

TETRAHEDRON

Integrated Chemical Process. Construction of Highly Substituted Allylic Moieties from Allylic Sulfones in One-Pot

Akihiro Orita, Akihiro Watanabe, Hiroshi Tsuchiya and Junzo Otera*

Department of Applied Chemistry, Okayama University of Science, Ridai-cho, Okayama 700-0005, Japan

Received 20 November 1998; accepted 11 January 1999

Abstract: According to "integrated chemical process", a novel one-pot process for construction of highly substituted allylic moieties has been achieved. A series of alkylation of allylic sulfones and palladium-catalyzed reductive desulfonylation by use of LiBHEt₃ is integrated. The double alkylation furnishes more substituted olefins. Use of arylzinc compounds in place of the hydride enables electrophilic alkylation/nucleophilic arylation in one-pot. The integrated process provides higher overall yields than the corresponding stepwise process. © 1999 Elsevier Science Ltd. All rights reserved.

INTRODUCTION

Integration of multifold reactions into one-pot is feasible if all reactions could be conducted under the identical reaction conditions. According to this concept designated as "integrated chemical process", we developed an extremely concise route for polyenes¹⁾ and acetylenes²⁾ by virtue of the double elimination of β -substituted sulfones. In this process, all reactions are set to proceed under basic conditions. In this context, the well-established olefin synthesis through alkylation of allylic sulfones followed by reductive desulfonylation³⁾ is expected to undergo the analogous integration. Since the normal carbanion technology is invoked for the alkylation, the consolidation is achievable if desulfonylation could proceed under the same conditions as employed for the alkylation. Among various desulfonylation methods so far advanced, palladium-catalyzed LiBHEt₃ reduction⁴⁾ seems most promising because of its compatibility with basic conditions. Moreover, employment of suitable organometallic reagents in place of LiBHEt₃ allows both carbon-carbon bond formation and desulfonylation to be consolidated so that the process could enjoy further compaction. We disclose herein that this can be realized by use of arylzinc reagents.

The integrated chemical process usually gives rise to not only the simplification of the experimental manipulations but also, and more importantly, the increase of overall yield compared to that by the stepwise method due to avoidance of the material loss that is encountered during isolation and purification of the intermediates in the stepwise process.^{1,2)} There appeared a considerable number of literatures⁵⁾ which dealt with accommodation of multifold steps in one-pot but the improvement of yield has not been assessed in detail, to the best of our knowledge, except in one case. Organ et. al reported such examples in the tandem Lewis acid-catalyzed Diels-Alder and allyl silane-aldehyde reactions.⁶⁾ Thus, another purpose of this paper, in addition to

providing a convenient access to highly substituted allylic compounds, is to exemplify the advantage of the integrated chemical process in terms of the overall yield in comparison with the corresponding stepwise process.⁷

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Scheme 1 outlines the integration of the alkylation of sulfones followed by reductive desulfonylation to arrive at olefins. Allylic sulfones 1 were treated with BuLi and subsequently alkyl halides 2 (step i). To this reaction mixture were added Pd(OAc)₂, phosphine and LiBHEt, (step ii). The results are summarized in Table 1. As a consequence of screening of phosphines (entries 1-3), 1,3-bis(diphenylphosphino)propane (dppp) was the ligand of our choice since it gave rise to higher yields than 1,4-bis(diphenylphosphino)butane (dppb) and Bu₃P, yet a better outcome emerged with Bu₃P in one exceptional case (entries 8). A variety of allylic sulfones 1 and alkyl halides 2 whose structures are shown below were employable and, in general, reasonable yields of the desired olefins were obtained. The alkyl group was incorporated exclusively at the α -position to furnish a single isomer except 1c. With this substrate, the hydride attack did not take place regioselectively affording olefin 4 as major products (entries 11 and 12). For comparison, we also performed the stepwise approach where the alkylated sulfones were isolated and, after purification, subjected to desulfonylation under the reaction conditions same as the integrated process. Yields of the respective steps as well as overall yields are shown in the parentheses in the table. It should be noted that at least three trials were run for each reaction to assure the yield and the averaged values given are accurate within the range of \pm 5 % deviation. Remarkably, the integrated process always afforded higher yields than the stepwise process except two cases (entries 2 and 3).





entry	1	2	reactn conditns of step (ii) ^{b)}	3	yield / % ^{c)}
1	1a	2a	dppp (0.1 equiv); 0 °C, 2 h	Jaa 3aa	85 (81 x 79 =64)
2		2a	dppb (0.1 equiv); 0 °C, 2 h; r.t., 5 h	3 a a	78 (81 x 97 = 79)
3		2a	Bu ₃ P (0.4 equiv); 0 °C, 2 h; r.t. 20 h	388	57 (81 x 84 = 84)
4		2b	dppp (0.1 equiv); 0 °C, 2 h	Ph 3ab	79 (91 x 57 = 52)
5		2c	dppp (0.1 equiv); 0 °C, 2 h	Ph 3ac	81 (93 x 54 = 50)
6		2d	dppp (0.1 equiv); 0 °C, 2 h		76
7	1b	2a	dppp (0.1 equiv); 0 °C, 2.5 h	Ph 3ba	66 (74 x 66 = 49)
8			Bu ₃ P (0.4 equiv); 0 °C, 2 h	3ba	83 (74 x 77 = 57)
9		2b	dppp (0.1 equiv); 0 °C, 4 h	Ph 3bb	66 (89 x 66 = 59)
10		2c	dppp (0.1 equiv); 0 °C, 2 h	Ph 3bc	46 (70 x 46 = 32)
11	1c	2b	dppp (0.1 equiv); 0 °C, 2 h; rt. 20 h	Ph 3cb	85 ^{d)}
			,	4cb	
			doop (0.1 equiv): 0.°C. 2 h	Ph 3cc	2)
12		2c <u>,</u>	r.t., 5 h	Ph 4cc	93 ^{e)}

Table 1. One-Pot Alkylation/Desulfonylation (Scheme 1).^{a)}

^{a)}Reaction conditions for (i): 1 (1.05 equiv), BuLi (1.05 equiv), 2 (1.0 equiv), THF, -78 °C ~ rt, 2 h. ^{b)} Pd(OAc)₂ (0.1 equiv.); LiBHEt₃ (2 equiv.). ^{c)} Isolated yield. The overall yield in stepwise reaction [(%yield in (i)) x (%yield in (ii))] is given in parentheses. ^{d)} 3cb:4cb = 18:82.
^{e)} 3cc:4cc = 39:61.

Another characteristic feature of the allylic sulfone carbanion chemistry is the ease with which two alkyl groups can be incorporated. Thus, integration of the consecutive alkylations and desulfonylation should

provide convenient access to tri- and tetrasubstituted allylic moieties (Scheme 2). Prior to setting out the integration, we screened hydride reagents for the palladium-catalyzed desulfonylation (step iii) using a simple dialkylation product (Table 2). LiBHEt₃ has proved to be better than LiBH[CH(CH₃)CH(CH₃)₂]₃. Moreover, the poorer result with Mo(CO)₆/pyridine catalyst⁸ led us to choose the LiBHEt₃/Pd(OAc)₂/phosphine system for the present purpose.





Table 2. Desulfonylation of Dialkylation Product.



entry	catalyst ^{a)}	ligand	hydride ^{b)}	reaction conditions	yield/% ^{c)}
1	Pd(OAc) ₂	dppp (0.1 equiv.)	LiBHEt ₃	0 °C, 3 h	79
2	Pd(OAc) ₂	Bu ₃ P (0.2 equiv.)	LiBHEt ₃	0 °C, 2 h; r.t. 2 h	94
3	Pd(OAc) ₂	dppp (0.1 equiv.)	LiBH[CH(CH ₃)CH(CH ₃) ₂] ₃	0 °C, 2 h; r.t., 6 h	N.R.
4	Pd(OAc) ₂	Bu ₃ P (0.4 equiv.)	LiBH[CH(CH ₃)CH(CH ₃) ₂] ₃	0 °C, 2 h; r.t., 6 h	78
5	Mo(CO) ₆	pyridine (0.2 equiv.)	LiBHEt ₃	0 °C, 3 h; reflux 24 h	38

a) 0.1 equiv. b) 2.0 equiv. c) A mixture of regioisomers (ca. 40:60).

Then, the integrated protocol shown in Scheme 2 was addressed. Sulfone 1a was treated with BuLi and $C_{10}H_{21}Br$ at -78 °C ~ rt. After consumption of 1a had been confirmed by TLC monitoring, the second alkylation was performed in a similar way. To this reaction mixture was added Pd(OAc)₂, phosphine and LiBHEt₃. Usual workup provided the desired dialkylation products. A high level of regioselectivity was attained for both alkylations to give α, α -dialkylation products exclusively. Unfortunately, however, the products were constituted by a mixture of 5 and 6 that emerged as a consequence of non-regioselective hydride attack. The results are summarized in Table 3 along with yields by the corresponding stepwise processes.

Normally, dppp afforded higher yields than the other phosphines except for the reaction between 1a and 2a, in which the best yield was obtained with dppb (entry 1). The superiority of the integrated process to the stepwise process in terms of yield is generally seen except one case (entry 3).

entry	1	R ³ X	reactn conditns of desulfonylation	5 + 6 yield / % ^{b)}	5:6
1	1a	2a	dppb; 0 °C, 2 h; r.t., 15 h	5aa + 6aa 78 (76 x 87 = 69)	37:63
2	1a	2a	dppp; 0 °C, 2 h	5aa + 6aa 65 (76 x 79 = 60)	59:41
3	1a	2a	Bu ₃ P ^{c)} ; 0 °C, 2 h; r.t., 11 h	5aa + 6aa 51 (76 x 94 = 71)	40:60
4	1a	2c	dppp; 0 °C, 2 h	5ac + 6ac 77 (83 x 84 = 70)	52:48
5	1a	2e	dppp; 0 °C, 2 h; r.t. ,15 h	5ae + 6ae 74	74:26

Table 3. One-Pot Dialkylation/Desulfonylation (Scheme 2).^{a)}

^{a)} Reaction conditions: **1** (1.0 equiv.), BuLi (1.0 equiv.), THF, -78 °C, 1 h, $C_{10}H_{21}Br$ (1.0 equiv.), -78 °C-r.t., 2 h; BuLi (1.2 equiv.), -78 °C, 1 h, R³X (1.2 equiv.), -78 °C-r.t., 2 h; Pd(OAc)₂ (0.1 equiv.); phosphine (0.1 equiv.), LiBHEt₃ (2.0 equiv.). ^{b)} Isolated yield. The overall yield in stepwise reaction [(%yield of dialkylation product) x (%yield of olefin)] is given in parentheses. ^{c)} 0.4 equiv.

A sequence of nucleophilic alkylation and palladium-catalyzed reductive desulfonylation underlies the above procedures. The π -allylpalladium chemistry enables an alternative means for the direct carbon-carbon bond formation concomitant with desulfonylation of allylic sulfones by use of organometallic electrophiles. We have found that organozinc compounds serve for this purpose⁹⁾ and a protocol for more concise nucleophilic alkylation/electrophilic arylation has been developed (Scheme 3). To the reaction mixture of the mono alkylation products produced by the anionic technology as described above (step i) was added ZnCl₂, aryl magnesium bromide, and Pd(PPh₃)₄. The results obtained are given in Table 4. The mode of reaction is dependent on the sulfones. Prenyl, cinnamyl and methallyl sulfones, **1a**, **1b**, and **1d**, gave satisfactory yields while only modest yields were obtained with cyclohexenyl sulfone **1c**. The regiochemistry of nucleophilic arylation was not straightforward. Both **1b** and **1c** underwent arylation in a exclusive manner but in the opposite sense (entries 4~9). The γ -selectivity with **1c** can be accounted for in terms of steric hindrance but the analogous explanation





entry 1 2	ArMgX; reactn time (h)	7	(7:8)	8	yield (7 + 8)/ % ^{b)}
¹ 1a 2a	PhMgBr ; 18 h	Taa Ph	(66:34)	Ph +	79 (81 x 86 = 70)
2 1a 2f	BrMg 0 ; 20 h	C ₆ H ₁₃ 7af	(59:41)	C ₆ H ₁₃	71
3 1a 2g	<i>p-</i> MeOC ₆ H₄MgBr; 20 h		OMe-p		88
4 1b 2a	PhMgBr; 5 h	Ph Ph 7ba	(100:0)	oay	74 (76 x 82 = 62)
5 1b 2e	PhMgBr; 3 h	Ph Ph 7be	(100:0)		80 (83 x 80 =66)
6 1b 2f	PhMgBr; 5 h	Ph Ph 7bf	(100:0)		68 (77 x 75 = 58)
7 1b 2h	PhC(MgBr)=CH₂; 5 h	Ph Ph 7bh	`0´ (100:0)		62 (61 x 84 = 51)
8 1c 2e ^{c)}	PhMgBr; 3 h		/		43 (82 x 46 = 38)
9 1c 2f	PhMgBr; 3 h	Ph 7ce 7cf	(100:0) /) ₅ (100:0)		50 (83 x 54 = 45)
10 1d 2e^{c)}	PhMgBr; 4 h		(0.100)	Ph_	72 (84 x 76 = 64)
11 1d 2f	PhMgBr; 3 h		5	Ph ()5	88
			(19:91)	001°'	

 Table 4. One-Pot Electrophilic Alkylation and Desulfonylative Nucleophilic Arylation (Scheme 3).^{a)}

^{a)}Reaction conditions: 1 (1.0 mmol), BuLi (1.1 mmol), -78 °C, 1 h; 2 (1.2 mmol), r.t., 1 h; ZnCl₂ (3.0 mmol); ArMgBr (3.0 mmol), 0 °C, 30 min; Pd(PPh₃)₄ (0.05 mmol), reflux. ^{b)} Isolated yield. The overall yield in stepwise reaction [(%yield of the first alkylation) x (%yield of the second alkylation)] is given in parentheses. ^{c)} BuLi (1.2 mmol); **2e** (1.5 mmol). ^{d)} The *E*:*Z* = 65:35. ^{e)} *E*:*Z* = 62:38.

may not be applicable to 1b that constantly leads to carbon-carbon bond formation at the α -position irrespective of the sulfone substrates. A mixture of α - and γ -regioisomers constituted the reaction products derived from 1a and 1d. In addition, *E*,*Z*-isomers were formed in γ -arylation products, 8de and 8df, from 1d. In keeping with the previous trends, the integration induced the increase in the overall yield compared to the stepwise route. In entries 8 and 9, the overall yield was primarily governed by the arylation due to its poor yield so that the integration could not give rise to any appreciable advantage over the stepwise process. By contrast, in the other cases where the arylation was relatively clean, circumvention of the intermediate loss is reflected by the improved yields for the integrated process.

In summary, consolidation of the carbanion and π -allylpalladium chemistries has been realized on the basis of "integrated chemical process". This leads to concise synthetic routes for highly substituted allylic moieties as well as increased overall yield. The compounds obtained in this study are not always satisfactory with respect to regiochemistry. These drawbacks, however, are stemmed from the innate character of π -allylpalladium chemistry, not from the integration itself. Thus, improvement of elementary reactions would give rise to cleaner integrated process. It should be pointed out that not only the compaction of chemical processes but also the increase of chemical yield is brought about by merely integrating known reactions. No need for special modifications of the respective reactions testifies the generality of the concept and thus a wide spectrum of applications will be feasible along this line.

EXPERIMENTAL SECTION

General: All reactions were carried out under an atmosphere of nitrogen with freshly distilled solvents under anhydrous conditions, unless otherwise noted. Tetrahydrofuran (THF) was distilled from sodium benzophenone ketyl. BuLi (1.6 M solution in hexanes, Aldrich) was used as received. NMR spectra were recorded at 25 °C on Varian Gemini-300, JEOL Lambda 300 and JEOL Lambda 500 instruments and calibrated with tetramethylsilane (TMS) as an internal reference. Mass spectra were recorded on a Jeol MStation JMS-700 spectrometer. Elemental analyses were performed with the Perkin Elmer PE 2400. Silica gel (Daiso gel IR-60) was used for column chromatography.

One-pot Synthesis of 3aa (Alkylation and Desulfonylation): To a THF solution (5 mL) of **1a** (220 mg, 1.05 mmol) was added BuLi (0.65 mL, 1.0 mmol) at -78 °C and the solution was stirred for 1 h. After addition of **2a** (0.21 mL, 1.0 mmol), the solution was stirred at rt for 2 h and cooled to 0 °C. To the solution was added a THF solution (2 mL) of the palladium catalyst prepared by mixing $Pd(OAc)_2$ (22.5 mg, 0.10 mmol) and dppp (41.2 mg, 0.10 mmol) at rt for 30 min. LiBHEt₃ (2.0 mL, 1.0 M THF solution, 2.0 mmol) was added and the mixture was stirred at 0 °C for 2 h. The reaction mixture was combined with water (20 mL) and extracted with EtOAc (20 mL x 3). The organic layer was washed with sat. NaHCO₃ solution and brine. Drying (Na₂SO₄) and evaporation left an oil that was purified by column chromatography on silica gel (hexane) to give 2-methyltetradeca-2-ene (**3aa**) (78%).

3aa: ¹H NMR (CDCl₃) δ 0.88 (t, J = 7.2 Hz, 3H), 1.26 (m, 18H), 1.60 (s, 3H), 1.69 (s, 3H), 1.95 (q, J = 7.4 Hz, 2H), 5.11 (t, J = 7.4 Hz, 1H); ¹³C NMR (CDCl₃) δ 14.1, 17.6, 22.8, 25.7, 28.1, 29.4, 29.7 (3C), 29.8, 30.0, 32.0, 125.0, 131.0. Anal. calcd for C₁₅H₃₀: C, 85.63; H, 14.37%; found: C, 85.76; H, 14.37%.

3ab: ¹H NMR (CDCl₃) δ 1.56 (s, 3H), 1.68 (s, 3H), 2.29 (q, J = 7.7 Hz, 2H), 2.63 (t, J = 7.5 Hz, 2H), 5.17 (tt, J = 7.1, 1.5 Hz, 1H), 7.13-7.31 (m, 5H); ¹³C NMR (CDCl₃) δ 17.6, 25.7, 30.1, 36.1, 123.7, 125.6, 128.2, 128.4, 132.1, 142.4. Anal. calcd for C₁₂H₁₆: C, 89.94; H, 10.06%; found: C, 90.32; H, 10.30%.

3ac: ¹H NMR (CDCl₃) δ 1.63 (s, 3H), 1.70 (s, 3H), 2.11-2.19 (m, 2H), 2.20-2.28 (m, 2H), 5.17 (tt, J = 6.8, 1.2 Hz, 1H), 6.23 (dt, J = 15.8, 6.5 Hz, 1H), 6.39 (d, J = 15.8 Hz, 1H), 7.17-7.35 (m, 5H); ¹³C NMR (CDCl₃) δ 17.7, 25.7, 27.9, 33.3, 123.8, 125.9, 126.7, 128.4, 129.9, 130.7, 132.0, 137.9. Anal. calcd for C₁₄H₁₈: C, 90.26; H, 9.74%; found: C, 89.86; H, 10.08%.

3ad: ¹H NMR (CDCl₃) δ 1.41-1.50 (m, 2H), 1.60 (s, 3H), 1.63-1.67 (m, 2H), 1.68 (s, 3H), 2.02 (q, J = 7.3 Hz, 2H), 3.81-4.01 (m, 4H), 4.85 (t, J = 4.8 Hz, 1H), 5.11 (tt, J = 7.2, 1.4 Hz, 1H); ¹³C NMR (CDCl₃) δ

17.6, 24.2, 25.7, 27.7, 33.4, 64.8, 104.6, 124.2, 131.7. Anal. calcd for $C_{10}H_{18}O_2$: C, 70.55; H, 10.66%; found: C, 70.89; H, 10.70%.

3ba: ¹H NMR (CDCl₃) δ 0.88 (t, J = 6.6 Hz, 3H), 1.18-1.40 (m, 18H), 1.41-1.49 (m, 2H), 2.20 (q, J = 6.8 Hz, 2H), 6.23 (td, J = 6.7, 15.8 Hz, 1H), 6.37 (d, J = 15.8 Hz, 1H), 7.11-7.20 (m, 1H), 7.25-7.40 (m, 4H). Anal. calcd for C₁₉H₃₀: C, 88.30; H, 11.70%; found: C, 88.70; H, 11.85%.

3bb: ¹H NMR (CDCl₃) δ 2.53 (q, J = 7.8 Hz, 2H), 2.79 (t, J = 7.8 Hz, 2H), 6.26 (dt, J = 15.8, 6.7 Hz, 1H), 6.42 (d, J = 15.8 Hz, 1H), 7.18-7.34 (m, 10H); ¹³C NMR (CDCl₃) δ 34.9, 35.8, 125.9, 126.0, 126.9, 128.3, 128.5 (2C), 129.9, 130.3, 137.7, 141.7. Anal. calcd for C₁₆H₁₆: C, 91.37; H, 8.63%; found: C, 91.45; H, 8.23%.

3bc: ¹H NMR (CDCl₃) δ 2.40 (d, J = 6.5 Hz, 4H), 6.27 (dt, J = 15.8, 6.5 Hz, 2H), 6.44 (d, J = 15.8 Hz, 2H), 7.18-7.36 (m, 10H); ¹³C NMR (CDCl₃) δ 32.9, 126.0, 126.9, 128.5, 129.9, 130.3, 137.7. Anal. calcd for C₁₈H₁₈: C, 91.37; H, 8.63%; found: C, 91.76; H, 8.75%.

3cb: ¹H NMR (CDCl₃) δ 1.20-1.30 (m, 1H), 1.45-1.60 (m, 1H), 1.65-1.75 (m, 2H), 1.95-2.05 (m, 2H), 2.30-2.41 (m, 1H), 2.50-2.65 (m, 2H), 5.55 (d, J = 11.2 Hz, 1H), 5.59-5.70 (m, 1H), 7.10-7.29 (m, 5H).

4cb: ¹H NMR (CDCl₃) δ 1.45-1.60 (m, 4H), 1.80-1.90 (m, 2H), 1.95-2.05 (m, 2H), 3.22 (s, 2H), 5.42 (brs, 1H), 7.10-7.29 (m, 5H); ¹³C NMR (CDCl₃) δ 22.4, 22.9, 25.3, 28.0, 44.7, 122.9, 125.8, 128.1, 128.9, 137.2, 140.4; HRMS (EI) as a mixture of **3cb** and **4cb** *m/e* calcd for C₁₃H₁₆ 172.1252, found 172.1237.

3cc: ¹H NMR (CDCl₃) δ 1.24-1.35 (m, 1H), 1.48-1.66 (m, 1H), 1.68-1.88 (m, 2H), 1.92-2.08 (m, 2H), 2.13-2.29 (m, 3H), 5.60-5.73 (m, 2H), 6.23 (dt, J = 15.8, 6.9, Hz, 1H), 6.40 (d, J = 15.8 Hz, 1H), 7.15-7.39 (m, 5H).

4cc: ¹H NMR (CDCl₃) δ 1.48-1.66 (m, 4H), 1.92-2.08 (m, 4H), 2.82 (d, J = 6.9 Hz, 2H), 5.49 (brs, 1H), 6.21 (dt, J = 15.8, 6.9 Hz, 1H), 6.39 (d, J = 15.8 Hz, 1H), 7.15-7.39 (m, 5H); ¹³C NMR (CDCl₃) δ 21.4, 22.4, 22.9, 25.2, 25.3, 28.4, 28.9, 35.5, 39.8, 41.6, 122.1, 125.9, 126.0, 126.7, 126.8, 127.4, 128.4 (2C), 128.8, 129.2, 130.8, 131.1, 131.3, 136.4, 137.7, 137.8. Anal. calcd for C₁₅H₁₈ (as a mixture of **3cc** and **4cc**): C, 90.85; H, 9.15%; found: C, 91.01; H, 9.34%.

One-pot Synthesis of 5aa and 6aa (Double Alkylation and Desulfonylation): To a THF solution (4 mL) of **1a** (210 mg, 1.0 mmol) was added BuLi (0.63 mL, 1.0 mmol) at -78 °C and the solution was stirred for 1 h. After addition of **2a** (0.21 mL, 1.0 mmol), the solution was stirred at rt for 2 h and cooled at -78 °C. The solution was treated again with BuLi (0.75 mL, 1.2 mmol) and **2a** (0.25 mL, 1.2 mmol) in the same manner as described above. To the solution was added a THF solution (2 mL) of the palladium catalyst prepared by mixing Pd(OAc)₂ (22.5 mg, 0.10 mmol) and dppp (41.2 mg, 0.10 mmol) at rt for 30 min. LiBHEt₃ (2.0 mL, 1M THF solution, 2.0 mmol) was added and the mixture was stirred at 0 °C for 2 h and at rt for 15 h. The reaction mixture was combined with water (20 mL) and extracted with EtOAc (20 mL x 3). The organic layer was washed with sat. NaHCO₃ solution and brine. Drying (Na₂SO₄) and evaporation left an oil that was purified by column chromatography on silica gel (hexane) to give a mixture of 4-decyl-2-methyltetradeca-2-ene (**5aa**) and 4-decyl-2-methyltetradeca-3-ene (**6aa**) (78% based on **1a**; ratio of the regioisomers was 37:63 by GLC).

5aa: ¹H NMR (CDCl₃) δ 0.88 (t, J = 6.6 Hz, 6H), 1.20-1.40 (m, 36H), 1.58 (s, 3H), 1.69 (s, 3H), 2.10-2.20 (m, 1H), 4.78 (d, J = 9.3 Hz, 1H).

6aa: ¹H NMR (CDCl₃) δ 0.88 (t, J = 6.6 Hz, 6H), 0.91 (d, J = 6.6 Hz, 6H), 1.20-1.40 (m, 32H), 1.92 (t, J = 7.5 Hz, 2H), 1.97 (t, J = 7.5 Hz, 2H), 2.45-2.55 (m, 1H), 4.90 (d, J = 9.3 Hz, 1H); HRMS (EI) (as a mixture of **5aa** and **6aa**) *m/e* calcd for C₂₅H₅₀ 350.3912, found 350.3928.

5ac: ¹H NMR (CDCl₃) δ 0.88 (t, J = 6.8 Hz, 3H), 1.20-1.50 (m, 18H), 2.05-2.15 (m, 1H), 2.18-2.23 (m, 1H), 2.28-2.34 (m, 1H), 4.90 (d, J = 9.4 Hz, 1H), 6.17 (dt, J = 15.7, 6.7 Hz, 1H), 6.34 (d, J = 15.7 Hz, 1H), 7.15-7.22 (m, 1H), 7.25 -7.37 (m, 4H).

6ac: ¹H NMR (CDCl₃) δ 0.88 (t, J = 6.8 Hz, 3H), 0.96 (0.94) (d, J = 6.6 Hz, 6H), 1.20-1.50 (m, 16H), 1.97 (t, J = 7.3 Hz, 2H), 2.54-2.64 (m, 1H), 2.91 (2.84) (d, J = 6.6 Hz, 2H), 5.05 (5.01) (d, J = 9.4 Hz, 1H), 6.14 (dt, J = 15.7, 6.7 Hz, 1H), 6.38 (d, J = 15.7 Hz, 1H), 7.15-7.22 (m, 1H), 7.25-7.37 (m, 4H); HRMS (EI) (as a mixture of **5ac** and **6ac**) *m/e* calcd for C₂₄H₃₈ 326.2973, found 326.2977.

5ae: ¹H NMR (CDCl₃) δ 0.89 (d, J = 7.0 Hz, 6H), 0.90 (t, J = 6.9 Hz, 3H), 1.10-1.50 (m, 18H), 1.50-1.58 (m, 1H), 1.60 (s, 3H), 1.73 (s, 3H), 1.86-1.92 (m, 1H), 4.87 (d, J = 10.0 Hz, 1H).

6ae: ¹H NMR (CDCl₃) δ 0.90 (t, J = 6.9 Hz, 3H), 0.94 (0.82) (d, J = 6.8 Hz, 6H), 0.99 (0.86) (d, J = 6.8 Hz, 6H), 1.10-1.50 (m, 16H), 2.00 (t, J = 6.7 Hz, 2H), 2.12-2.25 (2.78-2.90) (m, 1H), 2.44-2.58 (2.59-1.10) (2.1

2.69) (m, 1H), 4.91 (d, J = 9.6 Hz, 1H); HRMS (EI) (as a mixture of **5ae** and **6ae**) *m/e* calcd for $C_{18}H_{36}$ 252.2817, found 252.2862.

One-pot Synthesis of 7aa and 8aa (Alkylation and Desulfonylation-Arylation): To a THF solution (3 mL) of **1a** (210 mg, 1.0 mmol) was added BuLi (0.69 mL, 1.1 mmol) at -78 °C and the solution was stirred for 1 h. After addition of **2a** (0.25 mL, 1.2 mmol), the solution was stirred at rt for 1 h. To the mixture were added ZnCl₂ (409 mg, 3.0 mmol) and an ether solution (3.3 mL) of PhMgBr at 0 °C, which had been prepared from bromobenzene (3.2 mL, 30 mmol) and magnesium turning (875 mg, 36 mmol) in ether (30 mL). After addition of Pd(PPh₃)₄ (58 mg, 0.05 mmol), the mixture was heated under reflux for 3 h. The reaction mixture was combined with water (20 mL) and extracted with EtOAc (20 mL x 3). The organic layer was washed with sat. NaHCO₃ solution and brine. Drying (Na₂SO₄) and evaporation left an oil that was purified by column chromatography on silica gel (hexane) to give a mixture of 4-phenyl-2-methyltetradeca-2-ene (**7aa**) and 2-methyl-2-phenyltetradeca-3-ene (**8aa**) (79%; ratio of the regioisomers was 66:34 by NMR).

7aa: ¹H NMR (CDCl₃) δ 0.87 (t, J = 6.7 Hz, 3H), 1.15-1.41 (m, 18H), 1.65 (s, 3H), 1.70 (s, 3H), 3.42 (td, J = 7.8, 9.6 Hz, 1H), 5.26 (d, J = 9.6 Hz, 1H), 7.08-7.43 (m, 5H).

8aa: ¹H NMR (CDCl₃) δ 0.87 (t, J = 6.7 Hz, 3H), 1.15-1.41 (m, 16H), 1.37 (s, 6H), 2.03 (q, J = 7.1 Hz, 2H), 5.42 (dt, J = 15.1, 7.1 Hz, 1H), 5.61 (d, J = 15.1 Hz, 1H), 7.08-7.43 (m, 5H); ¹³C NMR (CDCl₃) δ 14.1, 18.1, 22.7, 25.9, 27.6, 29.0, 29.2, 29.4, 29.5, 29.6, 29.7, 32.0, 32.7, 37.4, 40.2, 44.4, 125.6, 125.7, 126.1, 126.6, 127.3, 128.0, 128.3, 129.2, 131.0, 139.9, 146.5, 149.5. Anal. calcd for C₂₁H₃₄ (as a mixture of **7aa** and **8aa**): C, 88.04; H, 11.96%; found: C, 88.31; H, 12.18%.

7af: ¹H NMR (CDCl₃) δ 0.86 (t, J = 6.9 Hz, 3H), 1.07-1.48 (m, 10H), 1.64 (s, 3H), 1.69 (s, 3H), 3.45 (q, J = 7.6 Hz, 1H), 3.93-4.24 (m, 4H), 5.24 (d, J = 7.6 Hz, 1H), 5.79 (s, 1H), 7.12-7.49 (m, 4H).

8af: ¹H NMR (CDCl₃) δ 0.86 (t, J = 6.9 Hz, 3H), 1.07-1.48 (m, 8H), 1.38 (s, 6H), 2.03 (q, J = 7.7 Hz, 2H), 3.93-4.24 (m, 4H), 5.42 (dt, J = 15.1, 7.7 Hz, 1H), 5.60 (d, J = 15.1 Hz, 1H), 5.79 (s, 1H), 7.12-7.49 (m, 4H); ¹³C NMR (CDCl₃) δ 14.0, 18.1, 22.6, 25.8, 27.5, 28.8, 28.9, 29.3, 29.5, 31.7, 31.8, 32.6, 37.3, 40.3, 44.3, 65.2, 103.8, 104.0, 123.6, 123.7, 124.1, 125.3, 126.7, 127.2, 128.0, 128.1, 128.3, 128.9, 131.1, 137.3, 137.6, 139.7, 146.6, 149.6. Anal. calcd for C₂₀H₃₀O₂ (as a mixture of **7af** and **8af**): C, 79.42; H, 10.00%; found: C, 79.57; H, 10.28%.

7ag: ¹H NMR (CDCl₃) δ 0.83 (t, J = 7.4 Hz, 3H), 1.48-1.69 (m, 2H), 1.65 (s, 3H), 1.70 (s, 3H), 3.30 (q, J = 7.6 Hz, 1H), 3.78 (s, 3H), 5.23 (d, J = 7.6 Hz, 1H), 6.77-7.34 (m, 4H).

8ag: ¹H NMR (CDCl₃) δ 0.99 (t, J = 7.4 Hz, 3H), 1.35 (s, 6H), 1.96-2.12 (m, 2H), 3.79 (s, 3H), 5.43 (dt, J = 15.2, 7.7 Hz, 1H), 5.59 (d, J = 15.2 Hz, 1H), 6.72-7.34 (m, 4H); ¹³C NMR (CDCl₃) δ 12.1, 14.0, 18.0, 25.6, 25.8, 29.1, 30.2, 39.4, 45.1, 55.1, 113.2, 113.6, 127.1, 127.8, 128.1, 129.1, 130.9, 138.3, 139.2, 141.5, 157.4, 157.5. Anal. calcd for C₁₄H₂₀O (as a mixture of **7ag** and **8ag**): C, 82.30; H, 9.87%; found: C, 82.38; H, 10.02%.

7ba: ¹H NMR (CDCl₃) δ 0.87 (t, J = 6.7 Hz, 3H), 1.15-1.36 (m, 16H), 1.79 (q, J = 7.3 Hz, 2H), 3.39 (q, J = 7.3 Hz, 1H), 6.32 (dd, J = 15.8, 7.3 Hz, 1H), 6.40 (d, J = 15.8 Hz, 1H), 7.15-7.63 (m, 10H); ¹³C NMR (CDCl₃) δ 14.1, 22.7, 27.6, 29.3, 29.5, 29.6 (2C), 29.7, 31.9, 35.9, 49.2, 126.1, 126.9, 127.1, 127.2, 127.6, 128.4, 128.7, 129.2, 134.5, 144.7. Anal. calcd for C₂₅H₃₄: C, 89.76; H, 10.24%; found: C, 89.71; H, 10.33%.

7be: ¹H NMR (CDCl₃) δ 0.81 (d, J = 6.7 Hz, 3H), 1.06 (d, J = 6.7 Hz, 3H), 1.98-2.11 (m, 1H), 3.00-3.09 (m, 1H), 6.32-6.44 (m, 2H), 7.15-7.38 (m, 10H); ¹³C NMR (CDCl₃) δ 20.9, 21.2, 33.2, 57.6, 126.0, 126.1, 127.0, 127.9, 128.4, 128.5, 130.3, 133.2, 137.6, 144.3. Anal. calcd for C₁₈H₂₀: C, 91.47; H, 8.53%; found: C, 91.37; H, 8.37%.

7bf: ¹H NMR (CDCl₃) δ 0.86 (t, J = 6.8 Hz, 3H), 1.16-1.40 (m, 16H), 1.79 (q, J = 7.5 Hz, 2H), 3.40 (td, J = 7.5, 6.7 Hz, 1H), 6.32 (dd, J = 15.8, 6.7 Hz, 1H), 6.40 (d, J = 15.8 Hz, 1H), 7.15-7.37 (m, 10H); ¹³C NMR (CDCl₃) δ 14.1, 22.6, 27.6, 29.3, 31.8, 35.9, 49.2, 126.1, 126.2, 127.0, 127.6, 128.4, 128.5, 129.2, 134.5, 137.6, 144.7. Anal. calcd for C₂₁H₂₆: C, 90.59; H, 9.41%; found: C, 90.79; H, 9.71%.

7bh: ¹H NMR (CDCl₃) δ 1.74-1.89 (m, 1H), 1.93-2.08 (m, 1H), 3.29 (s, 3H), 3.38-3.49 (m, 1H), 3.56 (td, J = 6.2, 8.1 Hz, 1H), 5.17 (s, 1H), 5.33 (s 1H), 6.21 (dd, J = 15.9, 8.1 Hz, 1H), 6.44 (d, J = 15.9 Hz, 1H), 7.18-7.42 (m, 10H); ¹³C NMR (CDCl₃) δ 34.1, 44.2, 58.5, 70.4, 113.1, 126.1, 126.7, 127.1, 127.2, 128.1, 128.2, 128.4, 130.4, 132.6, 137.4, 142.1, 151.4. Anal. calcd for C₂₀H₂₂O₂: C, 86.29; H, 7.97%; found: C, 86.15; H, 8.21%.

7ce: ¹H NMR (CDCl₃) δ 1.05 (d, J = 6.8 Hz, 6H), 1.41-1.67 (m, 2H), 1.70-1.81 (m, 2H), 1.92-2.11 (m, 3H), 2.18-2.33 (m, 1H), 3.34-3.47 (br, 1H), 5.40-5.47 (br, 1H), 7.15-7.36 (m, 5H); ¹³C NMR (CDCl₃) δ 21.5, 21.6, 21.7, 25.9, 32.9, 35.3, 41.9, 121.6, 125.6, 125.8, 127.7, 128.2, 128.3, 144.9, 147.5; HRMS (EI) *m/e* calcd for C₁₅H₂₀ 200.1565, found 200.1578.

7cf: ¹H NMR (CDCl₃) δ 0.89 (t, J = 6.7 Hz, 3H), 1.24-1.35 (m, 6H), 1.38-1.81 (m, 5H), 1.89-2.10 (m, 5H), 3.31-3.45 (br, 1H), 5.40-5.45 (br, 1H), 7.11-7.36 (m, 5H); ¹³C NMR (CDCl₃) δ 14.1, 21.7, 22.7, 27.7, 28.2, 29.1, 31.8, 32.8, 38.0, 42.2, 123.9, 125.8, 127.7, 128.2, 139.3, 147.4; HRMS (EI) *m/e* calcd for C₁₈H₂₆ 242.2034, found 242.2031.

8de (a 65:35 mixture of *E* and *Z* stereoisomer): *E* isomer: ¹H NMR (CDCl₃) δ 0.97 (d, J = 6.6 Hz, 6H), 1.53 (d, J = 1.5 Hz, 3H), 2.45-2.59 (m, 1H), 3.25 (s, 2H), 5.09-5.16 (m, 1H), 7.14-7.32 (m, 5H): *Z* isomer: ¹H NMR (CDCl₃) δ 1.00 (d, J = 6.6 Hz, 6H), 1.58 (d, J = 1.5 Hz, 3H), 2.60-2.72 (m, 1H), 3.38 (s, 2H), 5.09-5.16 (m, 1H), 7.14-7.32 (m, 5H); ¹³C NMR (CDCl₃) δ 15.7, 23.2, 23.2, 23.5, 27.2, 27.3, 38.0, 46.2, 125.8, 125.8, 128.2, 128.3, 128.5, 128.7, 131.1, 131.8, 134.6, 134.7, 140.2, 140.5; HRMS (EI) *m/e* calcd for C₁₃H₁₈ 174.1408, found 174.1410.

7df: ¹H NMR (CDCl₃) δ 0.89 (t, J = 7.5 Hz, 3H), 1.18-1.40 (m, 10H), 1.61 (s, 3H), 3.19 (t, J = 7.2 Hz, 1H), 4.81 (s, 1H), 4.90 (s, 1H), 7.14-7.31 (m, 5H).

8df (a 62:38 mixture of *E* and *Z* isomer): *E* isomer: ¹H NMR (CDCl₃) δ 0.89 (t, J = 7.5 Hz, 3H), 1.18-1.40 (m, 10H), 1.55 (s, 3H), 2.02 (q, J = 7.2 Hz, 2H), 3.28 (s, 3H), 5.21-5.35 (m, 1H), 7.14-7.31 (m, 5H): *Z* isomer: ¹H NMR (CDCl₃) δ 0.89 (t, J = 7.5 Hz, 3H), 1.18-1.40 (m, 10H), 1.52 (s, 3H), 2.13 (q, J = 7.2 Hz, 2H), 3.36 (s, 3H), 5.21-5.35 (m, 1H), 7.14-7.31 (m, 5H); ¹³C NMR (CDCl₃) δ 14.1, 15.7, 20.9, 22.7, 23.3, 27.8, 28.1, 28.2, 29.0, 29.2, 29.4, 29.8, 30.1, 31.8, 33.0, 37.9, 46.3, 52.8, 110.1, 125.8, 125.9, 126.0, 127.0, 127.8, 128.1, 128.3, 128.5, 128.8, 133.5, 134.1, 140.3, 140.5; HRMS (EI) (as a mixture of **7df** and **8df**) *m/e* calcd for C₁₆H₂₄ 216.1878, found 216.1885.

Acknowledgment. This work was supported by The Japan Society for the Promotion of Science under "Research for the Future" Program (JSPS-PFTF96P00303).

REFERENCES

- 1. Orita, A.; Yamashita, Y.; Toh, A.; Otera, J. Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. Engl. 1997, 36, 779.
- 2. Orita, A.; Yoshioka, N.; Otera, J. Chem. Lett. 1997, 1023. Orita, A.; Yoshioka, N.; Struwe, P.; Braier, A.; Beckmann, A.; Otera, J. Chem. Eur. J. in press.
- 3. Simpkins, N. S. Sulfones in Organic Synthesis, Pergamon Press, Oxford, 1993, Chapter 9.
- 4. Mohri, M.; Kinoshita, H.; Inomata, K.; Kotake, H. Chem. Lett. 1985, 451. Mohri, M.; Kinoshita, H.; Inomata, K.; Kotake, H.; Takagaki, H.; Yamazaki, K. Chem. Lett. 1986, 1177. Inomata, K.; Igarashi, S.; Mohri, M.; Yamamoto, T.; Kinoshita, H.; Kotake, H. Chem. Lett. 1987, 707.
- For relevant studies on integration of multi-step processes: Franzone, G.; Carle, S.; Dorizon, P.; Ollivier, J.; Salaün, J. Synlett 1996, 1067. Dorizon, P.; Ollivier, J.; Salaün, J. Synlett 1996, 1071. Haight, A. R.; Stuk, T. L.; Menzia, J. A.; Robbins, T. A. Tetrahedron Lett. 1997, 38, 4191. Piva, O.; Comesse, S. Tetrahedron Lett. 1997, 38, 7191. Fleming, F. F.; Huang, A.; Sharief, V. A.; Pu, Y. J. Org. Chem. 1997, 62, 3036. Bednar, P. M.; Shaw, J. T.; Woerpel, K. A. J. Org. Chem. 1997, 62, 5674. Nakamura, E.; Kubota, K.; Sakata, G. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1997, 119, 5457.
- 6. Organ, M. G.; Winkle, D. D. J. Org. Chem. 1997, 62, 1881.
- 7. For a preliminary communication: Orita, A.; Yoshioka, N.; Otera, J. Chem. Lett. 1997, 1025.
- 8. Trost, B. M.; Merlic, C. A. J. Org. Chem. 1990, 55, 1127.
- For representative papers on reaction of π-allylpalladium with organozinc nucleophile: Matsushita, H.; Negishi, E. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1981, 103, 2882. Negishi, E.; Chatterjee, S.; Matsushita, H. Tetrahedron Lett. 1981, 22, 3737. Fiaud, J.-C.; Aribi-Zouioueche, L. J. Organomet. Chem. 1985, 295, 383. Hayashi, T.; Yamamoto, A.; Hagihara, T. J. Org. Chem. 1986, 51, 723. For a review: Knochel, P. In "Transition Metals for Organic Synthesis", Eds. Beller, M.; Bolm, C., Wiley-VCH, 1998, Vol. 1., p 467.