

Available online at www.sciencedirect.com



Mendeleev Communications

Homogeneous azidophenylselenylation of glucals

Yuri V. Mironov, Andrei A. Sherman and Nikolay E. Nifantiev*

N. D. Zelinsky Institute of Organic Chemistry, Russian Academy of Sciences, 119991 Moscow, Russian Federation. Fax: +7 499 135 5328; e-mail: nen@ioc.ac.ru

DOI: 10.1016/j.mencom.2008.09.003

The homogeneous azidophenylselenylation of diversely protected mono-, di- and trisaccharide glucals was studied to evaluate the influence of protective groups, N_3 and I donors, temperature and solvent on the stereoselectivity of the formation of corresponding phenyl 2-azido-2-deoxy-1-seleno- α -D-gluco- and -manno-adducts.

Glycosyl donors bearing an azido group at C-2 are important intermediates for oligosaccharide synthesis especially for the installation of α -galactosamine and α -glucosamine units.¹ Among the known methods² for introduction of the azido group into monosaccharide units, the azidophenylselenylation (APS reaction) of glycals³ represents special interest as it allows the single-step straightforward preparation of phenyl glycosides of corresponding 2-azido-2-deoxy-1-seleno-adducts, which could be directly used as glycosyl donors.

Recently, we reported an efficient protocol⁴ for the APS reaction in which a glycal is treated under homogenous conditions with TMSN₃ (instead of NaN₃ used in others procedures³) and Ph₂Se₂ in the presence of PhI(OAc)₂ in dichloromethane (DCM). This is an efficient stereoselective procedure for the transformation of D-galactals into phenyl 2-azido-2-deoxy-1-seleno- α -D-galactopyranosides, but in the case of triacetylglucal **1a** gave an inseparable mixture of gluco- and mannoisomers 2a and 3a in a ratio of 2.7:1 (Scheme 1). Here we describe the reaction conditions, *i.e.*, solvent, temperature and azide radical source, as well as the nature of blocking groups, as factors influencing stereoselectivity in the APS reaction of glucals. These characteristics are critical for the stereochemistry of the azidonitration of glucals.² In addition, we studied the applicability of APS reaction to the transformation of oligosaccharide glucals as such reactions open a direct way towards corresponding lactosaminyl and 3'-sialyllactosaminyl derivatives like compounds 2m-q, which could be considered as convenient



Scheme 1 APS reaction of glucals 1.

Table 1 APS reactions of triacetylglucal **1a** $(R^1 = R^2 = R^3 = Ac)$.^{*a*}

| Entry | Solvent | T/°C | Azide donor | [I] donor | <i>t/</i> h | 2a:3a ratio | Other products |
|----------|--------------------|-----------|-------------------|-----------------------|-------------|--------------------|------------------|
| 1 | C_6F_6 | 6 | TMSN ₃ | PhI(OAc) ₂ | 12 | 3.2:1 | |
| 2 | PhMe | -10 | TMSN ₃ | $PhI(OAc)_2$ | 18 | 3.0:1 | |
| 3 | CCl ₄ | -10 | TMSN ₃ | PhI(OAc) ₂ | 18 | 2.9:1 | |
| 4 | DCM | -10 | TMSN ₃ | PhI(OAc) ₂ | 4 | 2.7:1 | |
| 5 | EtOAc | -10 | TMSN ₃ | PhI(OAc) ₂ | 18 | 1.5:1 | |
| 6 | THF | -10 | TMSN ₃ | PhI(OAc) ₂ | 8 | 1.3:1 | |
| 7 | MeCN | -10 | TMSN ₃ | PhI(OAc) ₂ | 18 | 1:1 | |
| 8 | DMF | -10 | TMSN ₃ | PhI(OAc) ₂ | 18 | 1:1 | |
| 9 | Et ₂ O | -10 | TMSN ₃ | PhI(OAc) ₂ | 48 | no reaction | |
| 10 | Pr ⁱ OH | -10 | TMSN ₃ | PhI(OAc) ₂ | 48 | no reaction | |
| 11 | DCM | -23 | TMSN ₃ | PhI(OAc) ₂ | 24 | 2.7:1 | |
| 12 | DCM | -40 | TMSN ₃ | PhI(OAc) ₂ | 144 | 2.7:1 | |
| 13 | EtOAc | -23 | TMSN ₃ | PhI(OAc) ₂ | 48 | 1.5:1 | |
| 14 | EtOAc | -40 | TMSN ₃ | PhI(OAc) ₂ | 144 | 1.5:1 | |
| 15 | MeCN | -23 | TMSN ₃ | PhI(OAc) ₂ | 48 | 1:1 | |
| 16 | MeCN | -40 | TMSN ₃ | PhI(OAc) ₂ | 144 | 1:1 | |
| 17 | DCM | -10 | TMSN ₃ | PhI=O | 3 | 2.2:1 | |
| 18^{b} | DCM | -10 | TMSN ₃ | PhI=O | 24 | 2.2:1 | |
| 19 | DCM | -10 | TBAN ₃ | PhI(OAc) ₂ | 12 | 2.1:1 | |
| 20 | DMF | -10 | NaN ₃ | PhI(OAc) ₂ | 24 | 1.9:1 | |
| 21 | DCM | –10→ | 4 | 4 | 48 | no reaction | |
| | | room tem- | | | | | |
| | | perature | | | | | |
| 22 | $C_2H_4Cl_2$ | 78 | 4 | 4 | 3 | | 5:6 , 2:1 |
| 23 | $C_2H_4Cl_2$ | 78 | None | IBA | 3 | | 5:6 , 2:1 |

^{*a*}In all entries the conversion was > 60% as determined by TLC and ¹H NMR spectroscopy. ^{*b*}In entry 17 the reaction was performed according to standard procedure⁴ while in entry 18 PhI=O was added after TMSN₃ that enlarged the reaction time.

synthetic blocks for the assembling of complex oligosaccharide chains.

Commercially available triacetylglucal **1a** was chosen as a first model substrate in our study of APS reactions. The results of experiments under varied conditions are summarized in Table 1. The ratio of *gluco*- and *manno*-adducts **2a** and **3a** was determined by integration of corresponding H-1 signals [6.00 ppm (d, $J_{1,2}$ 3.6 Hz) for **2a**; 5.47 ppm (br. s, $J_{1,2} < 0.5$ Hz) for **3a**]. All APS reactions gave the adducts in a high yield of 75–90% except for several cases noted in Table 1.

The solvent effect was studied first. Thus, the replacement of DCM by a less polar solvent (C_6F_6 , CCl_4 or PhMe) leads to an insignificant increase in the proportion of *gluco*-isomer **2a** (Table 1, entries 1–3), whereas the use of more polar solvents (EtOAc, MeCN and THF) decreased the yield of **2a** (entries 5–8) and increased the formation of **3a**. Solvents such as THF, DMF and PrⁱOH were not inert in the APS reaction (entries 6, 8, 10). The insolubility of reagents in Et₂O prevented the APS reaction

 Table 2 APS reactions of diversely protected glucals.^{a,†}

| Entry | Glucal | \mathbb{R}^1 | R ² | R ³ | Product(s) ratio and/or isolated yield |
|-------|--------|----------------|---------------------|----------------|---|
| 1 | 1b | Ac | -CMe ₂ - | | 2b:3b , 1.7:1 |
| 2 | 1c | Piv | $-CMe_2^{-}$ | | 2c:3c , 1:1 |
| 3 | 1d | TIPS | $-CMe_2-$ | | 2d , 85% |
| 4 | 1e | Bz | Bz | Bz | 2e:3e , 1:1 |
| 5 | 1f | Piv | Piv | Piv | 2f:3f , 1.6:1 |
| 6 | 1g | MCA | MCA | MCA | 2g:3g , 3.5:1 |
| 7 | 1h | Bn | Bn | Bn | 2h : 3h , 1.3:1 |
| 8 | 1i | TMS | TMS | TMS | 2i:3i , 3:1 |
| 9 | 1j | TES | TES | TES | 2j : 3j , 1.5:1 |
| 10 | 1k | TIPS | TIPS | TIPS | 2k , 81% |
| 11 | 11 | TBS | TBS | TBS | 21 , 75% |
| 12 | 1m | Ac | Α | Ac | 2m : 3m , 1:1, 89% |
| 13 | 1n | TMS | Α | TMS | 2n , 78% |
| 14 | 10 | TES | Α | TES | 20 , 87% |
| 15 | 1p | Ac | В | Ac | 2p:3p , 75% |
| 16 | 1q | TES | С | TES | 2q , 69% |

^{*a*}The ratio of *gluco*- and *manno*-adducts were determined by integration of corresponding H-1 signals in ¹H NMR spectra [5.8–6.1 ppm (d, $J_{1,2}$ 4.5–5.5 Hz) for *gluco*-adducts; 5.4–5.7 ppm (br. s, $J_{1,2}$ <0.5 Hz) for *manno*-adducts]. All APS reactions gave the products in total high yield (75–90%, as evaluated by TLC and ¹H NMR spectroscopy) except the cases noted.



(entry 9). The temperature affected the reaction time rather than the ratio of *gluco*- and *manno*-adducts (entries 11–16) both in the nonpolar and polar solvents.

The formation of an azide radical was supposed to be the first step in azidonitration and APS reactions. Therefore, the stereoselectivity of the addition of the azide radical to the double bond controls the ratio of gluco- and manno-products. For the generation of the azide radical, different combinations of the donors of the azide anion and hypervalent iodine reagents could be used (entries 17–20).⁵ Among them PhI(OAc)₂/TMSN₃ is the combination of choice.⁴ Attempts to apply azidoiodinane 4⁶ as a possible source of azide radicals (entry 22) resulted in the formation of an unstable mixture of two adducts, presumably, compounds 5 and 6. β -Gluco-configuration in dominating product and α -manno-configuration in minor one were deduced from the proton coupling constants in the ¹H NMR spectrum.[†] Location of the PhSe group at C-2 of both compounds followed from the unusual high-field position of C-2 signals (46.3 and 46.4 ppm, respectively) in the ¹³C NMR spectrum.[†] Formation of compounds 5 and 6 could be connected with the oxidation of Ph_2Se_2 into PhSe⁺ under the action of a hypervalent iodine reagent, as products 5 and 6 are formed in the presense of o-iodobenzoic acid (IBA) and the absense of an azide donor (entry 23).

Thus, the studied variations of reaction conditions did not reveal any protocol to run APS transformation with higher *gluco*-stereoselectivity than under initially proposed conditions [TMSN₃, Ph₂Se₂, PhI(OAc)₂, DCM, -10 °C]. Therefore, we investigated further the influence of blocking groups on the outcome of the APS reaction. Special attention was paid to glucals with non-acetyl protecting groups based on tendencies observed in azidonitration reactions.^{2(b)}

The results of APS reaction of differently substituted glucals are given in Table 2. 4,6-O-Acetonated substrates with varied protecting groups at O(3) demonstrated the same tendencies in the formation of *gluco-* and *manno-*products **2** and **3**, which were observed in corresponding azidonitration reactions^{2(b)} (entries 1–3). Thus, glucal **1d** with a bulky silyl group at O(3) gave *gluco-*product **2d** stereospecifically and in a high yield

(entry 3). Unfortunately, the known methods for the introduction of other type of 4,6-O-acetal protections into carbohydrates⁷ are not efficient in the case of glucals. Thus, we had to focus on the investigation of homo-3,4,6-tri-O-substituted glucal derivatives **1e–l**, which could be prepared from **1a** in a high yield.⁸ The APS reaction proceeded non-selectively when an electrondonating substituent was present in the acyl O-protecting group (entry 4 in Table 1 *vs.* entries 4 and 5 in Table 2). The same results were obtained in the case of alkyl substitutents at O(3) (entry 7).

In the case of tri-O-silylated glucals, the corresponding *gluco*products were formed stereospecifically when the bulky TIPS

1p: ¹H NMR, δ: 6.26 (br. d, 1H, H-1, $J_{1,2}$ 6.0 Hz), 5.50 (m, 1H, H-8"), 5.38 (dd, 1H, H-7", $J_{7"6"}$ 2.2 Hz, $J_{7"8"}$ 9.1 Hz), 5.36 (d, 1H, H-4', $J_{4,3}$ 3.2 Hz), 5.11 (m, 2H, H-2', NH), 4.92 (dd, 1H, H-3', $J_{3,2}$ 9.1 Hz, $J_{3,4}$ 3.0 Hz), 4.80 (m, 1H, H-4"), 4.57 (dd, 1H, H-2, $J_{2,1}$ 6.0 Hz, $J_{2,3}$ 2.0 Hz), 4.44 (d, 1H, H-1', $J_{1,2}$ 8.0 Hz), 4.40 (m, 1H, H-3), 4.37 (m, 1H, H-6A), 4.20 (m, 4H, H-6'A, H-6'B, H-9A", H-9B"), 4.03 (m, 2H, H-6B, H-5"), 3.81 (m, 1H, H-5'), 3.71 (s, 3H, Me), 3.70 (m, 2H, H-4, H-5), 3.55 (d, 1H, H-6", $J_{6,7}$ 2.1 Hz), 2.47 (dd, 1H, H-3_{eq}, $J_{3,3}$ 12.5 Hz, $J_{3,4}$ 4.3 Hz), 1.68 (t, 1H, H-3_{eq}, $J_{3,3} = J_{3,4} = 12.3$ Hz), 1.90–2.25 (m, 30H, Ac). ¹³C NMR, δ: 169.5–171.2 (C=O), 163.4 (C=O), 143.1 (C-1), 101.5 (C-2), 100.4 (C-1'), 97.3 (C-2") 77.9, 75.3, 75.2, 74.0, 72.8, 71.9, 71.6, 71.5, 70.5, 68.9, 66.1, 64.4, 62.5, 61.8, 53.9 (C-5"), 39.2 (C-3"), 19.3–21.7 (Ac).

1q: ¹H NMR, δ: 6.21 (br. d, 1H, H-1, $J_{1,2}$ 6.2 Hz), 4.41 (d, 1H, H-1', $J_{1,2}$ ·7.9 Hz), 4.03 (m, 2H), 3.80–3.97 (m, 10H), 3.85 (m, 1H, H-2), 3.79 (m, 1H, H-3), 3.71–3.77 (m, 5H), 2.43 (dd, 1H, H-3_{eq}, $J_{3,3}$ 12.8 Hz, $J_{3,4}$ 4.1 Hz), 1.75 (t, 1H, H-3_{eq}, $J_{3,3}$ = $J_{3,4}$ = 12.9 Hz), 0.80–1.10 (m, 135H, Et). ¹³C NMR, δ: 142.3 (C-1), 100.4 (C-2), 100.0 (C-1'), 98.3 (C-2''), 74.0, 73.4, 71.6, 71.5, 71.2, 71.0, 70.5, 70.1, 69.8, 66.5, 63.1, 62.8, 60.1; 57.1, 53.0, 39.2 (C-3'').

2d: ¹H NMR, δ : 7.20–7.70 (m, 5H, Ar), 5.96 (d, 1H, H-1, $J_{1,2}$ 5.2 Hz), 4.06 (dd, 1H, H-3, $J_{3,2}$ 9.2 Hz, $J_{3,4}$ 9.6 Hz), 4.17 (dd, 1H, H-6, $J_{6,5}$ 4.9 Hz, $J_{6,6}$ 11.7 Hz), 4.12 (t, 1H, H-3, $J_{3,2} = J_{3,4} = 9.3$ Hz), 3.84 (dd, 1H, H-2), 3.80 (br. d, 1H, H-6B, $J_{6A,6B}$ 11.5 Hz), 3.58 (t, 1H, H-4, $J_{4,3} = J_{4,5} = 9.2$ Hz), 1.05 (m, 21H, Prⁱ). ¹³C NMR, δ : 137.6 (*ipso*-Ph), 126.7–131.0 (Ar), 101.6 (Me₂CH), 85.3 (C-1), 82.2 (C-4), 72.6 (C-3), 68.5 (C-6), 67.1 (C-2), 65.5 (C-5), 29.2, 19.0, 18.1, 12.8.

2k: ¹H NMR, δ : 7.25–7.80 (m, 5H, Ar), 5.93 (d, 1H, H-1, $J_{1,2}$ 4.5 Hz), 4.19 (m, 1H, H-5), 4.07 (m, 1H, H-6A), 4.02 (br. d, 1H, H-2, $J_{2,1}$ 4.7 Hz), 4.00 (m, 1H, H-4), 3.95 (m, 1H, H-3), 3.77 (m, 1H, H-3), 0.90–1.10 (m, 63H, Prⁱ). ¹³C NMR, δ : 127.0–132.2 (Ar), 85.2 (C-1), 80.5, 70.3, 64.9, 62.8, 59.9, 18.0 (Me), 12.6, 12.5, 12.2 (Prⁱ).

2I: ¹H NMR, δ : 7.20–7.70 (m, 35H, Ar), 5.89 (d, 1H, H-1, $J_{1,2}$ 4.7 Hz), 4.21 (m, 1H, H-5), 4.07 (m, 1H, H-6A), 3.99 (br. d, 1H, H-2, $J_{2,1}$ 4.7 Hz), 3.95 (m, 1H, H-4), 3.73 (2H, H-3, H-6B) 0.70–0.90 (3s, 27 H, Bu'). ¹³C NMR, δ : 127.0–135.2 (Ar), 85.7 (C-1), 79.3, 70.3, 64.5, 62.7, 60.1, 26.7–26.9 (Me), 19.1, 18.1, 15.2 (Bu').

2m: ¹H NMR, δ : 7.25–7.75 (m, 5H, Ar), 6.01 (d, 1H, H-1, $J_{1,2}$ 5.1 Hz), 5.36 (d, 1H, H-4', $J_{4,3}$ 3.2 Hz), 5.10 (m, 2H, H-2', H-3), 4.90 (dd, 1H, H-3', $J_{3,2}$ 9.2 Hz, $J_{3,4}$ 3.1 Hz), 4.48 (d, 1H, H-1', $J_{1,2}$ 8.0 Hz), 4.40 (m, 1H, H-6A), 4.15 (m, 2H, H-6'A, H-6'B), 4.06 (m, 1H, H-6), 3.83 (m, 1H, H-5'), 3.72 (m, 2H, H-4, H-5), 3.55 (dd, 1H, H-2, $J_{2,1}$ 5.1 Hz, $J_{2,3}$ 9.1 Hz), 2.00–2.20 (6s, 18H, Ac). ¹³C NMR, δ : 169.3–170.9 (C=O), 137.6 (*ipso*-Ph), 126.6–129.8 (Ar), 101.0 (C-1'), 83.2 (C-1), 74.0, 71.5, 71.5, 70.8, 70.5, 67.4, 66.4, 63.1, 61.7, 60.7, 19.9–22.1 (Ac).

2n: ¹H NMR, δ : 7.25–7.75 (m, 5H, Ar), 6.01 (d, 1H, H-1, $J_{1,2}$ 5.1 Hz), 5.36 (d, 1H, H-4', $J_{4,3}$ 3.2 Hz), 5.10 (m, 2H, H-2', H-3), 4.90 (dd, 1H, H-3', $J_{3,2}$ 9.2 Hz, $J_{3,4}$ 3.1 Hz), 4.48 (d, 1H, H-1', $J_{1,2}$ 8.0 Hz), 4.40 (m, 1H, H-6A), 4.15 (m, 2H, H-6'A, H-6'B), 4.06 (m, 1H, H-6), 3.83 (m, 1H, H-5'), 3.72 (m, 2H, H-4, H-5), 3.55 (dd, 1H, H-2, $J_{2,1}$ 5.1 Hz, $J_{2,3}$ 9.1 Hz), 2.00–2.20 (6s, 18H, Ac). ¹³C NMR, δ : 169.3–170.9 (C=O), 137.6 (*ipso*-Ph), 126.6–129.8 (Ar), 101.0 (C-1'), 84.2 (C-1), 74.2, 71.5, 71.3, 70.1, 70.4, 67.7, 65.2, 63.1, 61.4, 60.8, 19.9–22.1 (Ac).

20: ¹H NMR, δ : 7.20–7.70 (m, 5H, Ar), 6.00 (d, 1H, H-1, $J_{1,2}$ 5.1 Hz), 4.41 (d, 1H, H-1', $J_{1,2'}$ 7.8 Hz), 4.03 (dd, 1H, H-6A, $J_{6A,5}$ 4.0 Hz, $J_{6A,6B}$ 11.8 Hz), 3.81–3.92 (m, 7H, H-2', H-3', H-4', H-5', H-6B, H-6A', H-6B'), 3.80 (m, 2H, H-2, H-3), 3.73–3.77 (m, 2H, H-4, H-5), 0.90–1.00 (m, 36H, CH₂), 0.50–0.70 (m, 54H, Me). ¹³C NMR, δ : 126.5–131.0 (Ar), 101.2 (C-1'), 82.2 (C-1), 74.0, 71.6, 71.5, 70.8, 70.5, 68.9, 66.5, 63.1, 62.0, 60.8.

[†] For typical procedure of APS reaction see ref. 4.

and TBS substituents (entries 10, 11) were used. The smaller silyl protections (TMS and TES groups) provided remarkably lower stereoselectivity (entries 8, 9), but the portion of *gluco*-product was higher in the case of substrate **1i** with the TMS substituent.

2p: ¹H NMR, δ : 7.20–7.70 (m, 5H, Ar), 5.99 (d, 1H, H-1, $J_{1,2}$ 5.2 Hz), 5.50 (m, 1H, H-8"), 5.38 (dd, 1H, H-7", $J_{7",6"}$ 2.2 Hz, $J_{7",8"}$ 9.1 Hz), 5.36 (d, 1H, H-4', $J_{4,3}$ 3.2 Hz), 5.11 (m, 2H, H-2', NH), 4.92 (dd, 1H, H-3', $J_{3,2}$ 9.1 Hz, $J_{3,4}$ 3.0 Hz), 4.80 (m, 1H, H-4'), 4.57 (dd, 1H, H-2, $J_{2,1}$ 6.0 Hz, $J_{2,3}$ 2.0 Hz), 4.44 (d, 1H, H-1', $J_{1,2}$ 8.0 Hz), 4.40 (m, 1H, H-3), 4.37 (m, 1H, H-6A), 4.20 (m, 4H, H-6'A, H-6'B, H-9A", H-9B"), 4.03 (m, 2H, H-6B, H-5"), 3.81 (m, 1H, H-5'), 3.72 (s, 3H, OMe), 3.70 (m, 2H, H-4, H-5), 3.55 (d, 1H, H-6", $J_{6,7}$ 2.1 Hz), 2.47 (dd, 1H, H-3_{eq}, $J_{3,3}$ 12.5 Hz, $J_{3,4}$ 4.3 Hz), 1.68 (t, 1H, H-3_{eq}, $J_{3,3} = J_{3,4} = 12.3$ Hz), 1.90–2.25 (m, 30H, Ac). ¹³C NMR, δ : 126.5–131.0 (Ar), 126.5–131.0 (Ar), 100.4 (C-1'), 97.3 (C-2") 83.2 (C-1), 77.9, 75.3, 75.2, 74.0, 72.8, 71.9, 71.6, 71.5, 70.5, 68.6, 65.7, 63.2, 62.7, 62.3, 61.2 (C-2), 54.9 (C-5"), 39.9 (C-3"), 19.3–21.6 (Ac).

2q: ¹H NMR, δ : 7.20–7.70 (m, 5H, Ar), 5.95 (d, 1H, H-1, $J_{1,2}$ 5.0 Hz), 4.02 (m, 2H), 3.94 (m, 1H, H-2), 3.81–3.96 (m, 10H), 3.79 (m, 1H, H-3), 3.72–3.78 (m, 5H), 2.42 (dd, 1H, H-3_{eq}, $J_{3,3}$ 12.8 Hz, $J_{3,4}$ 4.1 Hz), 1.75 (t, 1H, H-3_{eq}, $J_{3,3} = J_{3,4} = 12.9$ Hz), 0.80–1.10 (m, 135H, Et). ¹³C NMR, δ : 133.1–127.4 (Ar), 100.4 (C-2″), 100.3 (C-1′), 98.1 (C-2″), 84.1 (C-1), 74.0, 73.2, 71.5, 71.0, 70.5, 70.1, 69.1, 66.3, 63.1, 62.4, 60.1, 56.2, 53.0, 39.2 (C-3″), 10.4–12.7 (Et), 0.4–2.9 (Et).

3m: ¹H NMR, δ: 7.20–7.70 (m, 5H, Ar), 5.72 (d, 1H, H-1, $J_{1,2}$ 1.4 Hz), 5.37 (d, 1H, H-4', $J_{4',3'}$ 3.5 Hz), 5.32 (dd, 1H, H-3, $J_{3,2}$ 9.0 Hz, $J_{3,4}$ 3.2 Hz), 5.15 (dd, 1H, H-2', $J_{2',1'}$ 8.0 Hz, $J_{2',3'}$ 10.0 Hz), 4.97 (dd, 1H, H-3', $J_{3',2'}$ 10.0 Hz, $J_{3',4'}$ 3.4 Hz), 4.55 (d, 1H, H-1', $J_{1',2'}$ 8.0 Hz), 4.36 (m, 3H, H-6A, H-6'A, H-6'B), 4.30 (m, 1H, H-2), 4.05 (m, 2H, H-5', H-6B), 3.93 (m, 2H, H-4, H-5), 2.00–2.20 (6s, 18H, Ac). ¹³C NMR, δ: 169.6–170.9 (C=O), 137.5 (*ipso*-Ph), 126.5–131.0 (Ar), 101.2 (C-1'), 82.2 (C-1), 74.0, 71.6, 71.5, 70.8, 70.5, 68.9, 66.5, 63.1, 62.0, 60.8, 19.6–22.0 (Ac).

3p: ¹H NMR, δ : 7.20–7.70 (m, 5H, Ar), 5.99 (d, 1H, H-1, $J_{1,2}$ 5.2 Hz), 5.50 (m, 1H, H-8'), 5.38 (dd, 1H, H-7'', $J_{7''6''}$ 2.2 Hz, $J_{7''8''}$ 9.1 Hz), 5.36 (d, 1H, H-4', $J_{4,3}$ 3.2 Hz), 5.11 (m, 2H, H-2', NH), 4.92 (dd, 1H, H-3', $J_{3,2}$ 9.1 Hz, $J_{3,4}$ 3.0 Hz), 4.80 (m, 1H, H-4'), 4.57 (dd, 1H, H-2, $J_{2,1}$ 6.0 Hz, $J_{2,3}$ 2.0 Hz), 4.44 (d, 1H, H-1', $J_{1,2}$ 8.0 Hz), 4.40 (m, 1H, H-3), 4.37 (m, 1H, H-6A), 4.20 (m, 4H, H-6'A, H-6'B, H-9A'', H-9B''), 4.03 (m, 2H, H-6B, H-5''), 3.81 (m, 1H, H-5'), 3.72 (s, 3H, OMe), 3.70 (m, 2H, H-4, H-5), 3.55 (d, 1H, H-6'', $J_{6,7}$ 2.1 Hz), 2.47 (dd, 1H, H-3_{eq}, $J_{3,3}$ 12.5 Hz, $J_{3,4}$ 4.3 Hz), 1.68 (t, 1H, H-3_{eq}, $J_{3,3} = J_{3,4} = 12.3$ Hz), 1.90–2.25 (m, 30H, Ac). ¹³C NMR, δ : 169.6–171.4 (C=O), 126.5–131.0 (Ar), 101.5 (C-2), 100.4 (C-1'), 97.3 (C-2''), 75.3, 75.2, 74.0, 72.8, 71.9, 71.6, 71.5, 70.8, 70.5, 68.9, 66.1, 64.4, 62.5, 62.8, 60.0 (C-2), 53.9 (C-5''), 39.1 (C-3''), 19.3–21.7 (Ac).

5 (in the mixture with **6**, see entries 22 and 23, Table 1): ¹H NMR, δ : 7.10–8.10 (m, 4H, Ar), 5.81 (d, 1H, H-1, $J_{1,2}$ 9.4 Hz), 5.17 (t, 1H, H-3, $J_{3,2} = J_{3,4} = 9.1$ Hz), 5.08 (t, 1H, H-4, $J_{4,3} = J_{4,5} = 9.1$ Hz), 4.30 (m, 1H, H-6), 4.06 (dd, 1H, H-6', $J_{5,6}$ 2.2 Hz, $J_{6',6}$ 12.5 Hz), 3.74 (ddd, 1H, H-5, $J_{5,4}$ 8.9 Hz, $J_{5,6}$ 2.3 Hz, $J_{5,6'}$ 4.6 Hz), 3.41 (t, 1H, H-2, $J_{2,1} = J_{2,3} = 9.2$ Hz), 1.95–2.20 (3s, 9H, Ac). ¹³C NMR, δ : 170.0–174.2 (C=O), 127.8–141.8 (Ar), 94.4 (C-1), 93.4 (C-I), 72.4 (C-5), 72.2 (C-3), 69.2 (C-4), 61.7 (C-6), 46.3 (C-2), 20.7 (Ac).

6 (in the mixture with **5**, see entries 22 and 23, Table 1): ¹H NMR, δ : 7.10–8.10 (m, 4H, Ar), 6.68 (d, 1H, H-1, $J_{1,2}$ 1.5 Hz) 5.55 (m, 2H, H-3, H-4), 4.45 (m, 1H, H-6), 4.20 (m, 2H, H-5, H-6), 4.08 (dd, 1H, H-2, $J_{2,1}$ = 1.8 Hz, $J_{2,3}$ 3.8 Hz), 1.95–2.20 (3s, 9H, Ac). ¹³C NMR, δ : 170.0–174.2 (C=O), 127.8–141.8 (Ar), 95.6 (C-1), 94.6 (C-I), 71.6 (C-5), 70.7 (C-4), 66.6 (C-3), 46.4 (C-2), 20.7 (Ac). Similarly to tri-O-acetylated **1a**, the APS transformations of peracetylated di- and trisaccharide derivatives $1m^9$ and 1p were non-stereoselective (entries 12, 15), but the transformation of their per-O-silylated analogues 1n,¹⁰ 10^{10} and 1q gave only *gluco*-products **2n,o,q** contrary to the corresponding mono-saccharide analogues **1i** and **1j** (entries 8, 9).

Synthesis of glucals **1p** and **1q** using known procedures^{11–14} will be published elsewhere.

In conclusion, we have demonstrated that the stereoselectivity in the APS reaction of glucals depends on O-protecting groups in the glucal that gives the possibility to direct to a certain extent the stereochemical result of the reaction towards the formation of either *gluco-* or *manno-*products.

This work was supported by the Russian Foundation for Basic Research (grant no. 07-03-00225). A.A.S. acknowledges the support of INTAS (YS fellowship grant no. 03-55-1026). We are grateful to Yu. E. Tsvetkov, L. O. Kononov and A. I. Zinin for helpful discussions and to A. S. Shashkov and A. A. Grachev for recording the NMR spectra.

References

- 1 J. Boullanger and D. Lafont, Chem. Rev., 1992, 92, 1167.
- (a) R. U. Lemieux and R. M. Ratcliffe, *Can. J. Chem.*, 1979, **57**, 1244;
 (b) P. H. Seeberger, S. Rochrig, P. Schell, Y. Wang and W. J. Christ, *Carbohydr. Res.*, 2000, **328**, 61;
 (c) N. V. Bovin, S. E. Zurabyan and A. Ya. Khorlin, *Carbohydr. Res.*, 1981, **98**, 25;
 (d) A. Vassella, Ch. Witzig, J.-L. Chiara and M. Martin-Lomas, *Helv. Chim. Acta*, 1991, **74**, 2073.
- (a) M. Tingoli, M. Tiecco, D. Chianelli, R. Balducci and A. Temperini, J. Org. Chem., 1991, 56, 6809; (b) M. Tingoli, M. Tiecco, L. Testaferri and A. Temperini, J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun., 1994, 1883; (c) S. Czernecki, E. Ayadi and D. Randriamandimby, J. Org. Chem., 1994, 59, 8256; (d) S. Czernecki and D. Randriamandimby, Tetrahedron Lett., 1993, 34, 7915; (e) F. Santoyo-Gonzales, F. G. Calvo-Flores, P. Garcia-Mendoza, F. Hernandez-Mateo, J. Isac-Garcia and R. Robles-Diaz, J. Org. Chem., 1993, 58, 6122.
- 4 Yu. V. Mironov, A. A. Sherman and N. E. Nifantiev, *Tetrahedron Lett.*, 2004, 45, 9107.
- 5 (a) P. J. Stang and V. V. Zhdankin, *Chem. Rev.*, 1996, **96**, 1123; (b) V. V. Zhdankin and P. J. Stang, *Chem. Rev.*, 2002, **102**, 2523.
- 6 V. V. Zhdankin, C. J. Kuehl, A. P. Krasutsky, M. S. Formaneck and J. T. Bolz, *Tetrahedron Lett.*, 1994, 35, 9677.
- 7 D. J. Chambers, G. R. Evans and A. J. Fairbanks, *Tetrahedron Lett.*, 2003, **44**, 5221 and references cited therein.
- 8 J.-P. Lellouche and S. Koeller, J. Org. Chem., 2001, 66, 693.
- 9 W. N. Haworth, E. L. Hirst, H. R. L. Streight, H. A. Thomas and J. I. Webb, J. Chem. Soc., 1930, 2636.
- 10 K. K.-C. Liu and S. J. Danishefsky, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 1993, 115, 4933.
- 11 E. A. Khatuntseva, O. N. Yudina, Yu. E. Tsvetkov, A. A. Grachev, R. N. Stepanenko, R. Ya. Vlasenko, V. L. Lvov and N. E. Nifantiev, *Izv. Akad. Nauk, Ser. Khim.*, 2006, 2016 (*Russ. Chem. Bull., Int. Ed.*, 2006, 55, 2095).
- 12 B. R. Castro, Org. React., 1983, 29, 1.
- 13 J. Broddefalk, U. Nilsson and J. Kihlberg, J. Carbohydr. Chem., 1994, 13, 129.
- 14 A. A. Sherman, O. N. Yudina, B. S. Komarova, Yu. E. Tsvetkov, S. Iacobelli and N. E. Nifantiev, *Synthesis*, 2005, 1783.

Received: 16th July 2008; Com. 08/3182