REACTION OF 2-AROYL-1-CYCLOHEXANONES

AND THEIR 6-AMINO DERIVATIVES WITH HYDRAZINES

Ya. Ya. Ozol, É. É. Liepin'sh, and G. Ya. Dubur UDC 547.779

The reaction of 2-aroyl-1-cyclohexanones and their amino derivatives with hydrazines gives substituted 4,5,6,7-tetrahydroindazoles.

Continuing our research on amino derivatives of cycloalkanones [1, 2], we have studied methods for the synthesis of indazole derivatives from them. Aminoindazoles with a nitrogen-containing substituent in the 3 position have analysetic and antiphlogistic properties [3, 4], and polyfunctional tetrahydroindazoles are also physiologically active [5, 6].

Like other β -diketones [7], 2-aroyl-1-cyclohexanones and their 6-amino derivatives (I) react with hydrazine and arylhydrazines with closing of a five-membered ring to give 4,5,6,7-tetrahydroindazoles II (III). The results of elementary analysis and the IR and PMR spectral data confirm the general structure of II (III). The absorption of carbonyl groups and hydrazone C = N bonds is absent in the region of double-bond absorption in the IR spectra of the cyclization products; only several compounds obtained in the reaction with 2,4-dinitrophenylhydrazine (Table 1), in which there are evidently admixtures of hydrazones, constitute exceptions to this. A broad maximum at $\sim 1600~\rm cm^{-1}$, which is related to the absorption of the indazole and aromatic rings [8], is observed in the spectra of II (III).

Indazoles II (III) with an amino group in the ring form salts with one or two molecules of hydrogen chloride, while compounds without an amine residue give salts with only one molecule of hydrogen chloride.

$$\begin{array}{c} \mathbf{Q} \\ \mathbf{Q} \\ \mathbf{R'} \\ \mathbf{R''} \\$$

Cyclization of I with phenylhydrazine may lead to two isomeric tetrahydroindazoles (II and III). A diamagnetic shift of the resonance signals of the H_{α} and H_{β} protons is observed on passing from nitrogenunsubstituted indazoles IIa-i to compounds having a phenyl substituent attached to the nitrogen atom (IIIj-I) (Table 2). This may be explained by the anisotropic effect of the adjacent N_2 -phenyl grouping. Where there are two phenyl groupings in the 2 and 3 positions of the indazole ring. Dreiding models show that steric interactions that lead to their primarily parallel orientation and, consequently, to a diamagnetic contribution to the shielding of the H_{α} and H_{β} protons arise between them. Consequently, the reaction of I with phenylhydrazine leads to compounds with structure III rather than structure II.

The low chemical shift of the H_{Q} protons in derivatives IIa-i (7.5-7.8 ppm) is noteworthy, whereas for indazoles with a phenyl substituent attached to the N_2 atom (IIIj-l) the resonance of these protons is

Institute of Organic Synthesis, Academy of Sciences of the Latvian SSR, Riga. Translated from Khimiya Geterotsiklicheskikh Soedinenii, No. 11, pp. 1545-1549, November, 1975. Original article submitted December 25, 1974.

©1976 Plenum Publishing Corporation, 227 West 17th Street, New York, N.Y. 10011. No part of this publication may be reproduced, stored in a retrieval system, or transmitted, in any form or by any means, electronic, mechanical, photocopying, microfilming, recording or otherwise, without written permission of the publisher. A copy of this article is available from the publisher for \$15.00.

TABLE 1. 3-Aryl-4,5,6,7-tetrahydroindazoles (II, III)

Com- pound	R	R'	R''	mp, ° C*	Empirical formula	Found, %			Calculated, %			%	IR spectra, ν , cm ⁻¹ 1500-1800 2800-3600 cm ⁻¹ region cm ⁻¹ region			d, %	
					formula	С	Н	N	CI	С	Н	N	C1	1500-1800 cm ⁻¹ region	2800 cm	-3600 region	Yiel
Ilb	Н	Н	Cl	129	$C_{13}H_{13}N_2CI$	66,5	5,4	11,9	15,2	67,1	5,6	12,0	15,2	~ 1600		2950,	43
IJb · HCl	Н	Н	Cl	264—266	$C_{13}H_{14}N_2Cl_2$	58,3	5,3	10,4	27,1	58,0	5,2	10,4	26,3				-
llc	Н	Н	OCH₃	78	$C_{14}H_{16}N_2O$	73,4	6,9	12,2		73,6	7,1	12,3		~1600	3230		31
IIc·HC!	Н	H	OCH ₃	268269	$C_{14}H_{17}N_2OCl$	62.9	6,7	10,4	12,4	63,5	6,5	10,6	13,4				-
Iid	Н	N(CH ₂) ₅	Н	159	$C_{18}H_{23}N_3$	76,3	7,5	14,9		76,8	8,2	14,9		1600, 1605	3290		36
IId-2HC1	Н	$N(CH_2)_5$	Н	236238	$C_{18}H_{25}N_3Cl_2$	61,0	7,1	11,9	20,0	61,6	7,6	11,7	21,0				-
He	Н	N(CH ₂) ₅	CI	183	C ₁₈ H ₂₂ N ₃ CI	68,7	7,1	13,4	11,8	68,4	7,0	13,3	11,2	~ 1590	2860, 3250	2950,	22
He · HCl	H	N(CH ₂) ₅	CI	255—256	$C_{18}H_{24}N_3Cl_3$	56,7	6,4	10,8	27,2	55,6	6,2	10,8	27,4				
IIf	Н	N(CH ₂) ₅	OCH₃	170	$C_{19}H_{25}N_3O$	74,0	8,1	13,5		73,3	8,1	13,5		~1610	3240		36
IIf ·2HCl	Н	N(CH ₂) ₅	OCH3	224226	C ₁₉ H ₂₇ N ₃ OCl ₂	59,8	7,3	10,9	18,3	59,4	7,1	10,9	18,4	•			-
IIg	H	N(CH ₂ CH ₂) ₂ O	Н	178	C ₁₇ H ₂₁ N ₃ O	73,2	7,8	14,9		72,1	7,5	14,8	-	~ 1600	2950, 3280	3070,	31
IIg ·HCl	Н	N(CH2CH2)2O	H	226228	$C_{17}H_{22}N_3OCl$	63,3	6,9	13,1	11,3	63,8	6,9	13,1	11,1				-
lih	Н	N (CH ₂ CH ₂) ₂ O	Cl	176	C ₁₇ H ₂₀ N ₃ OCI	64.8	6,6	12,7	11,9	64,2	6,3	13,2	11,1	~ 1600		2950, 3300	41
IIh·HCl	Н.	N(CH ₂ CH ₂) ₂ O	CI	220-222	$C_{17}H_{21}N_3OCl_2$	56.8		1	ļ	57,6			20,0				-
IIi	H	N(CH₂CH₂)₂O	OCH ₃	154	$C_{18}H_{23}N_3O_2$	69,3	7,5	22,8		69,0		13,4		~ 1600, 1620	2875, 3260	2950,	36
IIi ·2HCl	Н	N(CH ₂ CH ₂) ₂ O	OCH ₃	212-214	C ₁₈ H ₂₅ N ₃ O ₂ Cl ₂	55,6	6,6	10,2	17,2	56,0	6,5	10.9	18.3				-
III j.	C ₆ H ₅	Н	Н	136	$C_{24}H_{27}N_3$	80,8	7,9	11,4		80,6		11,7		~ 1600		•	14
IIIk	C ₆ H ₅	$N(CH_2)_5$	CI	147	C ₂₄ H ₂₆ N ₃ Cl	73,7	6,7	10,9	9,9	73,6	6,7	10,7	9,0	~ 1600	2870,	2940	38
1111	C ₆ H ₅	N(CH ₂) ₅	OCH₃	112	C ₂₅ H ₂₉ N ₃ O	76,6	7,8	11,3		77,5		10,8	1	1600, 1615			19
IIIm	C ₆ H ₅	H.	OCH ₃	125	$C_{20}H_{20}N_2O$	78,7	6,7	9,2		78,9		9,2		1590, 1610			58
illà	C ₆ H ₅	Н	H	105	C19H18N2	83,0		10,7		83,2		10,2	1	~ 1600			54
IIIn · HC1	C₀H₅	H	Н	169-171	C ₁₉ H ₁₉ N ₂ Cl	73,5	6,2	9,0	12,3	73,4			11,4				-
IIIo	$C_6H_3(NO_2)_2$	Н	H	186	C19H16N4O4	63,1	,	14,8		62,6		15,4		~ 1610			64
Hlp	C ₆ H ₅	N(CH ₂ CH ₂) ₂ O	H	133	C ₂₃ H ₂₅ N ₃ O	76,7		11,5	1	76,8		11,7	1	~ 1600	2860.	2950	24
IIIp · HCI	C ₆ H ₅	N(CH ₂ CH ₂) ₂ O	H	247—248	C ₂₃ H ₂₆ N ₃ OCl	70,8			1	69,8	1	10,6					_
Hq	C ₆ H ₅	N(CH ₂ CH ₂) ₂ O	OCH ₃	134	C ₂₄ H ₂₇ N ₃ O ₂	73,2	Ì	8,01			7,0	8,01		~ 1610	2850, 2955, 3060	2900 3020,	38
IIIq·HCI	C ₆ H ₅	N(CH ₂ CH ₂) ₂ O	OCH₃		C ₂₄ H ₂₈ N ₃ O ₂ CI	1	7,0		1	67,7	1	9,9	İ	1			
HIIT	C ₆ H ₃ (NO ₂) ₂	N(CH ₂ CH ₂) ₂ O	OCH,		C ₂₄ H ₂₅ N ₅ O ₆			14,6		60,1		14,6		~ 1610, 1680	3020		56
ills	C ₆ H ₅	H	CI	133	C ₁₉ H ₁₇ N ₂ CI		5,6			73,9			11,5		2870, 3080	2940,	30
IIIs · HCl	C ₅ H ₅	H	CI	172174	C ₁₉ H ₁₈ N ₂ Cl ₂	1	5,2	1	1	66,1	ļ		20,5	1			-
IIIt	$C_6H_3(NO_2)_2$	Ħ	CI	180	C ₁₉ H ₁₅ N ₄ O ₄ Cl	57,3	3.7	13,7	9,1	57,2	3,8	14,0	8,9	~ 1610		2940, 3070	58

^{*}The indazoles were crystallized from ethanol or purified by precipitation from hydrochloric acid solution by the addition of ammonium hydroxide; the hydrochlorides were crystallized from absolute ethanol with the addition of ether.

TABLE 2. Parameters of the PMR Spectra of 3-Aryltetrahydroindazoles (II, III)

Com- pound	Chemical shifts, δ, ppm											
	C ₄ -H	C5-H - C6-H	C ₇ -H	Нα	Нβ	R	R'	R"				
IIa IIb IIc IId	2,60 2,57 2,56 2,60 2,60	2,0—1,6 1,8—1,5 1,8—1,5 2,0—1,5	2,60 2,57 2,56 3,96 3,96	7,60 7,50 7,40 7,80 7,72	7,20 7,22 6,70 7,3 7,33	11,0 10,9 10,6 10,0	2,57 (NCH ₂) 1,50 (CCH ₂) 2,55 (NCH ₂)	7,20 3,70 7,30				
IIf	2,60	2,1—1,6	3,80	7,58	6,84	10.0	1,50 (CCH ₂) 2,50 (NCH ₂) 1,50 (CCH ₂)	3,73				
IIg II h	2,67 2,60	2,1—1,6 2,0—1,5	3,72 3,85	7,70 7,62	7.26 7,30	10,9 11,0	2,50 (NCH ₂) 3,50 (OCH ₂) 2,57 (NCH ₂)	7,30				
IIi	2,60	2,1—1,6	3,88	7,63	6,91	10,6	3,60 (OCH ₂) 2,58 (NCH ₂) 3.65 (OCH ₂)	3,80				
IIIj IIIk	2,57 2,46	2,0—1,6 2,1—1,6	2,80 3,74	7,20 7,20	7,20 7,03	7,20 7,18	2,68 (NCH ₂) 1.50 (CCH ₂)	7,20				
1111	2,45	2,1—1,6	3,74	7,03	6,72	7,18	2,73 (NCH ₂) 1,50 (CCH ₂)	3.74				

shifted to strong field (7.03-7.2 ppm). These differences apparently should be explained by the equilibrium II \rightleftharpoons III for indazole derivatives with a hydrogen atom attached to the nitrogen atom and by the appearance of averaged signals of forms II and III with pronounced predominance of tautomeric forms II. The anisotropy of the $C_3=N_2$ double bond and the unshared pair of electrons attached to the N_2 nitrogen in form II should make an appreciable paramagnetic contribution to the shielding of the H_{α} protons of the aryl ring as compared with that observed for form III. A comparison of the chemical shifts of the C_7 protons for IIa and IIIj also indicates the importance of these anisotropic contributions. The resonance of this group is shifted to weak field when there is a $C=N_1$ double bond in the IIIj molecule.

EXPERIMENTAL

The PMR spectra of 10% solutions of the compounds in CDCl₃ were recorded with a Perkin-Elmer R-12A spectrometer (60 MHz). The internal standard was tetramethylsilane. The IR spectra of Nujol or hexachlorobutadiene suspensions of the compounds were recorded with a UR-10 spectrometer.

3-Phenyl-4,5,6,7-tetrahydroindazole (IIa). A mixture of 4.04 g (0.02 mole) of 2-benzoyl-1-cyclohexanone, 1 g of hydrazine hydrate, and 1 ml of concentrated $\rm H_2SO_4$ was refluxed in 50 ml of absolute ethanol for 5 h, after which the mixture was poured into water, and the pH was brought up to 9-10 by the addition of ammonium hydroxide. After 4 h, the resulting precipitate was separated and crystallized from ethanol to give 2.2 g (55%) of a product with mp 126°. Found: N 14.3%. $\rm C_{13}H_{14}N_2$. Calculated: N 14.1%. IR spectrum: 1600, 3080, 3150, and 3200 cm⁻¹.

<u>Hydrochloride (IIa · HCl).</u> The hydrochloride was obtained by saturation of a solution of IIa in etherbenzene with hydrogen chloride. The precipitated salt was separated and crystallized from absolute ethanol with the addition of ether to give a colorless substance with mp $244-245^\circ$ (dec.); the salt was soluble in water. Found: C 65.9; H 6.4; N 11.9; Cl 14.6%. $C_{13}H_{14}N_2 \cdot HCl$. Calculated: C 66.5; H 6.4; N 11.9; Cl 15.1%.

The properties of the remaining substances, which were similarly synthesized, are presented in Table 1.

LITERATURE CITED

- 1. Ya. Ya. Ozol, S. K. Germane, V. A. Pestunovich, and A. K. Aren, Izv. Akad. Nauk Latv. SSSR, Ser. Khim., 192 (1972).
- 2. Ya. Ya. Ozol, V. Ya. Vegnere, É. É. Liepin'sh, and A. K. Aren, Zh. Organ. Khim., 9, 1194 (1973).
- 3. G. Massaroli, L. Del Corons, and G. Signorelli, Bull. Chim. Farm., 108, 706 (1969).
- 4. R. Granger, J. Kosbarle, Le-Khao-Dong, M. Bouchard, J. Giroux, J. Mizoula, and D. Gavordios, Chem. Ther., <u>5</u>, 24 (1970).
- 5. S. Hauptman and S. Martin, Z. Chem., 8, 334 (1968).
- 6. W. Remars and M. Weiss, J. Org. Chem., 36, 1241 (1971).
- 7. T. Jacobs, Heterocyclic Compounds, edited by R. Elderfield, Vol. 5, Wiley (1950-1967).
- 8. I. A. Strakova, Ya. Ya. Linaberg, and É. Yu. Gudrinietse, Izv. Akad. Nauk Latv. SSR, Ser. Khim., 718 (1968).