

# Electrochemical Induced Regio- and Stereoselective Difunctionalization of Alkynes: The Synthesis of (*E*)- $\beta$ -lodovinyl Sulfones

Xinghua Zhang,<sup>\*[a, b]</sup> Danna Lu,<sup>[a]</sup> and Zhenwei Wang<sup>\*[a]</sup>

An efficient, green, and oxidant-free electrochemical method for alkyne difunctionalization was described. Using undivided electrochemical cell for iodosulfonylation of alkynes with commercially available iodide radical (Nal), arylsulfonyl radical (ArSO<sub>2</sub>NHNH<sub>2</sub>) sources, a variety of (*E*)- $\beta$ -iodovinyl sulfones were obtained in one-pot with wide substrate scope, excellent regioand stereoselectivity under mild and ecofriendly conditions.

# Introduction

Alkyne iodosulfonylation is a powerful strategy for synthesizing  $\beta$ -iodovinyl sulfone compounds that are interesting for rapid construction of diverse functional groups in biologically active molecules (Scheme 1).<sup>[1]</sup> Typically, the direct difunctionalization of alkynes could provide a straightforward method for achieving scaffold diversity in organic transformations, which involves the formation of two new vicinal chemical bonds.<sup>[2]</sup> In this context, the choice of suitable iodine and sulfonyl sources for the formation of C-I/C-S bonds is the key factor in achieving these molecular skeleton. Traditional strategies for the synthesis of  $\beta$ -iodovinyl sulfone often proceed via a reaction between terminal alkyne and sulfinate (or sulfonyl hydrazide) promoted by iodide source, such as NIS,  $I^-$  or  $I_2$ , which requires stoichiometric chemical oxidant or high reaction temperature (Scheme 2, eq 1).<sup>[1d,3]</sup> Obviously, the use of sacrificial reagent inevitably leads to decreased atom economy of the overall chemical transformation, and not accord with the increased environmental requirements.

Notably, Organic electrochemistry provide new opportunities for the construction of C–X bonds via the replacement of common reagents by electricity.<sup>[4]</sup> Recent advances have shown that electric current can be used to induce reduction and oxidation reactions, and difunctionalization of alkenes can be achieved through electrochemical anodic oxidation in the absence of oxidizing agents.<sup>[5,6]</sup> Inspired by the above elegant

| [a] | Dr. X. Zhang, D. Lu, Z. Wang   |
|-----|--|
|     | School of Chemical and Environmental Engineering,  |
|     | Shanghai Institute of Technology,  |
|     | 100 Hai-Quan Road, Shanghai 201418, China  |
|     | E-mail: xhzhang@sit.edu.cn   |
|     | wangzhenwei@sit.edu.cn   |
| [b] | Dr. X. Zhang   |
|     | Institute of Drug Discovery Technology,  |
|     | Ningbo University,   |
|     | Ningbo 315211, China   |
|     | Supporting information for this article is available on the WWW under https://doi.org/10.1002/ejoc.202100505 |



Scheme 1. Application of  $\beta$ -iodovinyl sulfones.

previous work: Alkyne iodosulfonylation promoted by I7 oxidant

$$R^{1} \longrightarrow + \operatorname{ArSO}_{2}X \xrightarrow{\operatorname{NIS}/I^{-}/I_{2}}_{\operatorname{Oxidant}} R^{1} \xrightarrow{\operatorname{SO}_{2}Ar} (1)$$
  

$$R^{1} = \operatorname{aryl}: X = \operatorname{Na}, H, \operatorname{NHNH}_{2}$$

Oxidant: H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub>, TBHP, BPO, PhI(OAc)<sub>2</sub>, peroxydisulfate...

this work: Electrochemical induced alkyne iodosulfonylation

$$R^{1} = R^{2} + ArSO_{2}NHNH_{2} \xrightarrow{\text{Nal}} R^{1} \xrightarrow{R^{2}} R^{2} \qquad (2)$$

$$R^{1} R^{2} = arvl alkyl H$$

Scheme 2. Strategies for the synthesis of  $\beta$ -iodovinyl sulfones.

works, we envisioned that whether the alkyne iodosulfonylation can be achieved under sustainable electrochemical oxidative conditions.

## **Results and Discussion**

We thus started to explore the practicability of the proposed route as shown in Scheme 2, eq 2. Initially, p-toluenesulfonyhydrazide (**1 a**) and phenylacetylene (**2 a**) were chosen as the model substrates in an undivided cell equipped with a pair of platinum-plate electrodes ( $10 \text{ mm} \times 10 \text{ mm} \times 0.1 \text{ mm}$ ) in the presence of iodine source. As shown in Table 1, after extensive experiments, we identified that the optimized conditions comprised the use of chloroform (CHCl<sub>3</sub>) and water (v/v 1/1) as cosolvent, Nal (1.4 equiv) as iodine source and electrolyte under a constant current (40 mA). The expected product **3 a** was obtained in an optimal 88% yield along with nitrogen and hydrogen as major byproducts after the reaction proceeded at ambient temperature for 5 h (Table 1, entry 1). Other iodine sources, such as *n*-Bu<sub>4</sub>NI and Nal, were also examined and the

 Eur. J. Org. Chem. 2021, 1-5
 Wiley Online Library
 1

 These are not the final page numbers!
 T

Communications doi.org/10.1002/ejoc.202100505

| Table 1. Optimization of reaction condition. <sup>[a]</sup>   |                                     |                          |  |  |
|---|-------------------------------------|--------------------------|--|--|
| TsNHNH <sub>2</sub> + $H$ + Nal $\frac{Pt(+)IPt(-), 40 \text{ mA}}{rt, 5 \text{ h}, CHCl_3/H_2O}$ $H$ |                                     |                          |  |  |
| 1a  | 2a                                  | 3a                       |  |  |
| Entry   | Variation from the stand conditions | Yield [%] <sup>[b]</sup> |  |  |
| 1   | None                                | 88                       |  |  |
| 2   | KI instead of Nal                   | 81                       |  |  |
| 3   | Bu₄NI instead of Nal                | 87                       |  |  |
| 4   | MeCN instead of CHCl <sub>3</sub>   | 24                       |  |  |
| 5   | THF instead of CHCl <sub>3</sub>    | 39                       |  |  |
| 6   | 20 mA instead of 40 mA              | 30                       |  |  |
| 7   | 60 mA instead of 40 mA              | 65                       |  |  |
| 8   | 2.8 equiv. of Nal was used          | 63                       |  |  |
| 9   | 2.8 equiv. of 1a was used           | 73                       |  |  |
| 10  | 3 h instead of 5 h                  | 9                        |  |  |
| 11  | 8 h instead of 5 h                  | 12                       |  |  |
| 12  | No electric current                 | N.D.                     |  |  |

[a] Reaction conditions: 1a (0.5 mmol), 2a (0.7 mmol), Nal (0.7 mmol),  $CHCl_3/H_2O = 1:1$  (3 mL); Pt (10 mm × 10 mm × 0.1 mm) anode and cathode, undivided cell, rt, 5 h; [b] Isolated yield.

results indicated that all iodine salts could conduct this reaction smoothly (Table 1, entries 2-3). Replacement of CHCl<sub>3</sub> with other solvents (MeCN or THF) gave inferior results (entries 4-5). Alteration of the electric current, reaction time and the ratio of terminal alkyne 2a and Nal did not enhance the coupling efficiency (entries 6-11). Control experiment showed that without constant current no desired product 3a was detected (Table 1, entry 12), indicating the electric current is crucial to this transformation.

With the optimal reaction conditions in hand, the substrate scope of the reaction with regard to arylsulfonohydrazide 1 and alkynes 2 was explored, and the results are summarized in Table 2. Generally, the para- and meta- substituted aromatic terminal alkynes bearing electron-donating (n-butyl, tert-butyl, methoxyl or methyl) or electron-withdrawing groups (fluor-, chloro- or bromo-) on the benzene ring readily coupled with ptoluenesulfonylhydrazide 1a and Nal under the constant current, affording the desired products 3a-3i in 71-88% yields. As for the sterically hindered substrates, such as internal alkyne 2j and 2k, the steric hindrance did not significantly affect the reaction efficiency, high regioselective (*E*)- $\beta$ -iodovinyl sulfones 3j and 3k were obtained in 79 and 73% yields, respectively. Furthermore, the heterocyclic compound 3-ethynylthiophene 21 was successfully employed, providing the corresponding iodosulfonylation product 31 in 60% yield and excellent stereoselectivity. It should be noted that the aliphatic terminal alkyne 1m, which showed lower reactivity in difunctionalization reactions, was also suitable for the reaction, leading to the desired product 3 m in 41 % yield.

Next, we explored the compatibility of the sulfonyl reagents. Substituted arylsulfonohydrazides with Br-, Cl-, CF<sub>3</sub>-, CH<sub>3</sub>O- and t-Bu- groups reacted with 2a to provide products 3n-3s in 71-82% yields regardless of their different electronic properties (Table 2, 3n-3s). Unfortunately, the steric hindrance had a negative influence on the reaction. For instance,  $\beta$ -iodovinyl sulfone 3t was isolated in only 44% yield due to the ortho-



Chemistry Europe

European Chemical Societies Publishing

[a] Reaction conditions: for entries 3a-3v, 1 (0.5 mmol), 2 (0.7 mmol), Nal (0.7 mmol), CHCl<sub>2</sub>/H<sub>2</sub>O = 1:1 (3 mL); Pt (10 mm × 10 mm × 0.1 mm) anode and cathode, undivided cell, rt, 5 h; for entry 3w, 1 (0.5 mmol), 2 (1.4 mmol), Nal (1.4 mmol),  $CHCl_3/H_2O = 1:1$  (3 mL), Pt (10 mm  $\times$  10 mm  $\times$  0.1 mm) anode and cathode, undivided cell, rt, 5 h.

substituted steric effect of 2,4,6-trimethylbenzenesulfonyl hydrazide (Table 2, 3t). Besides, introducing heteroaryl and polycyclic aromatic substituents into sulfonyl hydrazides also gave the coupling products 3u and 3v in moderate yields. Notably, the bis-coupling product 3w from bis-substituted arylsulfonohydrazides 1 w was obtained in 75% yield (Table 2, 3w). As only E isomer of 3w was obtained by X-ray diffraction analysis (CCDC No. 2055072), the regio- and stereoselectivity of the reaction was unambiguously confirmed.

With the aim of evaluating the scalability of the reaction, further study was conducted on a gram scale and the result revealed that the electrolytic model reaction could be performed with 77% yield under slightly modified reaction conditions (Scheme 3).

To shine light on the reaction mechanism, some control experiments were performed. As shown in Scheme 4, desired

www.eurjoc.org These are not the final page numbers! 77 7%



TsNHNH<sub>2</sub> + Ph 
$$\rightarrow$$
 + Nal  $\xrightarrow{Pt(+)IPt(-), 40 \text{ mA}}_{rt, 24 \text{ h}, CHCl_3 / H_2O}$   $\downarrow \xrightarrow{Ph}_{H}$  Ts  
5 mmol 7 mmol 7 mmol Isolated yield: 1.48 g, 77

**Scheme 3.** Electrochemical gram-scale synthesis of (E)- $\beta$ -iodovinyl sulfone.



Scheme 4. Mechanistic studies.

product **3a** was not detected under the standard condition when 1.0 equiv. of radical-trapping reagent, 2,2,6,6-tetramethylpiperidinooxy (TEMPO), was added into the system (Scheme 4a), which indicated that the reaction might proceed via a radical pathway. In addition, using stoichiometric amount of  $I_2$ as the iodine source greatly decreased the reaction efficiency (20% yield, Scheme 4b) and no iodosulfonylation occurred in the absence of current (Scheme 4c). These results illustrated that iodine radical was likely to be involved in the reaction process and the electric current played a critical role in this difunctionalization.

Based on the mechanistic studies and preceding literature,<sup>[7]</sup> a plausible radical-based pathway is presented for the electrochemical synthesis of (*E*)- $\beta$ -iodovinyl sulfones **3 a**. As shown in Scheme 5, the active catalytic species, iodine radical, was generated via the oxidation of iodine ions in the anodic electrode and then reacted with arylsulfonyl hydrazide **1 a** to achieve the radical intermediate A, which further oxidated by iodine radical in two steps to afford arylsulfonyl radical D, accompanied by the loss of molecular HI and N<sub>2</sub>. In the



Scheme 5. Proposed mechanism.

meantime, the molecular HI further underwent oxidation to regenerate the iodide radical and release of H<sup>+</sup>. Next, radical coupling occurred between arylsulfonyl radical D and alkyne **2a** to produce radical intermediate E, which is easily captured by iodine radical to afford the target product **3a**. At the cathode, reduction took place to H<sup>+</sup>, providing molecular H<sub>2</sub> to fulfill the electrochemical cycle.

#### Conclusions

In conclusion, we developed an efficient electrochemical iodosulfonylation of alkynes under oxidant-free condition. This protocol features a broad substrate scope, chemical oxidant free, excellent regio- and stereoselectivity and gram-scale synthesis. Further detailed mechanistic studies and applications based on this efficient transformation are underway in our laboratory.

#### **Experimental Section**

**Typical procedure for synthesis of (E)-β-iodovinyl sulfones.** Compound 1, sulfonylhydrazide (0.5 mmol), compound 2, alkyne (0.7 mmol), Nal (0.7 mmol) and CHCl<sub>3</sub>/H<sub>2</sub>O (3 mL, v/v=1:1) were charged into a 20 mL glass tube without exclusion of air. The tube was equipped with platinum plates (10 mm×10 mm×0.1 mm) as both the anode and cathode. The constant current (40 mA) electrolysis was carried out for 5 hours at room temperature. Then, saturated sodium thiosulfate solution was added to quench the reaction and the mixture was extracted with ethyl acetate (5.0 mL× 3). The organic phases were combined and dried with anhydrous MgSO<sub>4</sub>, purified by silica gel column chromatography (ether/ethyl acetate as eluent) to afford the corresponding product **3**. The identity and purity of products were confirmed by <sup>1</sup>H, <sup>13</sup>C, HRMS and X-ray single crystal diffractometer spectroscopic analysis.

Deposition Number 2055072 (for 3 w) contains the supplementary crystallographic data for this paper. These data are provided free of charge by the joint Cambridge Crystallographic Data Centre and Fachinformationszentrum Karlsruhe Access Structures service www.ccdc.cam.ac.uk/structures.

#### Acknowledgements

This work was supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (21871182).

## **Conflict of Interest**

The authors declare no conflict of interest.

**Keywords:** Electrochemically catalyzed · Difunctionalization · lodosulfonylation · Regioselectivity · Stereoselectivity

 a) R. J. Reddy, J. J. Kumar, A. H. Kumari, *Eur. J. Org. Chem.* 2019, 3771– 3775; b) X.-X. Gu, M.-H. Xie, X.-Y. Zhao, Y. Sun, W. Zhang, F.-D. Xie, S.-W.

Eur. J. Org. Chem. 2021, 1–5 www.eurjoc.org 3 These are not the final page numbers!



Wang, *Chin. J. Chem.* **2008**, *26*, 1625–1629; c) R. J. Reddy, J. J. Kumar, A. H. Kumari, G. R. Krishna, *Adv. Synth. Catal.* **2020**, *362*, 1317–1322; d) Y. Sun, A. Abdukader, D. Lu, H. Zhang, C. Liu, *Green Chem.* **2017**, *19*, 1255–1258; e) Y. Gao, W. Wu, Y. Huang, K. Huang, H. Jiang, *Org. Chem. Front.* **2014**, *1*, 361–364.

- [2] a) Q. Lu, J. Zhang, G. Zhao, Y. Qi, H. Wang, A. Lei, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2013, 135, 11481–11484; b) M. T. Koeritz, R. W. Burgett, A. A. Kadam, L. M. Stanley, Org. Lett. 2020, 22, 5731–5736; c) S. Liu, X. Zeng, B. Xu, Org. Chem. Front. 2020, 7, 1690–1695; d) S. Guo, D. I. AbuSalim, S. P. Cook, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2019, 58, 11704–11708; Angew. Chem. 2019, 131, 11830–11834; e) M.-H. Huang, W.-J. Hao, G. Li, S.-J. Tu, B. Jiang, Chem. Commun. 2018, 54, 10791–10811.
- [3] a) Y. Gao, W. Wu, Y. Huang, K. Huang, H. Jiang, Org. Chem. Front. 2014, 1, 361–364; b) P. Katrun, S. Chiampanichayakul, K. Korworapan, M. Pohmakotr, V. Reutrakul, T. Jaipetch, C. Kuhakarn, Eur. J. Org. Chem. 2010, 5633–5641; c) X. Li, X. Xu, X. Shi, Tetrahedron Lett. 2013, 54, 3071–3074; d) C. Tong, B. Gan, Y. Yan, Y.-Y. Xie, Synth. Commun. 2017, 47, 1927–1933; e) Y. Ma, K. Wang, D. Zhang, P. Sun, Adv. Synth. Catal. 2019, 361, 597–602; f) W.-H. Bao, W.-W. Ying, X.-D. Xu, G.-D. Zhou, X.-X. Meng, W.-T. Wei, Y.-Y. Liu, Q. Li, Tetrahedron Lett. 2019, 60, 55–58; g) Y. Hou, L. Zhu, H. Hu, S. Chen, Z. Li, Y. Liu, P. Gong, New J. Chem. 2018, 42, 8752–8755; h) L. Yang, D. Hu, L. Wei, Y. Liu, Phosphorus Sulfur Silicon Relat. Elem. 2017, 192, 1301–1304.

- [4] M. Yan, Y. Kawamata, P. S. Baran, Chem. Rev. 2017, 117, 13230–13319.
- [5] a) T.-T. Zhang, M.-J. Luo, Y. Li, R.-J. Song, J.-H. Li, Org. Lett. 2020, 22, 7250–7254; b) M.-W. Zheng, X. Yuan, Y.-S. Cui, J.-K. Qiu, G. Li, K. Guo, Org. Lett. 2018, 20, 7784–7789; c) C. Wan, R.-J. Song, J.-H. Li, Org. Lett. 2019, 21, 2800–2803; d) Y. Yuan, Y. Cao, Y. Lin, Y. Li, Z. Huang, A. Lei, ACS Catal. 2018, 8, 10871–10875; e) X. Zhang, T. Cui, Xi. Zhao, P. Liu, P. Sun, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2020, 59, 3465–3469; Angew. Chem. 2020, 132, 3493–3497.
- [6] a) J. Wen, W. Shi, F. Zhang, D. Liu, S. Tang, H. Wang, X.-M. Lin, A. Lei, Org. Lett. 2017, 19, 3131–3134; b) Q. Sun, L. Li, L. Liu, Q. Guan, Y. Yang, Z. Zha, Z. Wang, Org. Lett. 2018, 20, 5592–5596.
- [7] a) Y. Wang, P. Qian, J.-H. Su, Y. Li, M. Bi, Z. Zha, Z. Wang, *Green Chem.* 2017, *19*, 4769–4773; b) K. Subramanian, S. L. Yedage, B. M. Bhanage, *J. Org. Chem.* 2017, *82*, 10025–10032; c) Y. Wang, L. Ma, M. Ma, H. Zheng, Y. Shao, X. Wan, *Org. Lett.* 2016, *18*, 5082–5085.

Manuscript received: April 23, 2021 Revised manuscript received: May 20, 2021 Accepted manuscript online: May 26, 2021

# COMMUNICATIONS



An efficient method for electrochemical iodosulfonylation of alkynes was developed under oxidant-free condition. This procedure affords valuable  $\beta$ -iodovinyl sulfones with broad substrate scope, excellent regio- and stereoselectivity. Moreover, this facile protocol can be applied to gram-scale synthesis of (*E*)- $\beta$ -iodovinyl sulfone. Dr. X. Zhang\*, D. Lu, Z. Wang\*

1 – 5

Electrochemical Induced Regioand Stereoselective Difunctionalization of Alkynes: The Synthesis of (E)- $\beta$ -lodovinyl Sulfones