Carbon–Carbon Bond Formation at the γ -Position of Dienolates via the Germanium Masked Dienolates

Yoshinori Yamamoto,* Satoshi Hatsuya, and Jun-ichi Yamada

Department of Chemistry, Faculty of Science, Tohoku University, Sendai 980, Japan

Trapping of the lithium dienolate (1), derived from 3-methyl-2-butenoate, with Me₃GeX (X = Br or CI) gives the α -germylated derivative (2), which reacts with various electrophiles at the γ -position.

Carbon-carbon bond formation at the γ -position of dienolates has been a long standing problem in organic chemistry. It is generally accepted that dienolates, derived from enoates, undergo selective alkylation at the α -position under kinetic control in preference to the γ -position.¹ We report that use of the germanium masked dienolates solves some of the inherent problems associated with dienolate chemistry.

The lithium dienolate (1), generated from the corresponding enoate and lithium diethylamide (LDA), was trapped with Me₃GeX (X = Br or Cl). Interestingly, the α -germylated ester

Table 1. C-C bond formation at the γ -position via the germanium masked dienolate (2).^a

Entry	Electrophile	Reaction conditions	Product $(E:Z)$	Isolated % yield
1	$PhCH(OMe)_2$	$TiCl_4$, -78 to -40 °C	$PhC(OMe)HCH_2C(Me)=CHCO_2Et(9.5:1)$	87
2	$n-C_7H_{15}CH(OMe)_2$	$TiCl_4$, -78 to -35 °C	$n-C_7H_{15}CH(OMe)CH_2C(Me)=CHCO_2Et(5:1)$	78
3	PhCH=CHCH(OMe) ₂	$TiCl_4$, -78 to -40 °C	PhCH=CHC(OMe)HCH ₂ C(Me)=CHCO ₂ Et (12:1)	89
4	$PhCH=CHCH(OMe)_2$	$CF_3SO_3SiMe_3$, -78 to -40 °C	PhCH=CHC(OMe)HCH ₂ C(Me)=CHCO ₂ Et $(4.5:1)$	91
5	$Me_2C(OMe)_2$	$TiCl_4$, -78 to -35 °C	$Me_2C(OMe)CH_2C(Me)=CHCO_2Et(3:1)$	77
6	PhCHO	$TiCl_4, -78$ °C	$PhCH(OH)CH_2C(Me)=CHCO_2Et(4.5:1)$	37
7	PhC(Me)HBr	$ZnBr_2$, -78 to 0 °C	$PhCH(Me)CH_2C(Me)=CHCO_2Et(1.3:1)$	45
8	MeOCH ₂ Cl	$TiCl_4$, -78 to -10 °C	$MeOCH_2CH_2C(Me)=CHCO_2Et(3.5:1)$	38
9	PhCH=CHCH2Br	TMSCl, 25 °C, 10 Kbar	PhCH=CHCH ₂ CH ₂ C(Me)=CHCO ₂ Et (1.2:1)	91 ^b
10	Me ₂ C=CHCH ₂ Br	TMSCl, 25 °C, 10 Kbar	$Me_2C=CHCH_2CH_2C(Me)=CHCO_2Et(1.2.1)$	38ь

^a To a CH₂Cl₂ solution of an electrophile (0.5 mmol) and (2) (1.2 equiv.) was added a Lewis acid (1 equiv.) at -78 °C, and the reaction was quenched at the temperature written in the Table. The E:Z ratio was determined by ¹H n.m.r. analysis. ^b Small amounts (5–10%) of the α -isomers were formed along with the γ -isomers.



Scheme 1. Reagents: i, LDA, THF; ii, Me₃GeX; iii, Me₃SiCl; iv, Bu₃SnCl.



Scheme 2. Reagents and conditions: i, TiCl₄, -78 to -40 °C, 82%.

(2) was obtained in 87% isolated yield [b.p. 100 °C/5 mmHg (Kugelrohr)].† This α -regioselectivity is in a striking contrast to the γ -regioselectivity shown with Bu₃SnX² and to the O-silylation shown with Me₃SiX³ (Scheme 1). The γ -stannylation is presumably due to the thermodynamic stability of the product and the α -germylation is a reflection of kinetic control {the C–Ge bond is stronger than the C–Sn bond [D(Ge–Et) = 237 kJ mol⁻¹, D(Sn–Et) = 193 kJ mol⁻¹]}.⁴ The strong affinity of Si for oxygen produces the O-silylated derivative. The α -germylation (3) was also observed for the dienolate derived from methyl crotonate, so it may be concluded that the kinetic C-metallation is realized with Me₃GeX.

Next we examined the C-C bond formation via the germanium masked dienolate (2) (Table 1). Acetals reacted regioselectively at the γ -position in high yields (entries 1—5). The stereochemistries of enoates were predominantly *E*. It is noteworthy that the α , β -unsaturated acetal undergoes regioselective head-to-tail coupling with (2) (entries 3 and 4).⁵ Aldehydes and reactive halides also gave the γ -alkylation products in moderate to allowable yields (entries 6—8). The reaction of allylic halides in the presence of ordinary Lewis acids (TiCl₄, BF₃, AlCl₃, ZnX₂, etc.) resulted in the produc-

tion of complex mixtures. However, use of $Me_3SiCl(TMSCl)$ at 10 kbar solved this problem;⁶ the head-to-tail coupling takes place either in high yield (entry 9) or in allowable yield (entry 10).[‡]

The γ -regioselectivity was also observed for (3) (Scheme 2); although (3) contained small amounts of the γ -germylated isomer, this regioisomer did not react with electrophiles and was recovered without change.

The present development shows two important aspects of organogermanium compounds in organic synthesis; (i) the kinetically controlled C-metallation is achieved, which is difficult with other metals; (ii) the α -alkoxycarbonyl substituted allylic germanium compounds react smoothly with electrophiles in contrast to the corresponding silyl derivatives.⁷

Received, 11th July 1988; Com. 8/02798F

References

- P. Albaugh-Robertson and J. A. Katzenellenbogen, J. Org. Chem., 1983, 48, 5288; J. R. Green, M. Majewski, B. I. Alo, and V. Snieckus, Tetrahedron Lett., 1986, 535; G. Cainelli and G. Cardillo, Acc. Chem. Res., 1981, 14, 89; R. W. Dugger and C. H. Heathcock, J. Org. Chem., 1980, 45, 1181; A. S. Kende and J. Chen, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 1985, 107, 7184; A. Kajikawa, M. Morisaki, and N. Ikekawa, Tetrahedron Lett., 1975, 4135. E. Vedejs and D. M. Gapinski, ibid., 1981, 4913; A. A. Millard and M. W. Rathke, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 1977, 99, 4833; T. H. Chan and G. J. Kang, Tetrahedron Lett., 1982, 3011; A. P. Kozikowski and S. H. Jung, J. Org. Chem., 1986, 51, 3400; I. Fleming, J. Goldhill, and I. Paterson, Tetrahedron Lett., 1979, 3209.
- 2 Y. Yamamoto, S. Hatsuya, and J. Yamada, J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun., 1988, 86; 1987, 561.
- 3 E. W. Colvin, 'Silicon in Organic Synthesis,' Butterworths, London, 1981, p. 229. P. D. Magnus, T. Sarkar, and S. Djuric, 'Comprehensive Organometallic Chemistry,' ed. G. Wilkinson, Pergamon, Oxford, 1982, vol. 7, p. 553, 568.
- 4 H. A. Skinner, Adv. Organomet. Chem., 1964, 2, 49.
- 5 The Lewis acid mediated reactions of allylic acetates frequently result in regiochemical scrambling: A. Ghribi, A. Alexakis, and J. F. Normant, *Tetrahedron Lett.*, 1984, 3079; A. Hosomi, M. Endo, and H. Sakurai, *Chem. Lett.*, 1978, 499. For the γ-selective reaction of dienoxysilane, see: T. Mukaiyama and A. Ishida, *Chem. Lett.*, 1975, 319.
- 6 For high pressure induced allylic couplings via allyltins, see: Y. Yamamoto, K. Maruyama, and K. Matsumoto, J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun., 1984, 548.
- 7 Y. Morisawa, S. Kanemoto, K. Oshima, and H. Nozaki, *Tetrahedron Lett.*, 1982, 2953; Y. Naruta, H. Uno, K. Maruyama, *Chem. Lett.*, 1982, 609; P. Albaugh-Robertson and J. A. Katzenellenbogen, *Tetrahedron Lett.*, 1982, 723.

[†] Selected spectroscopic data for (2): ¹H n.m.r. (90 MHz, CCl₄) δ 0.24 (9H, s, GeMe₃), 1.24 (3H, t, J 7.5 Hz, OCH₂CH₃), 1.79 (3H, s, =CCH₃), 2.87 (1H, s, GeCH), 4.03 (2H, q, J 7.5 Hz, OCH₂-), 4.67 and 4.75 (2H, H₂C=).

For (3): 1 H n.m.r. (90 MHz, CCl₄) δ 0.21 (9H, s, GeMe₃), 2.89 (1H, d, J 10.0 Hz, GeCH–), 3.60 (3H, s, OMe), 4.74 (1H, m), 4.90 (s, 1H), 6.0 (1H, m) (these last three protons are assigned as olefinic protons).

[‡] The α-germylated ester (2) was recovered in the γ-germylated form after the reaction ceased. The coupling took place at the α-position of allylic halides exclusively. A minor isomer (~5%) presumably arose from the isomerized γ-germylated ester. In entry 6, benzaldehyde was recovered.