J.C.S. CHEM. COMM., 1981

Intramolecular Reactions of N-Nitrenes: Oxidation of 3-Amino-2-(arylalkyl)quinazolones

By ROBERT S. ATKINSON, JOHN R. MALPASS, and KATHERINE L. WOODTHORPE (Department of Chemistry, The University, Leicester LE1 7RH)

Summary Oxidation of the title compounds yields Nnitrenes which react intramolecularly with electron-rich aryl groups via 7-membered transition states; substituent effects are in accord with an electrophilic aromatic substitution rather than concerted addition.

3-AMINOQUINAZOLONE (1) is one member of a family of N-aminoheterocyclic compounds from which N-nitrenes are generated by oxidation.¹ These nitrenes have singlet ground states and react stereospecifically with alkenes to give aziridines.² Electron-rich aryl rings are also able to

intercept the N-nitrenes, and the isolated products are those resulting from further transformations of 7-azanor-caradienes.³



The quinazolone ring lends itself well to a study of the intramolecular reactions of N-nitrenes since a trap can readily be incorporated at position 2. Thus reaction of β -arylpropanoic acid chlorides with methyl anthranilate followed by treatment with hydrazine gave the 2-aryl-ethylquinazolones, *e.g.* (2), in good yield.

Intramolecular trapping in the case of methoxyarylethylquinazolones is very sensitive to the location of the methoxy-group. Thus (2) on oxidation with lead tetraacetate (LTA) gave (3) (27%), m.p. 187–190 °C, ν_{max} (Nujol) 3285 and 1665 cm⁻¹; δ (CDCl₃) 8.16 (1H, d, J 8.4 Hz, 5-H; numbering as in original quinazolone), 7.75 (1H, s, NH), 7.2-7.7 (3H, m, 6-, 7-, and 8-H), 7.10 (1H, d, J ca. 9 Hz, complicated by virtual coupling), 6.62 (1H, dd, J ca. 9, 2 Hz), 6.55 (1H, s, br), 3.68 (3H, s), and 3.1-3.6 (4H, AA'BB') and (4) (32%), m.p. 155–157 °C, ν_{max} (Nujol) 3260 and 1680 cm⁻¹; δ (CDCl₃) 9.08 (1H, s, NH), 8.20 (1H, d, J 8.4 Hz, 5-H), 7.2-7.8 (3H, m, 6-, 7-, and 8-H), 6.92 (1H, dd, J 8.1, 7.2 Hz), 6.70 (1H, dd, J 8.1, 1.7 Hz), 6.64 (1H, dd, J 7.2, 1.7 Hz), 3.97 (3H, s), and 3.2-3.7 (4H, AA'BB'), together with the deaminated product (5) (27%), m.p. 180-181 °C. (Deamination is commonly the fate of quinazolone N-nitrenes which escape trapping). Similarly, LTA oxidation of the dimethoxy-analogue (6) gave a mixture of (7) (21%), m.p. 167-170 °C, (8) (14%), m.p. 162-164 °C, and (9) (21%), m.p. 210-211 °C.

- - - - - -

m-OMe but not by a p-OMe substituent; loss of a proton and protonation on nitrogen give the products (in what is effectively an electrophilic aromatic substitution reaction).



The preference for this 7-membered transition state over the corresponding 6- (or 5-) membered transition states is shown in the results from the oxidations of m- and pmethoxybenzylquinazolones (12) and (13), where only the corresponding deaminated products were obtained in both cases.[†]

Intramolecular reaction in the case of (2) can be diverted by the intermolecular reaction with styrene. Thus oxidation of (2) at a concentration of 11 mole % styrene in CH_2Cl_2 gave the aziridine (14) as the sole product, as shown by n.m.r. spectroscopy (57% isolated). Similar reaction of (10) in the presence of styrene gave 56% (isolated) of the corresponding aziridine. It appears, therefore, that the



In contrast, oxidation of the p-methoxyphenylethyl analogue (10) gave no products derived from trapping of the nitrene by the aromatic ring (n.m.r.): the only product isolated was the deaminated product (11) (38%). This substituent effect cannot easily be reconciled with a concerted reaction of the nitrenes with the aryl rings to form azanorcaradienes with subsequent acid-catalysed conversion into (3), (4), (7), and (8). Direct aryl C-H bond insertion is also unlikely (N-nitrenes do not insert into σ -bonds).

Examination of models suggests that the empty orbital of the nitrene (sp-hybridisation assumed) overlaps best with an aryl $p(\pi)$ orbital in a 7-membered transition state (Figure 1). The resultant carbonium ion is stabilised by a



[†] The particular preference for a 7-membered transition state is also shown in intramolecular additions of these N-nitrenes to alkenes: R. S. Atkinson, J. R. Malpass, and K. L. Woodthorpe, unpublished work.

J.C.S. CHEM. COMM., 1981

same species is reacting in both inter- and intra-molecular reactions, *i.e.* the latter reaction does not involve interception of an intermediate on the oxidation pathway to the nitrene. Moreover, oxidation of (2) using phenyl iodosodiacetate instead of LTA gives a virtually identical profile of reaction products (3), (4), and (5) which also supports a free nitrene intermediate.

N-Nitrenes differ at first sight from aryl nitrenes which invariably react intramolecularly via 5-membered transition states,⁴ normally with electron-rich aromatic rings.[‡] Α rationalisation of this difference in behaviour can be obtained by assuming (a) sp-hybridisation of the nitrene nitrogen, (b) the presence in equilibrium of a 'conformation' of singlet aryl nitrene in which delocalisation of a lone pair into the aromatic ring occurs (Figure 2), and (c) the absence or unimportance of the analogous electron distribution in (b) in the case of N-nitrenes as a consequence of lone pairlone pair repulsion (Figure 2).

This picture offers an explanation for the avoidance of a 6-membered transition state in both cases. For N-nitrenes, the ideal geometry in Figure 1 allows easy overlap of porbitals as shown and minimises eclipsing interactions in the ethano-linkage in contrast to the strain developed in forcing overlap in *ipso*-attack. In the case of anyl nitrenes



FIGURE 2

the availability of an orthogonal empty p-orbital is ideal for the 5- (but not 6-) membered transition state observed in nucleophilic attack.§

(Received, 24th November 1980; Com. 1250.)

[±] For a recent example of addition to an electron-deficient aromatic ring see P. C. Hayes and G. Jones, J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun., 1980, 844.

§ This model does not exclude the possibility that any nitrenes may also react preferentially via a 7-membered transition state given the opportunity.

¹ R. S. Atkinson and S. B. Awad, J. Chem. Soc., Perkin Trans. 1, 1977, 346 and references therein.

² R. S. Atkinson and C. W. Rees, J. Chem. Soc. (C), 1969, 772; D. J. Anderson, T. L. Gilchrist, D. C. Horwell, and C. W. Rees, ibid., 1970, 576.

 ³ D. W. Jones, J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun., 1973, 67.
⁴ J. I. G. Cadogan, Acc. Chem. Res., 1972, 5, 303; B. Iddon, O. Meth-Cohn, E. F. V. Scriven, H. Suschitzky, and P. T. Gallagher, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. Engl., 1979, 18, 900.