A Divergent Method for Preparing 1-Aryl- and 1,3-Diarylazulenes from Ethyl 3-(Cyclohepta-1,3,5-trien-1-yl)-3-oxopropionate

Mitsunori Oda,* Takanori Kajioka, Kanae Haramoto, Ryuta Miyatake, Shigeyasu Kuroda*

Department of Applied Chemistry, Faculty of Engineering, Toyama University, Gofuku 3190, Toyama 930-8555, Japan Fax +81(764) 45 6819; E-mail: oda@eng.toyama-u.ac.jp Received 16 April 1999

Abstract: The 3-aryl substituted 1,2,3,8-tetrahydroazulen-1-ones **3**, prepared by the Knoevenagel condensation of ethyl 3-(cyclohep-ta-1,3,5-trien-1-yl)-3-oxopropionate (**6**) with arylaldehydes and subsequent Nazarov cyclization, were efficiently transformed into 1-aryl- and 1,3-diarylazulenes in a few steps.

Key words: azulenes, azulenones, Nazarov cyclization, dehydrogenation

Although tetrahydroazulen-1-ones¹ can be used as synthetic intermediates for azulenes,² homoazulenes,³ bridged homotropylium cations,⁴ and guaiazulene-type sesquiterpenes,⁵ there are only a few convenient methods for their preparation.^{2,6,7} We reported a synthetic pathway from 1-acryloylcyclohepta-1,3,5-triene, prepared from commercially available cyclohepta-1,3,5-triene (1) in four steps, to the tetrahydroazulen-1-ones.⁶ The method involves the Nazarov cyclization⁸ as a key step for constructing the bicyclic carbon framework. Also, we extended its application to synthesis of the substituted derivatives,⁷ that makes it possible to obtain 3-aryl-2-ethoxycarbonyl-1,2,3,8-tetrahydroazulen-1-ones 2 from 1 quite easily. Herein we wish to report the synthesis of 3-aryl-1,2,3,8-tetrahydroazulen-1-ones 3 and their efficient transformations into 1-aryl- and 1,3-diarylazulenes 4 and 5 which may be used to synthesize π -conjugated oligomers and polymers.⁹ These materials, particularly ones containing heterols and azulene as a monomeric unit, are of significant interest because of their electric and optical properties.10

The starting material, ethyl 3-(cyclohepta-1,3,5-trien-1yl)-3-oxopropionate (6), for this work can be prepared from 1 in good yield by the Friedel-Crafts acetylation and subsequent ethoxycarbonylation.⁷ Reaction of **6** with aryl aldehydes under the influence of piperidine and p-toluenesulfonic acid gave the arylidene derivatives 7a-d in good yields (82–93%).^{11,12} While heating 7a and 7d in a mixture of phosphoric acid and formic acid at 90 °C for 2 h gave the tetrahydroazulen-1-ones **3a** and **3d** in moderate yields, the reaction of 7b and 7c under the same conditions afforded an intractable reaction mixture, probably because of the acid-sensitive nature of the electron-rich heteroles. Thus, 3b and 3c were obtained from 7b and 7c via 2b and 2c, respectively, in good yields. The Nazarov cyclization of 7b and 7c with trimethylsilyl triflate at room temperature gave the esters **2b** and **2c**,¹³ which were



Scheme 1

then hydrolyzed and decarboxylated in methanolic hydrochloric acid (70 $^{\circ}$ C, 5 h) to give **3b** and **3c** (Scheme 1).

The 1-arylazulenes 4a-d were obtained from the corresponding tetrahydroazulen-1-ones 3a-d in moderate to good yields by a sequence involving reduction with NaBH₄, dehydration with methanesulfonyl chloride and triethylamine, and dehydrogenation with *p*-chloranil (Scheme 2).





The new 1-arylazulenes **4b–d** were fully characterized by spectroscopic and elemental analyses and the 1-phenyl-

azulene $(4a)^{14}$ was confirmed by comparison with authentic data. It is noteworthy that the dehydration of the intermediary secondary alcohols with phosphoryl chloride and pyridine, thionyl chloride and triethylamine, and only acids, such as *p*-toluenesulfonic acid or trifluoroacetic acid, gave lower yields of **4**. While Scott et al. reported a onepot procedure from 1,2,3,4-tetrahydroazulen-1-one to azulene simply by warming with phosphorous pentaoxide in methanesulfonic acid,^{2b} application of this method for **3** resulted in formation of a gummy polymeric material and none of **4**. Furthermore, the Shapiro reaction¹⁵ of the tosylhydrazones of **3** and subseqent dehydrogenation with *o*- or *p*-chloranil were carried out; however, the yields of **4** were less than 10%.

On the other hand, the 1,2,3,8-tetrahydroazulen-1-ones 3a, 3c, and 3d were reacted with phenyl- or 2thienyllithium¹⁶ to give the intermediary tertiary alcohols which were treated with a catalytic amount of Pd-C in refluxing diphenyl ether¹⁷ to produce the 1,3-diarylazulenes **5e-h** as the sole isolable products in 31–68% yields (Scheme 3). The yields of **5e-h** are greater than those of 1-methyl- and 1,6-dimethylazulenes which were obtained by CH₃MgCl addition to 1,2,3,4-tetrahydroazulen-1-one and its 6-methyl derivative and subsequent vapor-phase reaction with Pd-C, reported by Scott et al.^{2b} The sole production of **5e-h** in the dehydration-dehydrogenation is contrary to the case in which the secondary alcohols obtained by NaBH₄ reduction of **3a-d** gave a mixture of 1and 2-arylazulenes under the same reaction conditions of this dehydration-dehydrogenation reaction. Although a phenyl group migration of 1- and 2-phenylazulenes above 300 °C has been documented,¹⁸ the reluctance of 1,3-diarylazulenes to rearrange under similar conditions has been disclosed for the first time. Daub et al.9c have recently reported that **5e** could be synthesized by palladium-catalyzed coupling of phenylboronic acid and a mixture of azulene, 1-bromoazulene and 1,3-dibromoazulene, prepared by N-bromosuccimide bromination of azulene, in 32% yield, along with a 27% yield of 1-phenylazulene. However, this method is not suitable for synthesizing unsymmetrical 1,3-disubstituted azulenes. In contrast to Daub's method, our pathway provides the desired 1,3-diarylazulenes from the ester 6 simply by choosing an arylaldehyde for the Knoevenagel condensation and an aryl-



Scheme 3

lithium in the addition reaction to the 3-aryl-1,2,3,8-tet-rahydroazulen-1-ones.

In conclusion, we have shown that it is possible to convert commercially available cyclohepta-1,3,5-triene (1) into 1-aryl-1,2,3,8-tetrahydroazulen-1-ones **3** in four- or five-step reactions involving the Nazarov cyclization as a key step for constructing the bicyclic skeleton and that these azulenones serve as versatile synthetic intermediates for a wide variety of 1-aryl- and 1,3-diarylazulenes. In comparison with other synthetic approaches, our method offers a divergent way to unsymmetrical 1,3-disubstituted azulenes. Oligomerizations and polymerizations of these azulenes are the focus of current investigation.

Mps were uncorrected. IR spectra were recorded on a JASCO IR-810 spectrometer; ¹H (400 MHz), and ¹³C (100 MHz) NMR spectra were recorded on a Jeol A400 spectrometer by use of CDCl₃ as solvent and TMS as internal standard; Mass spectra were recorded by Jeol JMS-D-300 and JMS-GC Mate spectrometers. Ethyl 3-(cyclohepta-1,3,5-trien-1-yl)-3-oxopropionate (**6**), ethyl 3-(cyclohepta-1,3,5-trien-1-yl)-2-arylidene-3-oxopropionates **7a**, and **7b**, and 2ethoxycarbonyl-3-furyl-1,2,3,8-dihydroazulen-1-ones **2b** were prepared by the reported method.⁷ A solution of phenyllithium in cyclohexane–diethyl ether was purchased from Aldrich and titrated before use. A solution of 2-thienyllithium in diethyl ether was prepared from thiophene and BuLi by the literature method.¹⁵ A solution of BuLi in hexane was purchased from Merck and titrated before use.

The Knoevenagel Condensation of 6 with Aldehydes; Typical Procedure

A mixture of **6** (20 mmol), the aldehyde (20 mmol), piperidine (0.8 mL), and TsOH (0.02 g) in benzene (20 mL) was refluxed with a Dean–Stark apparatus until water was not trapped. The mixture was poured into water and extracted with benzene $(3 \times 30 \text{ mL})$. The combined organic layer was washed with brine and dried (MgSO₄). The solvent was removed and the residual oil was purified by silica gel chromatography (hexane/EtOAc, 8:2) to give 7c and 7d.

(E)-Ethyl 3-(cyclohepta-1,3,5-trien-1-yl)-2-(2-thienyl)methylene-3-oxopropionate (7c)

Yield 94%; a pale yellow oil.

IR (liq. film): v = 1720s, 1655s, 1620s, 1250s, 1205s cm⁻¹.

¹H NMR: δ = 1.21 (t, *J* = 7.2 Hz, 3H), 2.86 (d, *J* = 6.8 Hz, 2H), 4.21 (q, *J* = 7.2 Hz, 2H), 5.68 (dt, *J* = 8.8, 6.8 Hz, 1H), 5.82 (dd, *J* = 9.2, 5.6 Hz, 1H), 6.59 (dd, *J* = 11.2, 6.0 Hz, 1H), 6.84 (dd, *J* = 11.2, 6.0 Hz, 1H), 7.00 (ddd, *J* = 4.8, 3.6, 1.6 Hz, 1H), 7.04 (d, *J* = 5.6 Hz, 1H), 7.23 (d, *J* = 3.6 Hz, 1H), 7.41 (d, *J* = 4.8 Hz, 1H), 7.91 (s, 1H).

 ^{13}C NMR: δ = 14.1, 25.1, 61.4, 126.0, 127.4, 127.7, 128.6, 129.1, 130.9, 131.3, 133.7, 134.1, 135.8, 136.4, 136.7, 165.0, 194.5.

UV-Vis (MeOH): λ (log ϵ) = 274 (4.10), 316 (4.31) nm.

MS (EI): m/z (%) = 300 (M⁺, 28), 254 (66), 226 (14), 198 (15), 186 (20), 149 (24), 137 (13), 118 (100), 90 (51), 65 (23).

HRMS calcd. for $C_{17}H_{16}O_3S m/z$ 300.0820; found 300.0775.

(*E*)-Ethyl 3-(cyclohepta-1,3,5-trien-1-yl)-2-(2-pyridyl)methylene-3-oxopropionate (7d) Yield 86%; an orange oil.

IR (liq. film): v = 1715s, 1660s, 1255s, 1210s, 760s cm⁻¹.

¹H NMR: δ = 1.24 (t, *J* = 7.2 Hz, 3H), 2.87 (d, *J* = 6.8 Hz, 2H), 4.25 (q, *J* = 7.2 Hz, 2H), 5.65 (dt, *J* = 9.6, 6.8 Hz, 1H), 6.22 (dd, *J* = 9.6, 5.6 Hz, 1H), 6.52 (dd, *J* = 11.2, 6.0 Hz, 1H), 6.74 (dd, *J* = 11.2, 5.6

Synthesis 1999, No. 8, 1349-1353 ISSN 0039-7881 © Thieme Stuttgart · New York

Hz, 1H), 6.85 (d, J = 6.0 Hz, 1H), 7.15 (ddd, J = 7.6, 4.8, 0.8 Hz, 1H), 7.35 (dm, J = 7.6 Hz, 1H), 7.64 (dt, J = 7.6, 1.6 Hz, 1H), 7.74 (s, 1H), 8.44 (dm, J = 4.0 Hz, 1H).

 ^{13}C NMR: δ = 14.0, 25.4, 61.6, 123.8, 125.7, 125.9, 127.0, 129.1, 132.1, 133.1, 135.2, 135.5, 136.5, 139.6, 149.4, 151.5, 164.9, 193.9.

UV-Vis (MeOH): λ (log ϵ) = 256sh (4.10), 295 (4.20), 453 (1.82) nm.

MS (EI): m/z (%) = 295 (M⁺, 11), 248 (19), 221 (24), 217 (100), 193 (50), 145 (56), 91 (50).

HRMS calcd. for C₁₈H₁₇NO₃ m/z 295.1209; found 295.1209.

The Nazarov Cyclization of 7 in a Mixture of Phosphoric Acid and Formic Acid; Typical Procedure

A solution of 7 (10.0 mmol) in phosphoric acid (85%, 40 mL) and formic acid was stirred at 90 °C for 2 h, and then was poured into H_2O and extracted with Et_2O (3 × 100 mL). The combined organic layer was washed with sat. NaHCO₃ and brine, and was dried (MgSO₄). The solvent was removed and the residue was purified by chromatography on silica gel (hexane/EtOAc, 8:2) to give **3a** and **3d**.

(±)-**3-Phenyl-1,2,3,8-tetrahydroazulen-1-one (3a)** Yield 74%; colorless oil.

IR (liq. film): v = 1690s, 700s cm⁻¹.

¹H NMR: δ = 2.48 (dd, *J* = 18.8, 2.4 Hz, 1H), 2.71 (dd, *J* = 14.4, 6.0 Hz, 1H), 2.93 (dd, *J* = 14.4, 6.8 Hz, 2H), 3.03 (dd, *J* = 18.8, 6.8 Hz, 1H), 4.05 (d, *J* = 6.8 Hz, 1H), 5.69 (dt, *J* = 10.0, 6.4 Hz, 1H), 6.15 (dd, *J* = 9.6, 6.0 Hz, 1H), 6.35 (d, *J* = 11.2 Hz, 1H), 6.67 (dd, *J* = 11.2, 6.0 Hz, 1H), 7.08 (d, *J* = 7.2 Hz, 2H), 7.24 (t, *J* = 7.6 Hz, 1H), 7.31 (t, *J* = 7.6 Hz, 2H).

¹³C NMR: δ = 21.9, 45.4, 46.6, 127.1, 127.5, 127.79 (2C), 127.84, 128.9, 133.2, 136.7, 142.2, 165.9, 206.7.

UV-Vis (MeOH): λ (log ϵ) = 216 (4.31), 296 (3.78) nm.

MS (EI): m/z (%) = 222 (M⁺, 100), 179 (49).

HRMS calcd. for C₁₆H₁₄O m/z 222.1045; found 222.1064.

(\pm)-3-(2-Pyridyl)-1,2,3,8-tetrahydroazulen-1-one (3d) Yield 40%; yellow needles; mp = 60-61 °C.

IR (KBr): v = 1675s, 750s cm⁻¹.

¹H NMR: $\delta = 2.70$ (dd, J = 18.8, 2.4 Hz, 1H), 2.72 (dd, J = 14.4, 6.0 Hz, 1H), 2.93 (dd, J = 14.4, 6.8 Hz, 1H), 3.02 (dd, J = 18.8, 7.2 Hz, 1H), 4.27 (dm, J = 5.2 Hz, 1H), 5.68 (dt, J = 10.0, 6.4 Hz, 1H), 6.15 (dd, J = 10.0, 6.0 Hz, 1H), 6.38 (d, J = 11.2 Hz, 1H), 6.68 (dd, J = 11.2, 6.0 Hz, 1H), 7.05 (dt, J = 7.6, 1.2 Hz, 1H), 7.18 (ddd, J = 7.6, 5.2, 1.2 Hz, 1H), 7.64 (td, J = 7.6, 2.0 Hz, 1H), 8.56 (dm, J = 4.8 Hz, 1H).

¹³C NMR: δ = 22.0, 44.6, 47.6, 122.0, 122.1, 127.4, 127.8, 127.9, 133.4, 136.8, 136.9, 149.8, 161.3, 164.6, 206.4.

UV-Vis (MeOH): λ (log ϵ) = 257sh (3.74), 264 (3.79), 270 (3.76), 302 (3.62) nm.

MS (EI): *m*/*z* (%) = 223 (M⁺, 26), 194 (78), 180 (100).

Anal. Calc. for $C_{15}H_{13}NO$: C, 80.69; H, 5.87; N, 6.27. Found: C, 81.01; H, 5.98; N, 6.17.

The Nazarov Cyclization of 7 Using Trimethylsilyl Triflate; Typical Procedure

Trimethylsilyl triflate (10.5 mmol) was added dropwise to a solution of 7 (10.0 mmol) in CH_2Cl_2 (100 mL) at r.t. This mixture was stirred at the same temperature for 0.5 to 2 h under N₂, and then was poured into sat. NaHCO₃ (150 mL) and extracted with CH₂Cl₂ (3 × 100 mL). The combined organic layer was washed with brine. After drying (Na₂SO₄) and removal of the solvent, the residue was

purified by silica gel chromatography (hexane/EtOAc, 8:2) to give 2c.

(±)-(2R*,3S*)-2-Ethoxycarbonyl-3-(2-thienyl)-1,2,3,8-tetrahydroazulen-1-one (2c)

Yield 91%; yellow prisms; mp 66-67 °C.

IR (KBr): v = 1730s, 1690s, 1610s, 1540s, 1250s, 1150s, 720s cm⁻¹.

¹H NMR: $\delta = 1.30$ (t, J = 7.2 Hz, 3H), 2.79 (dd, J = 14.4, 6.4 Hz, 1H), 2.84 (dd, J = 14.4, 6.4 Hz, 1H), 3.56 (d, J = 2.8 Hz, 1H), 4.22 (m, 2H), 4.80 (d, J = 2.8 Hz, 1H), 5.69 (dt, J = 10.0, 6.4 Hz, 1H), 6.18 (dd, J = 10.0, 6.0 Hz, 1H), 6.50 (d, J = 11.2 Hz, 1H), 6.76 (dd, J = 11.2, 6.0 Hz, 1H), 6.85 (dd, J = 3.6, 1.2 Hz, 1H), 6.94 (dd, J = 4.8, 3.6 Hz, 1H), 7.21 (dd, J = 4.8, 1.2 Hz, 1H).

 ^{13}C NMR: δ = 14.2, 22.2, 44.4, 61.9, 63.3, 125.1, 125.6, 127.0, 127.1, 127.9, 128.0, 130.6, 137.9, 143.7, 164.5, 168.0, 198.0.

UV-Vis (MeOH): λ (log ϵ) = 228 (4.28), 308 (3.75) nm.

MS (EI): m/z (%) = 300 (M⁺, 100), 225 (12), 226 (55), 198 (32), 165 (26), 115 (18), 60 (81).

Anal. Calc. for $C_{17}H_{16}O_3S$: C, 67.98; H, 5.87. Found: C, 67.86; H, 5.37.

Removal of the Ethoxycabonyl Group of 2 with Hydrochloric Acid; Typical Procedure

A solution of **2** (5.00 mmol) in a mixure of 1N HCl (100 mL) and CH₃OH (100 mL) was refluxed for 5 h, and then the solvent was removed. The residue was poured into H₂O and extracted with Et₂O (3×30 mL). The combined organic layer was washed with sat. NaHCO₃ and brine, and was dried (MgSO₄). The solvent was removed and the residue was purified by column chromatography on silica gel (hexane/ EtOAc, 8:2) to give **3b** and **3c**.

(±)-**3-(2-Furyl)-1,2,3,8-tetrahydroazulen-1-one (3b)** Yield 93%; a pale yellow oil.

IR (liq. film): v = 1710s, 1620s, 1280s, 750s, 710s cm⁻¹.

¹H NMR: $\delta = 2.667$ (dd, J = 18.8, 2.4 Hz, 1H), 2.670 (dd, J = 13.8, 5.6 Hz, 1H), 2.90 (dd, J = 14.4, 6.8 Hz, 1H), 2.92 (dd, J = 18.8, 7.2 Hz, 1H), 4.17 (dd, J = 7.2, 2.4 Hz, 1H), 5.65 (dt, J = 10.0, 6.4 Hz, 1H), 6.08 (dd, J = 2.8, 0.8 Hz, 1H), 6.16 (d, J = 10.0, 6.0 Hz, 1H), 6.31 (dd, J = 2.8, 2.0 Hz, 1H), 6.56 (d, J = 11.2 Hz, 1H), 6.74 (dd, J = 11.6, 6.06 Hz, 1H), 7.34 (dd, J = 2.0, 0.8 Hz, 1H).

¹³C NMR: δ = 21.9, 38.7, 42.7, 106.3, 110.3, 127.3, 127.7, 127.8, 132.8, 136.8, 142.1, 154.2, 162.8, 205.7.

UV-Vis (MeOH): λ (log ϵ) = 221 (4.34), 303 (3.68) nm.

MS (EI): m/z (%) = 212 (M⁺, 100), 183 (14), 170 (16), 155 (18), 141 (52), 128 (14), 115 (34).

HRMS calcd. for $C_{14}H_{12}O_2$ m/z 212.0837, found 212.0857.

(±)-**3-(2-Thienyl)-1,2,3,8-tetrahydroazulen-1-one (3c)** Yield 93%; an yellow oil.

IR (liq. film): v = 1700s, 1620s, 1280s, 700s cm⁻¹.

¹H NMR: δ = 2.58 (dd, *J* = 18.8, 2.4 Hz, 1H), 2.68 (dd, *J* = 14.4, 6.0 Hz, 1H), 2.92 (dd, *J* = 14.4, 6.0 Hz, 1H), 3.06 (dd, *J* = 18.8, 7.6 Hz, 1H), 4.37 (dm, *J* = 7.2 Hz, 1H), 5.66 (dt, *J* = 10.0, 6.0 Hz, 1H), 6.16 (dd, *J* = 10.0, 6.4 Hz, 1H), 6.51 (d, *J* = 11.2 Hz, 1H), 6.72 (dd, *J* = 11.2, 6.0 Hz, 1H), 6.82 (dm, *J* = 3.2 Hz, 1H), 6.93 (dd, *J* = 5.2, 3.2 Hz, 1H), 7.18 (dm, *J* = 5.2 Hz, 1H).

¹³C NMR: δ = 21.6, 40.4, 49.9 124.4, 124.8, 127.0, 127.4, 127.7, 127.8, 132.5, 137.0, 145.7, 164.7, 205.6.

UV-Vis (MeOH): λ (log ϵ) = 225 (4.34), 303 (3.77) nm.

MS (EI): *m*/*z* (%) = 228 (M⁺, 100), 185 (25), 144 (11). 115 (14), 60 (42).

HRMS calcd. for C₁₄H₁₂OS m/z 228.0609; found 228.0576.

Transformation of 3-Aryl-1,2,3,8-tetrahydroazulen-1-ones (3) into 3-Arylazulenes (4); Typical Procedure

A solution of 3 (1.00 mmol) in MeOH (10 mL) was added dropwise to a suspension of NaBH₄ (1.20 mmol) in MeOH (5 mL) at 0 °C. This mixture was stirred at the same temp. for 1 h, and then was poured into sat. NaHCO₃ and extracted with Et₂O (3×30 mL). The combined organic layer was washed with brine and was dried (MgSO₄). The solvent was removed and the residue was dissolved in CH₂Cl₂ (20 mL). To this solution at 0 °C was added Et₃N (6.00 mmol), followed by dropwise addition of methanesulfonyl chloride (1.20 mmol). This reaction solution was stirred at r.t. for 3 h. Then, p-chloranil (1.20 mmol) was added to this mixture which was further stirred at r.t. for 10 to 15 h. The resulted dark-colored reaction mixture was poured into water and extracted with CH_2Cl_2 (3 × 20 mL). The combined organic layer was washed with brine and was dried (MgSO₄). The solvent was removed and the residue was purified by column chromatography on silica gel (hexane or hexane/ EtOAc, 8:2) to give 4a-4d.

1-Phenylazulene (4a)¹⁴

Yield 73%; blue leaflets; mp 56-57 °C (lit. 58 °C).

¹H NMR: δ = 7.15 (t, *J* = 10.0 Hz, 1H), 7.16 (t, *J* = 10.0 Hz, 1H), 7.35 (tm, *J* = 7.6 Hz, 1H), 7.44 (d, *J* = 4.0 Hz, 1H), 7.50 (tm, *J* = 7.6 Hz, 2H), 7.60 (t, *J* = 10.0 Hz, 1H), 7.62 (dm, *J* = 7.6 Hz, 2H), 8.03 (d, *J* = 4.0 Hz, 1H), 8.36 (d, *J* = 10.0 Hz, 1H), 8.56 (d, *J* = 10.0 Hz, 1H).

¹³C NMR: δ = 117.4, 123.0, 123.3, 126.3, 128.6, 129.8, 131.3, 135.2, 135.6, 137.1, 137.3, 137.5, 138.2, 141.7.

1-(2-Furyl)azulene (4b)

Yield 41%; a green oil.

IR (liq. film): v = 1580s, 1400, 740s cm⁻¹.

¹H NMR: $\delta = 6.47$ (m, 1H), 6.59 (d, J = 3.2 Hz, 1H), 6.97 (t, J = 9.6 Hz, 1H), 7.05 (t, J = 9.6 Hz, 1H), 7.29 (d, J = 3.6 Hz, 1H), 7.43 (t, J = 9.6 Hz, 1H), 7.49 (dd, J = 1.6, 0.8 Hz, 1H), 8.08 (d, J = 4.0 Hz, 1H), 8.13 (d, J = 9.6 Hz, 1H), 8.86 (d, J = 9.6 Hz, 1H).

¹³C NMR: δ = 105.4, 111.4, 118.2, 119.8, 123.5m 123.6, 133.4, 135.1, 136.2, 137.1, 138.4, 141.1, 142.3, 152.6.

UV-Vis (hexane): λ (log ε) = 243 (4.43), 288 (4.38), 299sh (4.34), 309 (4.37), 321 (4.32), 328sh (4.27), 335 (4.17), 363sh (3.78), 385 (3.97), 401 (3.90), 407 (3.91), 586sh (2.35), 610sh (2.39), 637 (2.42), 675sh (2.31), 702sh (2.26), 751sh (1.83), 798 (1.62) nm.

MS (EI): *m*/*z* (%) = 194 (M⁺, 76), 165 (100).

4b·1,3,5-trinitrobenzene Complex

Black leaflets, mp 102-104 °C.

Anal. Calc. for C₂₀H₁₃N₃O₇: C, 58.97; H, 3.22; N, 10.32. Found: C, 59.01; H, 3.26; N, 10.23.

1-(2-Thienyl)azulene (4c)

Yield 61%; greenish blue plates; mp 34-35 °C.

IR (KBr): v = 790s, 740s, 690s cm⁻¹.

¹H NMR: δ = 7.14 (t, *J* = 9.6 Hz, 1H), 7.17 (dd, *J* = 5.2, 3.6 Hz, 1H), 7.18 (t, *J* = 9.6 Hz, 1H), 7.28 (dd, *J* = 3.6, 1.2 Hz, 1H), 7.34 (dd, *J* = 5.2, 1.2 Hz, 1H), 7.39 (d, *J* = 3.6 Hz, 1H), 7.59 (t, *J* = 9.6 Hz, 1H), 8.04 (d, *J* = 3.6 Hz, 1H), 8.30 (d, *J* = 9.6 Hz, 1H), 8.74 (d, *J* = 9.6 Hz, 1H).

¹³C NMR: δ = 117.8, 123.5, 123.58, 123.63, 124.4, 124.5, 127.7, 135.0, 135.7, 137.3, 137.4, 138.5, 139.7, 142.2.

UV-Vis (hexane): λ (log ϵ) = 204 (4.30), 248 (4.37), 295sh (4.39), 305 (4.43), 327sh (4.15), 382 (3.84), 414sh (3.16), 618 (2.44), 675sh (2.31), 757sh (1.72) nm.

MS (EI): *m*/*z* (%) = 210 (M⁺, 100), 165 (20), 76 (36), 69 (53), 64 (79), 60 (68), 54 (40).

Anal. Calc. for $C_{14}H_10S$: C, 79.96; H, 4.79. Found: C, 79.88; H, 4.93.

1-(2-Pyridyl)azulene (4d)

Yield 43%; a purple oil.

IR (liq. film): v = 1590s, 1515s, 1480s, 1400s, 780s cm⁻¹.

¹H NMR: δ = 7.14 (dd, *J* = 4.8, 4.0 Hz, 1H), 7.22 (t, *J* = 9.6 Hz, 1H), 7.31 (t, *J* = 9.6 Hz, 1H), 7.42 (d, *J* = 4.0 Hz, 1H), 7.65 (t, *J* = 9.6 Hz, 1H), 7.73 (dm, *J* = 3.6 Hz, 2H), 8.25 (d, *J* = 4.0 Hz, 1H), 8.37 (d, *J* = 9.6 Hz, 1H), 8.74 (dm, *J* = 5.2 Hz, 1H), 9.56 (d, *J* = 9.6 Hz, 1H).

¹³C NMR: δ = 117.8, 120.2, 123.1, 124.1, 125.2, 128.0, 136.30, 136.34, 136.7, 137.5, 137.7, 138.6, 143.2, 149.4, 156.6.

UV-Vis (hexane): λ (log ϵ) = 217sh (4.20), 232 (4.28), 243 (4.27), 294 (4.43), 311 (4.43), 317sh (4.39), 324 (4.35), 379 (4.11), 398sh (3.87), 547sh (2.41), 564sh (2.45), 590 (2.52), 613sh (2.44), 646sh (2.42), 684sh (1.99), 703 (1.84), 718 (1.98) nm.

MS (EI): *m*/*z* (%) = 205 (M⁺, 54), 204 (100), 60 (33).

HRMS calcd. for C₁₅H₁₁N *m/z* 205.0892, found 205.0896.

Transformation of 3-Aryl-1,2,3,8-tetrahydroazulen-1-ones (3) into 1,3-Diarylazulenes (5); Typical Procedure

A solution of either PhLi or 2-thienyllithium (1.05 mmol) in cyclohexane-diethyl ether or THF was added to a solution of **3** (1.00 mmol) in THF (15 mL) at 0 °C. This mixture was stirred at r.t. for 1 h under N₂, and then was poured into H₂O and extracted with Et₂O (3×30 mL). The combined organic layer was washed with brine and was dried (MgSO₄). The solvent was removed and the residue was dissolved in diphenyl ether (5 mL). To this solution was added a catalytic amount of 5% Pd–C (0.05 g), and this mixture was refluxed on an oil bath for 1 h under N₂. After being cooled to r.t., the resulted mixture was filtrated to remove solids. Then, the solvent was evaporated under reduced pressure and the residue was purified by column chromatography on silica gel (hexane) to give **5e–5h**.

1,3-Diphenylazulene (5e)⁹°

Yield 68%; mp 117-118 °C (lit. 100-102 °C).

¹H NMR: δ = 7.12 (t, *J* = 10.0 Hz, 2H), 7.37 (tm, *J* = 7.6 Hz, 2H), 7.51 (tm, *J* = 7.6 Hz, 4H), 7.58 (t, *J* = 10.0 Hz, 1H), 7.65 (dm, *J* = 7.6 Hz, 4H), 8.12 (s, 1H), 8.55 (d, *J* = 10.0 Hz, 2H).

 ^{13}C NMR: $\delta = 123.5, \ 126.5, \ 128.7, \ 129.9, \ 130.6, \ 136.2, \ 136.7, \ 137.17, \ 137.22, \ 139.0.$

3-Phenyl-1-(2-furyl)azulene (5f)

Yield 31%; a green oil.

IR (liq. film): v = 1575s, 770s, 740s, 710s cm⁻¹.

¹H NMR: $\delta = 6.55$ (ddd, J = 3.2, 1.6, 0.8 Hz, 1H), 6.68 (d, J = 3.2 Hz, 1H), 7.07 (t, J = 9.6 Hz, 1H), 7.13 (t, J = 9.6 Hz, 1H), 7.36 (tm, J = 7.6 Hz, 1H), 7.49 (tm, J = 8.0 Hz, 2H), 7.56 (m, 4H), 8.21 (s, 1H), 8.43 (d, J = 9.6 Hz, 1H), 8.92 (d, J = 9.6 Hz, 1H).

¹³C NMR: $\delta = 105.9$, 111.5, 119.0, 123.8, 124.1, 126.6, 128.6, 129.9, 131.3, 134.8, 135.1, 136.3, 136.9 (2C), 137.7, 139.3, 141.4, 152.3.

 $\begin{array}{l} UV\text{-}Vis\ (hexane): \lambda\ (log\epsilon) = 222\ (4.23),\ 256sh\ (4.28),\ 276sh\ (4.48),\\ 292\ (4.51),\ 300sh\ (4.48),\ 330\ (4.25),\ 394\ (3.88),\ 414sh\ (3.80),\\ 600sh\ (2.33),\ 651\ (2.42),\ 720sh\ (2.25),\ 812sh\ (1.64)\ nm. \end{array}$

MS (EI): m/z (%) = 270 (M⁺, 100), 239 (35), 165 (15).

5f·1,3,5-trinitrobenzene Complex Black leaflets; mp 106-107 °C.

Anal. Calc. for $C_{26}H_{17}N_3O_7$: C, 64.60; H, 3.54; N, 8.69. Found: C, 64.38; H, 3.57; N, 8.76.

3-Phenyl-1-(2-thienyl)azulene (5g)

Yield 42%, green plates, mp 88-89 °C.

IR (kBr): v = 855s, 790s, 760s, 735s, 700s cm⁻¹.

¹H NMR: δ = 7.11 (t, *J* = 10.0 Hz, 1H), 7.15 (t, *J* = 10.0 Hz, 1H), 7.19 (dd, *J* = 5.2, 3.6 Hz, 1H), 7.31 (dd, *J* = 3.6, 1.2 Hz, 1H), 7.37 (m, 2H), 7.50 (t, *J* = 7.6 Hz, 2H), 7.57 (t, *J* = 10.0 Hz, 1H), 7.62 (dm, *J* = 7.6 Hz, 2H), 8.14 (s, 1H), 8.49 (d, *J* = 9.2 Hz, 1H), 8.74 (d, *J* = 9.6 Hz, 1H).

¹³C NMR: δ = 122.7, 123.8, 124.0, 124.7, 124.9, 126.6, 127.7, 128.7, 129.9, 130.9, 136.28, 136.30, 136.4, 136.8, 137.2, 137.3, 139.2, 139.3.

UV-Vis (hexane): λ (log ε) = 203 (4.42), 233 (4.22), 295 (4.45), 335sh (4.12), 388 (3.82), 594sh (2.32), 635 (2.39), 690 (2.29), 775 (1.74) nm.

MS (EI): m/z (%) = 286 (M⁺, 100), 239 (11).

Anal. Calc. for $C_{20}H_{14}S$: C, 83.88; H, 4.93. Found: C, 84.28; H, 5.08.

1,3-Di(2-thienyl)azulene (5h)

Yield 38%, green plates, mp 132-133 °C.

IR (liq. film): v = 840s, 730s, 690s cm⁻¹.

¹H NMR: δ = 7.16 (t, *J* = 9.6 Hz, 2H), 7.19 (dd, *J* = 5.2, 3.2 Hz, 2H), 7.29 (dd, *J* = 3.2, 1.2 Hz, 2H), 7.38 (dd, *J* = 5.2, 1.2 Hz, 2H), 7.59 (t, *J* = 9.6 Hz, 1H), 8.16 (s, 1H), 8.70 (t, *J* = 9.6 Hz, 2H).

 ^{13}C NMR: δ = 123.0, 124.3, 124.9, 125.1, 127.8, 136.4, 137.0, 137.3, 138.8, 139.6.

UV-Vis (hexane): λ (log ϵ) = 206 (4.43), 239 (4.32), 289sh (4.50), 297 (4.53), 345sh (4.16), 395 (3.91), 646 (2.46), 700sh (2.35), 798sh (1.75) nm.

MS (EI): m/z (%) = 292 (M⁺, 100), 258 (11).

Anal. Calc. for $C_{18}H_{14}S_2$: C, 73.94; H, 4.14. Found: C, 74.18; H, 4.37.

Acknowledgement

This work was financially supported by a Grant-in-Aid Scientific Research (No. 09640631) from the Ministry of Education, Science, Sports, and Culture, Japan.

References

- Oda, M.; Kuroda, S. Recent. Res. Devel. in Pure & Applied Chem. 1998, 2, 145.
- (2) a) Scott, L. T. J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun. 1973, 882.
 b) Scott, L. T.; Minton, M. A.; Kirms, M. A. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1980, 102, 6311.
- (3) a) Scott, L. T.; Brunsvold, W. R.; Kirms, M. A.; Erden, I. *Angew. Chem.* 1981, *93*, 282; *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. Engl.* 1981, 20, 284.
 b) Scott, L. T.; Brunsvold, W. R.; Kirms, M. A.; Erden, I. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 1981, *103*, 5216.
- (4) a) Scott, L. T.; Hashimi, M. M. *Tetrahedron* 1986, *42*, 1823.
 b) Scott, L. T.; Oda, M. *Chem. Lett.* 1986, 1759.
- (5) a) Kennedy, M.; McKervey, M. A. J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun. 1988, 1028, and 1030.
 b) Duddeck, H.; Furguson, G.; Kaitner, B.; Kennedy, M.; McKervey, M. A. J. Chem. Soc. Perkin Trans. 1 1990, 907.
- (6) Oda, M.; Yamazaki, T.; Kajioka, T.; Miyatake, R.; Kuroda, S. *Liebigs Ann.* **1997**, 2563.
- (7) Kajioka, T.; Oda, M.; Yamada, S.; Miyatake, R.; Kuroda, S. Synthesis 1999, 184.
- (8) Habermas, K. L.; Denmark, S.; Jones, T. K. Organic Reactions, Vol. 45, Paquette, L. A. Ed.; Wiley: New York, 1994; p 1.

b) Denmark, S. *Comprehensive Organic Synthesis*, Vol. 5, Trost, B. M.; Fleming, I. Ed.; Pergamon: Oxford, 1991; ch. 6.3, p 751.

- c) Santelli-Rouvier, C.; Santelli, M. Synthesis 1983, 429.
 (9) a) Bargon, J.; Mohmand, S.; Waltman, R. J. Mol. Cryst. Liq. Cryst. 1983, 93, 279.
 b) Yamamoto, T.; Zhou, Z.-h.; Kanbara, T.; Shimura, M.; Kizu, K.; Maruyama, T.; Nakamura, Y.; Fukuda, T.; Lee, B.-L.; Ooba, N.; Tomaru, S.; Kurihara, T.; Kaino, T.; Kubota, T.; Sasaki, S. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1996, 118, 10389.
 c) Porsch, M.; Sigl-Seifert, G.; Daub, J. Adv. Mater. 1997, 8, 635.
- (10) a) Handbook of Conducting Polymers, Vols. I and II, Skotheim, T. A. Ed., Marcel Dekker: New York, 1986.
 b) Bradley, D. D. C.; Mori, H. Electronic Properties of Conjugated Polymers III, Kuzmany, H., Mehring, M., Roth, S. Eds.; Springer: Berlin, 1989.
 c) Yamamoto, T. J. Synth. Org. Chem., Jpn. 1995, 53, 999.
 d) Garnier, F. Acc. Chem. Res. 1999, 32, 209.
 e) Bredas, J.-L.; Cornil, J.; Beljonne, D.; Santos, D. A. D.; Shuac, Z. Acc. Chem. Res. 1999, 32, 267.
- (11) a) Jones, G. Organic Reactions, Vol. 15; Wiley: New York, 1967; p 204.
 b) Tietze, F. L.; Beifuss, U. Comprehensive Organic Synthesis, Vol. 2, Trost, B. M.; Fleming, I. Ed.; Pergamon: Oxford, 1991; ch. 1.11, p 341.
- (12) The stereochemical relationship between the ester and aryl groups in 7 was determined to be trans, as seen in the other derivatives (see, ref 6). Similar results were reported in the Knoevenagel condensation of ethyl 3-oxo-3-phenylpropionate with benzaldehyde, though the reaction of methyl acetoacetate was known to give a mixture of geometrical isomers; see following references and those cited therein.
 a) Kingsbury, C. A.; Draney, D.; Sopchik, A.; Rissler, W.; Durham, D. *J. Org. Chem.* 1976, *41*, 3863.
 b) Postma, J; Arens J. *Recl. Trav. Chim. Pays-Bas* 1956, *75*, 1385.
- (13) The J_{vic} between the protons at the 2 and 3 positions of 2c is 2.8 Hz, suggesting a *trans*-arrangement. See ref 6 and the following references.
 a) Marino, J. P.; Linderman, R. J. J. Org. Chem. 1981, 45, 3696.
 b) Sakai, T.; Miyata, K.; Takeda, A. Chem. Lett. 1985, 1137.
 c) Andrews, J. F. P.; Regan, A. C. Tetrahedron Lett. 1991, 32, 7731.
- (14) Nozoe, T.; Takase, K.; Fukuda, S. Bull. Chem. Soc. Jpn. 1971, 44, 2210.
- (15) Shapiro, R. H. Organic Reactions, Vol. 23; Wiley: New York, 1976; p 405.
- (16) Ramanathan, V.; Levine, R. J. Org. Chem. 1962, 27, 1667.
- (17) We have already reported that a thermolytic method with Pd-C in refluxing diphenyl ether is effective to prepare some azulene derivatives, see: Kuroda, S.; Hirooka, S.; Iwaki, H.; Ikeda, M.; Nakao, T. Ogisu, M. Yasunami, M.; Takase, K. Chem. Lett. **1986**, 2039. Kuroda S.; Hirooka, S.; Oda, M.; Iwaki, H.; Teranishi, S.; Matsushita, N.; Hongou, T.; Ikeda, M.; Hayashi, S.; Miyatake, R.; Izawa, M.; Yamada, M.; Shimao, I. *Bull. Chem. Soc. Jpn.* **1998**, *71*, 459.
- (18) Zeller, K.-P. *Methoden Der Organischen Chemie* (Houben-Weyl), Bd. 5, 2c; Carbocyclische p-Elektronen-Systeme Kropf, H. Ed.; Thieme: Stuttgart, 1985; p. 127.

Article Identifier:

¹⁴³⁷⁻²¹⁰X,E;1999,0,08,1349,1353,ftx,en;F12599SS.pdf