synthetic route for obtaining new transition-metal clusters. More detailed reports of this work, including the results of analogous reactions with related dimers (currently in progress), are forth-coming.

Acknowledgment. Financial support of this work was provided by the National Science Foundation (Grant CHE-77-24309). The financial support of J.-S.H. and Z.-H.Z. as visiting scholars at the UW—Madison by the People's Republic of China is gratefully acknowledged. We are also indebted to Mark A. Murphy for his advice and experimental help in performing the ¹H NMR measurements, to Dick A. Nagaki for carrying out the mass spectral measurements, and to Mark Englert for making the magnetic susceptibility measurements.

Supplementary Material Available: Tables listing the crystal data and atomic parameters for compounds 2, 3, 4, and 5 (34 pages). Ordering information is given on any current masthead page.

Larry M. Cirjak, Jin-Shun Huang, Zhong-He Zhu Lawrence F. Dahl*

Department of Chemistry, University of Wisconsin–Madison Madison, Wisconsin 53706 Received April 21, 1980

General Strategy for Gibberellin Synthesis: Total Syntheses of (\pm) -Gibberellin A_1^1 and Gibberellic Acid

Sir:

Extensive studies over 2 decades on the construction of the gibberellin phytohormones have provided a fund of innovative synthetic methodology,² and yet the completion of only two total syntheses—gibberellic acid (1) (~36 steps)³ and gibberellin A₁₅ (~40 steps)⁴—has been reported.⁵ While the former achievement establishes a milestone in both gibberellin and synthetic chemistry, scope for more versatile and direct approaches still remains. Retrosynthetic analysis of the gibberellin molecule (Scheme I) suggests a strategy based on the construction of the C(3)–C(4) bond⁶ by an aldol process,⁷ C(4)–C(5) by a Michael reaction, and C(1)–C(10) through addition of an appropriate nucleophile to an enone such as 14; reagent approach along the equatorial vector would be expected to establish the correct relative chirality of *pro*-C(10), and then geometric constraints can provide subsequent stereochemical control. We now describe the elabo-

(2) For recent reviews, see: (a) Fujita, E.; Node, M. Heterocycles 1977,
 7, 709-752. (b) Danheiser, R. L. Ph.D. Dissertation, Harvard University,
 1978. (c) Urech, R. Ph.D. Dissertation, Australian National University, 1980.
 (a) Consult E. Li Danheiser, B. L. Chardenscharper, S. Kack, G. F.

(3) (a) Corey, E. J.; Danheiser, R. L.; Chandrasekaran, S.; Keck, G. E.;
Gopalan, B.; Larsen, S. D.; Siret, P.; Gras, J.-L. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1978, 100,
8034-8036. (b) Corey, E. J.; Danheiser, R. L.; Chandrasekaran, S.; Siret,
P.; Keck, G. E.; Gras, J.-L. Ibid. 1978, 100, 8031-8034. (c) See also: Corey,
E. J.; Gorzynski Smith, J. Ibid. 1979, 101, 1038-1039. Stork, G.; Boeckmann,
R. K.; Taber, D. F.; Still, W. C.; Singh, J. Ibid. 1979, 101, 7107-7109.

L. J.; Gorzynski Smith, J. *Ibid.* 1979, 101, 1038-1039. Stork, G.; Boeckmann,
R. K.; Taber, D. F.; Still, W. C.; Singh, J. *Ibid.* 1979, 101, 7107-7109.
(4) Nagata, W.; Wakabayashi, T.; Narisada, M.; Hayase, Y.; Kamate, S.
J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1971, 93, 5740-5758.

(5) Totally synthetic routes via relays, but lacking optical resolutions, have been established for gibberellin A_4 (3), among others (~55 steps): Mori, K.; Shiozaki, M.; Itaya, N.; Matsui, M.; Sumiki, Y. *Tetrahedron* **1969**, 25, 1293–1321. Gibberellins A_{15} and A_{37} (~40 steps): Fujita, E.; Node, M.; Hori, H. J. Chem. Soc., Perkin Trans. 1 **1977**, 611–621.

Hori, H. J. Chem. Soc., Perkin Trans. 1 1917, 611-621.
(6) To avoid confusion, atoms are numbered throughout on the basis of the full gibberellin skeleton: Rowe, J. R., Ed. "The Common and Systematic Nomenclature of Cyclic Diterpenes", 3rd Rev.; Forest Product Labortory, U.S. Department of Agriculture: Wisconsin, 1968.
(7) (a) The feasibility of the aldol reaction was originally demonstrated by Dolby, L. J.; Milligan, R. J. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1966, 88, 4536-4537. (b) Subsequent studies extended the score of the method: Dolby. L. J.; Skidd.

(7) (a) The feasibility of the aldol reaction was originally demonstrated by Dolby, L. J.; Milligan, R. J. J. Am. Chem. Soc. **1966**, 88, 4536-4537. (b) Subsequent studies extended the scope of the method: Dolby, L. J.; Skold, C. N. *Ibid.* **1974**, 96, 3276-3279. (c) The approach has been further refined concurrently with the present work: Stork, G.; Singh, J. *Ibid.* **1979**, 101, 7109-7110. ration of these ideas into a very efficient strategy for gibberellin synthesis, the utility of which is demonstrated by the preparation of (\pm) -gibberellin A₁ (2)⁸ in ~24 steps, and of gibberellic acid (1) in ~31 steps from 1,7-dimethoxynaphthalene.



The synthesis of enone 14, our first objective, was based on the development of earlier studies.⁹ Thus, dienedione 5, readily prepared from trifluoroacetic acid treatment of 4,¹⁰ was hydrolyzed



[10% aqueous Na₂CO₃/MeOH/THF (1:1.5:1.8), 5 min, 25 °C, 98.5% yield] to 6, mp 113–114 °C,¹¹ the cyclopentanone function of which was selectively masked [(CH₂OH)₂, (CH₂Cl)₂, Dowex 50W × 8 (10% w/w), 4 A sieves, reflux 7 h, 59% yield] to give acetal 7, mp 129–131 °C.¹² 1,4-Reduction [K-selectride¹³ (1 equiv added over 30 min), EtOH (4 equiv),¹⁴ THF, -65 °C, 97% yield] then furnished 8, mp 103–104 °C, which was transformed directly¹⁵ to diazo ketone 9, mp 118–120 °C dec, in 82% yield. Irradiation of 9 [Pyrex, Hanovia 400-W medium-pressure mercury lamp, 13% aqueous NaHCO₃/THF (15:4), 0 °C, 4 h] furnished a mixture of ring-contracted acids, resolved by fractional crystallization to give the less soluble [chloroform/pentane (15:4)] 6β -epimer, mp 159–161 °C (17.5% yield), and then the desired 6α -epimer, indefinite mp 152–165 °C (63% yield), methyl ester





(8) MacMillan, J.; Seaton, J. C.; Suter, P. J. Tetrahedron 1960, 11, 60–66.
(9) Cossey, A. L.; Mander, L. N. Tetrahedron Lett. 1979, 969–972.
(10) Divisional Advances of Neuropean Content of Neuropean Content Co

(10) Blair, I. A.; Ellis, A.; Johnson, D. W.; Mander, L. N. Aust. J. Chem. 1978, 31, 405-409.

(11) Structures 4-26 represent racemic compounds and are fully consistent with their NMR, IR, and mass spectral data. All crystalline compounds afforded satisfactory microanalytical data ($\pm < 0.3\%$) for carbon and hydrogen. All reactions were carried out under an atmosphere of purified nitrogen, where appropriate, and yields are reported for analytically pure products.

(12) The cyclopentanone function is only slightly more reactive than the dienone carbonyl group, so care must be taken to avoid formation of the bisacetal.

(13) Fortunato, J. M.; Ganem, B. J. Org. Chem. 1976, 41, 2194–2200. (14) In the absence of a good proton donor, some of the initially formed enol boronate added 1,4 to the substrate. Ethanol was more effective than *tert*-butyl alcohol¹³ in this case.

(15) Lombardo, L.; Mander, L. N. Synthesis 1980, 368-369.

This work was described at the Sixth International Symposium on Synthesis in Organic Chemistry, Cambridge, England, July 1979.
 For recent reviews, see: (a) Fujita, E.; Node, M. Heterocycles 1977,

11 (diazomethane), mp 84-85 °C. The stereochemical assignments to the isomeric acids were made through analogy with the 13-deoxy analogues9 and confirmed by ¹³C NMR spectra of the derived methyl esters 10 and 11,16 which indicated shielding by the ester function of C(14) in the 6α -epimer and of C(15) in the 6β -epimer. The introduction of a C(10) oxygen function with concomitant elaboration of the thermodynamically less stable cis-B/C ring fusion^{3b} was achieved through the addition of thexylborane¹⁷ (ether, 0 °C, 3.5 h; Na₂HPO₄, H₂O₂, 35 °C, 2 h), giving diol 12, mp 169-171 °C, in 90% yield (¹³C NMR consistent with B/C cis fusion).¹⁸ Finally, enone 14, mp 126–130 °C, was obtained from 12 by α -selenenylation of the ester function [PhSeSePh, KH, THF/DMF (9:1), 20 °C, 0.4 h], selenoxide elimination (H₂O₂, CH₂Cl₂, 0 °C),¹⁹ and manganese dioxide oxidation²⁰ of the resulting allylic alcohol 13 in 50-60% overall yield.

The addition of an operational equivalent of the O= $CHCH_2CH_2$: synthon²¹ (or preferably the *cis*-propenal moiety)^{7b,22} was crucial to the success of the strategy, but the C(10) carbonyl function was devoid of electrophilic reactivity toward most reagents.23 However, the complex alane derived from 3bromopropyne,²⁴ and also triallylalane²⁵ (THF, -78 °C, 5 min), furnished approximate quantitative yields of the carbinols 15 and 17, respectively, with >95% stereoselectivity. Although propionate



16, mp 128-129 °C, could not be induced to undergo an intramolecular Michael reaction,²⁶ propionate 18, mp 90-91 °C

(16) 10: ${}^{13}C$ NMR δ 22.2 (C-11), 32.5 (12), 34.7 (5), 44.7 (15), 49.5 (6), 51.4 (OMe), 51.7 (14), 53.2 (8), 64.9, 65.8 (OCH₂CH₂O), 79.2 (13), 113.6 (16), 117.8 (10), 145.8 (9), 174.7 (7). **11**: 13 C NMR δ 22.5 (C-11), 32.6 (12), 33.6 (5), 46.5 (14), 47.8 (15), 49.3 (6), 51.6 (OMe), 52.7 (8), 64.9, 65.8 (OCH₂CH₂O), 80.0 (13), 113.2 (16), 117.5 (10), 146 (9), 173.9 (7). Ester 11 could be obtained directly as a 3:1 mixture with 10 by photolysis of 9 in methanol, but separation of the acids was achieved more readily. Ester 10

in terms of, but separation of the actions was achieved million reaching the form of the action of

(13), 113.6 (16), 173.2 (7). Higher frequencies would be expected for C-(11)-C(14) in the B/C trans-fused derivative.
(19) Reich, H. J.; Renga, J. M.; Reich, I. L. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1975, 97, 5434-5447. The use of KH is a crucial modification; lithium diisopropylamide

and alkoxide bases were ineffectual in the removal of the very hindered 6β-proton.

 (20) Goldman, I. M. J. Org. Chem. 1969, 34, 1979–1981.
 (21) Evans, D. A.; Andrews, G. C.; Buckwalter, B. J. Am. Chem. Soc.
 1974, 96, 5560–5561. Still, W. C.; Macdonald, T. L. Ibid. 1974, 96, 5561-5563.

(22) Kluge, A. F.; Untch, K. G.; Fried, J. H. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1972, 94, 9256-9258.

(23) An extensive range of alkyne, alkene, and alkane-derived organometallic reagents, in the presence or absence of amines and Lewis acids, was added to 14 and dihydro-14, but either enolization or no reaction was observed. Cf.: Martin, J. L.; Tou, J. S.; Reusch, W. J. Org. Chem. 1979, 44, 3666-3671.

(24) Eiter, v. K. Justus Liebigs Ann. Chem. 1962, 658, 91-95

(25) Zakharkin, L. I.; Savina, L. A. Bull. Acad. Sci. USSR, Div. Chem. Sci. (Engl. Transl.) 1964, 1133-1135. This reagent and the propyne-derived alane were chosen in the expectation that they would not react readily with the ester function and that their Lewis acid properties would enhance the electrophilic properties of the ketone group. Cf.: Mole, T.; Jeffery, E. A. "Organoaluminum Compounds", Elsevier: New York, 1972; pp 302, 337. The SE2' mode of reaction may be essential for success, cf.: Benkeser, R. A. Synthesis 1971, 347-358.

(26) Attempts to convert alkyne 15 to the aldehyde prior to the planned Michael reaction were unsuccessful: Brown, H. C.; Zweifel, G. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1961, 83, 3834-3840.

[(EtCO)₂O, Et₃N, 4-(dimethylamino)pyridine,²⁷ 5 °C, 5.5 days, 78% yield; recovered 17 7%, dipropionate 10%], was readily converted [KH (3 equiv), DMF, -20 °C, 20 min; Et₃N⁺H·Ac⁻ quench at -40 °C]²⁸ to a ~2:1 mixture of γ -lactones 19, mp 161–163 °C, and 20, mp 187–190 °C, respectively.²⁹ Oxidation to the aldehyde mixture 21 (disiamylborane,¹⁷ ether, 0 °C, 1 h; Na₂HPO₄, H₂O₂, 35 °C, 3 h; CrO₃·2Py, ³⁰ CH₂Cl₂, 0 °C, 1 h, 89% overall yield) followed by the aldol reaction [10% aqueous K₂CO₃/MeOH (1:4), 25 °C, 3 h, careful deoxygenation] finally afforded a mixture³¹ of unchanged aldehyde (10%) with the 3β hydroxynorgibberellin 22 (29%), mp 225-228 °C, (-) enantiomer



mp 254–256 °C,³² and the 3α -epimer **25** (29%), mp 275–277 °C, (–) enantiomer mp 203–206 °C.³³ In each case, TLC mobility and IR, NMR, and mass spectral data were indistinguishable from those of the (-) enantiomer. Completion of the A₁ structure from 22 was effected simply by hydrolysis [3 M HCl/THF (1:1), 25 °C, 6 h] to ketol 23,³⁴ mp 229-232 °C, silylation (Me₃SiCl, i-Pr₂NEt) to 24, mp 166-169 °C, and then Wittig methylenation (Ph₃P⁺Me·Br⁻, KO-*t*-Bu, *t*-BuOH, THF, 20 °C, 20 min).^{36,37}

(27) Hassner, A.; Krepski, L. R.; Alexanian, V. Tetrahedron, 1978, 34, 2069-2076.

(28) Protonation by this reagent gave a stereochemically more discreet mixture and a higher proportion of the 4α -methyl derivative 19. This is the preferred isomer for the subsequent aldol reaction, since the 4β -proton is more accessible, leading to a faster rate.

(29) Stereochemical assignments were made from ¹³C NMR spectra. In both compounds, C(14) gives a resonance at δ 46.3, indicating that the ester group has the 6β configuration. Cf.: δ 41.7 for C(14) in the 6α -ester 12. Resonances for C(18) occur at δ 12.0 in the 4α -isomer 19 and at δ 17.5 in the 4β -epimer 20. (30) Collins, J. C.; Hess, W. W.; Frank, F. J. Tetrahedron Lett. 1968,

3363-3366.

(31) The 13-deoxy analogue of 21 gave a 3:1 mixture of $3\beta/3\alpha$ epimers, respectively, under comparable conditions. Cf.: ref 7c. (32) Prepared from (+)-23³⁵ as for acetal 7.

(32) Prepared³² from the ketone [mp 187–189 °C, $[\alpha]^{30}_{D}$ +30° (c 0.5, CHCl₃)], which was obtained from methyl 3 β ,13-diacetylgibberellate by selective hydrolysis (K_2CO_3) to the 13-monoacetate, Jones oxidation to the Δ^1 -3-one, K-selectride reduction, ozonolysis, and then further hydrolysis. Full details of this and other improved gibberellin degradation sequences will be reported elsewhere.

34) Acid-catalyzed ketol rearrangement in similar compounds^{3b} is very (34) Acta-catalyzed ketol rearrangement in similar compounds²⁻ is very facile, but J_{5,6} of 10.5 Hz is consistent with structure 23, cf.: (a) Mander, L. N.; Pyne, S. G. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1979, 101, 3373–3375. (b) Hanson, J. R. J. Chem. Soc. 1965, 5036–5040. Spectroscopic identity (¹H NMR, IR, and mass spectrum) was also established with (+)-23.³⁵ (35) Bourn, P. M.; Grove, J. F.; Mulholland, T. P. C.; Tidd, B. K.; Klyne, W. J. Chem. Soc. 1963, 154–162.

(36) Under basic conditions, epimerization at C(3) (Cross, B. E.; Grove, J. F.; Morrison, A. J. Chem. Soc. 1961, 2498-2515) and ketol rearrangement at C(13) (Mosettig, E.; Beglinger, U.; Dolder, F.; Lichti, H.; Quitt, P.; Waters, J. A. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1963, 85, 2305-2309) occur rapidly.

(37) tert-Butyl alcohol ensures reprotonation of the enolate anion which

forms very readily from the C(16) carbonyl function. Epimerization at C(3) begins to occur at temperatures above 20 °C [H(5) in 24: δ 3.21 (J = 10.5 Hz). H(5) in 3-epi-24: δ 2.52 (J = 10.5 Hz)].^{34b} The precise nature of the isomerization is under investigation.

Demethylation (n-PrSLi, DMF, 20 °C, 2 h)³⁸ and desilylation (acidic workup) finally furnished (±)-gibberellin A₁, mp 251-254 °C, then 271-274 °C (>80% overall yield from 23), with IR, ¹H NMR, and mass spectra indistinguishable from those of the (+) enantiomer (2).⁸

The elaboration of the gibberellic acid (A_3) structure (1), however, poses a rather more formidable challenge. The allylic lactone moiety is labile toward weak bases³⁹ and acids (even autocatalysis),40 while Wagner-Meerwein rearrangement of the C/D-ring system is readily initiated by electrophiles.⁴¹ Consequently, assembly of the complete A_3 structure requires delicate timing, as well as a judicious selection of reagents and conditions.

It appeared that Δ^1 -3 β -ol functionality of A₃ could most readily be introduced from a Δ^2 -olefin,⁴² so 25 was converted into phenylsulfonate 26, mp 212-214 °C (PhSO₂Cl, C₅H₅N, 25 °C, 4 h, 95%), and thence (\pm) -29, mp 244-248 °C, by treatment with a mixture of tetra-n-butylammonium bromide (5 equiv) and 1,5diazabicyclo[4.3.0]non-5-ene (DBN)(5 equiv) in dimethylformamide (DMF) at 90 °C for 21 h (82% yield).⁴³ An optical resolution of (\pm) -29 was effected through chromatographic separation of the derived diastereomeric urethanes 30 [phosgene, pyridine, DMAP, 25 °C, 6 h; (-)- α -phenylethylamine].^{44,45} Reaction of the more polar urethane with tetrachlorosilane (10 equiv) and triethylamine (20 equiv) in dichloromethane (25 °C, 48 h)⁴⁶ afforded (-)-29, identical in all respects (mp, TLC ¹H NMR, IR and mass spectra) with an authentic sample [mp 263-264 °C, $[\alpha]^{27}_{D}$ -88° (c 0.56, CHCl₃)] prepared from the 3α -phenylsulfonate, mp 186-188 °C, of (-)-ketal 25, which had been obtained from natural A₃.³³

Hydroxylation⁴⁷ [OsO₄, N-methylmorpholine N-oxide, acetone/H₂O (3:1), 5 °C, 90 h] of 29 furnished triol 27 [mp 256-258 °C, $[\alpha]^{27}_{D}$ + 17° (c 0.54, EtOH)] in 98% yield, and the derived benzylidene acetal (diastereomeric mixture) [PhCHO, (CH₂Cl)₂, *p*-toluenesulfonic acid, 4 A sieves, reflux 16 h] was treated with N-bromosuccinimide [CCl₄, reflux 1 min; 250-W tungsten lamp, 0.9 m, 35 °C, 1.25 h]. Stereoelectronically controlled fission of the 1,3-dioxolan-2-ylium cation⁴⁸ generated in this way ensured specific formation of the 2α -bromide 28, mp 186–189 °C (95% yield), which was converted [DBN (5 equiv), THF/DMF (1:1), 65 °C, 1 h, 90% yield] into allylic benzoate 31 [mp 243-246 °C, $[\alpha]^{28}_{D} + 190^{\circ} (c \ 0.79, \text{CHCl}_{3})]$ and then ketol 32 [mp 231-234] °C, $[\alpha]^{30}_{D}$ +197° (c 0.8, CHCl₃)] by treatment with dilute acid [3 M HCl/THF (1:2), 30 °C, 6 h, ~100% yield]. The A_3

(38) Bartlett, P. A.; Johnson, W. S. Tetrahedron Lett. 1970, 4459-4462. The rate of the present reaction is similar to that in the carcinogenic solvent hexamethylphosphoric triamide.

ford, 1968; pp 41-59.

(42) Approaches based on a 3-oxo derivative are unattractive since hydride reduction at C(3) favors the formation of 3α -alcohols: Voigt, B.; Adam, G.; Kobrina, N. S.; Serebrayakov, E. P. Z. Chem. **1977**, 17, 372–374. Gurvich, I. A.; Kobrina, N. S.; Kucherov, V. F. Bull. Acad. Sci. USSR, Div. Chem. Sci. (Engl. Transl.) 1969, 1668-1671.

(43) Lower concentrations of bromide ion or DBN resulted in an accumulation of the 3α -bromide (double inversion), which does not undergo elimination at this temperature. The excess of bromide ion increases the rate of formation of 3β -bromide, which is then eliminated by the nitrogen base. The 3β -epimer of 26 afforded olefin 29 in 90% yield after only 4.5 h under equivalent conditions

(44) Pirkle, W. H.; Hauske, J. R. J. Org. Chem. 1977, 42, 1839-1844. Cf. ref 3b.

(45) Three 15-min developments in ether/pentane (3:2) on Merck DC-Alufolien Kieselgel 60 (0.2 mm) cleanly separated the two diastereomers (R_f 0.53 and 0.58). The more polar isomer, $[\alpha]^{25}_{D} - 48^{\circ}$ (c 0.22, CHCl₃), was spectroscopically (¹H NMR, IR) and chromatographically identical with an authentic sample, $[\alpha]^{25}_{D} - 48.7^{\circ}$ (derived from natural A₃). The less polar isomer was chromatographically and spectroscopically (¹H NMR, IR) in dictinguisheble from the approximated particular form the sample provide the sample of the s distinguishable from the enantiomeric urethane derived from (-)-29 and (+)- α -phenylethylamine

 (46) Cf.: Pirkle, W.H.; Hauske, J. R. J. Org. Chem. 1977, 42, 2781–2782.
 (47) Van Rheenen, V.; Kelly, R. C.; Cha, D. Y. Tetrahedron Lett. 1976, 1973-1976.

(48) Pittman, C. U.; McManus, S. P.; Larson, J. W. Chem. Rev. 1972, 357-438.

structure was then completed in \sim 75% overall yield in essentially the same manner as in the A_1 synthesis, i.e., silylation, Wittig methylenation,⁴⁹ and desilylation to give 33; mp 169-170 °C, $[\alpha]^{25}_{D} + 214^{\circ}$ (c 1.0, CHCl₃). Finally, hydrolysis at pH 10 [K₂CO₃/KHCO₃, MeOH/THF/H₂O (4:1:1), 25 °C, 1 h] furnished methyl gibberellate, which was demethylated, as reported,⁵⁰ to gibberellic acid 1. Spectra (¹H NMR, IR, mass spectra), mp, and TLC mobility of 1 and its methyl ester were indistinguishable from those of authentic samples.⁵¹

While the focus of this work has been the preparation of A_3 (1), it is clear that all the most common C_{19} gibberellins are accessible through applications of the present strategy.52 Moreover, many of the procedures are highly suited to the manipulation of natural gibberellins and the preparation of analogues for biological investigations.

(49) Greater care was required than in the A1 preparation. The KO-t-Bu was prepared from potassium metal; traces of moisture or the use of com-mercially obtained KO-t-Bu, even after resublimation, led to cleavage of the benzoate group with a consequent retroaldol reaction and methylenation of the seco aldehyde.

(31) We are indepice to A. Cossey for technical assistance and to G. ... Elson, I.C.I. Plant Protection, for gifts of gibberellins. (52) The obvious conversions of 27 and 29 into gibberellins A_8 and A_5 , respectively, have been completed. The adoption of the general strategy to the preparation of 13-deoxy C_{19} gibberellins, culminating in the synthesis of (\pm) -A₄ (3), mp 220–222 °C, has also been carried out. Applications to further gibberellins, including C₂₀ derivatives, are well advanced.

Luciano Lombardo, Lewis N. Mander,* John V. Turner

Research School of Chemistry Australian National University Canberra, ACT 2600, Australia Received April 23, 1980

Total Synthesis of Gibberellic Acid. The Hydrofluorene Route

Sir:

A recurring theme in a broad spectrum of proposals¹ for the synthesis of the C_{19} gibberellin phytohormones² has been the utilization of a benzenoid synthon as a precursor to the Aring/lactone moiety in these compounds. The pioneering studies undertaken by Loewenthal,³ in particular, appeared to hold considerable promise for this strategy,⁴ which dovetails efficiently with the construction of the remainder of the molecule through the application of our diazo ketone based methodology.⁵ We now report the application of these concepts to the transformation of fluorenone 16 into the tetracyclic lactone 2, an advanced intermediate in our recently completed total synthesis of gibberellic acid.7

Our first objective was the development of an efficient preparation of tetracyclic ketone 8. This was achieved through the use of reported procedures,^{5c} but with several important refine-

(1) For reviews, see: Fujita, E.; Node, M. Heterocycles 1977, 7, 709-752. Danheiser, R. L. Ph.D. Dissertation, Harvard University, 1978.

(6) Hook, J. M.; Mander, L. N. J. Org. Chem. 1980, 45, 1722-1724. (7) Lombardo, L.; Mander, L. N.; Turner, J. V. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1980, 102, 6626.

⁽³⁹⁾ Cross, B. E.; Grove, J. F.; Morrison, A. J. Chem. Soc. 1961, 2498-2515.

^{(40) (}a) Cross, J. J. Chem. Soc. 1954, 4670-4676.
(b) Pryce, R. J. Phytochemistry 1973, 12, 507-514, and references cited therein.
(41) Hanson, J. R. "The Tetracyclic Diterpenes", Pergamon Press: Ox-

⁽⁵⁰⁾ Corey, E. J.; Brennan, T. M.; Carney, R. L. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1971, 93, 7316-7317.

⁽⁵¹⁾ We are indebted to A. Cossey for technical assistance and to G. W.

⁽²⁾ Hanson, J. R. "The Tetracyclic Diterpenes"; Pergamon Press: Oxford, (3) (a) Bachi, M. D.; Epstein, J. W.; Herzberg-Minzly, Y.; Loewenthal,

⁽a) Dacin, W. D., Dischi, S. W., Heizelog, Winzly, I., Downlink, H. J. E. J. Org. Chem. 1969, 34, 126-135. (b) Locwenthal, H. J. E.;
Schatzmiller, S. J. Chem. Soc., Perkin Trans. 1 1976, 944-950.
(4) See also: (a) House, H. O.; Strickland, R. C.; Zaiko, E. J. J. Org. Chem. 1976, 41, 2401-2408. (b) House, H. O.; Zaiko, E. J. Ibid. 1977, 42, 3780-3783. (c) Baker, A. J.; Goudie, A. C. J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun. 1972, 051. 1972. 951

^{(5) (}a) Beames, D. J.; Klose, T. R.; Mander, L. N. J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun. 1971, 773-774. (b) Klose, T. R.; Mander, L. N. Aust. J. Chem. 1974, 27, 1287-1294. (c) Beames, D. J.; Turner, J. V.; Mander, L. N. Ibid. 1974, 27, 1977-1984.