# New products

# Synthesis and microbiological activity of new 1,5-diarylpyrroles

Marcello SCALZO<sup>1</sup>, Mariangela BIAVA<sup>1</sup>, Felice CERRETO<sup>1</sup>, Giulo Cesare PORRETTA<sup>1</sup>, Salvatore PANICO<sup>2</sup> and Nicola SIMONETTI<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Dipartimento di Studi di Chimica e Tecnologia delle Sostanze Biologicamente Attive, and <sup>2</sup>Istituto di Microbiologia, Facoltà di Farmacia, Università La Sapienza, Rome, Italy

(Received June 16, 1987, accepted June 6, 1988)

1,5-diarylpyrroles / anti-microbiological activity

### Introduction

In our previous papers [1-4], we reported the synthesis of analogues of anti-fungal antibiotic pyrrolnitrin 1 [5-9] and we also suggested a general method to prepare 1,4- or 1,5-diarylpyrroles starting from ethylacetoacetate and N-(4-nitrophenacyl)arylamines. Finally, we have described [4] the synthesis and anti-microbial activity of 2 and 3.



The microbiological data showed that 2 exhibited only a moderate anti-mycotic activity but 3, on the contrary, showed unexpected anti-bacterial and anti-mycotic activities.

In particular, 3i showed a very interesting microbiological activity. These results and the small amount of published data on the anti-bacterial and anti-mycotic activities shown by some natural pyrrole derivatives suggested that we should undertake research on 1,5-diarylpyrroles to improve their microbiological activity. Then we decided to study many derivatives of general formula 4 with the following structural modifications: 1) the introduction in the *N*-phenyl ring of various substituents which could enhance

anti-microbial activity, e.g.,  $CF_3$  group, bromine or fluorine atoms; 2) amide groups from *N*-methylpiperazine again, long chain alkyl amines and arylalkyl amines to study if the lipophilic character of the compounds influences markedly the anti-microbial activity as reported by Hansch [10].



#### Chemistry

Ethyl 1-aryl-2-methyl-5-(4-nitrophenyl)pyrrole-3-carboxylates 8—13 (Scheme 1), prepared as in [1], were used as starting material. Compounds 8—13 were transformed by sulfuryl chloride [1] into corresponding chloroderivative 14—20. Hydrolysis of esters 8—20 [1] furnished the corresponding acids 21—30. Reaction of corresponding acid chlorides with the appropriate amines [4] gave the amide 31—68 in quite good yield.

The structures of derivatives 8-68 were supported by elemental analyses and spectral data. The IR and NMR spectra are in agreement with the proposed structures.



Scheme 1.

#### Microbiological assays

Derivatives 21—68 were tested for their *in vitro* anti-fungal activities against *Candida albicans* and various strains of *Candida sp.* Derivatives 21—30 were also tested for the

in vitro anti-bacterial activities against Gram-positive and Gram-negative bacteria. Pyrrolnitrin was used as positive control. The minimum inhibitory concentration (MIC) was determined using the method of progressive double dilutions in solid media [11]. Data were recorded after 36 h (fungi) or 18 h (bacteria) of incubation at 37°C. For the experiments, the substances were dissolved in dimethyl sulfoxide (DMSO) (5 mg/ml); further dilution in the test medium furnished the required concentration, generally in the range of  $0.1-200 \ \mu g/ml$ .

The cultures were obtained on sabouraud (B.B.L.) for fungi and on BHI (B.B.L.) for bacteria after 18 h of incubation at 37°C. Tests were carried out using sabouraud agar (B.B.L.) and Muller---Hinton agar (B.B.L.). Inocula

Table I. Anti-mycotic activity of pyrrolnitrin and compounds 21–68 against 30 strains of *Candida albicans* and 18 strains of *Candida* sp. at pH = 7.2.

Compound	Microorganism									
	Candida .	albicans		Candida sp.						
	R %	$n\overline{X}$ (µg/ml)	range (µg/ml)	R %	$n\overline{X}$ ( $\mu$ g/ml)	range (μg/ml)				
Pyrrolnitrin		47.04	0,4100		60.2	0.2—100				
21	90	116.66	50>200	100		>200				
22	66	95.45	25>200	67	150	100 - > 200				
23	74	68.75	25>200	83	225	25 - 200				
24	93	37.5	25->200	100		> 200				
25	93	75	50 - > 200	100		>200				
26	11	98.21	12.5 - > 200	100		>200				
21	90	100	50 - > 200	100	200	>200				
20	80	125.00	12.5 - >200	50	200	200>200				
30	84	120.05	23 - 200	100		>200				
31	04	84 37	25 200	100	127 5	>200				
32	90	200	20 - 200	100	137.5	> 200				
33	63	185 71	100 - > 200	60	100	>200				
34	78	200	200 - > 200	100	100	>200				
35	87	72.9	$6.25 \rightarrow 200$	100		> 200				
36		48.97	3.12-100	100	80.31	1.56-100				
37	100		>200	100		>200				
38	100		>200	100		>200				
39	74	83.33	25>200	100		>200				
40	17	157.33	50>200	83	200	200>200				
41	~	114.84	3.12-200		150.52	3.12-200				
42	87	68.74	3.12 - > 200	100		> 200				
43	84	104.16	12.5 - > 200	100		>200				
44	100	100	100->200	100		>200				
45	100	101.09	>200	100	116.6	>200				
40	57	101.08	25-100	100	116.6	50200				
47	97	141.25	12.3 - 200	100		>200				
49	65	200	200 > 200	83	200	>200 > 200				
50	17	200	200 - 200	83	200	200 - > 200				
51	22	118.40	6.25 - > 200	66	200	200 - 200				
52	91	100	100 - > 200	100	200	>200 > 200				
53	84	200	200->200	100		>200				
54	87	50	50>200	100		>200				
55	87	133.3	100 - 200	100		>200				
56	4	64.28	25-200	100	162.5	25200				
57	30	162.5	100 - 200	83	200	200 - 200				
58	91	200	200 - > 200	100		>200				
59 60	84	67.84	0.4->200	100		>200				
61	90 12	150	100 > 200	100	200	>200				
62	13 74	124.10	12.3 - > 200	85	200	200>200				
63	100	140.00	0.4— <i>≥2</i> 00 >200	100		200>200				
64	87	133 33	100>200	100		>200				
65	07	150	25-200	20	200	>200 200_ \ <b>2</b> 00				
66	5	175	25—>200	20	56.25	200-200				
67	14	170	50->200	100	50.20	>200				
68	24	45.62	3.12->200	100		>200				

were  $10^4$  for bacteria and  $10^3$  for *Candida*. Media *MIC* values  $(n\overline{X})$  and R% were calculated as previously reported [12].

The following species of fungi and bacteria isolated from various clinical specimens were tested: 30 Candida albicans, 2 Candida parapsilosis, 2 Candida glabra, 2 Candida pseudotropicalis, 1 Candida krusei, 5 Candida guillermondii, 1 Candida macedoniensis, 1 Candida melinii, 2 Candida langeronii, 2 Candida wiswanathii, 3 Enterobacter sp., 13 Staphylococcus sp., 6 E. coli, 2 Salmonella typhi, 2 Salmonella infantis, 1 Salmonella paratyphi A, 1 Salmonella paratyphi B, 1 Salmonella enteritis, 1 Serratia sp., 3 Providencia, 3 Proteus mirabilis, 1 Corynebacterium sp., 9 Klebsiella.

# **Results and Discussion**

#### Anti-mycotic activity

The results of anti-fungal screening are presented in Table I. Compounds 51, 68 and 67 exhibited moderate activity against *Candida albicans* and 66 showed an interesting activity against the same strains. A good activity only against *Candida albicans* was found for 65, while 56 showed a moderate activity against *Candida albicans* but a good activity against *Candida sp*. The most active derivatives against *Candida albicans* and *Candida sp*. were 31, 36, 41 and 46. The remaining treated compounds showed a poor anti-fungal activity.

Table II.

Compounds	NMR δ ppm	IR cm <sup>-1</sup>
31, 36, 41, 46, 51, 56	2.13—2.23 (s, 3H, N—CH <sub>3</sub> ) 2.36—2.43 (s, 3H, CH <sub>3</sub> pyrrole)	3300—3320 (NH); 1600—1620 (C=O)
	2.50–2.60 (m, 4H, $-N$ N– $CH_3$ ) CH <sub>2</sub> – $CH_2$	
	3.78–3.88 (m, 4H, $-N$ N –CH <sub>2</sub> N –CH <sub>3</sub> ) $\sim CH_2$ –CH <sub>2</sub> /	
	6.63—6.70 (s, 1H, pyrrole) 7.10—8.30 (m, Ar protons)	
32, 37, 42, 47, 52, 57, 61	0.80–0.90 (m, 3H, (CH <sub>2</sub> ) <sub>4</sub> – <i>CH</i> <sub>3</sub> ) 1.33–1.40 (m, 8H, CH <sub>2</sub> – <i>(CH<sub>2</sub></i> ) <sub>4</sub> –-) 2.40–2.46 (s, 3H, <i>CH</i> <sub>3</sub> pyrrole) 3.46–3.60 (m, 2H, NH– <i>CH</i> <sub>2</sub> –-) 6.01–6.13 (t, $J = 5$ cps, 1H, <i>NH</i> – <i>CH</i> <sub>2</sub> –-) 6.80–7.04 (s, 1H, pyrrole) 7.10–8.30 (m, Ar protons)	3300—3320 (NH); 1600—1620 (C=O)
33, 38, 43, 48, 53, 58, 62	0.80-0.90 (m, 3H, $(CH_2)_{10}$ CH <sub>3</sub> ) 1.25-1.30 (m, 20H, $CH_2$ $(CH_2)_{10}$ ) 2.40-2.46 (s, 3H, CH <sub>3</sub> pyrrole) 3.40-3.46 (m, 2H, NHCH <sub>2</sub> ) 5.95-6.00 (t, J = 5 cps, 1H, NHCH <sub>2</sub> ) 6.80-7.04 (s, 1H, pyrrole) 7.10-8.30 (m, Ar protons)	3300—3320 (NH); 1600—1620 (C=O)
34, 35, 39, 40, 44, 45, 49, 50, 54, 55, 59, 60, 63, 64	2.33–2.46 (s, 3H, $CH_3$ pyrrole) 4.50–4.66 (d, J = 6 cps, 2H, NH– $CH_2$ –) 6.33–6.76 (t, J = 5 cps, 1H, $NH$ – $CH_2$ –) 6.67–6.80 (s, 1H, pyrrole) 7.10–8.30 (m, Ar protons)	3300—3320 (NH); 1600—1620 (C=O)
65, 68	2.13—2.23 (s, 3H, N— $CH_3$ ) 2.36—2.43 (s, 3H, $CH_3$ pyrrole)	3300—3320 (NH); 1600—1620 (C=O)
	2.50–2.60 (m, 4H, N $CH_2-CH_2$ $CH_2-CH_2$ N–CH <sub>3</sub> )	
	3.78–3.88 (m, 4H, N $CH_2$ – $CH_2$ N– $CH_3$ ) $CH_2$ – $CH_2$ /	
	7.10-8.30 (m, Ar protons)	

Table III.



									-
Compound	R	R <sub>1</sub>	R <sub>2</sub>	R <sub>3</sub>	R 4	R <sub>5</sub>	Yield %	M.p. °C	
8	OEt	н	н	н	F	н	60	147-148 (a)	
9	OEt	н	н	н	Br	н	70	186-188 (a)	
10	OEt	н	н	CF3	н	н	75	123-125 (a)	
11	OEt	н	C1	н	н	CF 3	80	122-124 (a)	
12	OEt	н	н	сı	н	сı	78	138-140 (a)	
13	OEt	н	н	cı	сı	н	82	161-163 (a)	
14	OEt	C1	н	н	F	н	45	127-130 (a)	
15	OEt	Cl	н	н	Br	н	48	181-184 (a)	
16	ŰÉt	c1	н	CF 3	н	н	47	54-55 (a)	
17	OEt	Cl	C1	н	н	CF 3	40	86-88 (a)	
18	OEt	cı	н	C1	н	c1	42	74-75 (a)	
19	OEt	Cl	н	C1	Cl	સ	50	63-65 (a)	
20	OEt	C1	<b>c</b> 1	н	Cl	н	51	154-155 (a)	
21	он	н	н	н	F	н	51	<b>&gt;</b> 330 (b)	
22	он	н	н	н	Br	н	53	283-285 (b)	
23	он	н	н	CF 3	н	н	49	229-231 (a)	
24	ОН	н	C1	н	н	CF	50	240-241 (b)	
25	он	н	н	C1	н	3 C1	55	302-304 (a)	

# Anti-bacterial activity

The anti-bacterial screening was carried out only for compounds 21-30 in agreement with our previous observations [4], which showed that amide derivatives did not possess anti-bacterial activity. Only compounds 24, 25, 28, 29 and 30 showed a weak activity against strains of *Staphylococcus sp.* while all tested compounds were inactive against strains of Gram-negative and Gram-positive bacteria.

From data reported in Table I and observations of activity against *Staphylococcus sp.*, we can derive the following structure—activity relationships: 1) the introduction in the *N*-phenyl ring of a  $CF_3$  group, fluorine or bromine atoms, or a second chlorine atom does not markedly affect the anti-bacterial and anti-fungal activities; 2) the amide derivatives are more active than corresponding acid compounds but only the *N*-methylpiperazinyl substituents must be regarded as fundamental to antifungal activity. This is in agreement with our previous observation [4] and probably depends upon the more evident basic character that the structure undertakes when the *N*-methylpiperazinyl substituent is present. Indeed

26	он	ң	н	C1	C1	н	60	270-273 (a)
27	ОН	сı	н	н	F	н	47	253-254 (a)
28	он	cı	н	н	Br	н	51	288-290 (a)
29	он	C1	H	C1	н	C1	45	225-227 (a)
30	он	с1	C1	н	Cl	н	48	242-244 (a)
31	CH3-N	н	н	н	F	н	50	154-155 (c)
32	C_HNH	н	н	н	F	н	70	176-177 (c)
33	C H SNH	н	н	н	F	н	40	159-160 (c)
34	CH_NH	н	н	н	F	н	75	209-210 (c)
35	C1 CH_NH	н	н	н	F	н	45	206-207 (c)
36	CH <sub>3</sub> -N	н	н	н	Br	н	51	195-196 (c)
37	C_H_3NH	н	H	н	Br	н	70	196-197 (c)
38	C12H25NH	н	н	н	Br	н	40	152-153 (c)
39	CH-CH-NH	н	н	н	Br	н	75	199-200 (c)
40	с1-0-сн <sub>2</sub> NH	н	н	н	Br	н	48	225-226 (c)
41	CH3-N	н	н	CF3	н	н	50	184-185 (c)
42	с <sub>6</sub> н <sub>13</sub> NH	н	н	CF 3	н	н	75	151-152 (c)
43	C <sub>12</sub> H <sub>2</sub> 5 <sup>NH</sup>	н	н	CF 3	н	н	40	119-120 (c)
44	CH <sub>2</sub> NH	н	н	CF 3	H	н	60	189-190 (c)
45	с1-0-сн <sub>2</sub> лн	н	н	CF3	н	н	45	205-207 (c)
46	CH3-NN	н	С1	п	н	CF 3	51	215-216 (c)
47	C6 <sup>H</sup> 13 <sup>NH</sup>	н	C1	Н	Ħ	CF 3	78	118-119 (c)
48	C12H25NH	н	C1	н	н	CF 3	45	159-160 (c)
49	CH <sub>2</sub> NH	н	C1	н	н	CF3	40	206-207 (c)
50	C1-O-CH <sub>2</sub> NH	н	С1	н	н	СГЗ	35	244~245 (c)
51	CH3-N	н	Н	C1	н	С1	54	245-246 (c)
52	с <sub>6</sub> н <sub>1</sub> 3 <sup>NH</sup>	н	н	C1	н	сı	80	179-180 (c)
53	с <sub>12</sub> н <sub>25</sub> NH	н	н	C1	н	С1	40	136-137 (c)
54		н	н	C1	н	C1	80	193-194 (c)
55	C1 € CH <sub>2</sub> NH	н	н	Cl	н	С1	47	250-251 (c)
56	CH3-N N	н	н	С1	Cl	н	50	203-204 (c)
57	C6 <sup>H</sup> 13 <sup>NH</sup>	н	н	С1	C1	н	75	153-154 (c)
58	C12H25NH	н	н	C1	C1	н	45	132-133 (c)
59	CH <sub>2</sub> NH	н	н	C1	C1	н	82	221-222 (c)
60	сі (О)-сн <sub>2</sub> мн	н	н	С1	С1	н	45	234-235 (c)
61	C <sub>6</sub> H <sub>13</sub> NH	н	C1	Н	C1	н	60	166-167 (c)
62	C <sub>12</sub> H <sub>25</sub> NH	н	C1	н	C1	н	85	102-103 (c)
63	()сн <sub>2</sub> №н	н	с1	н	с1	н	48	111-112 (c)
64	C1-(C)-CH <sub>2</sub> NH	н	с1	н	С1	н	40	114-115 (c)
65	сн3-ц И	C1	н	н	F	Н	80	98-99 (c)
66	сн3-у	C1	Н	н	Br	Н	85	184-185 (c)
67	CH3-N	C1	Н	cı	н	С1	70	215-216 (c)
68	CH3-NN	С1	СL	н	С1	н	75	79-80 (c)

Crystallization solvent: (a) = EtOH; (b) = EtOH—H<sub>2</sub>O; (c) = benzene. molecular lipophilicity is strongly enhanced in exyl and dodecyl amide derivatives.

#### **Experimental protocols**

Melting points, uncorrected, were taken on a Fisher—Johns apparatus. Infrared spectra (nujol mulls) were run on a Perkin—Elmer spectrophotometer mod. 297. The NMR spectra were recorded on a Varian EM 390 (90 MHz) spectrometer using deurerochloroform as the solvent and tetramethylsilane as the internal standard. Compounds **31**—68 were analyzed for C, H, N and, where present, Cl, Br and F. The analyzed values were within  $\pm 0.4\%$  of the calculated amounts. Elemental analyses were performed by A. Pietrogrande, Padova, Italy. Merck aluminium oxide (II—III acc. to Brockmann) and Merck sliica gel (0.05—0.2 mm, 70—352 mesh ASTM) were used for chromatographic purifications. Chemical and physical data of compounds **8**—68 are reported in Tables II and III.

#### Acknowledgments

The present work is part of the 'Istituto Pasteur-Cenci Bolognetti' scientific research program.

The authors express their gratitude to the Cenci Bolognetti Foundation for financial support.

## References

- 1 Filacchioni G., Porretta G. C. & Scalzo M. (1979) Farmaco Ed. Sci. 34, 987
- 2 Filacchioni G., Cecchi L., Porretta G. C., Scalzo M. & Giardi T. (1983) Farmaco Ed. Sci. 38, 619
- 3 Filacchioni G., Porretta G. C., Scalzo M., Giardina M. C. & Giardi T. (1983) Farmaco Ed. Sci. 38, 630
- Porretta G. C., Chimenti F., Biava M., Bolasco A., Scalzo M., Panico S., Simonetti N. & Villa A. (1985) Farmaco Ed. Sci. 40, 589
   Arima K., Imanaka H., Kousaka M., Fukuda A. & Tamura G.
- 5 Arima K., Imanaka H., Kousaka M., Fukuda A. & Tamura G. (1965) J. Antibiot. 18, 205
  6 Imanaka H., Kousaka M., Tamura G. & Arima K. (1965) J.
- 6 Imanaka H., Kousaka M., Tamura G. & Arima K. (1965) J. Antibiot. 18, 207
- 7 Nishida M., Matsubara T. & Watanabe N. (1965) J. Antibiot. 18, 211
- 8 Nakano H., Umio S., Kariyone K., Tanaka K., Kishimoto T., Noguchi H., Ueda I., Nakamura H. & Morimoto Y. (1966) *Tetrahedron Lett.* 737
- 9 Tanaka K., Kariyone K. & Umio S. (1969) Chem. Pharm. Bull. 17, 622
- 10 Hansch C. & Fujita T. (1964) J. Am. Chem. Soc. 86, 1616
- 11 Shadomy S. & Espinel A. (1980) in: Manual of Clinical Microbiology 3rd ed., Am. Soc. Microbiol., Washington D.C., pp. 647
- 12 Porretta G. C., Scalzo M., Artico M., Biava M., Panico S. & Simonetti N. (1985) Farmaco Ed. Sci. 40, 404