Effective Synthesis of 2-[4-(3-Methyl-2-butenyl)phenyl]propionic Acid via Hydromagnesation Reaction with Nickel or Titanium Catalysts

NOTES

Takehiro Amano,* Tomomi Ota, Kensei Yoshikawa, Tatsuhiko Sano, Yutaka Ohuchi, Fumie Sato, Manzo Shiono, †† and Yoshiji Fujita†† Research Center, Taisho Pharmaceutical Co. Ltd., Yoshino-cho, Ohmiya, Saitama 330 [†]Department of Chemical Technology, Tokyo Institute of Technology, Meguro-ku, Tokyo 152 ††Central Research Laboratories, Kuraray Co. Ltd., Sakazu, Kurashiki, Okayama 710 (Received December 12, 1985)

Synopsis. 2-[4-(3-Methyl-2-butenyl)phenyl]propionic acid was synthesized in 82% yield via nickel(II) chloride or dichlorobis[n5-cyclopentadienyl]titanium-catalyzed hydromagnesation of 1-(3-methyl-2-butenyl)-4-vinylbenzene obtained in ca. 63-68% yield by the coupling reaction of 4-(3-methyl-2-butenyl)phenylmagnesium chloride with vinyl chloride.

In the course of our synthetic study of analgesic and antiinflammatory drugs, attention was focused on a convenient synthesis of 2-[4-(3-methyl-2-butenyl)phenyl] propionic acid (1).1) As the prenyl moiety of 1 was relatively unstable in acidic media or in the presence of oxygen, the method previously employed¹⁾ required multi-step reactions with troublesome handlings to obtain 1 of a high purity. On the other

hand, it has been well known that the hydromagnesation reaction of styrene with nickel²⁾ or titanium³⁾ catalysts afforded 1-phenylethylmagnesium halides in good yield, which prompted us to apply this reaction to 1-(3-methyl-2-butenyl)-4-vinylbenzene (3). Herein we wish to describe a practical three-step synthesis of 1 starting from 1,4-dichlorobenzene (Scheme).

The coupling reaction of the Grignard reagent of 1-chloro-4-(3-methyl-2-butenyl)benzene (4)4) with vinyl chloride was carried out by using iron(III)5) or nickel(II)6) catalysts (0.4 mol% vs. 4) such as iron(III) acetylacetonate, iron(III) chloride, or [1,3-bis(diphenylphosphino)propane|dichloronickel(II) to obtain 3 in 63, 64, and 68% yield, respectively. Rectification of 3 was carried out under reduced pressure to separate (3-

CI — CI
$$\frac{i) \text{ Mg}}{ii) (\text{CH}_3)_2 \text{C} = \text{CHCH}_2 \text{CI}}$$
 — CI $\frac{i) \text{ Mg}}{ii) \text{ CH}_2 = \text{CHCI}}$ — $\frac{i}{3}$ — $\frac{i}{$

Table 1. Results of the Nickel-Catalyzed Hydromagnesation of 3

Entry	R-MgBra)	Catalysts ^{b)} (mol% vs. 3)	Reaction Temperature/°C	Reaction Time/h	Yield of 5/% ^{c)}
1	i-C ₄ H ₉	NiCl ₂ (5)	17—20	4.25	57
2	C_2H_5	$NiCl_2$ (5)	0	5	51
3	C_2H_5	$NiCl_2$ (5)	15—20	1	52
				3	74
				5	79
4	C_2H_5	$NiCl_2$ (5)	30—40	1	36
5	C_2H_5	$NiCl_2$ (10)	15—20	1	55
6	C_2H_5	NiCl ₂ (2.5)	15—20	2.5	64
7	i - C_4H_9	$NiBr_2$ (5)	15—20	4.5	34
8	i - C_4H_9	$Ni(acac)_2$ (5)	20	4.2	35
9	i - C_4H_9	$NiCl_2(PPh_3)_2$ (5)	20	5.2	6

a) 1.5 Equivalent (vs. 3) of the Grignard reagent was used in THF. b) Ni(acac)2: Nickel(II) acetylacetonate.

c) Determined by GC (OV-1, 1m, 110 °C), using tridecane as the internal standard.

Table 2. Results of the Titanium-Catalyzed Hydromagnesation of 3

Entry	R-MgBr ^{a)}	Solvent	Catalyst ^{b)} (mol% vs. 3)	Reaction Temperature/°C	Reaction Time/h	Yield of 5/% ^{c)}
10	C_3H_7	Et ₂ O	5	30	5	64
11	i - C_3H_7	$\mathbf{Et_2O}$	5	30	12	74
12	C_3H_7	THF	5	50	1	66
	• •				5	90
13	C_3H_7	THF	2.5	50	5	70
14	C_3H_7	THF	10	50	5	58
15	C_3H_7	THF	5	reflux	5	65
16	i - C_3H_7	THF	5	50	5	87
17	t - C_4H_9	THF	5	50	5	40
18	i - C_4H_9	THF	5	50	5	d)

a) 1.22 Equivalent (vs. 3) of the Grignard reagent was used. b) Catalyst: Dichlorobis[75-cyclopentadienyl]titanium. c) Determined by GC (OV-1, 1m, 110 °C), using tridecane as the internal standard. d) Only polymerization was observed.

methyl-2-butenyl)benzene (10%), unreacted 4 (ca. 2%), and a trace amount of 4-(3-methyl-2-butenyl)phenol (ca. 0.2%). Then, the optimum conditions of the hydromagnesation reaction of 3 with nickel(II) catalysts were investigated by measuring the yield of 1-ethyl-4-(3-methyl-2-butenyl)benzene (5) generated from the intermediary Grignard reagents (2a,b) by quenching with water (Table 1). The best yield of 5 was achieved by using ethylmagnesium bromide in the presence of nickel(II) chloride catalyst (5 mol% vs. 3) at 15-20 °C in THF solvent (Entry 3). Variation of the reaction temperature or the amount of catalyst resulted in the decrease of the yield of 5. Grignard reagents (2a,b) prepared according to the procedure of Entry 3, in turn, was reacted with carbon dioxide at -10 °C to obtain 1 in 82% yield with a trace amount of 3-[4-(3-methyl-2-butenyl)phenyl]propionic acid (6, 1.5%).

An alternative method for hydromagnesation of 3 was also studied by using dichlorobis $[\eta^5$ -cyclopentadienyl]titanium catalyst (Table 2). The Grignard reagents (2a,b) prepared according to the best procedure of Entry 12 was reacted with carbon dioxide to obtain 1 in also 82% yield. In this case, however, the undesirable regio-isomer (6) was formed up to 8% yield, which made the purification process troublesome to some extent.

In conclusion, we employed nickel(II) chloride as the catalyst of the hydromagnesation reaction of 3 in large scale experiments and succeeded in developing a practical three-step method for 1 starting from 1,4-dichlorobenzene.

Experimental

The boiling and melting points were uncorrected. The IR spectra were recorded with a Digilab FTS-20 C/D spectrometer. The NMR spectra were taken with a Varian XL-200 (with hexamethyldisiloxane as an internal standard). GC analyses were made by Shimadzu GC-7AG gas chromatograph using a 3 mm×100 cm column of OV-1 on Celite 545 with He as the carrier gas. 1-Chloro-3-methyl-2-butene is commercially available from Kuraray Co. Ltd.,

NIC-division.

1-Chloro-4-(3-methyl-2-butenyl)benzene (4). The procedure previously reported was used. Into a solution of 4-chlorophenylmagnesium chloride in THF (2500 ml), prepared from 1,4-dichlorobenzene (438 g; 3 mol) and magnesium (80 g; 3.3 atom) was added 1-chloro-3-methyl-2-butene (343 g 3.3 mol) at 10—15 °C during 2 h. After stirring for 3 h, the reaction mixture was poured into aqueous ammonium chloride and extracted with ether to obtain 4 (361 g; 1.95 mol) in 65% yield. Bp 64—65.5 °C/0.38 mmHg (1 mmHg=133.322 Pa) [lit, 0 bp 84—88 °C/1.5 mmHg].

1-(3-Methyl-2-butenyl)-4-vinylbenene (3). Into a mixture of magnesium (87.6 g; 3.6 atom) in THF (84 ml) was dropped a solution of 4 (542 g; 3 mol) in THF (300 ml) at 110-120 °C during 2 h under nitrogen atmosphere and the mixture was stirred further for 5 h. Thus obtained viscid Grignard reagent of 4 was immediately diluted to 1.5 M solution (1M=1 mol dm⁻³) by addition of 1630 ml of THF. The Grignard solution was added slowly into a mixture of vinyl chloride (250 g; 4 mol) and iron(III) acetylacetonate (4.5 g; 0.12 mol) in THF (1500 ml) maintaining the reaction temperature at 25-32 °C. After stirring for 2 h, the resulting mixture was poured into aqueous ammonium chloride and extracted with ether. Rectification of the products with a Shibata Fractional Distillation Apparatus Model HPC-A-1500-B(packing column, 70 theoretical plates) gave 3 (325 g; 1.9 mol) in 63% yield with a small amount of lower boiling products [bp 35-58 °C/0.1 mmHg] consisted of (3-methyl-2-butenyl)benzene (41 g: 0.285 mol), unreacted 4 (11 g; 60 mmol), and 4-(3-methyl-2butenyl)phenol (1 g; 6 mmol). Bp 68—70 °C/0.2 mmHg. IR(neat) 2960, 2900, 1625, 1505, 980, 900, 820 cm⁻¹. ¹H NMR(in CDCl₃) δ =1.57—1.73 (m, 6H, 2×CH₃); 3.25 (d, J=7 Hz, 2H, CH₂); 5.0—5.4 (m, 2H, 2×=CH); 5.6 (d, J=18Hz, 1H, =CH), 6.62 (dd, J=11 and 18 Hz, 1H, =CH); 7.0— 7.35 (m, 4H, arom.). Found: C, 90.51; H, 9.42. Calcd. for C₁₃H₁₆: C, 90.64; H, 9.36.

2-[4-(3-Methyl-2-butenyl)phenyl]propionic Acid (1). Nickel-Catalyzed Hydromagnesation: Into a mixture of 3 (258 g 1.5 mol) and nickel(II) chloride (9.8 g; 75 mmol) in THF was slowly added a 1 M solution of ethylmagnesium bromide in THF (2250 ml; 2.25 mol) at 15—20 °C to react for 5 h. The reaction mixture was cooled to ca. -20 °C, and dry gaseous carbon dioxide was passed through the bottom of the apparatus maintaining the temperature below -10 °C. After the exothermic reaction stopped, the reaction mixture was poured into aqueous ammonium

chloride and extracted with diisopropyl ether. The organic layer was extracted with 10% aqueous sodium hydroxide (1200 ml; 3 mol). Then, the aqueous layer was neutralized with 0.5 M sulfuric acid and extracted with hexane. The product was purified by distillation under reduced pressure to obtain 1 (268 g; 1.23 mol) in 82% yield. Bp 130—135 °C/0.1 mmHg. IR (neat) 3450—2500, 1700, 1225, 750 cm⁻¹. ¹H NMR (in CCl₄) δ =1.42 (d, J=7.2 Hz, 3H, CH₃), 1.68; 1.8 (s, 6H, 2×CH₃); 3.20 (d, J=7.2 Hz, 2H, CH₂); 3.54 (q, J=7.2 Hz, 1H, CH); 5.18 (t, J=7.2 Hz, 1H, =CH); 6.80—7.12 (m, 4H, arom.); 11.83 (s, 1H, OH). Found: C, 76.94; H, 8.39. Calcd. for C₁₄H₁₈O₂: C, 77.03; H, 8.31.

The distillation residue was purified by recrystallization from hexane to obtain 3-[4-(3-methyl-2-butenyl)phenyl]-propionic acid **6** (2.2 g; 10 mmol) in 0.7% yield. Mp 61—62 °C. IR (neat) 3300—2550, 1700, 1290, 940, 820 cm⁻¹.

¹H NMR (in CDCl₃) δ =1.72, 1.75 (s, 6H, 2×CH₃); 2.67 (t, J=8 Hz, 2H, CH₂); 2.92 (t, J=8 Hz, 2H, CH₂); 3.32 (d, J=7 Hz, 2H, CH₂); 5.33 (t, J=7 Hz, 1H, =CH); 7.13 (s, 4H, arom.); 10.88 (bs, 1H, OH). Found: C, 76.85; H, 8.35. Calcd. for C₁₄H₁₈O₂: C, 77.03; H, 8.31.

Titanium-Catalyzed Hydromagnesation: Dichlorobis[η⁵-cyclopentadienyl]titanium (75 mg; 0.3 mmol) was added to a mixture of **3** (1 g; 6 mmol) and propylmagnesium bromide in THF (7.2 mmol in 6 ml) at room temperature under argon atmosphere and the mixture was stirred for 5 h

successively. After addition of a small pieces of solid carbon dioxide (ca. 3 g), the resulting mixture was treated as the same manner described before. The products were esterified with diazomethane and analyzed by GC (OV-1, 1 m, 140 °C) using nonadecane as an internal standard. The result showed the formation of 1 in 82% yield and 6 in 8% yield.

References

- 1) T. Amano, J. Sawada, and M. Sasajima, Japan Kokai Patent, 1979-163545; *Chem. Abstr.*, **93**, 26117 (1980).
- 2) L. Farady and L. Marko, J. Organomet. Chem., 28, 159 (1971); T. A. Hilton, Japan Kokai Patent, 1981-97246.
- 3) H. L. Finkbeiner and G. D. Cooper, J. Org. Chem., 27, 3395 (1962); F. Sato, H. Ishikawa, and M. Sato, Tetrahedron Lett., 21, 365 (1980).
- 4) L. B. Jones and J. P. Foster, J. Org. Chem., 35, 1777 (1970).
- 5) M. Tamura and J. K. Kochi, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, **93**, 1487 (1971); S. M. Neumann and J. K. Kochi, *J. Org. Chem.*, **40**, 599 (1975).
- 6) R. J. P. Corriu and J. P. Masse, J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commum., 1972, 144; K. Tamao, K. Sumitani, and M. Kumada, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 94, 4374 and 9268 (1972).