This article was downloaded by: [York University Libraries]

On: 30 December 2014, At: 21:20

Publisher: Taylor & Francis

Informa Ltd Registered in England and Wales Registered Number: 1072954 Registered

office: Mortimer House, 37-41 Mortimer Street, London W1T 3JH, UK



# Organic Preparations and Procedures International: The New Journal for Organic Synthesis

Publication details, including instructions for authors and subscription information:

http://www.tandfonline.com/loi/uopp20

## ZEOLITE-MEDIATED CONVERSION OF ALCOHOLS TO p-METHOXYBENZYL ETHERS

G. V. M. Sharma  $^{\rm a}$  , Sreenivas Punna  $^{\rm a}$  , A. Ratnamala  $^{\rm b}$  , V. Durga Kumari  $^{\rm b}$  & M. Subrahmanyam  $^{\rm b}$ 

 $^{\rm a}$  D-211, Discovery Laboratory, Organic Chemistry Division-III , Hyderabad, 500 007, INDIA

<sup>b</sup> Catalysis Division, Indian Institute of Chemical Technology, Hyderabad, 500 007, INDIA Published online: 11 Feb 2009.

To cite this article: G. V. M. Sharma, Sreenivas Punna, A. Ratnamala, V. Durga Kumari & M. Subrahmanyam (2004) ZEOLITE-MEDIATED CONVERSION OF ALCOHOLS TO p-METHOXYBENZYL ETHERS, Organic Preparations and Procedures International: The New Journal for Organic Synthesis, 36:6, 581-586, DOI: 10.1080/00304940409355975

To link to this article: http://dx.doi.org/10.1080/00304940409355975

#### PLEASE SCROLL DOWN FOR ARTICLE

Taylor & Francis makes every effort to ensure the accuracy of all the information (the "Content") contained in the publications on our platform. However, Taylor & Francis, our agents, and our licensors make no representations or warranties whatsoever as to the accuracy, completeness, or suitability for any purpose of the Content. Any opinions and views expressed in this publication are the opinions and views of the authors, and are not the views of or endorsed by Taylor & Francis. The accuracy of the Content should not be relied upon and should be independently verified with primary sources of information. Taylor and Francis shall not be liable for any losses, actions, claims, proceedings, demands, costs, expenses, damages, and other liabilities whatsoever or howsoever caused arising directly or indirectly in connection with, in relation to or arising out of the use of the Content.

This article may be used for research, teaching, and private study purposes. Any substantial or systematic reproduction, redistribution, reselling, loan, sub-licensing, systematic supply, or distribution in any form to anyone is expressly forbidden. Terms &

Conditions of access and use can be found at <a href="http://www.tandfonline.com/page/terms-and-conditions">http://www.tandfonline.com/page/terms-and-conditions</a>

### ZEOLITE-MEDIATED CONVERSION OF ALCOHOLS TO p-METHOXYBENZYL ETHERS†

G. V. M. Sharma, \*\*\* Sreenivas Punna, \*\* A. Ratnamala, \*\*\*

V. Durga Kumari, \*\*\* and M. Subrahmanyam \*\*\*

\*\*\*D-211, Discovery Laboratory, Organic Chemistry Division-III

\*\*\*\*Catalysis Division, Indian Institute of Chemical Technology

Hyderabad-500 007, INDIA

\* Tel: +91-40-27193165 fax: +91-40-27160921. e-mail: subrahmanyam@iict.res.in

The protection of functional groups<sup>1</sup> is very important for the successful synthesis of complex molecules. The *p*-methoxybenzyl (PMB) and 3,4-dimethoxybenzyl (DMB) groups have proven their usefulness for the protection of hydroxy groups because of the ease with which they can be removed under neutral and mild conditions [2,3-dichloro-5,6 dicyano-benzo-1,4-quinone (DDQ) in aqueous dichloromethane<sup>2</sup> or DDQ-Mn(OAc)<sub>3</sub><sup>3</sup>-CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>l. Like the benzyl ether group, the PMB-group is traditionally introduced under basic conditions<sup>4</sup> (NaH, dimethylformamide) or under protic or lewis acidic conditions<sup>5</sup> (BF<sub>3</sub>•Et<sub>2</sub>O, pyridinium toluene-*p*-sulfonate, trifluoromethanesulfonic acid, camphorsulfonic acid) and with other reagents such as 4-methoxybenzyl-2-pyridylthiocarbonate etc.<sup>6,7</sup> Our recent studies<sup>8</sup> on protection-deprotection resulted in the development of Yb(OTf)<sub>3</sub> as a catalyst for the conversion of alcohols into *p*-methoxybenzyl (PMB) ethers.<sup>8b</sup>

Many of the known methods for the protection of alcohols<sup>1</sup> using homogeneous catalysts have certain limitations such as catalyst separation and regeneration, salt formation due to neutralization in the work-up procedure and cost. To overcome these drawbacks, heterogeneous solid acid/base catalysts can contribute tremendously due to eco-friendly conditions with concomitant minimal purification requirements. Our earlier work on the use of solid acids<sup>9</sup> led us to use zeolites<sup>10</sup> for the conversion of alcohols into PMB ethers using PMB alcohol. Zeolites are crystalline aluminosilicates with well-defined geometry and have attracted attention because of their characteristic features such as shape selectivity, thermal stability, reusability and uniquely varying acidic and basic properties.<sup>11</sup> The physical characteristics of the zeolites used are given in *Table 1*. The protonated form of synthetic faujasite zeolite (HY) is a microporous material with three dimensional channel system (available from PQ Corporation, USA). The aluminum form of Mesoporous Composites Material (Al-MCM-41) was synthesized in our laboratory by a

<sup>© 2004</sup> by Organic Preparations and Procedures Inc.

#### SHARMA, PUNNA, RATNAMALA, KUMARI, AND SUBRAHMANYAM

method described earlier.<sup>12</sup> It has unidimensional channel system with pore size of 30 Å. The acidity of HY and Al-MCM-41 were measured by NH<sub>3</sub>-TPD (stepwise thermal desorption of ammonia) measurements and the surface areas were measured using all glass high vacuum unit by the BET method.<sup>13</sup> Herein, we report a new and efficient protocol for the conversion of alcohols into *p*-methoxybenzyl ethers using these solid acid zeolites.

Table 1. Physical Characteristics of Zeolite Catalysts

Catalyst	Si/Al ratio	Acidity (mmol gm <sup>-1</sup> )	Surface Area (m <sup>2</sup> g <sup>-1</sup> )	Pore Size (Å)
HY	2.6	2.83	457.2	7.4
Al-MCM-41	15.5	0.319	991.0	30

Reaction of octanol (1a; 1.0 mmol) with *p*-methoxybenzyl alcohol (PMBOH, 1.0 mmol) and HY zeolite (0.100 g) in (CH<sub>2</sub>)<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub> at 50°C gave 2a in only 20% yield after several hours. However, the same reaction in CH<sub>3</sub>NO<sub>2</sub> at 50°C for 12 h gave the product in 56% yield (*Table 1*) along with 20% of corresponding *bis*-(*p*-methoxybenzyl) ether. The catalyst was removed by simple filtration, while the pure product was obtained by chromatographic purification. Similar reaction of 1a and PMBOH with Al-MCM-41 gave 2a in 69% yield. The improved yield may be attributed to the increase in pore size of the catalyst.

This method was extended to a variety of alcohols. Thus, the terpenoidal *primary* alcohol nopol (1b) and *secondary* alcohol menthol (1c) underwent facile protection with the HY zeolite to give 2b (57%) and 2c (58%) while 2b and 2c were obtained in 69% and 73% yields respectively by use of Al-MCM-41. In a further study, 1-tetrahydro-pyranyloxy-4-butanol (1d), 1-(*tert*-butyldimethylsilyloxy)-4-butanol (1e) and 1-benzyloxy-4-butanol (1f) gave the expected products 2d (71%), 2e (59%) and 2f (68%) with HY under these reaction conditions, where the acid- and base-sensitive groups remained intact. In the presence of Al-MCM-41, alcohol 1f gave 2f in 79% yield; however, 1d and 1e with the same catalyst gave 2d and 2e in very poor yields.

Furthermore, in the presence of the HY zeolite (pore size = 7.4 Å), the sugar alcohol, 1,2,3,4- di-O-isopropylidene-D-galactopyranoside (1g), gave product 2g only in 25% yield after a prolonged period. However, 2g was obtained in 69% yield in the presence of Al-MCM-41, presumably because of the increase in pore size and surface area (pore size = 30 Å Si/Al = 30; surface area = 991 m<sup>2</sup>/g). This conversion, however, required 20 h. The acid sensitive acetonide

### ZEOLITE-MEDIATED CONVERSION OF ALCOHOLS TO p-METHOXYBENZYL ETHERS

groups are compatible with the Al-MCM-41 catalyst. With 1,2-O-isopropylidene-3-methoxy-D-glucofuranoside (1h) and 1,2-O-isopropylidene-D-xylo-furanoside (1i), the mono-protected products 2h (75%) and 2i (72%) were obtained respectively as the exclusive products using Al-MCM-41.

Table 2. PMB Protection of Alcohols over HY and Al-MCM-41.

Cmpd	Yield (%) HY Al-MCM-41		Time(h)
(CH <sub>2</sub> ) <sub>5</sub> OPMB 2a	56	69	12
OPMB	57	69	12
ормв	58	73	12
THPO OPMB	71	38	12
TBDMSO OPMB	59	32	12
BzO OPMB	68	79	12
OPMB O O 2g	25	69	20
PMBO HOW O		75	20
PMBO O O O O O O O O O O O O O O O O O O		72	20

#### SHARMA, PUNNA, RATNAMALA, KUMARI, AND SUBRAHMANYAM

In order to check their regenerability, both catalysts HY zeolite (0.400 g) and Al-MCM-41 from the reaction of **1a** with *p*-methoxybenzyl alcohol were washed with EtOAc and reactivated by calcination in air at 400°C for 3 h; they were recycled for four runs without significant loss of activity. The X-ray diffraction patterns of the freshly used and regenerated catalysts revealed that they retained their crystallinity throughout.

Table 3. Reusability of the Catalysts for PMB Protection of Octanol (1a)

Cycle #	Yield (%)		
	HY	Al-MCM-41	
1	56	69	
2	54	66	
3	54	65.5	
4	52	64	

Thus, in conclusion these examples illustrate that the use of zeolites is a novel and efficient method for the selective protection of alcohols using inexpensive and regenerable catalyst systems. The merits of the present method are high selectivity for primary alcohols, low cost and simple work-up, reusability and tolerance to a wide variety of acid- and base- sensitive functional groups.

#### EXPERIMENTAL SECTION

<sup>1</sup>H NMR spectra were recorded using Varian FT 200 MHz (Gemini) instrument with TMS as the internal standard and CDCl<sub>3</sub> as the solvent. Mass spectra were recorded on VG Micro mass 7070 H.

Typical Procedure.- To a solution of 1h (0.234 g, 1.0 mmol) in  $CH_3NO_2$  (5 mL), were added p-methoxybenzyl alcohol (0.138 g 1.0 mmol), the catalyst (HY/Al-MCM-41 zeolite, 0.100 g) and the mixture was stirred for 12 h at 50°C. The reaction mixture was filtered to remove the catalyst and the catalyst was washed with EtOAc (2 x 10 mL), dried ( $Na_2SO_4$ ), evaporated under reduced pressure and the residue purified by column chromatography (60-120 mesh  $SiO_2$ , 5% EtOAc in hexane) to give 0.27 g (76%) of 2h as a pale yellow syrup.

Heptyloxymethyl-4-methoxybenzene (2a), colorless syrup. <sup>1</sup>H NMR: δ 6.82, 7.21 (2d, 4H, J = 9.04 Hz), 4.39 (s, 2H), 3.78 (s, 3H), 3.39 (t, 2H, J = 7.14 Hz), 1.48-1.63 (m, 2H), 1.20-1.38 (m, 10H), 0.82-0.93 (m, 3H). EIMS: m/z (relative intensity in %): 250 (M<sup>+</sup>, 7.5), 228 (2.5), 197 (2.0), 121 (100), 57 (8.7).

Anal. Calcd for C<sub>16</sub>H<sub>26</sub>O<sub>2</sub>: C, 76.75; H, 10.47. Found: C, 76.71; H, 10.39

**2-[2-(4-Methoxybenzyloxy)ethyl]-6,6-dimethyl bicyclo[3.1.1]hept-2-ene (2b)**, pale yellow syrup. <sup>1</sup>H NMR:  $\delta$  6.79, 7.18 (2d, 4H, J = 8.57 Hz), 5.18-5.24 (m, 1H), 4.38 (s, 2H), 3.78 (s, 3H), 3.40 (t, 2H, J = 7.14 Hz), 2.13-2.38 (m, 4H), 1.92-2.10 (m, 2H), 1.24 (s, 3H), 0.92-1.18 (m, 2H), 0.79 (s, 3H). EIMS: m/z (relative intensity in %): 286 (M<sup>+</sup>, 3.7), 228 (26.5), 197 (18.7), 121 (100), 41 (55.0).

Anal. Calcd for C<sub>19</sub>H<sub>26</sub>O<sub>2</sub>: C, 79.68; H, 9.15. Found: C, 79.67; H, 9.12

#### ZEOLITE-MEDIATED CONVERSION OF ALCOHOLS TO p-METHOXYBENZYL ETHERS

1-Isopropyl-2-(4-methoxybenzyloxy)-4-methyl-(1S, 2R, 4R)-cyclohexane (2c), yellow syrup . 

<sup>1</sup>H NMR:  $\delta$  7.25, 6.85 (d, J = 8.5 Hz, 4H), 4.45(s, 2H), 3.80(s, 3H), 3.40 (dt, J = 9.7, 4.2 Hz, 1H) 2.25-2.10 (m,1H), 2.00-1.90(m,1H), 1.70-1.57(m, 2H), 1.10-0.85(m, 11H), 0.80(d, J = 3.4Hz, 3H); EIMS: m/z 276(M<sup>+</sup>). HRMS: Calcd for  $C_{1g}H_{2g}O_2$  276.208930. Found 276.207990.

Anal. Calcd for C<sub>18</sub>H<sub>28</sub>O<sub>2</sub>: C, 78.21; H, 10.21. Found: C, 78.17; H, 10.21

1-(4-Methoxybenzyloxy)-6-tetrahydro-2*H*-2-pyranyloxybutane (2d), light yellow syrup.  $^{1}H$  NMR:  $\delta$  7.22, 6.83 (d, J = 8.4 Hz, 4H), 4.55 (brs, 1H), 4.40 (s, 2H), 3.88-3.75 (m, 5H), 3.50-3.30 (m, 4H), 1.90-1.30(m, 10H). EIMS: m/z 294(M<sup>+</sup>).

Anal. Calcd for C<sub>17</sub>H<sub>26</sub>O<sub>4</sub>: C, 69.36; H, 8.90. Found: C, 69.34; H, 8.84

1-(4-Methoxybenzyloxy)-tert-butyldimethylsilyloxy-4-butanol (2e), honey color syrup.  $^{1}$ H NMR:  $\delta$  7.28, 6.90 (d, J = 8.8 Hz, 4H), 4.44 (s, 2H), 3.88 (s, 3 H), 3.62 (t, J = 6.3 Hz, 2H), 3.42(t, J = 7.1 Hz, 2H), 1.43-1.30 (m, 4H), 0.90 (s, 9H), 0.06 (s, 6H). EIMS: m/z: 324 (M<sup>+</sup>).

Anal. Calcd for C<sub>18</sub>H<sub>32</sub>O<sub>3</sub>Si: C, 66.62; H, 9.94. Found: C, 66.57; H, 10.06

**1-(4-Benzyloxybutoxymethyl)-4-methoxybenzene (2f),** light yellow syrup. <sup>1</sup>H NMR:  $\delta$  8.02 (d, J = 7.5 Hz, 2H), 7.55-7.35 (m, 3H) 7.20 (d, J = 8.5 Hz, 2H), 6.80 (d, J = 8.7 Hz, 2H), 4.40 (s, 2H), 4.10 (t, J = 6.2 Hz, 2H) 3.70 (s, 3H), 3.40 (t, J = 6.2 Hz, 2H), 1.80-1.40 (m, 4H). EIMS: m/z 314 (M<sup>+</sup>).

Anal. Calcd for C<sub>19</sub>H<sub>22</sub>O<sub>4</sub>: C, 72.59; H, 7.05. Found: C, 72.56; H, 6.97

5-(4-Methoxybenzyloxy)-2, 2, 7, 7-tetramethylperhydrodi[1,3]dioxolo[4,5-b-:5,4]-d-pyran (2g), honey color syrup.  $^{1}$ H NMR:  $\delta$  7.35, 6.85 (d, J = 8.8 Hz, 2H,) 5.50 (d, J = 5.1 Hz, 1H), 4.58-4.48 (m, 3H), 4.30-4.20 (m, 2H), 3.90 (dt, J = 6.0,2.3 Hz, 1H), 3.80 (s, 3H), 3.63-3.50 (m, 2H), 1.53 (s, 3H), 1.45 (s, 3H), 1.31 (s, 6H). FABMS: m/z 379 (M-1). HRMS: Calcd for  $C_{20}H_{28}O_{2}$  379.17569. Found 379.176152.

Anal. Calcd for C<sub>20</sub>H<sub>28</sub>O<sub>7</sub>: C, 63.14; H, 7.42. Found: C, 63.12; H, 7.42

**2-(4-Methoxybenzyloxy)-1-(6-methoxy-2-2-dimethyl-(3a**R,5R,6S,6aR)perhydrofuro- [2,3-d][1,3]dioxol-5-yl]-(1R)-ethan-1-ol (2h), pale yellow syrup. <sup>1</sup>H NMR:  $\delta$  6.80, 7.20 (2d, 4H, J = 8.78 Hz) 5.79 (d, 1H, J = 3.41 Hz), 4.42-4.52 (m, 3H), 3.96-4.03 (m, 2H), 3.70-3.78 (m, 4H), 3.48-3.70 (m, 2H), 3.40 (s, 3H), 2.50-2.62 (brs, 1H),1.27, 1.42 (2s, 6H). EIMS: m/z (relative intensity in %): 354 (M<sup>+</sup>, 3.7), 209 (8.7), 173 (10.0), 121 (100), 87 (65.0).

Anal. Calcd for C<sub>18</sub>H<sub>26</sub>O<sub>3</sub>: C, 61.00; H, 7.39. Found: C, 60.98; H, 6.91

**6-Methoxy-5-[2-(4-methoxybenzyloxy)ethyl]-2,2-dimethyl-(3aR, 5R, 6S, 6aR)-perhydro furo[2,3-d-][1,3]dioxole (2i)**, yellow syrup. <sup>1</sup>H NMR:  $\delta$  7.24, 6.86 (2d, 4H, J = 5.71 Hz), 5.92 (d, 1H, J = 2.85 Hz), 4.42-4.60 (m, 3H), 4.18-4.20 (m, 2H), 3.73-3.90 (m, 5H), 3.50-3.60 (br. s, 1H), 1.30, 1.44 (2s, 6H). EIMS: m/z (relative intensity in %) 310 (M<sup>+</sup>, 3.7), 179 (4.0), 137 (25.2), 121 (100), 78 (25.0).

Anal. Calcd for C<sub>16</sub>H<sub>22</sub>O<sub>6</sub>: C, 61.92; H, 7.15. Found: C, 61.91; H, 6.91

Acknowledgements.- S. P and A. R. M are thankful to CSIR, New Delhi for financial support.

#### SHARMA, PUNNA, RATNAMALA, KUMARI, AND SUBRAHMANYAM

#### REFERENCES

- <sup>†</sup> IICT Communication No. 4835
- (a) J. Krzysztof and K. Philip, J. Chem. Soc., Perkin Trans I, 2495 (2000); (b) T. W. Greene and P. G. M. Wuts, Protective Groups in Organic Synthesis, ed., 2<sup>nd</sup> edn., John Wiley and Sons, New York, 1991.
- Y. Oikawa, T. Yoshioka and O. Yonemitsu, Tetrahedron Lett., 23, 885 (1982).
- G. V. M. Sharma, B. Lavanya, A. K. Mahalingam and P. Radhakrishna, *Tetrahedron Lett.*, 41, 10323 (2000).
- (a) J. L. Macro and J. A. Hueso-Rodriquez, *Tetrahedron Lett.*, 29, 2459 (1988).
   (b) H. Takaku, K. Kamaike and H. Tsuchiya, *J. Org. Chem.*, 49, 51(1984).
- 5. N. Nakajima, K. Horita, R. Abe and O. Yonemitsu, Tetrahedron Lett., 29, 4139 (1988).
- K. Kamaike, H. Tsuchiya, K. Imai and H. Takaku, Tetrahedron, 42, 4701 (1986).
- 7. S. Hanessian, and H. K. Huynath, Tetrahedron Lett., 40, 671 (1999).
- (a) G. V. M. Sharma, A. Ilangovan and A. K. Mahalingam, J. Org. Chem., 63, 9103 (1998);
   (b) G. V. M. Sharma and A. K. Mahalingam, J. Org. Chem., 64, 8943 (1999);
   (c) G. V. M. Sharma, A. K. Mahalingam, M. Nagarajan, A. Ilangovan and P. Radhakrishna, Synlett., 1200 (1999);
   (d) G. V. M. Sharma and A. Ilangovan, Synlett., 1963 (1999);
   (e) G. V. M. Sharma, A. K. Mahalingam and T. Rajendra Prasad, Synlett., 1479 (2000);
   (f) G. V. M. Sharma, T. Rajendra Prasad and A. K. Mahalingam, Tetrahedron Lett., 42, 759 (2001).
- (a) G. V. M. Sharma, K. C. V. Ramanaiah and K. Krishnudu, *Tetrahedron Asymm.*, 5, 1905 (1994);
   (b) P. Ram Reddy, K. V. Subba Rao and M. Subrahmanyam, *Catal. Lett.*, 56, 155 (1998);
   (c) P. Ram Reddy, M. Subrahmanyam and V. Durga Kumari, *Catal. Lett.*, 60, 161 (1999);
   (d) P. Ram Reddy, S. J. Kulakarni and M. Subrahmanyam, *Ind. J. Chem. Tech.*, 5, 69 (1998);
   [Chem. Abstr., 129, 55665 (1998)]
- 10. Y. Guo, Cat. Tech., 3, 31 (1997) and references cited therein.
- 11. R. A. Sheldon, and R. S. Downing, *Applied Catalysis*, A: General., 163 (1999) and references cited therein.
- A. Ratnamala, M. Subrahmanyam and V. Durgakumari, Applied Catalysis A: General., 264, 219 (2004).
- 13. D. Venu Gopal and M. Subrahmanyam, Green Chemistry, 3, 181 (2001).
- 14. G. V. M. Sharma, Ch. Govardhan Reddy and P. Radha Krishna, J. Org. Chem., 67, 4574 (2003).
- 15. T. Onoda, R. Shiraj and S. Iwasaki, Tetrahedron Lett., 38, 1443 (1997).

(Received September 20, 2004; in final form November 3, 2004)