Unexpected C₃ Arylation Of 3,4,6-tri-O-methyl-2-C-formylglycals : A Simple Route To 3-C-arylhexoses

C. Booma and K.K. Balasubramanian

Department of Chemistry Indian Institute of Technology Madras - 600 036, India

ABSTRACT : Unusual regiochemistry in the nucleophilic reaction of substituted 2-C-formylglycals with phenols under Lewis acid catalysis leading to 3-C-arylhexoses is reported.

C-Arylglycosyl compounds have received wide attention in recent years particularly since the isolation of new classes of antitumor compounds such as ravidomycin, vineomycin etc.,¹. A simple route for C-arylglycosides is the direct C-alkylation of phenols at the anomeric carbon of carbohydrates bearing a good leaving group² or Lewis acid catalysed³ or transition metal complex promoted⁴ 'O' to 'C' rearrangement of O-arylglycosides. Prompted by these reports, we explored the possibility of a concise synthesis of C-arylglycosides by Michael addition of phenols to the readily available 2-C-formylglycals⁵.

Our initial attempts to effect a Michael reaction between tri-O-methyl-2-C-formylglycal 1 and phenols under standard conditions^{6,7} were unsuccessful. Even after refluxing in chlorobenzene as such or in the presence of triethylamine, no reaction was observed. Hence, we investigated the acid-catalysed⁸ Michael addition of phenols to 1 expecting the formation of a C-glycoside by C-arylation at the anomeric carbon. In the event, we encountered an unexpected reversal of reactivity at the C₃ and C₁ centres in 2-C-formylglycal 1, leading to a stereoselective route for the synthesis of hitherto unknown 3-C-arylglycals which we report in this communication. Thus, treatment of a mixture of 1 and p-cresol in dichloromethane with BF₃.Et₂O for 48 hours at room temperature, gave a viscous liquid which was purified by column chromatography (Scheme 1).



3049

The product 2a analysed for $C_{16}H_{20}D_{15}$ and its IR spectrum showed bands at 3310 cm⁻¹ (OH), 1620 cm⁻¹ and 1660 cm⁻¹ (-C=C-CHO) indicating the presence of phenolic and α , β -unsaturated aldehyde units. Upon acetylation, 2a afforded a solid monoacetate (m.p. 80°C). An examination of the ¹H nmr and ¹³C nmr spectra of the product revealed the incorporation of p-cresol molety in addition to the intact formylglycal unit. The ¹H nmr spectrum displayed signals at δ 9.4(1H, s, CHO), 8.1(1H, bs, OH), 7.7(1H, s, H₁), 6.5(2H, m, H₄' and H₆'), 6.0(1H, d, J=7.3Hz, H₃'), 4.6(1H, s, H₄), 4.2(1H, dd, J_{5,6a}=5.9Hz, J_{5,6b}=8.8Hz, H₅), 3.8(1H, dd, J_{5,6b}=8.8Hz, J_{6a,6b}=11.8Hz, H_{6b}), 3.65(1H, s, H₃), 3.5(1H, dd, J_{5,6a}=5.9Hz, J_{5,6b}=8.8Hz, H₆), 3.35 & 3.55(6H, 2s, OMe) and 2.1(3H, s, Me). It is evident that this product was neither the expected 'C' nor the 'O' Michael adduct or any of the allylic rearrangement products². The loss of one of the -OCH₃ groups, the persistant formylglycal unit and the spectral data suggested its structure to be 4,6-di-O-methyl-1,5-anhydro-3-C-(2'-hydroxy-5'-methylphenyl)-2-C-formyl-2,3-dideoxy-D-xylo-hex-1-enitol 2a.

The assigned structure was also in accordance with the ¹³C nmr spectrum which showed signals at δ 192.13(d), 167.66(d), 152.07(s), 128.30(d), 128.26(d), 127.97(s), 124.33(s), 118.12(s), 114.66(d), 76.01(d), 74.89(d), 72.26(t), 59.30(q), 57.28(q), 31.61(d), 20.56(q). Particularly significant was the signal at δ 31 in the ¹³C nmr spectrum of 2a, not observed in 1, which could be unequivocally assigned to C₃ (Ar-<u>C</u>) while the signals at δ 190, 167 and 118 correspond to the formylglycal moiety. The pseudo-axial orientation of the aryl group at C₃ in 2a was established by ¹H nmr coupling constants : J_(3e.4e) \simeq 0; for comparison, in 1 J_(3a,4e) = 3.7 Hz.

The structure of 2a was further confirmed by the following transformations: selective reduction of 2a with NaBH₄ gave 4 whose ¹H nmr spectrum showed the olefinic proton at $\delta 6.8$, typical of a glycal anomeric proton whereas it is normally observed around $\delta 5.5-6.0$ for a 'Ferrier' product². Subsequent reduction of 4 with 5% Pd-C/H₂ furnished 5, a product of both hydrogenation and hydrogenolysis, as a liquid whose spectral data were in accordance with the assigned structure. From the ¹H nmr spectral data given below, it is evident that 5 exists in the alternate chair (¹C₄) conformation⁶ (Scheme 2).



For 5, relevant ¹H nmr spectral data are $\delta 0.7(3H, d, J=6.35Hz, Me)$, 2.29(4H, m, H_2 and aromatic Me), 2.81(1H, t, J=11.2Hz, H_3), 3.22(3H, s, OMe), 3.5(5H, m, H_4 , H_{1a} and OMe), 3.6(1H, dd, $J_{5,6a}$ =2.9Hz, $J_{6a,6b}$ =10.7Hz, H_{6a}), 3.7(1H, dd, $J_{1e,2}$ =4.9Hz, $J_{1e,1a}$ =11.7Hz, H_{1e}). Partially decoupled ¹³C nmr spectrum of 5 showed two triplets at $\delta 66.2$ and 67.12 which confirmed that the double bond is located only between C_1 and C_2 in the unreduced compound 4.

Extension of this reaction to various phenols yielded the corresponding 3-C-aryl derivatives 2b-d (Table 1). Monitoring the reaction in the case of p-cresol and 1 by tlc and nmr did not show any evidence for the intermediacy of 'O' Michael adduct or 'O' Ferrier product. In the case of the reaction of p-chlorophenol with 1, it was possible to isolate another product by column chromatography 3, albeit in minor amount, in addition to the 3-C-chlorophenyl product 2d. The spectral data of 3 indicated it to be 4,6-di-O-methyl-1,5-anhydro-3-O-(4'-chlorophenyl)-2-C-formyl-2deaxy-D-xylo-hex-1-enitol. Exposure of 3 to $BF_3.Et_2O$ in CH_2Cl_2 converted it to 2d. Treatment of the C_3 epimer of 1, viz., 6 with $BF_3.Et_2O$ also afforded only 2a and not the product of S_N^2 substitution (Scheme 3).

In the light of these findings, it can be suggested that the reaction probably goes through the Lewis acid catalysed formation of allylic cation, followed by preferential attack of phenol from the axial side at C3 through oxygen and a subsequent 'O' to 'C' migration assisted by BF3.Et20. A competitive direct Carylation at C_q of the allyl cation by the phenol cannot be excluded with the data on hand,

Entry	Compound No.	R	R'	Yield [*] (%)	[a] _D , CHCl ₃
1	2 a	н	Ме	50	+197.98(c,1.02)
2	2 b	Н	OMe	55	+162.68(c,1.05)
3	2 c	н	Et	50	+146.86(c,0.65)
4	2 d	Н	C1	40	+142.72(c,1.35)
5	2 e	OMe	Me	55	+161.55(c,1.62)

All compounds gave satisfactory spectral and analytical Represents isolated yield. data



Table 1

It may be noted here that related glycals such as 2-C-cvanoglycals⁶ and 2-Carvlsulfonvlglvcals⁷ have not been studied under Lewis acid catalyzed Michael The unusual reactivity at C2 in 2-C-formylglycals is in remarkable conditions. contrast to the known reactivity pattern of glycals² which show pronounced tendency to undergo nucleophilic attack at the anomeric carbon in preference to C₂, under Lewis Also, seldom -OCH, has been reported to be a leaving group in acid catalysis. such reactions. most of the reactions having been carried out only on the corresponding O-acetyl derivatives². Infact. tri-O-methylgalactal itself was found to be quite unreactive when treated with p-cresol under the above reaction conditions, in the present study. There are hardly any reports on 3-C-arylcarbohydrates¹⁰. In view of the growing interests on C-arylglycosides, and of the paucity of reports on the isomeric 3-C-arvlhexoses¹¹, our present observations provide a stimulus to explore the chemistry of the 3-C-arvlhexopyranoses.

Acknowledgements : We are grateful to the Department of Science and Technology for financial assistance, R.S.I.C., I.I.T., Madras is acknowledged for spectral data. Our heartfelt thanks are due to Dr.K. Vijayakumaran for useful discussions.

References

- 1. (a) Imamura, N.; Kakinuma, K.; Tanaka, H.; Ikekawa, N.; Omura, S. Chem., Pharm. Bull., 1981, 29, 1788-1790.
 - Imamura, N.; Kakinuma, K.; Ikekawa, N.; Tanaka.; Omura, S., J. Antibiot., (b) 1981, 34, 1517-1518.
 - Pandey, R.C.; Tritch III, H.R.; Misra, R., J. Antibiot., 1985, 1280-1282. (c)
 - Sehgal, S.N.; Czerkawski, H.; Kudelski, A.; Pandev, K.; Saucier, R.; Vezina, C., J. Antibiot., 1983, 36, 359-361. For extensive references to C-glycosides see Carbohydrate Res. 1987, 171 (d)
 - (e) 1987. 171. 1-345.
- (f)
- Coburn, C.A.; Parker, K.A., J. Am. Chem. Soc., 1991, 223, 8516-8518. Belicchi, M.F.; Fara, G.; Zetta, L.; Rassu, G.; Cornia, M.; Casiraghi G., 2. (a) Tetrahedron Lett., 1988, 29, 3323-3326.
- (b) Zamajoski, A.; Grynkiewicz, G.; Z. Naturforsh., 1980, 35b, 1024.
 3. Kometani, J.; Kondu, H.; Fujimori, Y.; Synthesis, 1988, 1005-1006.
- 4. (a) Matsumoto, T.; Hosoya, T.; Suzuki, K.; Tetrahedron Lett., 1990, 31. 4629-4632 and references cited therein.
 - Zamajoski, T.; Hosoya, T.; Suzuki, K., Syn. Lett., 1991, 709-711. (b)
- 5. Ramesh, N.G.; Balasubramanian, K.K., Tetrahedron Lett., 1991, 33, 3875-3878.
- 6. Jordaan, A.; De Villers, O.G.; Brink, A.J.; Bischofberger, K.; Hall, R.H., J. Chem. Soc., Perkin, Trans., 1979, 781-786. Takai, T.; Yamamoto, A.; Lizuka, H.; Hirasawa, K.; Sakakibara, T., Tetrahedron
- 7. Lett., 1990, 31, 3749-3752. 8. (a) Surmatis, J.D.; Walser, A.; Gibas, J.; Thommon, R., J. Org. Chem., 1970.
- 35, 1053-1056.
 - (b) VanAllan, A.J.; Reynolds, G.A., J. Org. Chem., 1968, 33, 1102-5.
 - (c) For a report on TiCl,-Catalysed anomeric C-alkylation of hex-1-enopyran-3uloses with enol-silyl ether see, Kunz, H.; Muller, B.; Weissmüller, J., Carbohydrate Res., 1987, 171, 25-34.
- 9. Serino, A.J.; Eusuke, J.N.; Dunkerton, L.V., Carbohydrate Res., 1987, 171, 89-107.
- 10. Achab, S.; Das, B.C., J. Chem. Soc., Perkin. Trans. I., 1991, 727-732.
- 11. Bemiller, J.N.; Grynkiewicz, G., Carbohydrate Res., 1982, 108, C1-C4.

(Received in UK 3 February 1992)