, H^f
82	3'- Me	4'-F	3-Me	5-Cl		40	oil	$C_{17}H_{14}ClFO$	d
83	3′- M e	4'-F	3-Cl	5-C1	hexane	84	98.5-99.5	$C_{16}H_{11}Cl_2FO$	С, Н
84	3'- M e	4'-F	3- M e	5- Me	sublimed	49	82-84	$C_{18}H_{17}FO$	C, H
85	$3'$, $5'$ - \mathbf{Me}_2	4'-F	3- M e	$5\text{-}\mathrm{Me}$	sublimed	70	78-80	$C_{19}H_{19}FO$	С, Н
86	3'-Cl	4'-Cl	3-Cl	5-Cl		59	gum	$C_{15}H_8Cl_4O$	d
87	3'-Cl	5'-Cl	3-C1	5-Cl		49	gum	$C_{15}H_8Cl_4O$	$egin{array}{c} d \ d \end{array}$
88	3' -Cl	4'-Cl	3- M e	5- M e		66	gum	$C_{17}H_{14}Cl_2O$	
89	3'-Cl	4'-Cl	3- Me	6- M e		58	gum	$C_{17}H_{14}Cl_2O$	d

^a Analytical results are within $\pm 0.4\%$ of the theoretical values unless otherwise noted. ^b H NMR spectra were recorded on all compounds in CDCl₃ and the chemical shifts for the aldehydic and α-vinyl protons were δ 9.5–9.65 (d, J = 7.0–7.5 Hz) and 6.2–6.4 (dd, J = 15.0–16.0 and 7.0–7.5 Hz), respectively. Complete spectra are available as supplementary material. ^c Ethyl bridge between aryl rings. ^d This compound was isolated but not purified or analyzed before use in next step. ^e Anal. Calcd: C, 61.04. Found: C, 61.47. ^f Anal. Calcd: H, 4.84. Found: H, 5.36.

that between the central aryl ring and the ethylene bridge (atoms C_7 , C_8 , C_9 , and C_{10}) is 57.5°.

Biological Results and Discussion

The target compounds presented in Table III were tested as the ring-opened dihydroxy carboxylate forms for their ability to inhibit solubilized, partially purified rat liver HMG-CoA reductase. The study of nuclear substitution on the central phenyl ring of the biphenyl moiety was confined to that of methyl or chloro in the 3- and 5-positions. Inhibitory potency increased by greater than 40-fold when chloro groups were introduced concomitantly in the 3- and 5-positions (90 vs. 2). The potency of the 3-chloro compound (106) was less than half that of the 3,5-dichloro compound (109). Replacement of the 5-chloro group in 109 by a methyl group (107) resulted in a slight reduction in potency, while transposition of these two groups (107 \rightarrow 108) increased the potency by 2.3-fold. In some cases, the replacement of both chloro groups by methyl groups resulted in a modest diminution of potency (100 vs. 102 and 103 vs. 104), while in others a substantial increase in potency was observed (109 vs. 110 and 112 vs. 114). Replacement of the two chloro groups in compound 100 with fluoro groups (101) resulted in a marked loss of potency. Movement of the methyl from position 5 (114) to position 6 (115) resulted in a moderate loss of potency.

Type and position of substituents on the external phenyl ring were more critical. An electron-donating group in the 4'-position (i.e., CH_3 in 94 or CH_3O in 97) was detrimental when compared to H (90), whereas a halogen in this pos-




Figure 1. Computer-generated ORTEP drawing of one formula unit of structure 100(+) within the unit cell.

ition was beneficial (i.e., Cl in 98 or F in 100). A methyl in the 2'-position was also contraindicated (92 vs. 90, 105 vs. 100, and 105 vs. 109). An electron-donating group at the 3'-position may increase potency (CH_3 in 93 vs. 90 and

Table III. Physical Properties and in Vitro HMG CoA Reductase Inhibitory Activities of Lactones 5 and 6

no.	A	В	X	Y	recryst solvent	mp, °C	formula ^a	$^{ m IC}_{50}$, $_{\mu}{ m M}$	rel potency ^b
1			3-C1	5-Cl	acetone/hexane	147-149	$C_{13}H_{12}Cl_2O_3$	21.9	0.06
2	H	H	H	H	$\mathrm{Et}_{2}\mathrm{O}$	90-92	$C_{19}H_{18}O_3$	3.0	0.63
2H ^c	Н	H	H	H	-	oil	$C_{19}H_{20}O_3$	44	0.04
6 ^d	3′- M e	4'-F	3- M e	5-Me		glass	$C_{23}H_{25}FO_3$	0.106	14
15	3'-CH ₂ OH	4'-F	3- M e	5-Me	n-BuCl	137-138	$C_{22}H_{23}FO_4$	0.049	26.5
23 ^e	Н	H	3-C1	5-C1	Et ₂ O/hexane	109-110	$C_{20}H_{20}Cl_2O_3$	0.53	4.3
29 ^f	4'-Cl	H	3-Me	5-Me	n-BuCl	153-153.5	$C_{23}H_{23}ClO_3$	0.63	2.4
90	Н	H	3-Cl	5-C1	n-BuCl/hexane	113-115	$C_{19}^{10}H_{16}^{20}Cl_2O_3$	0.08	26
90H°	Н	Н	3-Cl	5-C1	CHCl ₃ /hexane	151.5-152	$C_{19}H_{18}Cl_2O_3$	0.1	18
918	H	Н	3-C1	5-C1	n-BuČl	118-119	$C_{21}H_{20}Cl_2O_3$	0.43	2.8
92	2'-Me	H	3-C1	5-Cl	$\rm Et_2O/hexane$	140-141	$C_{20}H_{18}Cl_2O_3$	0.37	3.2
93	3'-Me	H	3-C1	5-Cl	- / ·	glass	$C_{20}H_{18}Cl_2O_3\cdot 0.05CHCl_3$	0.016	94
94	4'-Me	H	3-C1	5-Cl	n-BuCl/hexane	119-119.5	$C_{20}H_{18}Cl_2O_3$	0.19	11
95	3'-Et	H	3-Cl	5-Cl		gum	$C_{21}^{10}H_{20}^{10}Cl_{2}O_{3}^{10}.05CHCl_{3}$	0.044	30
96	3'-MeO	H	3-C1	5-Cl	Et ₂ O/hexane	93-94	$C_{20}^{21}H_{18}Cl_{2}O_{4}$	0.05	26
97	4'-MeO	H	3-Cl	5-Cl	n-BuCl/hexane	100-102	$C_{20}H_{18}Cl_{2}O_{4}$	0.47	2.6
98	4'-Cl	H	3-Cl	5-Cl	n-BuCl/hexane	116.5-118	$C_{19}^{20}H_{15}^{10}Cl_{3}^{2}O_{3}^{4}$	0.036	58
99	3′- F	H	3-Cl	5-Cl	n-BuCl/hexane	130-132	$C_{19}H_{15}Cl_2FO_3$	0.07	38.6
100	4'-F	H	3-C1	5-Cl	n-BuCl/hexane	153-154	$C_{19}H_{15}Cl_2FO_3$	0.024	100
$100(+)^{h}$	4′-F	H	3-Cl	5-C1	n-BuCl/hexane	108-109.5	$C_{19}^{19}H_{15}^{15}Cl_2^2FO_3$	0.005	280
$100(-)^{i}$	4′- F	H	3-Cl	5-Cl	n-BuCl/hexane	130-131	$C_{19}H_{15}Cl_2FO_3$	0.26	5^{j}
101	4'-F	H	3-F	5- F	, , , , , ,	glass	$C_{19}H_{15}F_3O_3$	0.86	3.5
102	4′-F	H	3-Me	5-Me	CH ₂ Cl ₂ /hexane	154-156	$C_{21}H_{21}FO_3$	0.013	96
103	3′- M e	5′-Me	3-Cl	5-C1	2- 2/	glass	$C_{21}^{21}H_{20}^{21}Cl_2O_3\cdot 0.05CHCl_3$	0.013	115
104	3'-Me	5′-Me	3-Me	5-Me	hexane	109-110	$C_{23}H_{26}O_3$	0.015	80
105	2′-Me	4'-F	3-C1	5-Cl		glass	$C_{20}^{23}H_{17}^{20}Cl_{2}^{2}FO_{3}$	0.036	33
106	3′- M e	4'-F	3-Cl	H		140-145	$C_{20}^{20}H_{18}CIFO_3^k$	0.03	40
107	3'-Me	4'-F	3-C1	5-Me		glass	$C_{21}H_{20}CIFO_3^l$	0.013	92
108	3'-Me	4'-F	3-Me	5-C1	Et ₂ O/hexane	109-110	$C_{21}H_{20}CIFO_3$	0.006	233
109	3′- M e	4'-F	3-Cl	5-Cl		glass	C ₂₀ H ₁₇ Cl ₂ FO ₃ -0.1CHCl ₃	0.029	100
110	3'-Me	4'-F	3-Me	5-Me	n-BuCl/hexane	115-116	$C_{22}H_{23}FO_3$	0.007	171
$110(+)^m$	3'-Me	4'-F	3-Me	5-Me	Et ₂ O/hexane	87-89	$C_{22}H_{23}FO_3$	0.0076	289
$110(-)^n$	3'-Me	4′-F	3-Me	5-Me	Et ₂ O/hexane	86-88	$C_{22}H_{23}FO_3$	7.3	0.18^{j}
110H°	3'-Me	4′- F	3-Me	5-Me	n-BuCl	131.5-133	$C_{22}H_{25}FO_3^o$	0.022	45
111	3',5'-Me ₂	4′-F	3-Me	5-Me	CH ₂ Cl ₂ /hexane	142-146	$C_{23}H_{25}FO_3$	0.01	150
112	3'-Cl	4'-Cl	3-Cl	5-Cl	2 2/	glass	$C_{19}H_{14}Cl_4O_3$	0.025	116
113	3′-Cl	5′-Cl	3-Cl	5-Cl		glass	$C_{19}H_{14}Cl_4O_3$	0.037	78
114	3′-Cl	4'-Cl	3-Me	5-Me	n-BuCl	128-129	$C_{21}H_{20}Cl_2O_3$	0.008	175
115	3′-Cl	4′-Cl	3-Me	6-Me		glass	$C_{21}H_{20}Cl_2O_3$	0.013	123

^a Analytical results are within $\pm 0.4\%$ of the theoretical values unless otherwise noted. ^b Potency of compactin arbitrarily assigned a value of 100; see part I for full description of protocol. ^c Saturated bridge between biphenyl and lactone moieties. ^d 4-Methyl in lactone ring. ^e Methylene bridge between aryl rings (from 22). ^f Ethylene bridge between aryl rings (from 28). ^g Ethyl bridge between aryl rings (from 3d). ^h $[\alpha]^{20}_D$ +38.80 (CHCl₃). ⁱ $[\alpha]^{20}_D$ -39.85 (CHCl₃). ^j Each enantiomer was found to be free of the other enantiomer within the limits of detection (threshold = ca. 2%). Therefore, the activity displayed by the (-) enantiomer was probably due to trace amounts of the (+) enantiomer. ^k Exact mass, calcd m/e 360.81; found m/e 360.089. ^l Exact mass, calcd m/e 374.83; found m/e 374.1093. ^m $[\alpha]^{25}_D$ +39.3 (CHCl₃); +36.0 (CH₃OH). ⁿ $[\alpha]^{25}_D$ -35.8 (CH₃OH). ^o Anal. Calcd: C, 74.13. Found: C, 73.56.

110 vs. 102) or have no effect (CH₃ in 109 vs. 100 or CH₃O in 96 vs. 90 and C_2H_5 in 95 vs. 90). The later case demonstrated that homologation of the 3'-methyl group attenuated potency (95 vs. 93) as did oxidation to the alcohol (15 vs. 110). The addition of a halogen at the 3'-position potentiated potency but not as effectively as when introduced at the 4'-position (99 vs. 100 or 112 vs. 98 vs. 90 and 113 vs. 112).

The type of bridging between the phenyl rings also proved to be important. When the direct bond in compound 90 was replaced by oxygen, methyleneoxy, or oxymethylene, the potency was decreased by 18-, 7- and 4-fold, respectively.² Insertion of a methylene unit between the phenyl rings caused a 4-fold reduction in potency (23 vs. 90H), while insertion of an ethylene unit caused a 10-fold reduction (91 vs. 90). Although no direct comparison between an ethenyl bridge (29) and a direct bond could

be made because the corresponding biphenyl was not prepared, the likelihood that the latter would be less potent than compound 29 can be inferred. The replacement of chloro groups in the central phenyl ring by methyls produced a change in potency by a factor between 0.7 and 1.7 (vida supra). Therefore, the 20-fold decrease in potency between 29 and 98 must, in a large part, be due to the ethenyl bridge.

Without exception, saturation of the ethenyl bridge between the lactone and biphenyl moieties was detrimental (2H, 90H, and 110H), a result that is opposite to similar cases in the 6-benzyl ether series.²

The addition of a methyl group to the 4-position of 110 to give 6, a compound that more closely resembles the HMG moiety of the substrate HMG-CoA, lowered potency 12-fold. An identical addition to 1 (a much less potent inhibitor) had little effect.¹ The contribution of the lactone

moiety stereochemistry to intrinsic inhibitory activity was shown earlier to be very important in that all of the activity resides in one of the enantiomers of the trans isomer.^{1,2} This observation was extended and confirmed in this study by resolving lactones 100 and 110 to afford enantiomers 100(+) and 110(+), each of which had about 2.8 times the intrinsic inhibitory potency of compactin.

Two independent chiral syntheses of 110(+) have recently been published^{4,13} and the in vivo activity will be described elsewhere.

Conclusion

Analysis of the intrinsic inhibitory potencies of the compounds evaluated in this study suggests that inhibitory binding to HMG-CoA reductase is augmented by (a) a 3-and 5-chloro or -methyl group on the central phenyl ring and (b) a 3'-methyl and 4'-halo group on the external phenyl ring, while binding is decreased by (c) increasing the distance between the two phenyl rings, (d) saturation of the ethenyl bridge between the biphenyl and lactone moieties, and (e) introduction of a methyl at the 4-position of the lactone ring. The absolute stereochemistry of the lactone ring must be the same as in compactin and mevinolin; in the present case, 4R,6S.

Experimental Section

Melting points were determined on a Thomas-Hoover capillary melting point apparatus and are uncorrected. Solutions were dried over anhydrous MgSO₄ and evaporated under reduced pressure (rotary evaporator). 1H NMR spectra were recorded in CDCl₃ (unless otherwise noted) on either a Varian T-60, EM-390, or NT-360 spectrometer. Chemical shifts are reported in parts per million relative to Me₄Si as the internal standard. Elemental analysis for carbon, hydrogen, and nitrogen were determined with a Perkin-Elmer Model 240 elemental analyzer and are within $\pm 0.4\%$ of theory unless noted otherwise. Optical rotations were determined with a Perkin-Elmer Model 141 polarimeter. All starting materials were commercially available and used as received unless so indicated.

3-([1,1'-Biphenyl]-2-yl)-2-propenals (4). These compounds (64-89) were prepared by the general method described in ref 1; their physical properties are listed in Table II.

trans-6-[2-([1,1'-Biphenyl]-2-yl)ethenyl]-3,4,5,6-tetra-hydro-4-hydroxy-2H-pyran-2-ones (5). These compounds (15, 23, 29, 90–115) were elaborated from the corresponding propenals by the general method described in ref 1; their physical/biological properties are listed in Table III.

Hydrogenation of Lactones (5). Lactones 5 were hydrogenated over Rh/C in an analogous manner to the procedure described in ref 1; their physical/biological properties are listed in Table III (2H, 90H, and 110H).

(E)-6-[2-(4'-Fluoro-3,3',5-trimethyl[1,1'-biphenyl]-2-yl)ethenyl]-3,4,5,6-tetrahydro-4-hydroxy-4-methyl-2H-pyran-2-one (6). (a) 6-(3,3',5-Trimethyl-4'-fluoro[1,1'-biphenyl]-2yl)-4-hydroxy-5-hexen-2-one (6a). 2-Acetoxypropene (1.8 g, 18 mmol) and tributyltin methoxide (4.8 g, 15 mmol) were combined and stirred at 60-70 °C under N2 for 1 h and then placed under vacuum for an additional 30 min. Propenal 75 (3.2 g, 12 mmol) was added and the reaction mixture was stirred on a steam bath under N₂ for 4 h. The clear reaction mixture was then cooled, treated with malonic acid (600 mg, 5.8 mmol) in Et₂O (25 mL), and refluxed for 30 min. After cooling to -20 °C, the reaction mixture was filtered and the collected precipitate was washed with Et_2O (4 × 20 mL). The Et_2O solutions were combined and evaporated and the residual oil was chromatographed on silica gel. Elution with CHCl₃-MeOH (99:1, v/v) provided 6a (3.9 g, 100%) as a thick yellow oil; NMR δ 2.06 (3 H, s), 2.3 (9 H, br s), 2.43 (2 H, d, J = 7 Hz), 4.4-4.65 (H, m), 5.36 (H, dd, J = 16.5)and 6 Hz), 6.46 (H, d, J = 16.5 Hz), 6.85-7.2 (5 H, m). Anal. $(C_{21}H_{23}FO_2)$ C; H: calcd, 7.10; found, 7.54.

6-(4'-Fluoro-3,3',5-trimethyl[1,1'-biphenyl]-2-yl)-2-oxo-5-hexen-4-yl 2-Bromoacetate (6b). 2-Bromoacetyl bromide (83 μ L, 1.0 mmol) was added to a stirred solution of 6a (300 mg, 0.92 mmol) and pyridine (81 μ L, 1.0 mmol) in Et₂O (20 mL) at 0 °C. The ice bath was removed and the reaction mixture was stirred at 20 °C for 2 h and then diluted with H₂O (100 mL) and additional Et₂O (100 mL). The organic layer was separated and washed with 1 N HCl (50 mL), H₂O (2 × 100 mL), and saturated brine, dried, filtered, and evaporated. The residual oil was chromatographed on silica gel. Elution with CH₂Cl₂-acetone (99:1, v/v) provided 6b (270 mg, 66%) as a viscous, pale yellow oil; NMR δ 2.1 (3 H, s), 2.3 (9 H, br s), 2.35–2.75 (2 H, m), 3.7 (2 H, s), 5.36 (H, dd, J = 16.5 and 6 Hz), 5.55–5.8 (H, m), 6.6 (H, d, J = 16.5 Hz), 6.9–7.2 (5 H, m).

Compound 6. A solution of 6b (250 mg, 0.56 mmol) in dry THF (10 mL) was added dropwise to a vigorously stirred slurry of activated Zn dust (60 mg, 0.85 mmol), CuBr (10 mg, 0.07 mmol), Et₂AlCl (25% solution in hexane; 0.34 mL, 0.6 mmol), and dry THF (5 mL) under N₂ at 20 °C. Stirring was continued for 5 h before quenching with pyridine (1 mL) followed by addition of H_2O (100 mL) and Et_2O extraction (3 × 50 mL). The combined Et₂O extracts were washed with 1 N HCl (3 × 50 mL), H₂O (2 × 100 mL) and saturated brine, then dried, filtered, and evaporated, leaving a golden glass (200 mg) which was a mixture of the cis and trans isomers of 6. The isolation of the pure trans isomer was accomplished by HPLC on a Whatman M9/50 Partisil PAC column (10 \times 20 mg injections). Elution with i-PrOH-hexane (1:20, v/v) at 8 mL/min provided 6 (70 mg, 34%) as a clear colorless glass. Elution times on this column under these conditions were 20 min for the trans isomer and 26.4 min for the cis isomer; NMR δ 1.35 (3 H, s), 1.4-2.0 (2 H, m), 2.28 (3 H, s), 2.35 (6 H, s), 2.4-2.8 (2 H, m), 5.0-5.4 (H, m), 5.4 (H, dd, J = 16.5 and6 Hz), 6.6 (H, d, J = 16.5 Hz), 6.9-7.2 (5 H, m).

4-Bromo-2-(bromomethyl)-1-fluorobenzene (8). To a refluxing solution of 5-bromo-2-fluorotoluene (3.8 g, 20 mmol) in CCl₄ (30 mL) illuminated with a 275-W UV-sunlamp, a solution of Br₂ (1.1 mL, 20 mmol) in CCl₄ (30 mL) was added dropwise. Refluxing and irradiation were continued for an additional 0.5 h, and then the clear pale amber solution was concentrated. Distillation of the residue provided 8 as a clear colorless oil (4.3 g, 80%); bp 126–136 °C (15 mm); NMR δ 4.38 (2 H, s), 6.6–7.7 (3 H, m).

2-(Acetoxymethyl)-4-bromo-1-fluorobenzene (9). Anhydrous sodium acetate (1.65 g, 20 mmol) was added to a solution of 8 (3.9 g, 14.6 mmol) in DMF (25 mL) and the reaction mixture was stirred under N_2 on a steam bath for 11 h. The reaction mixture was cooled and distributed between H_2O (200 mL) and Et_2O (100 mL). The organic layer was separated and washed with H_2O (3 × 100 mL), dried, filtered, and evaporated, leaving 9 as a nearly colorless oil (3.2 g, 100%); NMR δ 2.08 (3 H, s), 5.08 (2 H, s), 6.7–7.9 (3 H, m).

5-Bromo-2-fluorobenzyl Alcohol (10). A solution of 9 (3.2 g, 14.5 mmol), EtOH (20 mL), and 1 N NaOH (20 mL, 20 mmol) was stirred at reflux under N_2 for 1.5 h. The reaction mixture was cooled and distributed between H_2O (150 mL) and Et_2O (150 mL). The organic layer was separated and washed with H_2O (2 × 100 mL) and dried and the clear faint yellow solution was evaporated. Distillation of the residue provided 10 as a clear colorless oil (1.7 g, 57%); bp 82–87 °C (0.4 mm); NMR δ 3.3 (H, br s), 4.6 (2 H, s), 6.81 (H₃, t, J = 9 Hz), 7.15–7.37 (H₄, m), 7.44 (H₆, dd, J = 3 and 6 Hz).

4-Bromo-1-fluoro-2-[[[(1,1-dimethylethyl)dimethylsilyl]-oxy]methyl]benzene (11). A mixture of 10 (1.7 g, 8.3 mmol), tert-butyldimethylsilyl chloride (1.8 g, 12 mmol) and imidazole (1.6 g, 24 mmol) in dry DMF (15 mL) was stirred under N_2 at 22 °C for 20 h. The mixture was poured into H_2O (200 mL) and extracted with Et_2O (2 × 75 mL). The organic extracts were combined and washed with 1 N HCl (100 mL), H_2O (2 × 100 mL), and saturated NaHCO₃ (100 mL), dried, filtered, and evaporated. The residual oil was chromatographed on silica gel (hexane) to yield 11 (2.03 g, 77%); NMR δ 0.1 (6 H, s), 0.93 (9 H, s), 4.73 (2 H, s), 6.82 (H_3 , t, J = 9 Hz), 7.15–7.37 (H_4 , m), 7.55 (H_6 , dd, J = 3 and 6 Hz). Anal. ($C_{13}H_{20}BrFOSi$) H; C: calcd, 48.90; found, 47.84.

(E)-3-[4'-Fluoro-3,5-dimethyl-3'-[[[(1,1-dimethylethyl)dimethylsilyl]oxy]methyl][1,1'-biphenyl]-2-yl]-2-propenenitrile

⁽¹³⁾ Prugh, J. D.; Rooney, C. S.; Deana, A. A.; Ramjit, H. G. Tetrahedron Lett. 1985, 2947.

(13). A Grignard reagent, freshly prepared from 11 (2.0 g, 6.4 mmol) and magnesium turnings (185 mg, 7.5 mmol) in dry THF (6 mL), was filtered into a dry, N₂-purged flask. A 1.33 M solution of ZnBr₂ in THF (3 Å sieve dried, 2.52 mL, 3.36 mmol) was added over a 2-min period with vigorous stirring. After ca. 5 min a mixture of 124 (1.26 g, 5.4 mmol) and bis(triphenylphosphine)nickel dichloride (100 mg, 0.16 mmol) was added to the gray slurry and the resultant red-brown mixture was stirred at 35 °C under N₂ for 4.5 h. The reaction mixture was quenched with 1 N HCl (100 mL) and extracted into EtOAc (125 mL). The organic phase was washed with H₂O (2 × 100 mL), dried, filtered, and evaporated, leaving 13 as a brown oil $[R_f \text{ of } 13 \text{ 0.42 vs. 0.35 for } 12 \text{ on }$ TLC (silica, EtOAc-hexane (1:10))]. The residual oil was chromatographed on silica gel (EtOAc-hexane (1:10)) to yield 13 (1.4 g, 68%); NMR δ 0.13 (6 H, s), 0.94 (9 H, s), 2.35 (3 H, s), 2.42 (3 H, s), 4.84 (2 H, s), 5.22 (H, d, J = 17 Hz), 6.98-7.39 (6 H, m).

(E)-3-[4'-Fluoro-3,5-dimethyl-3'-[[[(1,1-dimethylethyl)dimethylsilyl]oxy]methyl][1,1'-biphenyl]-2-yl]-2-propenal (14). This compound was prepared by Dibal reduction of 13 by using the procedure described in ref 1 and obtained in 72% yield after chromatography on silica gel (CH₂Cl₂) as a pale yellow viscous oil; NMR δ 0.09 (6 H, s), 0.91 (9 H, s), 2.36 (3 H, s), 2.46 (3 H, s), 4.81 (2 H, s), 6.19 (H, dd, J = 7 and 15 Hz), 6.98–7.46 (6 H, m), 9.45 (H, d, J = 7 Hz).

Methyl (E)-7-[4'-Fluoro-3,5-dimethyl-3'-[[[(1,1-dimethyl-3)]]ethyl)dimethylsilyl]oxy]methyl][1,1'-biphenyl]-2-yl]-3,5dihydroxy-6-heptenoate (15a). The 5-hydroxy-3-keto ester (prepared by condensation of aldehyde 14 with the dianion of methyl acetoacetate as described in ref 1; 1.0 g, 1.96 mmol) was dissolved in dry THF (5 mL) under N2 and then treated with triethylborane (1 M in THF, 2.9 mL, 2.9 mmol). After aging for 5 min, the reaction mixture was cooled to -98 °C (MeOH-liquid N₂ bath). Sodium borohydride (85 mg, 2.25 mmol) was added followed by MeOH (2 mL) over 5 min. After stirring for an additional 0.5 h, the reaction mixture was allowed to warm to -60 °C and then was quenched by the careful addition of 30% H₂O₂ (4 mL) in H₂O (10 mL). The mixture was stirred vigorously at ambient temperature for 0.5 h and then distributed between 1 N HCl (50 mL) and EtOAc (100 mL). The organic layer was washed with H_2O (2 × 50 mL), dried, filtered, and evaporated to provide 15a (900 mg, 89%) as a pale yellow gum [R_f of 15a 0.15 vs. 0.30 for precursor keto compound on TLC (silica, CHCl₃-MeOH (99:1))]; ¹H NMR shows an 11:1 ratio of erythro vs. threo; NMR δ 0.12 (6 H, s), 0.93 (9 H, s), 1.42–1.61 (2 H, m), 2.32 (6 H, s), 2.34-2.46 (2 H, m), 3.70 (3 H, s), 4.05-4.15 (H, m), 4.3-4.4 (H, m), 4.7-4.85 (2 H, m), 5.29 (H, dd, J = 7 and 16 Hz), 6.43 (H, d, J = 16 Hz), 6.95–7.40 (6 H, m). The three isomer can be detected by examination of the vinyl protons in the NMR; δ 5.36 (H, dd, J = 7 and 16 Hz) and 6.50 (H, d, J = 16 Hz).

trans-(E)-6-[2-[4'-Fluoro-3'-(hydroxymethyl)-3,5-dimethyl[1,1'-biphenyl]-2-yl]ethenyl]-3,4,5,6-tetrahydro-4hydroxy-2H-pyran-2-one (15). A solution of the tert-butyldimethylsilyl ether (prepared from 15a by ester hydrolysis and subsequent lactonization as described in ref 1; 420 mg, 0.087 mmol) in THF (5 mL) was treated with tetrabutylammonium fluoride (1 M in THF, 2.5 mL, 2.5 mmol). After the mixture was stirred at 20 °C for 5 min, 1 N HCl (100 mL) was added and the product was extracted into Et₂O (125 mL). The organic layer was washed with H_2O (2 × 100 mL), dried, filtered, and evaporated to provide 15 as a faint yellow gum (300 mg, 90%); homogenous on TLC [R_t 0.20 vs. 0.42 for the silyl ether (silica, CHCl₃-MeOH (19:1))] and HPLC [time of elution was 5.36 min with a flow rate of 4 mL/min using 20% 2-propanol/hexane on a Whatman Partisil PXS 10/25 PAC column]. An analytical sample was crystallized from *n*-BuCl: mp 137–138 °C; NMR δ 1.7–1.95 (2 H, m), 2.33 (6 H, s), 2.45–2.75 (2 H, m), 4.05-4.1 (H, m), 4.7-4.85 (2 H, m), 5.12-5.18 (H, m), 5.4 (H, dd, J = 7 and 15 Hz), 6.53 (H, d, J = 15 Hz), 6.95-7.35 (6 H, m).

Methyl 3-[2,4-Dichloro-6-(dimethoxymethyl)phenyl]propionate (17). Ozone was bubbled into a stirred solution of 16² (4.15 g, 12.6 mmol) in CH₃OH (40 mL) and CH₂Cl₂ (40 mL) at -78 °C until the color of the solution turned green. After the excess ozone was expelled with a stream of nitrogen gas, dimethyl sulfide (1.20 g, 18.9 mmol) was added and the resulting mixture was warmed to 20 °C and stirred for 16 h. The reaction mixture was poured into cold H₂O and extracted with Et₂O. The ethereal extract was dried, filtered, and concentrated to leave a residual oil which was dissolved in CH₃OH (25 mL). Concentrated H₂SO₄ (0.2 mL) was added to this solution and the resulting mixture was heated at reflux for 7 h. It was cooled to 20 °C, poured into cold H₂O, and extracted with Et₂O. The ethereal extract was dried, filtered, and evaporated to yield 17 (2.80 g, 72%) as an oil: NMR δ 2.3-2.7 (2 H, m), 2.9-3.3 (2 H, m), 3.32 (6 H, s), 3.70 (3 H, s), 5.44 (H, s), 7.30 (H, d, J = 2 Hz), 7.44 (H, d, J = 2 Hz).

3-[2,4-Dichloro-6-(dimethoxymethyl)phenyl]propanol (18). A solution of 17 (2.80 g, 9.11 mmol) in Et_2O (10 mL) was added dropwise to a stirred suspension of lithium aluminum hydride (0.50 g, 13.2 mmol) in Et₂O (50 mL) at 0 °C. After the addition was complete, the resulting mixture was stirred at 20 °C for 0.5 h and then heated at reflux for 1 h. The reaction flask was chilled in an ice bath and treated successively with H₂O (0.5 mL), 20% NaOH (0.5 mL), and H₂O (1.5 mL). The resulting mixture was stirred at 20 °C for 1 h. The solid precipitates were removed by filtration. Evaporation of the filtrate gave 18 (2.25 g, 88%) as an oil: NMR δ 1.6-2.0 (2 H, m), 2.6-3.0 (2 H, m), 3.30 (6 H, s), $3.62\ (2\ \mathrm{H,\,t},\,J=6\ \mathrm{Hz}),\,5.42\ (\mathrm{H,\,s}),\,7.30\ (\mathrm{H,\,d},\,J=2\ \mathrm{Hz}),\,7.41$ (H, d, J = 2 Hz).

3,5-Dichloro-2-[3-(phenylthio)propyl]benzaldehyde (19). To a stirred solution of tosyl chloride (2.28 g, 12 mmol) in pyridine (6 mL) was added a solution of 18 (2.25 g, 8.06 mmol) in pyridine (4 mL) with cooling in an ice bath. After completion of the addition, the resulting mixture was stirred at 0 °C for 0.5 h and then stored in a freezer for 15 h. It was poured into cold H₂O and extracted with Et₂O. The ethereal extract was washed with aqueous cupric sulfate solution, dried, filtered, and evaporated to give the tosylate of 18 as a brownish oil: NMR δ 1.7-2.1 (2 H, m), 2.42 (3 H, s), 2.7-3.0 (2 H, m), 3.30 (6 H, s), 4.12 (2 H, t, J = 6 Hz), 5.38 (H, s), 7.25 (H, d, J = 2 Hz), 7.28 (2 H, d, J = 28 Hz), 7.40 (H, d, J = 2 Hz), 7.74 (2 H, d, J = 8 Hz).

The above tosylate was dissolved in CH₃OH (5 mL) and added to a stirred solution of sodium thiophenoxide prepared by mixing sodium methoxide (0.54 g, 10 mmol) and thiophenol (1.10 g, 10 mmol) in CH₃OH (15 mL). The resulting mixture was stirred at 20 °C under a N₂ atmosphere for 0.5 h and then heated at reflux for 1 h. After cooling, it was poured into cold H₂O and extracted twice with Et₂O. The combined extracts were dried, filtered, and concentrated to afford a brownish oil which was dissolved in acetone (25 mL) and H₂O (15 mL). After the addition of concentrated H₂SO₄ (0.5 mL), the resulting mixture was heated at reflux for 16 h. After cooling, it was poured into cold H₂O and extracted twice with Et₂O. The combined extracts were washed with H_2O , dried, filtered, and evaporated to leave an oily residue which was purified by column chromatography (silica gel). Elution of the column with CH_2Cl_2 -hexane (1:1, v/v) gave 19 (1.65 g, 40% overall from 16) as an oil: IR (neat) 1690 cm⁻¹; NMR δ 1.7-2.1 (2 H, m), 3.02 (2 H, t, J = 7 Hz), 3.2-3.4 (2 H, m), 7.2-7.5 (5 H, m)m), 7.58 (H, d, J = 2 Hz), 7.68 (H, d, J = 2 Hz), 10.20 (H, s).

3,5-Dichloro-2-[3-(phenylthio)propyl]benzophenone (20). A solution of 19 (1.65 g, 5.1 mmol) in Et₂O (5 mL) was added to a Grignard reagent, freshly prepared from phenyl bromide (1.48 g, 9.42 mmol) and magnesium turnings (0.194 g, 8 mmol) in Et₂O (15 mL), at 0 °C under an N_2 atmosphere. The resulting mixture was stirred at 0 °C for 0.5 h, then warmed at 20 °C, and stirred for 1 h. The reaction mixture was recooled to 0 °C and treated with HCl (2 N, 10 mL) added dropwise. After stirring for 0.5 h, the reaction mixture was diluted with H2O and extracted with Et₂O. The ethereal extract was washed with H₂O, dried, filtered, and evaporated to provide 3,5-dichloro-2-[3-(phenylthio)propyl]benzhydrol as a yellow oil: NMR δ 1.4-2.0 (2 H, m), 2.40 (H, br s), 2.6–3.0 (4 H, m), 5.88 (H, s), 7.1–7.4 (11 H, m), 7.40 (H, d, J = 2 Hz).

Trifluoroacetic anhydride (1.2 mL, 8.5 mmol) was added to a stirred solution of Me₂SO (0.81 mL, 11.4 mmol) in CH₂Cl₂ (8 mL) at -78 °C under N₂ atmosphere. The resulting mixture was stirred at -78 °C for 15 min and treated with a solution of the above benzhydrol in CH2Cl2 (3 mL) added dropwise. The resulting mixture was stirred at -78 °C for 0.5 h, treated with triethylamine (2.2 mL), stirred at -78 °C for 0.5 h, and then warmed to 20 °C. The reaction mixture was poured into cold H₂O and extracted with Et₂O. The ethereal extract was washed with 5% NaHCO₃, dried, filtered, and concentrated to yield an oily residue which was purified by column chromatography (silica gel). Elution of

the column with petroleum ether–CH₂Cl₂ (2:1, v/v) provided **20** (1.80 g, 84% overall) as a yellow oil: IR (neat) 1662 cm $^{-1}$; NMR δ 1.6–2.2 (2 H, m), 2.6–3.0 (4 H, m), 7.0–7.8 (12 H, m).

1-Benzyl-3,5-dichloro-2-[3-(phenylthio) propyl]benzene (21). A mixture of 20 (1.65 g, 4.11 mmol), hydrazine (97%, 0.45 g, 14 mmol), and KOH (0.79 g, 14 mmol) in diethylene glycol (6 mL) was heated at 110 °C for 1 h to distill off the H_2O . The mixture was then heated at 200–205 °C for 15 h. After cooling, the reaction mixture was poured into cold H_2O , acidified with 2 N HCl, and extracted twice with Et₂O. The combined extracts were washed with H_2O , dried, filtered, and evaporated to leave an oily residue which was purified by column chromatography (silica gel). Elution of the column with hexane- CH_2Cl_2 (2:1, v/v) gave 21 (0.88 g, 55%) as an oil: NMR δ 1.6-2.0 (2 H, m), 2.7-3.1 (4 H, m), 3.93 (2 H, s), 6.9-7.4 (12 H, m).

3-(6-Benzyl-2,4-dichlorophenyl)propanal (22). Powdered N-chlorosuccinimide (1.27 g, 7.95 mmol) was added in one portion to a stirred solution of 21 (3.0 g, 7.74 mmol) in CCl₄ (50 mL) at 0 °C. The resulting mixture was stirred at 0 °C for 4 h. The solid precipitates were filtered off, and the filtrate was concentrated to leave an oily residue. This residue was dissolved in THF (50 mL) and $\rm H_2O$ (1.5 mL) followed by the addition of $\rm CuBr_2$ (1.78 g, 8 mmol) and $\rm CuO$ (0.64 g, 8 mmol) and heated at reflux for 10 min. After cooling, the solid precipitates were collected by filtration, and the filtrate was distributed between $\rm Et_2O$ and $\rm H_2O$. The organic phase was separated, dried, filtered, and evaporated to yield a residue which was purified by column chromatography (silica gel). Elution of the column with hexane- $\rm CH_2Cl_2$ (2:1 v/v) produced 22 (1.80 g, 74%) as a pale yellow oil: NMR δ 2.2-2.4 (2 H, m), 2.7-3.0 (2 H, m), 3.97 (2 H, s), 7.0-7.6 (7 H, m), 9.65 (H, s).

3-[2-[2-(4-Chlorophenyl)ethenyl]-4,6-dimethylphenyl]-2-propenal (28). (a) 3-(2-Bromo-4,6-dimethylphenyl)-2-propenoic Acid (26). A solution of NaNO₂ (14 g) in H₂O (60 mL) was added dropwise to a suspension of 25¹⁴ (40 g, 200 mmol) in H₂O (120 mL) and HCl (12 N, 160 mL) with vigorous stirring at 0 °C. The clear, pale yellow solution was added dropwise with vigorous stirring to a mixture of ethyl acrylate (24 mL, 240 mmol), H₂O (100 mL), acetone (200 mL), CuCl₂ (6 g), and NaOAc-3H₂O (120 g) at 0 °C. The resulting clear, pale green reaction mixture was then stirred at 40–50 °C for 8 h during which time N₂ evolution took place and a two-phase mixture formed. After dilution with H₂O (200 mL), the resulting heavy amber oil was extracted with Et₂O (150 mL). The extract was washed with H₂O (3 × 100 mL) and saturated brine (200 mL), dried (MgSO₄), filtered, and evaporated.

The crude ethyl α -chloropropionate was treated with EtOH (500 mL) and KOH (68 g, 1.2 mol) heated at reflux for 16 h. The mixture was cooled, diluted with H₂O (2 L), and extracted with Et₂O (3 × 200 mL). The clear pale amber aqueous layer was separated and acidified. The moist solid was crystallized from HOAc-H₂O (2:1, v/v, 300 mL) to provide **26** (21 g, 41%): mp 186–188 °C; NMR (Me₂SO-d₆) δ 2.26 (3 H, s), 2.32 (3 H, s), 6.15 (H, d, J = 16.5 Hz), 7.13 (H, s), 7.36 (H, s), 7.6 (H, d, J = 16.5 Hz). Anal. (C₁₁H₁₁BrO₂) C, H.

If the hydrolysis was run at 20 °C, the α -chloropropionic acid was obtained (84%), mp 127–128.5 °C. An analytical sample was crystallized from n-BuCl: mp 129–130.5 °C; NMR δ 2.25 (3 H, s), 2.4 (3 H, s), 3.35 (H, dd, J = 13.5 and 6 Hz), 3.55 (H, dd, J = 13.5 and 6 Hz), 4.8 (H, dd, J = 7.5 and 4 Hz), 6.95 (H, br s), 7.25 (H, br s). Anal. ($C_{11}H_{12}BrClO_2$) C, H.

(b) 3-[2-[2-(4-Chlorophenyl)ethenyl]-4,6-dimethyl]-2-propenoic Acid (27). A mixture of 26 (765 mg, 3 mmol), 4-chlorostyrene (360 μ L, 3 mmol), TEA (900 μ L, 6.5 mmol), Pd-(OAc)₂ (10 mg), and triphenylphosphine (25 mg) was stirred under N₂ at 95–100 °C for 48 h. The dark glassy mixture was dissolved in DMF (50 mL) and then distributed between Et₂O (200 mL) and 6 N HCl (100 mL). The organic layer was separated and washed with H₂O (3 × 100 mL) and saturated brine (100 mL), dried, filtered, and evaporated. The residue was chromatographed on silica gel. Elution with CH₂Cl₂-MeOH (40:1 v/v, 1.2 L) provided crude product which was crystallized from HOAc-H₂O

(c) Compound 28. A solution of the acid chloride of 27 (prepared from 625 mg of 27 and SOCl₂) in acetone (35 mL) was treated with triphenylphosphine (1.1 g, 4.2 mmol) followed by bis(triphenylphosphine)copper(I) tetrahydroborate (1.3 g, 2.2 mmol). After 1 h the white tris(triphenylphosphine)copper(I) chloride was filtered off and the acetone was evaporated. The residue was extracted with Et₂O (2 × 30 mL). Evaporation of the Et₂O left a residue which was chromatographed on silica gel. Elution with CH₂Cl₂ provided 28 (500 mg, 84%). Crystallization from cyclohexane provided an analytical sample: mp 91–92 °C; NMR δ 2.3 (6 H, s), 6.3 (H, dd, J = 16.5 and 7.5 Hz), 6.7–7.4 (8 H, m), 7.66 (H, d, J = 16.5 Hz), 9.75 (H, d, J = 7.5 Hz). Anal. (C₁₉H₁₇ClO) C, H.

[1,1'-Biphenyl]-2-carboxaldehydes (3). Method 1. 2,4-Dichloro-6-methoxybenzaldehyde (33). Potassium carbonate (3.8 g, 28 mmol) was added to a solution of 30 (4.75 g, 25 mmol) in DMF (50 mL) at 50 °C followed 0.5 h later by an excess of methyl iodide (6 mL, 50 mmol). The mixture was stirred at 50 °C for 1 h, cooled, and poured into H_2O (400 mL). The crude ether separated as a white powder, which was collected, washed well with H_2O , and air-dried to yield 33 (5.2 g, 100%): mp 110–111 °C; NMR δ 3.91 (3 H, s), 6.90 (H, d, J = 1.5 Hz), 7.06 (H, d, J = 1.5 Hz), 10.41 (H, s).

N-(2-Hydroxy-1,1-dimethylethyl)-2,4-dichloro-6-methoxybenzamide (35). A suspension of 33 (3 g, 15 mmol) and N-bromosuccinimide (3.6 g, 20 mmol) in CCl₄ (30 mL) was illuminated with a 150-W flood lamp under N2 with vigorous stirring on a steam bath for 7 min. The cloudy mixture was cooled to 0 °C, diluted with CH₂Cl₂ (30 mL), and treated dropwise with a solution of 2-amino-2-methylpropanol (3 mL, 30 mmol) in CH₂Cl₂ (30 mL). The ice bath was removed and the mixture was stirred at 20 °C for 20 h. The reaction mixture was filtered, and the collected solids were washed with additional CH₂Cl₂ (50 mL). The clear filtrates were combined and washed with H₂O (100 mL), 5% HCl (100 mL), 5% NaOH (100 mL), H₂O (100 mL), and brine, dried, filtered, and evaporated in vacuo to provide 35 as a white powder (3.6 g, 82%), mp 130-132 °C. Crystallization from hexane-toluene (10:8, v/v) provided an analytical sample: mp 131-132 °C; NMR δ 1.36 (6 H, s), 3.75 (2 H, s), 3.83 (3 H, s), 6.81 (H, d, J = 1.5 Hz), 7.20 (H, d, J = 1.5 Hz). Anal. (C₁₂H₁₅Cl₂NO₃) C, H, N.

2-(2,4-Dichloro-6-methoxyphenyl)-4,5-dihydro-4,4-dimethyloxazole (36). Benzamide 35 (5.5 g, 18.8 mmol) was treated dropwise with SOCl₂ (5.5 mL) and stirred at 20 °C for 30 min. Dry Et₂O (100 mL) was added, the mixture was stirred for an additional 1 h, and the oxazoline hydrochloride precipitate was collected by filtration. The salt was neutralized with 20% NaOH to afford an alkaline mixture which was extracted with Et₂O. The ethereal extract was dried and concentrated to give oily 36 (3.6 g, 70%), which crystallized on standing: mp 47–50 °C; NMR δ 1.4 (6 H, s), 3.83 (3 H, s), 4.1 (2 H, s), 6.82 (H, d, J = 1.5 Hz), 7.06 (H, d, J = 1.5 Hz). Anal. (C₁₂H₁₃Cl₂NO₂) C, H, N.

Alternate Preparation of 35 from 30. 4,6-Dichlorosalicylic Acid (31). This acid was prepared by the general method of Campaigne and LeSuer¹⁵ for oxidation of the corresponding aldehydes via Ag₂O (93%), mp 195-196 °C (lit. 16 mp 200 °C).

Methyl 2,4-Dichloro-6-methoxybenzoate (32). This compound was prepared analogously to 33, with 31 (9.9 g, 47.8 mmol) and 2 equiv of $\rm K_2CO_3$ as the starting material, yield (11.1 g, 99%), mp 52–54 °C. Crystallization from petroleum ether provided an analytical sample: mp 58–59 °C; NMR δ 3.82 (3 H, s), 3.91 (3 H, s), 6.83 (H, d, J = 1.5 Hz), 7.02 (H, d, J = 1.5 Hz). Anal. ($\rm C_9H_8Cl_2O_3$) C, H.

2,4-Dichloro-6-methoxybenzoic Acid (34). Methyl ester 32 (1 g, 4 mmol) was stirred at 100 °C with 4-(aminomethyl)piperidine (2 mL) for 1.5 h (the demethylation requires about 6.5-7 h at 80

^{(5:1,} v/v, 12 mL) to yield **27** (450 mg, 48%): mp 167–169 °C; NMR (Me₂SO- d_6) δ 2.27 (3 H, s), 2.3 (3 H, s), 5.9 (H, d, J = 16.5 Hz), 6.95–7.65 (8 H, m), 7.8 (H, d, J = 16.5 Hz). Anal. (C₁₉H₁₇ClO₂) C, H.

⁽¹⁵⁾ Campaigne, E.; LeSuer, W. M. "Organic Syntheses"; Wiley: New York, 1963; Collect. Vol. IV, p 919.

⁽¹⁶⁾ Baine, O.; Adamson, G. F.; Barton, J. W.; Fitch, J. L.; Swayampati, D. R.; Jeskey, H. J. Org. Chem. 1954, 19, 510.

°C). After the mixture cooled to ambient temperature, 2 N HCl (50 mL) was added and the mixture was cooled to 0 °C with stirring. The acid was collected as a white powder, washed with H_2O , and air-dried to yield 34 (800 mg, 90%): mp 163–165 °C; NMR δ 3.87 (3 H, s), 6.96 (H, d, J=1.5 Hz), 7.04 (H, d, J=1.5 Hz).

Alternate Preparation of 34 from 33. This acid was prepared by a slight modification of the general method of Herriott and Picker¹⁷ for oxidation with "purple benzene". Potassium permanganate (8.7 g, 55 mmol) was added in three portions at 3 h intervals to a vigorously stirred mixture of 33 (3.4 g, 16.3 mmol), $\rm H_2O$ (50 mL), toluene (100 mL), and $n\text{-Bu}_4\rm N^+I^-$ (500 mg) at 20 °C. After the purple mixture was stirred for a total of 8 h, NaHSO₃ was added, followed by acidification with HCl. The light yellow organic layer was separated, washed with saturated brine, and dried. Evaporation of the solvent provided 34 (2.4 g, 67%), mp 162–164 °C.

Alternate Preparation of 35 from 34. The acid chloride of 34 (prepared from 34; 2.4 g, 10.8 mmol and $SOCl_2$) was dissolved in CH_2Cl_2 (10 mL) and added dropwise to a solution of 2-amino-2-methylpropanol (2.1 mL, 22 mmol) in CH_2Cl_2 (10 mL) at 0 °C. The ice bath was removed and the mixture was stirred at 20 °C for 20 h. The reaction mixture was worked up as in 35 vida supra to give 35 (1.9 g, 60%), mp 132–133 °C.

2-(3,5-Dichloro-4'-fluoro[1,1'-biphenyl]-2-yl)-4,5-dihydro-4,4-dimethyloxazole (37c). A solution of (4-fluorophenyl)-magnesium bromide, prepared from 4-bromofluorobenzene (4.4 g, 25 mmol) and magnesium (0.6 g, 25 mmol), in dry Et₂O (40 mL) was added dropwise to a stirred solution of 36 (4.4 g, 16 mmol) in dry THF (150 mL) under N₂ at 20 °C. Stirring of the solution was continued for 20 h at reflux, the solution was cooled, and then the reaction mixture was quenched by the addition of saturated ammonium chloride solution. The resulting mixture was extracted with Et₂O (2 × 50 mL), dried, filtered, and evaporated. The residue was chromatographed on silica gel (CHCl₃) to provide 37c (4.6 g, 85%), homogenous by HPLC. An analytical sample was crystallized from petroleum ether: mp 93–95 °C; NMR δ 1.16 (6 H, s), 3.83 (2 H, s), 6.9–7.5 (6 H, m). Anal. (C₁₇H₁₄Cl₂FNO) C, H, N.

2-(3,5-Dichloro[1,1'-biphenyl]-2-yl)-4,5-dihydro-4,4-dimethyloxazole (37a): yield (95%). An analytical sample was crystallized from petroleum ether: mp 92–94.5 °C; NMR δ 1.17 (6 H, s), 3.85 (2 H, s), 7.13–7.33 (7 H, m). Anal. ($C_{17}H_{15}Cl_2NO$) C, H, N.

2-(3,4',5-Trichloro[1,1'-biphenyl]-2-yl)-4,5-dihydro-4,4-dimethyloxazole (37b): yield (34%); NMR δ 1.2 (6 H, s), 3.9 (2 H, s), 7.2–7.5 (6 H, m).

2-[2,4-Dichloro-6-(2-phenylethyl)phenyl]-4,5-dihydro-4,4-dimethyloxazole (37d): yield (57%); NMR δ 1.4 (6 H, s), 2.9 (4 H, s), 4.1 (2 H, s), 7.0-7.3 (7 H, m).

2-(3,5-Dichloro-4'-fluoro[1,1'-biphenyl]-2-yl)-4,5-dihydro-3,4,4-trimethyloxazolium Iodide (38c). A solution of 37c (4.6 g, 13.6 mmol) and methyl iodide (7 mL) in nitromethane (30 mL) was stirred on a steam bath for 16 h. The cooled reaction mixture was diluted with Et₂O (200 mL), and after cooling in an ice bath, the crystalline product was collected to give 6 g (92%) of 38c, mp 214–216 °C dec. Crystallization from CH₃CN–Et₂O (1:3, v/v) provided an analytical sample: mp 218–219.5 °C dec; NMR δ 1.5 (3 H, s), 1.76 (3 H, s), 3.2 (3 H, s), 5.1 (2 H, s), 7.2–7.7 (6 H, m). Anal. (C₁₈H₁₇Cl₂FINO) C, H, N.

2-(3,5-Dichloro[1,1'-biphenyl]-2-yl)-4,5-dihydro-3,4,4-trimethyloxazolium iodide (38a): yield (100%). An analytical sample was crystallized from CH₃CN-Et₂O: mp 207-207.5 °C dec; NMR (Me₂SO- d_6) δ 1.2 (3 H, s), 1.53 (3 H, s), 3.3 (3 H, s), 4.8 (H, d, J=11 Hz), 5.1 (H, d, J=11 Hz), 7.35-7.7 (5 H, m), 7.36 (H, d, J=1.5 Hz), 8.16 (H, d, J=1.5 Hz); NMR (CDCl₃) δ 1.43 (3 H, s), 1.8 (3 H, s), 3.13 (3 H, s), 4.95 (H, d, J=11 Hz), 5.15 (H, d, J=11 Hz), 7.3-7.7 (7 H, m). Anal. (C₁₈H₁₈Cl₂INO (C. H. N).

2-(3,4',5-Trichloro[1,1'-biphenyl]-2-yl)-4,5-dihydro-3,4,4-timethyloxazolium iodide (38b): yield (79%). An analytical sample was crystallized from CH₃CN-Et₂O: mp 229-230 °C dec; NMR δ 1.5 (3 H, s), 1.8 (3 H, s), 3.26 (3 H, s), 5.5 (H, d, J = 11

Hz), 5.23 (H, d, J = 11 Hz), 7.3-7.7 (6 H, m). Anal. ($C_{18}H_{17}$ - Cl_3INO) C, H, N.

2-[2,4-Dichloro-6-(2-phenylethyl)phenyl]-4,5-dihydro-3,4,4-trimethyloxazolium iodide (38d): yield (88%). An analytical sample was crystallized from CH₃CN–Et₂O: mp 186–187 °C dec; NMR δ 1.8 (3 H, s), 1.93 (3 H, s), 3.0 (3 H, s), 3.0–3.15 (4 H, m), 5.16 (H, d, J = 9 Hz), 5.46 (H, d, J = 9 Hz), 7.1–7.5 (7 H, m). Anal. (C₂₀H₂₂Cl₂INO) C, H, N.

3,5-Dichloro-4'-fluoro[1,1'-biphenyl]-2-carboxaldehyde (3c). A vigorously stirred suspension of 29c (5.9 g, 12.3 mmol) in EtOH (50 mL) was treated portionwise with NaBH₄ (550 mg, 18 mmol). After stirring for 2 h at ambient temperature, the clear solution was diluted with 3 N HCl (100 mL) and stirred on a steam bath for 2 h. The reaction mixture was then cooled, diluted with H₂O (200 mL), and extracted with Et₂O (300 mL). The Et₂O extract was washed with H₂O (2 × 200 mL) and brine, then dried, filtered, and evaporated in vacuo to provide 2.72 g (82%) of 3c, mp 66–68 °C. Crystallization provided an analytical sample: NMR δ 7.0–7.5 (6 H, m), 10.1 (H, s).

Method 2. N-[(2,4-Dichlorophenyl)methylene]benzenamine (40c). A mixture of 39c (150 g, 0.86 mol), freshly distilled aniline (75 mL, 0.86 mol) and toluene (300 mL) was refluxed under a Dean-Stark trap for 2 h. The light tan solution was then cooled and evaporated under reduced pressure. After the residue was dried in vacuo at 50 °C for 16 h, the yield was 213 g (99%), mp 87-89 °C (lit. 18 mp 89.5 °C).

N-[(2-Chlorophenyl)methylene]benzenamine (40a): yield (90%) as a viscous oil; NMR δ 7.2–7.6 (8 H, m), 8.25–8.4 (H, m), 9.0 (H, s). Anal. (C₁₃H₁₀CN) C, H, N.

N-[(2,4-Difluorophenyl)methylene]benzenamine (40b): yield (97%) as a tan liquid; NMR δ 6.5-7.4 (7 H, m), 8.0 (H, q, J = 8 and 2 Hz), 8.5 (H, s).

N-[(2-Chloro-4-methylphenyl)methylene]benzenamine (40d): yield (100%) as a brown oil; NMR δ 2.34 (3 H, s), 7.1–7.6 (7 H, m), 8.14 (H, d, J = 7.5 Hz), 8.90 (H, s). Anal. (C₁₄H₁₂CIN) C, H, N.

N-[(4-Chloro-2-methylphenyl)methylene]benzenamine (40e): yield (77%) after distillation via a Kugelrohr apparatus (oven temperature 160 °C, 0.5 mm) as a viscous oil; NMR δ 2.5 (3 H, s), 6.3-7.5 (7 H, m), 7.95 (H, d), 8.6 (H, s).

N-[(2,4-Dimethylphenyl)methylene]benzenamine (40f): yield (100%) after vacuum distillation, bp 120–122 °C (0.2–0.25 mm) as a pale yellow oil; NMR δ 2.33 (3 H, s), 2.53 (3 H, s), 7.0–7.6 (7 H, m), 7.95 (H, d), 8.6 (H, s). Anal. ($C_{15}H_{15}N$) C, H, N.

N-[(2,5-Dimethylphenyl)methylene]benzenamine (40g): yield (96%) after vacuum distillation, bp 155-157 °C (1.4 mm) as a pale yellow oil; NMR δ 2.33 (3 H, s), 2.47 (3 H, s), 7.1-7.5 (7 H, m), 8.0 (H, s), 8.78 (H, s). Anal. ($C_{15}H_{15}N$) C, H, N.

Bis(μ-acetato-O,O')bis[3,5-dichloro-2-[(phenylimino)-methyl]phenyl-C,N]dipalladium (41c). A mixture of 40c (58.2 g, 0.23 mol) and Pd(II) acetate (52.4 g, 0.23 mol) in HOAc (1 L) was stirred at reflux for 1 h. The turbid solution was cooled and poured into H₂O (4 L) to give 41c as a red powder after drying in vacuo at 50 °C for 16 h (94.5 g, 98%). Crystallization from HOAc-H₂O (7:1, v/v) provided an analytical sample of 41c: mp 203-205 °C; NMR δ 1.73 (3 H, s), 6.50 (H, d, J = 1.5 Hz), 6.97 (2 H, m), 7.12 (H, d, J = 1.5 Hz), 7.33 (3 H, m), 8.03 (H, s). Anal. (C₃₀H₂₂Cl₄N₂O₄Pd₂) C, H, N.

Bis(μ -acetato-O, O)bis[3-chloro-2-[(phenylimino)-methyl]phenyl-C,N]dipalladium (41a): yield (92%), mp 102-110 °C. An analytical sample was prepared as in 41c. Anal. ($C_{30}H_{22}Cl_2N_2O_4Pd_2$) C, H, N.

Bis(μ-acetato-O, O) bis[3,5-difluoro-2-[(phenylimino)-methyl]phenyl-C, N]dipalladium (41b): yield (94%), mp 212-214 °C; NMR δ 1.8 (6 H, s), 6.0 (2 H, dd, J = 9 and 2 Hz), 6.5 (2 H, td, J = 9 and 2 Hz), 6.8-7.0 (4 H, m), 7.16-7.36 (6 H, m), 7.92 (2 H, s).

Bis(μ -acetato-O,O)bis[3-chloro-5-methyl-2-[(phenylimino)methyl]phenyl-C,N]dipalladium (41d): yield (92%), mp 104-120 °C. An analytical sample was prepared as in 41c. Anal. ($C_{32}H_{28}Cl_2N_2O_4Pd_2$) C, H, N.

Bis(μ -acetato-O, O) bis[5-chloro-3-methyl-2-[(phenylimino)methyl]phenyl-C,N]dipalladium (41e): yield (75%)

as an orange powder; NMR δ 1.69 (3 H, s), 2.32 (3 H, s), 6.58 (H, d, J = 2 Hz), 6.9–7.1 (3 H, m), 7.3–7.5 (3 H, m), 7.80 (H, s).

Bis(μ -acetato-O,O)bis[3,5-dimethyl-2-[(phenylimino)-methyl]phenyl-C,N]dipalladium (41f): yield (96%) as a yellow-orange powder; NMR δ 1.76 (3 H, s), 2.27 (3 H, s), 2.34 (3 H, s), 6.43 (H, s), 6.70 (H, s), 6.9-7.1 (2 H, m), 7.2-7.4 (3 H, m), 7.8 (H, s).

 $Bis(\mu-acetato-O,O)bis[3,6-dimethyl-2-[(phenylimino)-methyl]phenyl-C,N]dipalladium (41g): yield (75%) as a light yellow-orange powder.$

Compound 3c. A solution of 41c (8.29 g, 10 mmol) and triphenylphosphine (21.0 g, 80 mmol) in dry toluene (150 mL) was stirred for 30 min at ambient temperature under N_2 . (4-Fluorophenyl)magnesium bromide, prepared from 4-bromofluorobenzene (15.4 g, 88 mmol) and magnesium (1.94 g, 80 mmol) in dry Et₂O (100 mL) under N_2 at ambient temperature, was added to the above solution in one portion and the resulting mixture was stirred for 1 h. After the addition of 6 N HCl (50 mL), the mixture was stirred for 1 h and then filtered. The filtrate was diluted with Et₂O (300 mL) and washed with saturated brine (3 \times 200 mL), dried, filtered, and evaporated. The residue was chromatographed on a silica column (1 kg). Elution with Et₂O-hexane (1:39, v/v, 5.5 L) provided a forerun which was discarded. Continued elution with Et₂O-hexane (1:9, v/v, 5.7 L) gave 3c (4.5 g, 84%), mp 73–74 °C.

Subsequent preparations of 3c and all other 1,1'-biphenyl-2-carboxaldehydes (3) used various concentrations of CH_2Cl_2 -hexane as eluant [R_f of 3c 0.34 on TLC (silica, CH_2Cl_2 -hexane (1:1))].

4-Fluoro-3,5-dimethylbromobenzene (59a). 4-Bromo-2,5-dimethylaniline (16.9 g, 0.085 mol) was added portionwise to a well-stirred solution of 40 mL of fluoroboric acid (48–50%) in H_2O (60 mL) and EtOH (50 mL). After cooling to ~ 5 °C, a solution of NaNO₂ (5.87 g, 0.085 mol) in H_2O (40 mL) was added dropwise. The thick mixture was stirred with cooling for 1 h and then filtered; the white solid was washed with 50 mL of cold EtOH followed by 50 mL of E_2O and air-dried.

The dried solid was placed in a flask equipped with a distilling head and heated in an oil bath at 110–200 °C with stirring for 1 h and at 200 °C for 30 min. After cooling, the mixture was taken up in Et₂O and filtered to remove an insoluble solid. The filtrate was washed with dilute aqueous NaOH, cold H₂O, dilute aqueous HCl, cold H₂O, and saturated brine and dried. After filtration, the Et₂O solution was concentrated to dryness in vacuo to give a yellow-brown oil. Distillation of the crude product gave a colorless oil (10.2 g, 66%); bp 87–89 °C (17 mm); ¹H NMR δ 2.25 (6 H, d), 7.0–7.2 (2 H, m). Anal. (C₈H₈BrF), C, H.

Resolution of 100 and 110. The resolution of lactones 100 and 110 was accomplished according to the procedure described in ref 1. The physical properties of their corresponding diastereomeric amides are listed below and those of the enantiomeric lactones formed after basic hydrolysis and relactonization are listed in Table III (100(+) and 100(-), 110(+) and 100(-)).

(S)- α -Methylbenzylamides of 100. Elution on Waters Prep LC500 with CH₂Cl₂-MeOH (85:15, v/v) provided the 3R,5S isomer (the absolute configuration was determined on the resultant lactone, 100(+), by X-ray crystallographic analysis) in 42% yield (84% yield assuming an equal distribution of the enantiomeric lactones), mp 127-128.5 °C, >94% pure by HPLC. HPLC data: elution times were 4.0 min for the 3S isomer and 4.5 min for the 3R isomer (CH₂Cl₂-MeOH (98:2, v/v)), 2 mL/min on a μ Porasil column. An analytical sample was prepared by trituration with Et₂O, mp 128-129 °C. Anal. (C₂₇H₂₆Cl₂FNO₃) C, H, N.

The diastereomeric 3S,5R amide was not purified further (>95% by HPLC) but was converted to the lactone (100(-)).

(S)- α -Methylbenzylamides of 110. The amides were treated as above. Amide I: 33% yield, mp 110–112 °C, >95% by HPLC and gives rise to 110(–). HPLC data: elution time = 2.31 min (hexane–i-PrOH (91.5:8.5, v/v)), 4 mL/min on a DuPont silica column. An analytical sample was prepared by crystallization from Et₂O-hexane, mp 113–115 °C. Anal. (C₃₀H₃₄FNO₃) C, H, N

Amide II: 27% yield, mp 86–89 °C, >99% by HPLC and gives rise to 110(+). Elution time = 3.16 min. An analytical sample was prepared by crystallization from $\rm Et_2O$ -hexane, mp 87–89 °C. Anal. ($\rm C_{30}H_{34}FNO_3$) C, H, N.

X-ray Structure Analysis. The single-crystal analysis of structure 100(+) was carried out on a fully automated Enraf-Nonius CAD4 diffractometer using graphite monochromated Cu Ka radiation (1.5418 Å) in the $2\theta/\omega$ scan mode to a maximum 2θ of 115°. The unit cell dimensions of a specimen grown in ether are a=6.746 (2) Å, b=8.781 (5) Å, c=15.213 (5) Å, $\alpha=83.95$ (3)°, $\beta=89.79$ (2)°, $\gamma=99.20$ (3)°, V=884 (1) ų, and $D_{\rm calcd}=1.428$ g/cm³ e in the acentric space group PI (Z=2). Of the 2432 unique reflections, 2057 (84.6%) were considered observeds at the level $I\geq 3\sigma(I)$. Corrections for absorption, Lorentz and polarization factors were made to the raw data. Data reduction, least-squares refinement, and other related crystallographic calculations were carried out with the SDP¹9 set of computer programs running on a PDP 11/60 computer.

A trial structure was obtained by the direct methods computer program MULTAN.²⁰ Missing non-hydrogen atoms were located by difference electron density syntheses. All non-hydrogen atoms were refined by full-matrix least-squares using anisotropic temperature factors. After suitable refinement, idealized hydrogen atoms were added using isotropic temperature factors of the atoms to which they were bonded. Only the positional parameters of hydrogen atoms were refined. The function minimized during least squares was $\sum w(|F_o| - |F_c|)^2$. The final unweighted residual index $(\sum ||F_o| - |F_c|)/\sum |F_o|)$ was 0.04291.

The two formula units in the unit cell are related to one another by a pseudocenter of symmetry broken only by the chiral centers (C6/C6' and C4/C4') in the lactone ring. Because of this non crystallographic symmetry and the resultant correlation between pairs of atoms, it was necessary to refine each formula unit separately.

The absolute configuration was determined by the use of anomalous dispersion²¹ as observed by intensity measurements on a selected series of reflections and their Friedel pairs. Selection of the correct enantiomer was corrobated by the use of Hamilton's²² R factor ratio test. The ratio of R factors (R) was 0.0618/0.0598 = 1.033. The R factors were obtained from least-squares refinement of all non-hydrogen atoms to a minimum using no anomalous scattering terms followed by calculation of structure factors using appropriate anomalous scattering terms with a change in sign of $\Delta f''$ in one case to simulate an enantiomeric coordinate system. The significance point of R (1, 1606, 0.005) was calculated to be 1.0025 from a total of 451 parameters, 2057 reflections (1606 degrees of freedom) at the 0.005 level of significance. Therefore, at the 0.5% level, we can reject the hypothesis that the data giving the higher R factor describes the correct enantiomer.

The atomic numbering scheme coincides exactly with the ORTEP²³ drawing of Figure 1. The two formula units in the asymmetric unit have identical numbering schemes with the addition of a prime (') on one molecule to distinguish it from the other. Hydrogens are labeled according to the atom to which it was bonded with suffixes of either "A", "B" or "C" when more than one hydrogen atom shares a heavier atom.

HMG-CoA Reductase Inhibition Assay. IC₅₀ values were determined by plotting percentage inhibition against test compound concentration (four or five levels) and fitting a straight line to the resulting data by using the least-squares method. See part 1 for a full description of protocol.

Acknowledgment. We extend our thanks to Drs. E.

⁽¹⁹⁾ Okaya, Y.; Frenz, B.; Brice, M.; Corfield, P.; Hodgson, K.; Rohrer, D.; Sinn, E., Enraf-Nonius Structure Determination Package, Revision 3-5; April 1980, An Integrated Set of Crystallographic Computer Programs Writtem for Use on PDP-11 Series of Computers.

⁽²⁰⁾ Main, P.; Hull, S. E.; Lessinger, L.; Germain, G.; Declercq, J. P.; Woolfson, M. M., "MULTAN 78, A System of Computer Programs for the Automatic Solution of Crystal Structures from X-Ray Diffraction Data"; Universities of York, England and Louvain, Belgium, 1978.

⁽²¹⁾ Bijvoet, J. M.; Peeredeman, A. F.; Van Bommel, A. J. Nature (London) 1951, 168, 271.

⁽²²⁾ Hamilton, W. C. Acta Crystallogr. 1965, 15, 502.

⁽²³⁾ Johnson, C. K., 1965. ORTEP. Report ORNL-3794, Oak Ridge National Laboratory, TN.

H. Cordes and R. F. Hirschmann for their encouragement during the course of this study, to Dr. T. Verhoeven for the preparation of nitrile 12, to Dr. W. C. Randall and J. P. Moreau for analytical support, to Dr. D. W. Cochran and J. S. Murphy for the ¹H NMR spectra, and to M. Z.

Banker for manuscript preparation.

Supplementary Material Available: ¹H NMR data for biphenylcarboxaldehydes 3 and 3-biphenylylpropenals 4 plus atomic parameters for 100(+) (8 pages). Ordering information is given on any current masthead page.

Synthesis and Investigation of the β -Adrenoceptor Agonist and Platelet Antiaggregatory Properties of 1,7,8-Trisubstituted 2,3,4,5-Tetrahydro-1H-2-benzazepine Analogues of Trimetoquinol

Michael T. Clark, Jane Chang, Stephen S. Navran, Huzoor-Akbar, Asoke Mukhopadhyay, Hebatalla Amin, Dennis R. Feller, and Duane D. Miller*

Divisions of Medicinal Chemistry and Pharmacognosy and Pharmacology, College of Pharmacy, The Ohio State University, Columbus, Ohio 43210. Received May 15, 1985

The synthesis and biological evaluation of 7,8-dihydroxy (2) and 7,8-methylenedioxy (3) analogues of 1-[(3,4,5-trimethoxyphenyl)methyl]-2,3,4,5-tetrahydro-1H-2-benzazepine on β -adrenoceptor systems and human platelets were undertaken and compared with trimetoquinol (TMQ, 1). Whereas 1 is a potent β -adrenoceptor agonist in guinea pig atria and trachea (p D_2 = 8.2), analogue 2 was marginally effective at relaxing guinea pig tracheal smooth muscle (p D_2 = 4.4) and inactive as an agonist on guinea pig atria. Analogues 2 and 3 were inhibitors of phospholipase C (PLC; from Clostridium perfringens) induced and secondary wave of ADP-induced aggregation responses and inactive against low-dose thrombin-induced or stable endoperoxide (U46619) induced human platelet aggregation. Against ADP-induced serotonin secretion, 3 was 9-fold more active than analogue 2. Further, the rank order of TMQ isomers and 3 as inhibitors of PLC-induced platelet aggregation, serotonin secretion, and phosphatidylinositol degradation was identical (3 > (S)-(-)-1 > (R)-(+)-1). The results suggest that these compounds are blocking the action of PLC by interfering with phosphatidylinositol turnover in platelet membranes. The inhibition of ADP-induced responses in human platelets by analogues 2 and 3 also suggests a site of inhibition at a level of arachidonic acid release. Thus, ring expansion of 1 as in the benzazepine analogues 2 and 3 has allowed us to develop selective inhibitors of platelet function that lack significant β -adrenoceptor activity.

Trimetoquinol (1) is a potent β -adrenoceptor agonist^{1,2} and platelet antiaggregatory drug.^{3,4} Our laboratory has investigated the effect of structural modification of 1 with the goal of obtaining highly selective β_2 -adrenergic agonists and antiplatelet agents.^{5–10} To our knowledge, no investigation, other than our own, has been carried our to establish the effect of enlargement of the tetrahydroisoquinoline ring to a tetrahydro-1*H*-2-benzazepine on β -adrenergic activity. Recently, we have reported¹¹ that 2 and 3 were found to be more potent than 1 as inhibitors of bacterial phospholipase C (PLC) induced human platelet aggregation. In the following discussion, the synthesis and more extensive biological evaluation of 2 and 3 in human

- (1) Iwasawa, Y.; Kiyomoto, A. Jpn. J. Pharmacol. 1967, 17, 143-152.
- (2) Feller, D. R.; Venkatraman, R.; Miller, D. D. Biochem. Pharmacol. 1975, 24, 1357-1359.
- (3) Shtacher, G.; Crowley, H. J.; Dalton, C. Biochem. Pharmacol. 1976, 25, 1045-1050.
- (4) Mayo, J. R.; Navran, S. S.; Huzoor-Akbar; Miller, D. D.; Feller, D. R. Biochem. Pharmacol. 1981, 30, 2237-2241.
- (5) Miller, D. D.; Kador, P. F.; Venkatraman, R.; Feller, D. R. J. Med. Chem. 1976, 19, 763-766.
- (6) Osei-Gyimah, P.; Piascik, M. T.; Fowble, J. W.; Feller, D. R.; Miller, D. D. J. Med. Chem. 1978, 21, 1173-1178.
- (7) Piascik, M. T.; Osei-Gyimah, P.; Miller, D. D.; Feller, D. R. Biochem. Pharmacol. 1979, 28, 1807-1810.
- (8) Mukhopadhyay, A.; Sober, D. J.; Chang, J.; Slenn, R. T.; Amin, H. M.; Miller, D. D.; Feller, D. R. Eur. J. Pharmacol. 1982, 77, 200-210
- (9) Sober, D. J.; Chang, J.; Fowble, J. W.; Mukhopadhyay, A.; Feller, D. R.; Miller, D. D. J. Med. Chem. 1981, 24, 970-974.
- (10) Mukhopadhyay, A.; Navran, S. S.; Amin, H. M.; Abdel-Aziz, S. A.; Chang, J.; Sober, D. J.; Miller, D. D.; Feller, D. R. J. Pharmacol. Exp. Ther. 1985, 232, 1-9.
- (11) Navran, S. S.; Romstedt, K.; Chang, J.; Miller, D. D.; Feller, D. R. Thromb. Res. 1984, 33, 499-510.

platelets and of 2 in β_1 - and β_2 -adrenergic systems is reported.

Chemistry. The synthesis of compound 2 involved the preparation of N-[[3,4-(methylenedioxy)phenyl]propyl]-3,4,5-trimethoxyphenylacetamide (6) from 3-[3,4-(methylenedioxy)phenyl]-1-aminopropane (4) and 3,4,5-trimethoxyphenylacetic acid (5). Compound 6 was then cyclized via a Bischler-Napieralski ring closure and converted to 2 with BCl₃ as illustrated in Scheme I.

Of importance in the synthetic strategy was the preparation of compound 4. The original preparation of 4 involved reduction, via hydrogenation or sodium amalgam, of 3,4-(methylenedioxy)cinnamic acid to 3-[3,4-(methylenedioxy)phenyl]-1-propionic acid.¹² The propionic acid

⁽¹²⁾ Haworth, W. N.; Perkin, W. H., Jr.; Robinson, R. J. Chem. Soc. 1907, 91, 1087.