Supplementary Material Available: Details of the crystal structure determination, tables of positional parameters, calculated positional parameters of hydrogen atoms, general temperature factor expressions, bond distances, and bond angles for $[TcN_7O_2C_7H_{24}][BC_{24}H_{20}]_2$, ORTEP views of the two $[BC_{24}H_{20}]^-$ anions, details of the preparation and characterization of the complex $[TcN(en)_2(L)][B(C_6H_5)_4]_2$, and a general reaction scheme (20 pages); listing of observed and calculated structure factors for $[TcN_7O_2C_7H_{24}][BC_{24}H_{20}]_2$ (18 pages). Ordering information is given on any current masthead page.

Synthetic Studies Directed toward the Eremantholides. 2. A Novel Application of the Ramberg-Bäcklund Rearrangement to a Highly Stereoselective Synthesis of (+)-Eremantholide A

Robert K. Boeckman, Jr.,* Suk Kyoon Yoon, and Debra K. Heckendorn

Department of Chemistry, University of Rochester Rochester, New York 14627

Received August 12, 1991

Eremantholide A (**1**) is a member of the furanoheliangolides isolated by LeQuesne and co-workers from *Eremanthus elaeagnus*.[1,2] The structure and relative stereochemistry of **1** were confirmed by X-ray crystallographic analysis of a derivative.[1] The absolute configuration of **1** (as shown) was predicated upon the biogenetic relationship of the furanoheliangolides to the germacranolides, which possess a common absolute configuration at C_7. The structure of **1** is unusual and highly strained since the molecular geometry requires that the endocyclic C_4–C_5 double bond be twisted 88° out of the plane of the 3(2*H*)-furanone ring. Eremantholide A (**1**) is also of interest because it exhibits significant levels of in vitro antitumor activity against a variety of tumor cell lines.[3,4]

The synthesis of other naturally occurring 3(2*H*)-furanones, such as jatrophone, has been reported,[5] and **1** has also been the target of several synthetic efforts, including our own preliminary studies.[6-8] Herein, we report the first stereoselective total synthesis of (+)-eremantholide A (**1**), which also confirms the assignment of its absolute configuration.

Our strategy required an α-hydroxy ketone synthon convertible into the 3(2*H*)-furanone and methodology to effect medium-ring closure and creation of the strained nine-membered-ring olefin.[7] Intact 3(2*H*)-furanone derivatives did not prove suitable; thus cyclic acetal **4** was employed, which was available from (*R*)-(−)-lactic acid via **5** (Scheme I).[9]

Alkylation of the (2*S*,4*S*)-(+)-lactolide **6**[9] with 2,3-dibromopropene afforded the (2*S*,4*R*)-(+)-lactolide **5** (mp 35–36 °C, >98% de) in 74% yield.[9,10] Lactolide **5** was elaborated to the desired vinyl bromide **4**, in 83% yield, by exposure to Tebbe reagent prepared in situ.[11,12] Bromide **4** was converted to the sensitive mixed cuprate reagent **7** by successive treatment with *t*-BuLi and cuprous *n*-pentyne in the presence of HMPT at −40 °C.[13,14] Addition of the optically pure butenolide **8**[15] then provided lactone (+)-**9** ($[\alpha]^{23}_D$ +5.5° (*c* 2.82, $CHCl_3$)), as a single diastereomer, in 79% yield.

Scheme I[a]



[a] Reagents: (a) LDA (1.2 equiv), THF, −78 °C, 3 h, then $H_2C{=}C(Br)CH_2Br$ (2.5 equiv), dropwise, −78 → −70 °C, 18 h; (b) Cp_2TiCl_2–$Al(CH_3)_3$ (3 equiv), THF–$PhCH_3$, 0 → 25 °C, 4 h then 25 °C, 10 h; (c) *t*-BuLi (2 equiv), Et_2O, −78 °C, ~1.5 h, then added to $Cu{\equiv}nC_3H_7$ (1 equiv), HMPT (1 equiv), Et_2O, −40 °C, 30 min followed by **8** (1 equiv), Et_2O, −40 °C, 2 h, then pH 10 NH_4Cl–NH_4OH, −40 °C → 25 °C, 1 h; (d) aqueous $(CO_2H)_2$ (saturated), HCl (1 drop), THF, 25 °C, 12 h; (e) TMSCl (5 equiv), DBU (5 equiv), CH_2Cl_2, Δ, 12 h; (f) C_2H_5CHO (1.2 equiv), then $BF_3{\cdot}Et_2O$ (1.1 equiv) (dropwise, 5 min), −78 °C, 40 min; (g) Dess–Martin periodinane (1.2 equiv), CH_2Cl_2, 20 °C, 1 h; (h) 5% HCl–THF (1:2, v/v), 25 °C, 8 h.

Scheme II[a]



[a] Reagents: (a) OsO_4 (catalytic), $NaIO_4$ (2.5 equiv), THF–H_2O, 25 °C, 12 h; (b) $NaBH_4$ (1 equiv), CH_3OH, −40 °C, 15 min; (c) $(CH_3O)_2CH_2$ (60 equiv), P_2O_5 (3 equiv), 3-Å molecular sieves, CH_2Cl_2, 25 °C, 40 min; (d) LDA (1 equiv), THF, −78 °C, 2 h; (e) LDA (2.3 equiv), THF, −78 °C, 40 min, then isobutyryl imidazolide (1.1 equiv) in THF (dropwise, 10 min), −78 °C, 1.5 h; (f) NaH (1.3 equiv), DMF, 0 °C, 40 min, then CH_3I (5 equiv), 0 °C, 3.5 h.

(1) Raffauf, R. F.; Huang, P. C.; Le Quesne, P. W.; Levery, S. B.; Brennan, T. F. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1975**, *97*, 6884.
(2) Le Quesne, P. W.; Levery, S. B.; Menachery, M. D.; Brennan, T. F.; Raffauf, R. F. *J. Chem. Soc., Perkin Trans. 1* **1978**, 1572.
(3) Geran, R. I.; Greenberg, N. H.; Macdonald, M. M.; Schumacher, A. M.; Abbott, B. J. *Cancer Chemother. Rep.* **1972**, *3*, 1.
(4) Hladon, B.; Twardowski, T. *Pol. J. Pharmacol. Pharm.* **1979**, *31*, 35.
(5) Smith, A. B., III; Guaciaro, M. A.; Schow, S. R.; Wovkulich, P. M.; Toder, B. H.; Hall, T. W. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1981**, *103*, 219.
(6) (a) Tam, T. F.; Fraser-Reid, B. *J. Org. Chem.* **1980**, *45*, 1344. (b) McDougal, P. G.; Oh, Y.-I.; VanDerveer, D. *J. Org. Chem.* **1989**, *54*, 91. (c) Smith, A. B., III, and co-workers, unpublished research.
(7) Boeckman, R. K., Jr.; Heckendorn, D. K.; Chinn, R. L. *Tetrahedron Lett.* **1987**, *28*, 3551.
(8) (a) Taken in part from the Ph.D. Dissertations of S. K. Yoon, University of Rochester, Rochester, NY, 1990, and D. K. Heckendorn, University of Rochester, Rochester, NY, 1987. (b) See also: Chinn, R. L. Ph.D. Dissertation, University of Rochester, Rochester, NY, 1987.
(9) Seeback, D.; Naef, R.; Calderari, G. *Tetrahedron* **1984**, *40*, 1313.
(10) All new substances exhibited satisfactory spectroscopic (IR, ^1H, and MS) and HRMS or combustion analytical data.
(11) Tebbe, F. N.; Parshall, G. W.; Reddy, G. S. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1978**, *100*, 3611.
(12) Cannizzo, L. F.; Grubbs, R. H. *J. Org. Chem.* **1985**, *50*, 2386.
(13) For other examples of such a strategy, see: (a) Herrmann, J. L.; Berger, M. H.; Schlessinger, R. H. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1973**, *95*, 7923. (b) Ziegler, F. E.; Schwartz, J. A. *J. Org. Chem.* **1978**, *43*, 985. (c) Tomioka, K.; Ishiguro, T.; Itaka, Y.; Koga, K. *Tetrahedron* **1984**, *40*, 1313. (d) Hanessian, S.; Murray, P. J. *J. Org. Chem.* **1987**, *52*, 1170.
(14) Corey, E. J.; Beames, D. J. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1972**, *94*, 7210.
(15) For preparation of the unprotected hydroxymethyl butenolide, see: (a) Vekemans, J. A. J. M.; Franken, G. A. M.; Dapperrens, C. W. M.; Godefroi, E. F.; Chittenden, G. J. F. *J. Org. Chem.* **1988**, *53*, 627. (b) Hafele, B.; Jager, V. *Liebigs Ann. Chem.* **1987**, 85. Benzyl ether **8** was obtained via the procedure of Iversen and Bundle: Iversen, T.; Bundle, D. R. *J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun.* **1981**, 1240.

0002-7863/91/1513-9682$02.50/0

Scheme III[a]



[a] Reagents: (a) TMSBr (5 equiv), 4-Å molecular sieves, CH_2Cl_2, 0 → 25 °C, 5 h, then Amberlyst-15, 4-Å molecular sieves, 25 °C, 10 h; (b) 6 N HCl–THF (1:10, v/v), 25 °C, 3 h; (c) H_2 (1 atm), 10% Pd–C, CH_3OH, 4 h; (d) TsCl (10 equiv), Py (10 equiv), $CHCl_3$, 25 °C, 24 h; (e) MsCl (2 equiv), Et_3N (3 equiv), CH_2Cl_2, 0 °C, 3 h, then NaI (excess), acetone, Δ, 17 h; (f) LiHMDS (1.05 equiv), DME–THF, −78 °C, then addition of the anion to NBS (1 equiv), DME, −78 °C, 1.5 h; (g) TMS_2S (1 equiv), $NaOCH_3$ (2 equiv), THF (3×10^{-2} M), 0 °C, 4 h; (h) 6 N HCl–THF (1:10, v/v), 25 °C, 4 h, oxone (4 equiv), CH_3OH–H_2O, 25 °C, 6 h, then Amberlyst-15, 3-Å molecular sieves, CH_2Cl_2, 25 °C, 4 h; (i) LiHMDS (1.1 equiv), THF, −78 °C, 10 min, then C_2Cl_6 (1 equiv), 20 °C, 1 h; (j) $(C_2H_5)_3COK$ (2.2 equiv), HMPA (10 equiv), DME, 70 °C, 5 min.

Owing to our prior experience, we elaborated the 3(2*H*)-furanone first.[7,8] Since direct acylation of lactone **9** to diketone **10** proved unfeasible, an indirect sequence was employed. Mild acid hydrolysis of **9** provided hydroxy ketone **11** (99%), which was converted to disilyl enol ether **12** with TMSCl and DBU (98%).[16] Enol ether **12** underwent smooth BF_3·Et_2O-catalyzed aldol condensation with propanal, providing the expected mixture of β-hydroxy ketones **13** (80%), which were directly transformed to the 3(2*H*)-furanone lactone **14** via diketone **15** by oxidation with Dess–Martin periodinane and cyclization upon acid treatment (93%).[17] Creation of the C_8 stereocenter via chelation-controlled reduction was expected to provide the desired β alcohol **16**. Furanone **14** was converted to ketone **17** by oxidation with OsO_4(cat.)/$NaIO_4$ under standard conditions (93%). Unfortunately, highly stereocontrolled reduction of **17** to the desired β isomer did not occur. Reduction with $NaBH_4$ in CH_3OH at −78 °C (1:1.66 (α:β)) proved most efficient, since recycling proceeded smoothly, affording **16** in good overall yield (80%). Protection of **16** and **18** readily provided MOM ethers **19** and **20** (81% and 80%, respectively). The relative configuration at C_8 in **16** and **18** was established by NMR analysis of **21** obtained by treatment of **20** with LDA in THF at −78 °C.[18]

Construction of the bicyclic subunit followed as described previously (Scheme II).[7,8] The bis(enolate) derived from **19** with LDA (2.4 equiv) at −78 °C was treated with isobutyryl imidazolide, providing the required β keto lactone **22** (93%, 83% conversion).[19,20] Methylation of **22** with NaH/CH_3I afforded a single diastereomeric β keto lactone **23** (91%) as expected. Although suitable deblocking of **23** could provide either **24** or **25**, the latter was judged more compatible with subsequent transformations. Successive treatment of **23** with $TMSBr/CH_2Cl_2$ followed by Amberlyst-15/4-Å molecular sieves cleanly afforded **25** (91%).[21]

With lactone enol ether **25** in hand, we addressed creation of the strained nine-membered-ring olefin (Scheme III). Our initial efforts focused on ring closure via intramolecular alkylation of **27** and **28**, obtained by catalytic reduction of **25** over 10% Pd/C in CH_3OH (1 atm) to lactone alcohol **26** (99%) followed by standard functionalization in 57% and 89% yields, respectively. Unfortunately, attempts to cyclize **27** and **28** afforded either O-alkylation or no reaction.[8] Since heteroatom nucleophiles effected facile displacement of C_5 leaving groups, we utilized a heteroatom linker which could be excised with comcomitant ring contraction to the desired olefin. Thus, iodide **28** was treated with LiHMDS in DME followed by NBS to afford the bromo iodo lactone **29** (92%). Exposure of **29** to $TMS_2S/NaOCH_3$[22] in THF at $\sim 10^{-2}$ M at 0 °C afforded the required 10-membered-ring sulfide **30** in 45–50% yield (unoptimized).[23]

On the basis of preliminary experiments, we employed the Ramberg–Bäcklund sequence to effect the crucial ring contraction of **30** (Scheme III).[24] *This process had not been utilized for construction of medium-ring systems at the inception of our work.*[25,26] *Molecular modeling (MM2) of projected intermediates derived from* ***30*** *suggested that the low-energy conformers possessed geometries which satisfied the stereoelectronic requirements for ring contraction. Furthermore, β elimination of the C_6 oxygen appeared to be stereoelectronically disfavored (~30° dihedral angle between the proton and leaving group).* In any event, sequential treatment of sulfide **30** with 6 M HCl, oxone, and Amberlyst-15 resin afforded the sulfone enol ether **31** (99%).[27] Highly regioselective kinetic γ chlorination of **31** occurred upon exposure of **31** to NaH followed by Cl_3CCCl_3, providing a single diastereomeric chloro sulfone **32** (mp 245 °C dec), relative stereochemistry undetermined (probably α owing to steric factors), in 57% yield (unoptimized).[28]

Preliminary experiments established that dehydroeremantholide A **33** had limited stability under basic conditions. Thus, brief treatment of **32** with $(C_2H_5)_3COK$[29] in DME/HMPA at 70 °C cleanly afforded olefin **33** as a white solid (82%).[30,31] Exposure of **33** to 6 N HCl/THF at room temperature afforded crystalline synthetic (+)-eremantholide A (**1**), mp 181–182 °C, $[\alpha]^{23}_D$ 64.5° (*c* 0.07, 99% EtOH) [lit.[2] mp 182–183 °C, $[\alpha]^{23}_D$ 65° (64.5°) (*c* 0.05, 99% EtOH)], identical in all respects (IR, ^1H NMR (300 MHz), HRMS, TLC) with an authentic sample of natural (+)-eremantholide A (**1**), in 85% yield.[32,33]

Thus, the absolute configuration of (+)-eremantholide A (**1**) can now be confirmed as that originally postulated on the basis

(16) Taniguchi, Y.; Inanaga, J.; Yamaguchi, M. *Bull. Chem. Soc. Jpn.* **1981**, *54*, 3229.
(17) Dess, D. B.; Martin, J. C. *J. Org. Chem.* **1983**, *48*, 4155.
(18) Decoupling experiments confirmed that $H_c(C_8)$ is trans diaxial to H_a (C_9) and H_d (C_7), as is required by the large coupling constants ($J_{ac} = J_{de}$ = 12 Hz) between H_a and H_c, and H_d and H_e (C_{11}); thus, the OH group at C_8 in **21** is α (as depicted for **1**).
(19) Staab, H. *Chem. Ber.* **1956**, *89*, 2088.
(20) Hartzell, S. L.; Rathke, M. L. *Tetrahedron Lett.* **1976**, 2757.
(21) Hanessian, S.; Delorme, D.; Dufresne, Y. *Tetrahedron Lett.* **1984**, *25*, 2515.
(22) (a) Ando, W.; Furuhata, T.; Tsumaki, H.; Sekiguchi, A. *Synth. Commun.* **1982**, *12*, 627. (b) Harpp, D. N.; Gingras, M. *Tetrahedron Lett.* **1987**, *28*, 4373.
(23) A dimer or other small oligomer (20–25%) was also observed, presumably derived from bimolecular reaction.
(24) Ramberg, L.; Bäcklund, B. *Ark. Kemi, Mineral. Geol.* **1940**, *13A*(27).
(25) Paquette, L. A. *Org. React. (N.Y.)* **1977**, *25*, 1.
(26) One example was recently described: Nicolaou, K. C.; Zuccarello, G.; Ogawa, Y.; Schweiger, E. J.; Kumazawa, T. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1988**, *110*, 4866. See also: Wender, P. A.; Harmata, M.; Jeffrey, D.; Mukai, C.; Suffert, J. *Tetrahedron Lett.* **1988**, *29*, 909.
(27) Competing oxidation of the vinyl ether in **31** by oxone required regeneration of the hemiketal in situ.
(28) (a) Kattenberg, J.; De Waard, E. R.; Huisman, H. O. *Tetrahedron* **1973**, *29*, 4149. (b) Boeckman, R. K., Jr.; Thomas, A. J. *J. Org. Chem.* **1982**, *47*, 2823.
(29) (a) Acharya, S. P.; Brown, H. C. *J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun.* **1968**, 305. (b) Brown, H. C.; Moritani, I.; Okamoto, Y. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1956**, *78*, 2193.
(30) Less hindered bases afforded **33**, which is unstable to extended exposure to strong base, along with ~20% of **31**.
(31) Naturally derived **33**, prepared from natural (+)-**1**,[33] was identical to synthetic **33** by the usual spectroscopic criteria.
(32) Synthetic (+)-**1** was identical (IR, NMR, MS, $[\alpha]_D$, and TLC in several solvents) to authentic natural (+)-**1**.[33]
(33) We thank Professor P. W. LeQuesne (Northeastern University) for a sample of natural (+)-eremantholide A (**1**).

of biogenetic considerations.[1-3] The foregoing synthetic route to (+)-1 is generally highly stereoselective and convergent, affording (+)-1 in ~21 steps from the known lactolide (+)-6.

Acknowledgment. We are extremely grateful to the National Institute of General Medical Sciences (NIGMS) of the National Institutes of Health for a research grant (GM-30345) in support of these studies.

Highly Delocalized Cu(I)/Cu(II): A Copper–Copper Bond?

Charlie Harding,[†] Vickie McKee,[‡,§] and Jane Nelson*[,†,‡]

Chemistry Department, Open University
Milton Keynes MK7 6AA, England
School of Chemistry, Queens University
Belfast BT9 5AG, Northern Ireland
Chemistry Department, University of Canterbury
Christchurch 1, New Zealand

Received June 6, 1991

The need for efficient long-distance electron transfer, for example, in the emerging field of molecular electronics,[1] has refueled interest in the electron transfer process and consequently in mixed-valence compounds.[2] The systematic study of electron transfer requires data over a wide range of internuclear separations[3] as well as from as large as possible a selection of metal cations. Thus although (d^5/d^6) second and third transition series ions such as ruthenium and osmium continue to be well-represented in the mixed-valence literature,[4] scant attention has been paid to the less well-behaved first transition series where the phenomenon not only exists but is of biological importance.[5] In particular, mixed-valence copper model systems are of interest in assisting the characterization of the half-met derivatives of coupled dicopper sites,[6] which are spectroscopically more informative than the fully oxidized or reduced states. A valuable study[7] describes the conversion of class II[8] mixed-valence dicopper molecules from EPR-localized to EPR-delocalized as a function of temperature. However, none of these models exhibits EPR delocalization at temperatures as low (77 K) as does the half-met site, and the investigators note that "it would take a remarkable binucleating ligand to obtain a mixed-valence $Cu^{II}Cu^{I}$ site where the frequency of electron exchange between copper sites remains high at very low temperatures."

By means of template condensations of tris(2-ethylamino)amine (tren) with glyoxal upon a labile group II cation template, we have[9] obtained a macrobicyclic octaaza ligand,[10] L, which although normally mononucleating can accommodate copper ions either singly or in pairs. Transmetalation of $[ML]^{2+}$ (1) with an excess of $Cu(MeCN)_4ClO_4$ yields dark brown hexagonal crystals of $Cu_2L(ClO_4)_2$ (2); when Cu(II) is used, a finely crystalline blue-green product, $[Cu_2LH]X_4$ (X = ClO_4 (3), $CF_3SO_3^-$ (4)), is obtained. Rapid titration of an aqueous solution of 3 with 5×10^{-3} M NaOH solution indicates a buffering capacity similar to that of NH_4^+ (pK_a = 9.3) and greater than that of $(C_2H_5)_3NH^+$ (pK_a = 10.6), although no inflexion point is observed in any of these titrations.



Figure 1. X-band EPR spectra of 3 as DMF glass at T = 200, 30, and 4.2 K (g = 2.137, A = 100 × 10^{-4} cm^{-1}).



Figure 2. Structure of 2. For crystallographic data see ref 14.

It is clear that the magnetic and spectroscopic properties of 3 and 4 are not those of dicopper(II). In the temperature range 4–300 K, Curie law behavior is observed (μ = 1.9 BM per formula unit at 300 K) for 3 and 4 and both frozen and freshly made fluid dmf solution EPR spectra take the form of a near-isotropic 7-line signal retained with little change of shape (Figure 1) down to 4 K.[11] Both Nujol mull and solution electronic spectra are dominated by an intense near-infrared absorption (λ = 756 nm, ϵ = 5000 M^{-1} cm^{-1}, $\Delta\nu_{1/2}$ = 2500 cm^{-1}). The decay of the spectra exhibits several isosbestic features and obeys first-order kinetics with half-life ranging from 1–3 min in organic solvents to ~3 h in H_2O, betraying solution instability of this presumably mixed-valence species. The half-life is also pH dependent, decreasing by a factor of 50 for a pH change from 2 to 10.

X-ray crystallographic structure determination of 2 (Figure 2) shows a 2.448-Å separation of Cu^+ ions, on the lower limit of

[†] Open University.
[‡] Queens University, Belfast.
[§] University of Canterbury.

(1) (a) Carter, F. L., Ed. *Molecular Electronic Devices*; Marcel Dekker, Inc.: New York, 1987; Vol. II. (b) Reimers, J. R.; Hush, N. S. In *Molecular Electronics—Science and Technology*; Guizam, A., Ed.; US Engineering Foundation: New York, 1989; p 27. (c) Reimers, J. R.; Hush, N. S. In *Electron Transfer in Biology and the Solid State*; Johnson, M. K., King, R. B., Kurtz, D. M., Jr., Kutal, C., Norton, M. L., Scott, R. A., Eds.; American Chemical Society: Washington, DC, 1990; Adv. Chem. Ser. No. 226, p 339.

(2) (a) Creutz, C. *Prog. Inorg. Chem.* **1983**, *30*, 1–73. (b) Richardson, D. E.; Taube, H. *Coord. Chem. Rev.* **1984**, *60*, 107–129.

(3) (a) Hush, N. S. *Coord. Chem. Rev.* **1985**, *64*, 135–137. (b) Oevering, H.; Paddon-Row, M. N.; Heppener, M.; Oliver, A. M.; Colsates, E.; Verhoeven, J. W.; Hush, N. S. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1987**, *109*, 3258–3269.

(4) (a) Hupp, J. T.; Meyer, T. J. *Inorg. Chem.* **1987**, *26*, 2332–2334. (b) Reimers, J. R.; Hush, N. S. *Inorg. Chem.* **1990**, *29*, 3686–3697. (c) Woitelier, S.; Launay, J. P.; Spangler, C. W. *Inorg. Chem.* **1989**, *28*, 758–762. (d) Kobez, E. M.; Goldsby, K. A.; Narayana, D. N. S.; Meyer, T. J. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1983**, *105*, 4303–4309.

(5) Kroneck, P. M. H.; Zumft, W. G.; Kastrau, D. H. W.; Reister, J.; Anthonline, W. E. *J. Inorg. Biochem.* **1991**, *43*, 164.

(6) Westmoreland, T. D.; Wilcox, D. E.; Baldwin, M. D.; Mims, W. B.; Solomon, E. L. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1989**, *111*, 6101–6123.

(7) (a) Hendrickson, D. N.; Long, R. C.; Hwang, Y. T.; Chang, H. R. In *Biological and Inorganic Copper Chemistry*; Karlin, K. D., Zubieta, J., Eds.; Adenine Press: New York, 1985. (b) Long, R. C.; Hendrickson, D. N. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1983**, *105*, 1513–1521.

(8) Robin, M. B.; Day, P. *Adv. Inorg. Chem. Radiochem.* **1967**, *10*, 247–422.

(9) Hunter, J.; Nelson, J.; Harding, C.; McCann, M.; McKee, V. *J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun.* **1990**, 1148–1151.

(10) $2N(CH_2CH_2NH_2)_3 + 3OCH{\cdot}CHO \xrightarrow{M^{2+}} N(CH_2CH_2N{=}C{-}C{=}N{-}CH_2CH_2)_3N$.

(11) Freezing of the DMF solution within 1 s of preparation failed to remove entirely lines that appear as shoulders on this 7-line spectrum.

0002-7863/91/1513-9684$02.50/0