

Published on Web 01/06/2007

Unexpected C–C Bond Cleavage and C–C Bond Formation Observed in the Reaction of a Cationic Iridium Complex with Heteroatom-Substituted Cyclopropanes

Mitchell R. Anstey, Cathleen M. Yung, Juana Du, and Robert G. Bergman*

Department of Chemistry, University of California and Division of Chemical Sciences, Lawrence Berkeley National Laboratories, Berkeley, California 94720

Received November 20, 2006; E-mail: rbergman@berkeley.edu

Metal-mediated cyclopropane activation, by reaction at either C–H or C–C bonds, is a potentially convenient and direct process to obtain useful C3 moieties for organic synthesis.^{1,2} Both types of reaction are known to occur at late metal centers, but the factors that control the favored mode of attack in a particular case are still not clear. We wish to report a series of substituted cyclopropane activation reactions at a Cp*LIr(III) center (Cp* = pentamethyl-cyclopentadienyl, L = PMe₃). Although the products are superficially similar (allyl complexes), a detailed mechanistic study reveals that certain types of heteroatom substituents shift the initial activation process dramatically from the previously observed C–H to a new C–C bond activation pathway. In addition, the new pathway exhibits, on the way to products, an unusual series of mechanistic steps, including overall methyl migratory insertion which has been very rarely observed at Cp*LIr centers.^{2c,3}

Previously, Cp*(PMe₃)Ir(CH₃)OTf (1) (OTf = OSO₂CF₃) was reported by our group to activate cyclopropane, yielding π -allyl complex **2** (Scheme 1).^{2c} Subsequent kinetic isotope effect (KIE) experiments demonstrated that this reaction has a $k_{\rm H}/k_{\rm D}$ = 3.8 ± 0.3.⁴ This indicates that the reaction might proceed by initial C–H bond activation, followed by extrusion of methane, to afford cyclopropyliridium complex **3**, which parallels extensive C–H bond activation studies by our group with complex **1**.³

With the intent of exploring the scope of this reactivity and isolating model intermediates, several heteroatom-substituted cyclopropanes were examined. Treatment of complex **1** with *N*,*N*dibenzylcyclopropylamine (**4**) or *N*-benzyl-*N*-phenylcyclopropylamine (**5**) furnished [Cp*(PMe₃)Ir(η^3 -C₃H₄NBn₂)][OTf] (**6**) and [Cp*(PMe₃)Ir(η^3 -C₃H₄NBnPh)][OTf] (**7**), respectively, analogous to the product observed with parent cyclopropane. We assume that these reactions proceed by the well-precedented pathway illustrated in Scheme 1.

In contrast to the results summarized above, alkoxy and siloxysubstituted cyclopropanes produced unexpected products. Instead of methane loss, alcohol is eliminated to form $[Cp^*(PMe_3)Ir(\eta^3-C_3H_4CH_3)][OTf]$ (8) (Scheme 2). Interestingly, reaction of *N*,*N*diphenylaminocyclopropane (12) with 1 also eliminates secondary amine Ph₂NH rather than methane to afford methyl-migrated product 8. Apparently, cyclopropanes bearing better anionic leaving groups favor heteroatom elimination to furnish the unexpected methyl-migrated products.

Because methane is not a byproduct of these eliminations, Cp*-(PMe₃)Ir(13 CH₃)OTf was used to track the position of the iridiumbound methyl in the methallyl moiety. With *tert*-butyl cyclopropyl ether **10**, the 13 C label is transferred to the terminal methyl of the allyl moiety in complex **8**, as verified by 1 H and 13 C NMR spectroscopy. Additional positional information was obtained by reaction of complex **1** with (1-methylcyclopropyl)(methyl) ether **13**, which yielded [Cp*(PMe₃)Ir(η^{3} -CH₃C₃H₃CH₃)][OTf] **(9**). This





labeling study shows the final position of the tertiary cyclopropyl carbon once complex ${\bf 8}$ has been formed.

Although we do not observe scrambling of the ¹³C label in this reaction, KIE measurements are complicated by H/D scrambling of the cyclopropyl and iridium—methyl hydrogens.⁵ Cyclopropane **10** was treated with complex **1**- d_3 , and deuterium was found not only in the methyl position of the allyl group but also in the 1 and 3 positions. Surprisingly, no deuterium was incorporated at the central carbon of the allyl in complex **8**. Control experiments revealed that H/D scrambling is an intramolecular exchange process, and the H/D composition of complex **8** is static once it is formed (see Supporting Information).

On the basis of these observations, we hypothesized that the reaction between **1** and a 1:1 mixture of siloxy ethers **11/11**- d_5 should result in an artificially large KIE for this reaction on the basis of the additional hydrogen introduced by the iridium methyl into the allyl. The $k_{\rm H}/k_{\rm D}$ of 1.22 ± 0.03 that we measured in this way is therefore a maximum value for this reaction. The modest magnitude of this effect, which is dramatically different from the primary isotope effects observed with arenes³ and parent cyclopropane, argues against a C–H bond activation occurring during or before the rate-determining step. Therefore, on the basis of the type of reactivity observed for cyclopropanes with metal systems other than Cp*(L)Ir,^{2de,i,j} the most reasonable mechanistic alternative for this reaction involves initial C–C bond cleavage to yield metallocyclobutane **14** (Scheme 3).

With the knowledge that alcohol is a product of this reaction, it was of interest to determine a mechanism for its elimination. Because the complex is cationic, it seemed unlikely that an alkoxide anion would dissociate to make a dicationic complex. Therefore, we considered the possibility that adventitious acid was involved





in the ROH elimination step. To test this hypothesis, hindered 2,6disubstituted pyridine bases were added to the reaction between 1 and cyclopropane 10. This changed the observed product dramatically: instead of an allyl complex, a diastereomeric mixture of the thermally sensitive hydride complex 18 was observed. Further investigation revealed this complex to be a transient intermediate in the reaction of 1 and cyclopropane 10 in the absence of base as observed by NMR spectroscopy. Cp*(PMe₃)Ir(¹³CH₃)OTf was subjected to the same basic conditions, and the ¹³C carbon was tracked by ¹³C NMR spectroscopy to the terminal olefinic methylene group of the carbon chain in 18.

Complex 18 is most probably reached by C-C reductive elimination in the initial metallacycle intermediate 14 to afford the cationic complex 15, followed by C-H bond activation of the terminal methyl to yield 16. Reductive elimination and β -hydride elimination would then furnish complex 18 as a mixture of alkene diastereomers. To transfer the iridium center from one end of the carbon chain to the other, we propose this C-H bond activation/ reductive elimination scheme (rather than the more common chainwalking mechanism) to account for the lack of H/D scrambling into the 2-position of allyl complex 8. Complex 17, the proposed immediate precursor to 18, can also be trapped with a number of nucleophiles. When chloride is used, a single isomer 19 (Cp*(PMe₃-Ir(C₄H₈OC₄H₉)Cl, Figure 1) is formed. Supporting our hypothesis of acid catalysis, hydride complex 18 proceeds cleanly to allyl complex 8 when an excess of triflic acid is added, demonstrating its validity as an intermediate in the mechanism.

The fact that added base arrests this reaction at compound 18 demonstrates that C-C bond formation occurs before elimination of the alkoxy-, siloxy-, or diphenylamino-substituents (See Supporting Information for further discussion). The ¹³C-labeling study reveals the regiochemistry of the C-C bond formation. Finally, observing that acid is required to convert intermediate hydride 18 to allyl complex 8, we propose elimination of the heteroatom substituent as the most probable next step.

Acid-promoted elimination of alcohol from hydride complex 18 should furnish complex 21. Several groups have proposed analogous structures as intermediates in the hydrovinylation of ethylene by iridium(III) complexes.⁶ A pathway from **17** to **21** could also go through crotyliridium(III) complex 20. As yet, we have no evidence to rule out either pathway: both would account for the regiochem-



Figure 1. ORTEP diagram of Cp*(PMe₃)Ir(C₄H₈OC₄H₉)Cl (19). Thermal ellipsoids are shown at the 50% probability level. Hydrogen atoms have been omitted for clarity.

istry of the overall methyl-migration and isotope-labeling phenomena we observe.

In summary, we have shown that several heteroatom-substituted cyclopropanes react with Cp*(PMe₃)Ir(CH₃)OTf via C-C bond cleavage and formation. This is an unexpected mode of reactivity for a complex known to favor reaction by C-H bond activations and to avoid methyl-migratory insertion reactions.^{2c,3} On the basis of ²H and ¹³C isotope-labeling studies, dependence on acid, and literature precedent, we propose the mechanism pictured in Scheme 3. Further experiments and computations directed at understanding the selectivity of these reactions and the divergent reactivities observed for amino- and alkoxy-substituted cyclopropanes are in progress.

Acknowledgment. We wish to thank Prof. Jack Norton (Columbia), Mr. Hairong Guan (Columbia), Prof. Charles Casey (Wisconsin), Prof. Michael Hall (Texas A&M), and Prof. M. Edwin Webster (Memphis) for helpful discussions, as well as Prof. Peter Vollhardt for suggesting that alkoxy group elimination might be acid-mediated. Drs. Fred Hollander and Allen Oliver at the UCB X-ray Facility are acknowledged for the crystal structure determinations. This work was supported by the Director, Office of Energy Research, Office of Basic Energy Sciences, Chemical Sciences Division, of the U.S. Department of Energy under Contract DE-AC02-05CH11231.

Supporting Information Available: Experimental procedures, spectral data for unknown compounds, and a comment on the difference in behavior of alkylamino- and alkoxycyclopropanes. This material is available free of charge via the Internet at http://pubs.acs.org.

References

- (1) Activation of Unreactive Bonds and Organic Synthesis; Murai, S., Ed.; Springer: Berlin, 1999.
- (a) Janowicz, A. H.; Bergman, R. G. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1983, 105, 3929. (b) Periana, R. A.; Bergman, R. G. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1986, 108, 7346.
 (c) Burger, P.; Bergman, R. G. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1993, 115, 10462. (d) Tipper, C. F. H. J. Chem. Soc. 1955, 2045. (e) Phillips, R. K.; Puddephatt, R. J. J. Chem. Soc., Dalton Trans. **1978**, 1732. (f) Barrett, A. F. M.; Taudenat, W. J. Org. Chem. **1997**, 62, 7673. (g) Wick, D. D.; Northcutt, T. O.; Lachiotte, R. J.; Jones, W. D. Organometallics 1998, 17, 4484. (h) Wender, Lachiotte, R. J.; Jones, W. D. Organometatucs 1996, 17, 4484. (h) Wender, P. A.; Pedersen, T. M.; Scanio, M. J. C. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2002, 124, 15154. (i) Itazaki, M.; Nishihara, Y.; Osakada, K. J. Org. Chem. 2002, 67, 6889. (j) Bart, S. C.; Chirik, P. J. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2003, 125, 886.
 (a) Bengali, A. A.; Arndtsen, B. A.; Burger, P. M.; Schultz, R. H.; Weiller, B. H.; Kyle, K. R.; Moore, C. B.; Bergman, R. G. Pure Appl. Chem. 1995, 67, 281–288. (b) Klei, S. R.; Golden, J. T.; Burger, P.; Bergman, P. C. L. Mel, Chem. 2002, 129, 70, 044
- R. G. J. Mol. Catal. 2002, 189, 79-94.
- (4) The KIE was determined by competition experiments and analyzing the ratio of deuterated to protiated products.
- (5) The nature and pattern of this H/D scrambling process will be outlined in a future publication.
- (a) Rodriguez, P.; Diaz-Requejo, M. M.; Belderrain, T. R.; Trofimenko, S.; Nicasio, M. C.; Perez, P. J. Organometallics 2004, 23, 2162. (b) Bhalla, (6)G.; Oxgaard, J.; Goddard, W. A., III; Periana, R. A. Organometallics 2005, 4, 5499. (c) Oxgaard, J.; Bhalla, G.; Periana, R. A.; Goddard, W. A., III. Organometallics 2006, 25, 1618.

JA068312A