Organic & Biomolecular Chemistry

PAPER



Cite this: DOI: 10.1039/c4ob01465k

Targeting DNA with small molecules: a comparative study of a library of azonia aromatic chromophores[†]

Rosa M. Suárez,‡^a Pedro Bosch,‡^a David Sucunza,*^a Ana M. Cuadro,^a Alberto Domingo,^b Francisco Mendicuti^c and Juan J. Vaquero*^a

A library of azonia aromatic cations has been studied in order to gain insights into the effect of the size, shape and charge distribution on the fluorescence, DNA interactions and DNA sequence selectivity properties. Fluorescence-based thermal denaturation experiments, spectrofluorimetric titrations, circular dichroism measurements and theoretical simulations have shown that some of the studied chromophores have interesting fluorescence properties and two of them also show a consistent DNA-binding ability by intercalation, with a potential preference for AT-rich sequences.

Accepted 20th October 2014 DOI: 10.1039/c4ob01465k

Received 12th July 2014,

www.rsc.org/obc

Introduction

Coralyne is probably the most widely studied quinoliziniumbased alkaloid. Interest in this compound is due to several significant properties associated with its structure, a pentasubstituted dibenzo[a,g]quinolizinium system.¹ In addition to the most commonly studied properties of this alkaloid, such as cytotoxicity,² intercalative DNA binding³ and potent topoisomerase inhibition,⁴ other remarkable properties have been reported, *e.g.*, applications as fluorescent probes,⁵ reagents for the photoinduction of DNA damage,⁶ RNA binding,⁷ human telomeric quadruplex DNA,⁸ and hemoglobin.⁹ Complex formation between coralyne and c-di-AMP¹⁰ and α -, β -, and γ -cyclodextrin¹¹ has also been reported.

The interest in this alkaloid has led to a significant amount of research concerned with the synthesis and study of coralyne analogues and other related azonia cations that contain a quinolizinium system as the core heterocycle, for example, the recent significant contributions by Ihmels and coworkers,¹² Becq and coworkers,¹³ *inter alia* (Fig. 1).

It has been shown that fused polyaromatic azonia cations are a representative family of DNA intercalators with fluorescence properties.³ The binding of these molecules to DNA is

^cDepartamento de Química Analítica, Química Física e Ingeniería Química, Universidad de Alcalá, Spain





characterized by the insertion of planar aromatic rings between the DNA base pairs through π -stacking interactions. The positive charge enhances the propensity of these molecules to bind to DNA due to attractive ionic interactions between the cation and the phosphate backbone.¹⁴

A number of polyaromatic azonia cations are fluorescent due to the presence of a scaffold that is related to cyanine dyes,¹⁵ a synthetic dye family with a general polymethine structure that has been widely applied in various areas associated with non-linear optics, photochromism, light energy transformation and so on.¹⁶ For this reason, some condensed polyaromatic azonia cations have been used as fluorescent probes.^{12b}

Our recent contributions in the field of azonia heterocycles focused on the development of general synthetic procedures by ring-closing metathesis reactions (RCM) of azinium azadienes,¹⁷ new methods for the functionalization of the quinolizinium cation by palladium-promoted reactions,¹⁸ and the investigation of quinolizinium as an acceptor unit in nonlinear optical (NLO) and two photon absorption (2PA) cationic chromophores.¹⁹ The synthesis of some aza-quinolizinium-



View Article Online

^aDepartamento de Química Orgánica y Química Inorgánica, Universidad de Alcalá, 28871-Alcalá de Henares, Madrid, Spain. E-mail: juanjose.vaquero@uah.es ^bDepartamento de Biología de Sistemas, Universidad de Alcalá, Spain

 $[\]dagger$ Electronic supplementary information (ESI) available: 1H and ^{13}C NMR data for all new compounds, photophysical and DNA binding experiments and MM and MD protocols. See DOI: 10.1039/c4ob01465k

[‡]These authors have contributed equally to this work.

type cations and their potential as DNA intercalators and antiproliferative compounds have also been reported by our laboratory. $^{\rm 20}$

We report here the results from our comparative study in which a library of azonia cations was investigated in order to gain insights into the influence that the size, shape and charge distribution have on some of their properties, with potential applications as dyes and DNA probes. The fluorescence, DNA interactions and DNA sequence selectivity properties were compared.

Results and discussion

With the aim of covering different possibilities of size, shape and charge distribution of the chromophores, a library of twelve aromatic azonia cations based on different heterocyclic cores was studied. Compounds 1–4 (Fig. 2) are based on the quinolizinium system fused with benzo-, dibenzo-, naphthoand indolo- moieties. Three examples (5–7) are based on an aza-quinolizinium core and another five cations (8–12) share an azoloazinium unit as the cationic moiety.

The role of size was studied by comparing the properties of compounds such as **8–11** or **1** and **2** (3). Compounds **2** and **3** are appropriate to obtain data related to charge distribution within the chromophore. In the cases of **4** and **5** the presence of an additional nitrogen in the latter compound should provide information about the effect of replacing a methylene group next to the quaternary nitrogen atom of quinolizinium with another nitrogen.³ On the basis of previous studies, the results of which seem to support a better DNA binding profile for non-linear chromophores,²¹ compounds such as **4**, **6**, **7** and **12** were compared to assess the role of shape. The latter

compounds are all tetracyclic but have different ring arrangements.

Eight of the aforementioned salts were synthesized in our laboratory either by a ring-closing metathesis (RCM) reaction or by a Westphal condensation as key steps. Thus, quinolizinium-based derivatives **1**, **2**, **3** and **4** were obtained by the first strategy,¹⁷ azaquinoliziniums **5** and **8** by Westphal condensation with [1,4]-dioxane-2,3-diol (DODO)²² and **11** by condensation of the corresponding *N*-amino imidazolium salt with acenaphthenequinone.²³ Finally, triazoloisoquinolinium derivative **12** was obtained by iodination of the isoquinolinium *N*-(2'-pyridyl)aminide.²⁴

Compounds **6**, **7**, **9** and **10** were previously unknown and were all prepared in this study by condensation of DODO or acenaphthenequinone with the corresponding *N*-amino-azinium or azolium salts. Thus, *N*-amination of 1,2-dimethyl-1*H*-perimidine,²⁵ 4-methylpyrrolo[1,2-*a*]quinoxaline²⁶ and 1,2-dimethyl-1*H*-naphtho[2,3-*d*]imidazole²⁷ with *O*-mesitylene-sulfonylhydroxylamine (MSH)²⁸ gave the corresponding salts, which were subsequently treated with DODO in the presence of triethylamine to afford **6**, **7** or **9** in overall yields of 27–43% (Scheme 1). The corresponding salt obtained from commercially available 1,2-dimethylimidazole was reacted with acenaphthenequinone to afford **10** in good yield (71%).

In order to identify the azonia structures that are most interesting as potential dyes and DNA probes, the DNAbinding capacity was tested and the photophysical properties of the **1–12** chromophoric derivatives were studied. DNA binding studies were carried out by FRET-based thermal denaturation using custom-designed synthetic oligonucleotides with defined AT- or GC-rich sequences. The absorption and



Fig. 2 Structure of the twelve selected azonia cations.



Scheme 1 Synthesis of derivatives 6, 7, 9 and 10.

emission spectra were monitored (Fig. 2S and 3S of the ESI[†]). In most cases the emission spectra of the derivatives, upon excitation at the maximum of the low energy absorption peak (λ_{max}) , have a single band. The former low energy bands in the absorption spectra are usually attributed to the π - π * charge transfer transition, which is characteristic of high conjugated systems. The λ_{max} location is rather sensitive to the degree of conjugation and the electron-donating/withdrawing characteristic of the substituents at the quinolizinium, aza-quinolizinium or azoloazinium cores. The results of DNA-binding assays and the photophysical parameters for 1-12 derivatives, as well as molar absorptivities, fluorescence quantum yields and fluorescence lifetimes, are given in Table 1. The fluorescence quantum yields in methanol show rather different values and the fluorescence intensity profiles fit monoexponential or biexponential decay functions depending on the nature of the system.

The DNA-binding assays revealed that compounds 4, 10 and 11 have a high affinity for this biomolecule, whereas 2, 3, 5 and 6 have a low affinity and 1, 7, 8, 9 and 12 do not have any affinity for DNA. More interestingly, all of the compounds that had good DNA-binding properties showed a weak interaction with GC-rich oligonucleotides but a detectable and rapid increase in $T_{\rm m}$ from lower ligand concentrations in the case of AT-rich oligonucleotides.

Several general conclusions can be drawn from the data discussed above. As one would expect, DNA binding is closely related to the size of the molecule. Pentacyclic and hexacyclic compounds show good DNA-binding properties, whereas the tricyclic compounds do not have affinity for DNA. A clear trend was not observed for tetracycles as some compounds had high affinity for DNA but others had none. These data are consistent with results obtained previously by our group, which showed that a minimum number of four fused rings are needed to produce an interaction with DNA in these systems.^{23a} However, it is unclear whether, in this series, there is a clear correlation between the shape and charge distribution of the molecule and its DNA binding activity. As for the photophysical properties, quinolizinium and imidazolium moieties generally give rise to higher fluorescence quantum yields, although the value for derivative 9 is surprisingly low.

Of the twelve selected structures, derivatives 4, 10 and 11 show the highest affinity for DNA, with 4^{17a} and 11 (Fig. 3) suggesting a possible preference for AT-rich sequences. For this reason, two of these compounds were chosen for a detailed study with DNA. Nevertheless, in order to prove the validity of the methods and conclusions, compound 8, one of the fluorescent compounds that did not show affinity for DNA, was also studied.

Binding of ligands 4, 8 and 11 with ctDNA

The emission spectra obtained at 25 °C for 4, 8 and 11 in PBS buffer solutions (pH = 7.4) during titrations are shown in Fig. 4. Excitation wavelengths were fixed at 334, 310 and 387 nm for 4, 8 and 11, respectively. The emission spectra in the absence or in the presence of calf-thymus DNA (ctDNA)

also exhibited similar features to those obtained in methanol, *i.e.*, a single band centred around 470, 460 and 460 nm, respectively. These bands were not displaced significantly upon ctDNA addition. However, rather different changes in the fluorescence intensity were observed. Quenching of the fluorescence intensity was observed on increasing [DNA] for 4 and **11**, whereas for **8** the fluorescence intensity remained virtually unchanged. These observations indicate a binding interaction for **4** and **11** with DNA that does not seem to take place for **8**. The normalized variation of the fluorescence intensity of these ligands is shown in Fig. 5 (left), $(I_0 - I)/I_0$ (*I* measured as the area under the emission spectra and subscript zero denoting the absence of ctDNA) against [DNA].

The variation of the fluorescence anisotropy (r), obtained by eqn (2) (ESI[†]), *versus* [DNA] at 25 °C is shown in Fig. 5 (right). The r values and signs for solutions of **4**, **8** and **11** in the absence of ctDNA denote different orientations of the absorption and emission transition moments, molecular sizes and rotational diffusion in the low viscosity buffer solvent. The changes in r observed upon addition of aliquots of ctDNA were different depending on the type of ligand. The r values for **4** and **11** increased significantly with [DNA]. An increase is barely observed for **8**. This trend for increasing r is typical of the formation of a ligand : DNA complex whose rotational relaxation time is higher when compared to that of the free ligand.

The curves depicted in Fig. 5 (left) for **4** and **11** were obtained by adjusting the experimental data to eqn (10) (ESI[†]) and these show a good fit. The curves provide values for the binding constants of *ca*. $(2.8 \pm 1.3) \times 10^4$ and $(6.9 \pm 2.6) \times 10^4$ M⁻¹ for **4** and **11**, respectively. These values are of the same order of magnitude as those for some previously reported naphtho- and indolo-annelated quinolizinium DNA ligands.³ The average number of nucleic base pairs per bound ligand was 8.0 ± 0.4 and 5.9 ± 0.3 , respectively. However, compound **8** does not seem to interact with DNA. The curves depicted in Fig. 5 (right) for the anisotropy changes for **4** and **11** were obtained by fitting the experimental data to an equation similar to **9** (ESI[†]), but using *r* instead of the fluorescence intensity, *I*, and the *K* and *n* values obtained from fluorescence intensity measurements.

Fluorescence intensity decay profiles for ligands 4, 8 and 11 did not seem to be particularly sensitive to the presence of ctDNA. The lifetimes, which are presented in Table 2, were quite similar for all ligand solutions in the absence or in the presence of ctDNA. However, whereas decay profiles for 8 and 11 were fitted to a monoexponential decay function, profiles for 4 were fitted to a bi-exponential function with lifetime components of ~4.5 ns and ~15 ns. Nevertheless, $\langle \tau \rangle$ barely changed upon addition of DNA. These facts could be attributed to a rapid photoinduced electron transfer reaction where DNA bases and ligand are involved. This would likely decrease DNA-bound ligand lifetimes to values shorter than even the nanosecond detection apparatus limit.^{3,30}

The interaction of compounds **4**, **8** and **11** with DNA was also studied by circular dichroism (CD) spectroscopy. The CD

Table 1 DNA-binding affinities and base sequence selectivities. Some photophysical parameters: molar absorptivities (ϵ , M⁻¹ cm⁻¹) at the wavelength used for excitation in the steady-state measurements (λ_{max} , nm), maximum of the emission wavelength (λ_{em} , nm), fluorescence quantum yields (ϕ_f), using a quinine solution in 0.1 M sulfuric acid as the standard,²⁹ and fluorescence lifetimes (τ or < τ >, ns) monitored at the excitation/emission wavelengths of 335 nm/ λ_{em} for ligands 1–12 in methanol at 25 °C

	Structure	DNA binding	Relative GC/AT preference	$\varepsilon (\lambda_{\max})$	$\lambda_{ m em}$	$\phi_{ m f}$	$\tau_{\rm ex}$ (< τ >) (ns)
1	* THO	No	_	3180 (338)	361	0.23	3.6
2	THO N	Low	AT	10 430 (371)	400	0.35	5.9
3		Low	АТ	8240 (369)	419	0.15	5.0
4	THO N	Yes	АТ	5600 (334)	467	0.15	11.8 ^{<i>a</i>}
5	MSTS N-N	Low	АТ	11 480 (331)	424	0.03	13.9
6	BF4 N N Mo	Very low	No	9400 (325)	435	<0.01	4.7 ^{<i>a</i>}
7		No	_	14 700 (354)	472	0.02	5.3 ^{<i>a</i>}
8	MSTS + N N N MG	No	_	7210 (312)	442	0.33	16.7
9		No	_	12 100 (351)	437	<0.01	3.6 ^{<i>a</i>}
10	MSTS N N Me	Yes	АТ	13 453 (360)	427	0.41	7.45
11	MSTS * N N Me	Yes	AT	11 850 (387)	450	0.25	4.4
12		No	_	7380 (320)	353	0.18	6.3

^a Weighted average lifetimes using eqn (1), for those compounds whose fluorescence intensity profiles were fitted to biexponential decay functions.



Fig. 3 Melting curves (upper panels) and plot of the experimental ΔT_m versus ligand concentration on the logarithmic scale (lower panel) obtained for reaction mixtures of compound **11** and double-stranded DNA oligonucleotides of different base compositions and sequences. Oligonucleotide AT (red line). -5'-CAATTAAATATAAC-3' and its complementary. Oligonucleotide GC (blue line). -5'-GCGCGGCGTCCGGG-CC-3' and its complementary. Each data point is the average of two separate experiments. Ligand concentrations (M): 1.95 × 10⁻⁸, 3.91 × 10⁻⁸, 7.81 × 10⁻⁸, 1.56 × 10⁻⁷, 3.13 × 10⁻⁷, 6.25 × 10⁻⁷, 1.25 × 10⁻⁶, 2.5 × 10⁻⁶, 5.0 × 10⁻⁶, 1.0 × 10⁻⁵ and 2.0 × 10⁻⁵.



Fig. 4 Emission spectra for solutions of ligands **8**, **4** and **11** ([L] = 1.9, 1.9 and 1.94×10^{-5} mol L⁻¹, respectively) and upon the addition of DNA aliquots during the titration at 25 °C.

spectrum in the region of DNA absorption can provide information about the changes in its secondary structure caused by ligand–DNA interactions.³¹ As depicted in Fig. 6 for **11**, ctDNA shows the typical DNA B-form CD spectrum with positive and negative Cotton effects centered at ~278 and ~245 nm, which are due to base stacking and right-handed polynucleotide helicity, respectively. Changes in the two bands are quite sensitive to the mode of interaction with small molecules.³² While



Fig. 5 (Left) Normalized variation of the fluorescence intensity for buffer solutions (PBS buffer solutions containing 5% of DMSO) of ligands **8**, **4** and **11** ([dye] = 1.9, 1.9 and 1.94×10^{-5} mol L⁻¹ respectively, at these concentrations any complex precipitation was observed during titrations) at 25 °C *versus* [DNA]. (Right) Fluorescence anisotropy *versus* [DNA] for the same solutions at 25 °C. Symbols correspond to **4** (\bigcirc), **8** (\square) and **11** (\triangle). *r* values were monitored at the maximum of emission upon excitation at 334, 310 and 387 nm, respectively.

Table 2 Lifetime components, contributions and weighted averages for the dye intercalator aqueous solutions in the absence and in the presence of ctDNA (in parentheses) at 25 °C. The [DNA] was the highest [DNA] used in previous experiments. A NanoLed emitting at 335 nm was used as excitation source

	$\lambda_{\rm em} ({\rm nm})$	τ_1 (ns)	%	τ_2 (ns)	%	<\alpha > (ns)
4 8 11	465 442 460	$\begin{array}{c} 4.3 \ (4.5) \\ 4.1 \ (4.2) \\ 4.3 \ (4.3) \end{array}$	54.2 (39.6) 100 100	14.8 (15.0) 	45.8 (60.4) 	9.1 (10.9) — —



Fig. 6 CD spectra (as ellipticity, θ) in the region of DNA absorption at 25 °C for buffer solutions (PBS buffer solutions containing 5% of DMSO) of ligand **11** in the presence of ctDNA ([DNA] = 3.57×10^{-4} M) at several ligand/DNA molar ratios.

groove binding of small molecules with DNA shows little or no perturbation on the base stacking and helicity bands, intercalation usually enhances the intensities of both bands. As depicted in Fig. 6, the intensities of both bands increase significantly upon increasing the concentration of **11**, thus indicating intercalative binding to DNA. These changes in the DNA CD spectra are a consequence of the increase in base pair-ligand interactions and also some unwinding and lengthening of the DNA helix upon dye intercalation. Similar changes were observed for **4**.

In contrast to the above, achiral compounds like 4, 8 and 11 do not have CD activity. However, a CD signal can be induced (ICD) as a consequence of a possible non-degenerate coupling of their transition moments and the average dipole moments of the nucleic bases. Consequently, the observation of a CD spectrum in the absorption region of the ligand is irrefutable evidence for the existence of ligand-DNA interaction. The intensity and the sign of the ICD depend on the position and the orientation of the chromophore relative to the DNA bases. An intercalator exhibits a weak negative ICD when the transition moment for the corresponding absorption band is oriented along the pseudo-long axis of the binding DNA pocket (parallel to the bisector of the base pairs). However, a strong positive ICD is expected when the transition moment is polarized perpendicular to the long axis of the binding pocket. The ICD signal for a groove-bound ligand is usually one or two orders of magnitude larger than that for an intercalated one. In addition, if the transition moment is oriented along the groove that forms a 45° angle with the bases, the ICD is expected to be positive.^{3,12c,32a,33}

The CD spectra for intercalators 4, 8 and 11 in the presence of DNA at a fixed ligand : DNA molar ratio of 0.4 are shown in Fig. 7 (left). ICD was not observed for 8 at any of the molar ratios (0.05, 0.1, 0.2 or 0.4) as interactions did not take place. However, the CD spectra for 4 and 11, at all of the ratios used, exhibited two regions with negative and positive Cotton effects that extend in the ~295–335 nm and 335–460 nm ranges, respectively (Fig. 7, right). Nevertheless, the CD signals were much weaker for 4 than for 11. These asymmetric negative and positive induced bands seem to match other bands that appear in the absorption spectra of the compounds. Thus, the presence of exciton coupling due to the aggregation of **4** and **11**, which are associated with the DNA, could be discounted.^{3,12c,33b} In addition, the molar ellipticity [θ] shows an increase in the positive band with [ligand] that did not occur for the negative band. In analogy with other quinolizinium derivatives, and based on the assumption that the transition moments of the bands that appear at longer wavelengths (~335-400 nm) are almost parallel to the long ligand axis (Fig. 4S, ESI[†]), the sign of the bands reveals intercalation of **4** and **11** with their long molecular axis perpendicular to the DNA binding pocket.^{3,12c} Consequently, the negative ~295-335 nm band is consistent with an absorption band whose transition moment is oriented along the short molecular axis. The relatively low quantitative ellipticity values, which are positive, especially for **4**, also exclude the presence of groove binding.

Molecular mechanics and molecular dynamics simulations

The total binding energy values are shown in Fig. 8 along with van der Waals and electrostatics contributions as a function of the oo' distance along the y coordinate, for the most favourable orientation of 11 approaching the DNA by the major or minor groove sides. Two different approaches were considered: (i) parallel to the planar bases as an intercalator (Fig. 8, left panel) or (ii) parallel to the pair of helixes as a groove binder (Fig. 8, right panel). Intercalation takes place with hardly any potential energy barriers. However, as one would expect, in the groove-binding approach, when guest 11 is oriented at 45° with respect to the pair of bases, high potential barriers are observed at $y = \pm 5$ Å due to the repulsive van der Waals ligand interaction with DNA base pairs. The results show that electrostatic interactions are mostly responsible for the stabilization of the system. It is worth noting that for the minimum binding structures (MBE) of 11 the intercalator ligand is oriented in such a manner that its main axis is oriented parallel to the TA and AT central base pocket axis but is slightly



Fig. 7 CD spectra, as ellipticity, θ in mdegrees, for (left) 4, 8 and 11 ligand buffer solutions in the presence of ctDNA at [DNA] = 3.57×10^{-4} M at a [ligand]/[DNA] = 0.4; (right) 11 at several ligand/DNA molar ratios at 25 °C. The CD spectra, as molar ellipticity, is superimposed.



Fig. 8 Total binding energies (**II**), van der Waals (\triangle) and electrostatic (\bigcirc) contributions as a function of the oo' distance (nm) measured along the *y* coordinate for the ligand **11** approaching DNA as an intercalator (left) and as a groove binder (right). Superimposed are the MBE structures, designated as 1 and 2, viewed from the positive *x*-axis (note the location of the ligand). (1) and (2) MBE structures were used as starting conformations for the MD simulations.



Fig. 9 (Upper panel) Histories of binding energies (black) and electrostatics (grey) and van der Waals (light grey) contributions obtained from the analysis of the 1 ns MD trajectory at 300 K starting from the minimized MBE structure (1). (Bottom panel) The same for the *y* coordinate (bottom black line) of the centre of the **11** molecule (o'), the end-to-end DNA helix distance (upper black line), the average angle between the plane of both AT and TA pairs of bases and the plane of **11** (dark grey) and the angle between the bisector of pocket bases and the long axis of **11** (light grey).

outside it on the major groove side (1 in Fig. 8). However, the main long axis of **11** is almost parallel to both DNA chains in 2. The arrows in Fig. 8 indicate the ligand acenaphthene group.

The histories of the **11**-DNA binding energies and contributions and oo' distances obtained from the analysis of the 1.0 ns MD trajectories at 300 K in the water-solvated (1) MBE structure are shown in Fig. 9 (upper panel). The results indicate that **11**-DNA interactions are favourable for intercalation throughout the whole trajectory. As with MM, the most important contributions to stabilization were the electrostatics. The van der Waals interactions contributed 10% of the total binding energy (Fig. 9, upper panel).

The history for the *y* coordinate of the centre of ligand **11** (o') is depicted in Fig. 9 (bottom panel). The intercalator tends to move inside the bases pocket close to the *y* coordinate value of -1 (Å). This movement also involves rotation and a subsequent increase in the angle between the bisector of the bases pocket and the long axis of the ligand in such a way that, after ~0.7 ns and at the end of the trajectory, it is oriented almost perpendicular to the pocket and with the acenaphthene group lying slightly outside it by the minor groove face (see Fig. 10). The latter structure is consistent with the sign of the bands observed in the ICD spectrum for the region in which **11** absorbs (Fig. 7, right). In addition, the average of the angle between the AT and TA base pair planes and the plane of intercalator **11** throughout the trajectory is close to zero, as one would expect.

Another characteristic observation after intercalation is that a monotonic increase of the end-to-end DNA distance (Fig. 9) occurs with time. This change is due to the slight unwinding and elongation of the DNA helix upon intercalation. This helix



Fig. 10 Snapshots of the 1 ns MD trajectory at 300 K showing the location of the ligand. Structures correspond to the central portion of the **11**-DNA complex: (left) at time = 0 ns, *i.e.*, the starting minimized MBE structure (1); (right) at time = 0.8 ns from the beginning of the trajectory.

enlargement and the base–ligand interactions are also consistent with the increase in the intensity of the bands observed in the CD spectrum at the DNA region upon **11**-DNA complexation (Fig. 7, left). Details of some snapshots of the complex structures at different times are shown in Fig. 10. At 0.8 ns, **11** is oriented as one would expect from the ICD spectrum.

When MD is performed starting from (2), *i.e.*, the MBE structure for the groove approach, the most important feature is that during the first steps of the trajectory the ligand penetrates and prefers to intercalate between the two AT–TA base pairs. Whereas the plane of the molecule of **11** is also almost parallel to the plane of both pairs of bases (average plane angle of $13 \pm 7^{\circ}$), in this case the angle between the long axis and the binding pocket is around 45° and, at the end of the trajectory, this decreases to around 30° (average angle of $39 \pm 10^{\circ}$), which is not consistent with the experimental evidence (Fig. 11).

A similar situation occurred for DNA complexation by ligand 4. As shown in Fig. 4S of the ESI,† intercalation of compound 4 is also favourable and electrostatic interactions are mainly responsible for this stabilization. For the MBE structure the results indicate that 4 is mainly parallel to both the central pairs of bases when it intercalates DNA, but that it prefers to be located slightly outside the pocket by the minor groove face. Analysis of the MD trajectories also showed that interactions for the intercalation are favourable throughout the whole trajectory, with electrostatics being the most important contributions to the stabilization (Fig. 6S, ESI[†]). In this case, the centre of intercalator 4 tends to stay around the y = +1 (Å) coordinate. The average of the angle between the bisector of the base pocket and the long axis of the dye is $62.1 \pm 9.8^{\circ}$, which means that it deviates slightly from 90°. This behaviour is responsible for the weakness of the ICD signal. In addition, the angle between the AT and TA base pair planes and the plane of intercalator 4 is close to zero, as one would expect. As in the previous study, a monotonic increase in the end-to-end DNA distance occurs with time. A portion of the MBE structure for the 4-DNA complex used as the starting conformation for

Paper



Fig. 11 (Upper panel) Histories of binding energies (black) and electrostatics (grey) and van der Waals (light grey) contributions obtained from the analysis of the 1 ns MD trajectory at 300 K starting from the minimized MBE **11**-DNA groove binding structure, namely (2), obtained from MM calculations. (Bottom panel) The same for the *y* coordinate (bottom black line) for the centre of the dye molecule (o'), the averaged angle between the plane of both AT and TA pairs of bases and the plane of the **11** molecule (dark grey) and the angle between the bisector of pocket bases and the long **11** axis (light grey).

the 1 ns MD trajectory at 300 K (left) and those obtained from the analysis of the MD trajectory (right) are represented in Fig. 7S (ESI[†]).

Conclusions

Fluorescence, DNA interactions and DNA sequence selectivity properties of twelve different azonia cations have been studied. Among them, tetracyclic indoloquinolizinium 4 and hexacyclic benzimidazolium 11 present the highest affinity for DNA, with a potential preference for AT-rich sequences. Photophysical and DNA binding experiments showed that both compounds are fluorescent and bind to double-stranded DNA by intercalation. The findings described here will allow further studies on 4 and 11 or its derivatives as DNA probes.

Experimental

Synthetic procedures

General information. Reagents were purchased at the highest quality commercially available and were used without further purification, unless stated otherwise. Reactions were monitored by thin-layer chromatography (TLC) carried out on 0.25 mm E. Merck silica gel plates (60FS-254) with visualization by UV light. Column chromatography was performed using silica gel (60 F254, 70–200 mm) as the stationary phase. All melting points were determined in open capillary tubes on a Stuart Scientific SMP3 melting point apparatus and are

uncorrected. IR spectra were obtained on a Perkin-Elmer FTIR spectrum 2000 spectrophotometer. ¹H and ¹³C NMR spectra were recorded with either a Varian Gemini (200 MHz), Varian Mercury VX-300, Varian Unity 300 or 500 MHz spectrometer at room temperature. Chemical shifts are given in ppm (*d*) downfield from TMS. Coupling constants (*J*) are in hertz (Hz) and signals are described as follows: s, singlet; d, doublet; t, triplet; br, broad; m, multiplet; ap, apparent. High-resolution analysis (TOF) was performed on an Agilent 6210 time-of-flight LC/MS. 1,2-Dimethyl-1*H*-perimidine,²⁵ 4-methylpyrrolo-[1,2-*a*]quinoxaline,²⁶ 1,2-dimethyl-1*H*-naphtho[2,3-*d*]imidazole,²⁷ *O*-mesitylenesulfonyl-hydroxylamine (MSH),²⁸ and [1,4]-dioxane-2,3-diol (DODO)²² were prepared according to procedures described in the literature.

7-Methyl-7*H*-pyridazino[1,6-*a*]perimidin-12-ium tetrafluoroborate (6)

A solution of MSH (108 mg, 0.5 mmol) in anhydrous CH₂Cl₂ (3 mL) was added to a solution of 1,2-dimethyl-1H-perimidine (75 mg, 0.38 mmol) in CH₂Cl₂ (3 mL). The mixture was stirred for 15 h at room temperature. The resulting N-amine salt was isolated by filtration and washed with Et₂O and petroleum ether. A mixture of this N-amine salt (110 mg, 0.27 mmol), DODO (32 mg, 0.27 mmol) and triethylamine (37 µL, 0.27 mmol) in anhydrous DMF (3 mL) was heated at 70 °C for 2 h. The dark red solid residue was filtered off and treated with HBF₄ (1.2 equiv., 48% w/w water) at room temperature for 7 h. The crude product was purified by alumina column chromatography (gradient from CH_2Cl_2 to 1:9 MeOH- CH_2Cl_2) to give compound 6 as a dark red powder (53 mg, 0.17 mmol, 43%). M.p.: 199–205 °C. IR (KBr) ν cm⁻¹: 765, 1179, 1489, 1583, 1640, 2358, 3059, 3432. ¹H-NMR (200 MHz, CD₃OD) δ (ppm): 3.73 (s, 3H), 7.21 (dd, J = 7 Hz, J = 1 Hz, 1H), 7.54–7.68 (m, 3H), 7.83 (d, J = 8 Hz, 1H), 8.00–8.39 (m, 2H), 8.37 (dd, J = 10 Hz, J = 1 Hz, 1H), 8.84 (dd, J = 4 Hz, J = 1 Hz, 1H). ¹³C-NMR (50 MHz, CD₃OD) δ (ppm): 35.5, 109.6, 111.9, 121.6, 124.1, 126.2, 128.1, 129.3, 129.6, 134.5, 135.1, 135.9, 146.0, 151.1. MS (ESI): $[M^+]$ 234.1; HRMS m/z calculated for C₁₅H₁₂N₃ [M⁺]: 234.1026. Found 234.1032. Anal. Calcd for C₁₅H₁₂BF₄N₃: C, 56.11; H, 3.77; N, 13.09. Found: C, 55.94; H, 3.92; N, 13.29.

Pyridazino[1,6-*a*]pyrrolo[2,1-*c*]quinoxalin-13-ium mesitylenesulfonate (7)

A solution of MSH (88 mg, 0.41 mmol) in anhydrous CH_2Cl_2 (3 mL) was added to a solution of 4-methylpyrrolo[1,2*a*]-quinoxaline (62 mg, 0.34 mmol) in CH_2Cl_2 (3 mL). The mixture was stirred for 5 h at room temperature. The resulting *N*-amine salt was isolated by filtration and washed with Et₂O and petroleum ether. A mixture of this *N*-amine salt (100 mg, 0.25 mmol), DODO (30 mg, 0.25 mmol) and triethylamine (35 µL, 0.25 mmol) in EtOH (4 mL) was heated at 76 °C for 4.5 h. The reaction mixture was concentrated under reduced pressure until dryness and triturated with acetone. The solid was filtered off and washed with acetone and petroleum ether to give compound 7 as a dark green powder (39 mg, 0.09, mmol, 27%). M.p: 135–138 °C. IR (KBr) ν cm⁻¹: 680, 1189, 1451, 1624, 3466. ¹H-NMR (300 MHz DMSO-d₆) δ (ppm): 2.15 (s, 3H), 2.47 (s, 6H), 6.72 (s, 2H), 7.35 (dd, J = 2.6 Hz, J = 4.2 Hz, 1H), 7.81 (tap, J = 8.4 Hz, J = 8.7 Hz, 1H), 8.02 (tap, J = 8.4 Hz, J = 8.7 Hz, 1H), 8.02 (tap, J = 8.4 Hz, J = 9 Hz, J = 4.7 Hz, 1H), 8.64 (d, 8.7 Hz, 1H), 8.85 (d, J = 8.7 Hz, 1H), 9.03 (d, J = 1.6 Hz, 1H), 9.31 (dd, J = 9.0 Hz, J = 1.6 Hz 1H), 9.38 (dd, J = 4.7 Hz, 1Z, J = 1.6 Hz, 1H). ¹³C-NMR (75 MHz DMSO-d₆) δ (ppm): 19.8, 22.3, 115.8, 116.3, 117.1, 119.7, 120.5, 122.6, 126.8, 127.2, 129.4, 130.4, 132.0, 132.1, 135.4, 135.7, 139.4, 142.4, 146.1, 148.9. MS (ESI): [M⁺] 220.1; HRMS *m*/*z* calculated for C₁₄H₁₀N₃ [M⁺]: 220.0869. Found 220.0859. Anal. Calcd for C₂₃H₂₁N₃O₃S: C, 65.85; H, 5.05; N, 10.02. Found: C, 65.66; H, 5.12; N, 9.91.

5-Methyl-5*H*-naphtho[2',3':4,5]imidazo[1,2-*b*]pyridazin-12-ium mesitylenesulfonate (9)

A solution of MSH (174 mg, 0.81 mmol) in anhydrous CH₂Cl₂ (2 mL) was added to a solution of 1,2-dimethyl-1H-naphtho-[2,3-d]imidazole (106 mg, 0.54 mmol) in CH₂Cl₂ (2 mL). The mixture was stirred for 1 h at room temperature. The resulting N-amine salt was isolated by filtration and washed with Et₂O and petroleum ether. A mixture of the N-amine salt (206 mg, 0.50 mmol), DODO (60 mg, 0.50 mmol) and triethylamine (70 µL, 0.50 mmol) in anhydrous DMF (3 mL) was heated at 70 °C for 1 h. The reaction mixture was concentrated under reduced pressure until dryness and triturated with Et₂O. The solid was filtered off and washed with acetone to give compound 9 as a yellow powder (99.7 mg, 0.23 mmol, 43%). M.p: 233–235 °C (decomposition). IR (KBr) ν cm⁻¹: 677, 1015, 1085, 1191, 1522, 1622, 2365, 3045. ¹H-NMR (300 MHz, MeOD) δ (ppm): 2.05 (s, 3H), 2.41 (s, 6H), 4.20 (s, 3H), 6.75 (s, 2H), 7.61-7.70 (m, 2H), 8.15-8.26 (m, 3H), 8.55 (s, 1H), 8.74 (d, J = 8.2 Hz, 1H), 8.99 (s, 1H), 9.07 (d, J = 4.6 Hz, 1H). ¹³C-NMR (75 MHz, MeOD) δ (ppm): 20.8, 23.2, 31.3, 110.9, 112.9, 121.4, 128.0, 128.8, 129.1, 129.4, 130.0, 131.6, 131.9, 132.2, 132.5, 135.3, 138.1, 140.0, 140.8, 144.5, 147.3. MS (ESI): [M⁺] 234.1; HRMS m/z calculated for C₁₅H₁₂N₃ [M⁺]: 234.1026. Found 234.1027. Anal. Calcd for C24H23N3O3S: C, 66.49; H, 5.35; N, 9.69. Found: C, 66.70; H, 5.20; N, 9.56.

11-Methyl-11*H*-acenaphtho[1,2-*e*]imidazo[1,2-*b*]pyridazin-8-ium mesitylenesulfonate (10)

A solution of MSH (0.61 g, 2.83 mmol) in anhydrous CH_2Cl_2 (3 mL) was added to a solution of commercially available 1,2dimethylimidazole (0.18 g, 1.89 mmol) in CH_2Cl_2 (3 mL). The mixture was stirred for 1 h at room temperature. The resulting *N*-amine salt was isolated by filtration and washed with Et₂O and petroleum ether. A mixture of the *N*-amine salt (0.49 g, 1.59 mmol), acenaphthoquinone (0.29 g, 1.59 mmol) and sodium acetate (0.13 g, 1.59 mmol) in ethanol (12 mL) was heated under reflux for 5 h. The reaction mixture was concentrated under reduced pressure until dryness and triturated with water. The solid was filtered off and washed with water and acetone to give compound **10** as a pale brown solid (0.61 g, 1.33 mmol, 71%). M.p: 260–261 °C. IR (KBr) ν cm⁻¹: 678, 1017, 1086, 1191, 1220, 1532, 3028, 3126, 3448. ¹H-NMR (300 MHz, DMSO-d₆) δ (ppm): 2.12 (s, 3H), 2.47 (s, 6H), 4.41 (s, 3H), 6.69 (s, 2H), 7.98 (tap, J = 7.6 Hz, 2H), 8.31–8.47 (m, 1H), 8.85 (d, J = 2.1 Hz, 1H), 9.37 (s, 1H). ¹³C-NMR (50 MHz, DMSO-d₆) δ (ppm): 19.8, 22.2, 33.9, 111.0, 117.9, 122.6, 123.6, 126.2, 128.1, 128.8, 128.9, 129.2, 129.6, 130.4, 134.0, 134.9, 135.0, 135.2, 135.5, 142.3, 156.1. MS (ESI⁺) m/z: 258.1. Anal. Calcd for C₂₆H₂₃N₃O₃S: C, 68.25; H, 5.07; N, 9.18. Found: C, 67.96; H, 5.11; N, 9.36.

Photophysical properties

Absorption spectra were recorded on a UV-Vis Uvikon 941 (Kontron Instruments) spectrophotometer. Steady-state fluorescence measurements were carried out using a PTI Quanta Master spectrofluorimeter equipped with a xenon flash lamp as a light source, with single concave grating monochromators and Glan-Thompson polarizers in the excitation and emission paths. Detection was carried out with a photomultiplier cooled by a Peltier system. Slit widths were selected at 6 nm for both excitation and emission paths and polarizers were fixed under the 'magic angle' conditions. Fluorescence decay measurements were performed on a time-correlated single-photon-counting FL900 Edinburgh Instruments Spectrometer. A monochromatic NanoLed (Horiba) emitting at 335 nm was used as the excitation source. Double concave grating monochromators were employed at both excitation and emission paths. Photons were detected by a red-sensitive cooled (by a Peltier system) photomultiplier. The data acquisition was carried out using 1024 channels of a multichannel analyser with a time window width of 200 ns. A total of 10 000 counts in the maximum peak channel were taken for each measurement. The instrumental response function was regularly achieved by measuring the scattering of a Ludox solution. Intensity fluorescence profiles were fitted to multi-exponential decay functions using the iterative deconvolution method.³⁴ The equations used are given in the ESI.†

Theoretical protocols for molecular mechanics and molecular dynamics calculations

Molecular mechanics (MM) and molecular dynamics (MD) calculations were performed to study the forces responsible for the binding of quinolizinium dyes to DNA and to assess the stability of the system. Calculations were performed with Sybyl X-2.0³⁵ and the Tripos Force Field.³⁶ B-DNA helical fragments, whose charges were derived using the Gasteiger and Marsili method, contained twelve nucleotides with a CGCGAATTCGCG sequence (approximately the percentage of the ctDNA bases). Charges for ligands were obtained using the Gaussian suite of quantum chemical programs at the HF/6-31G(d) level of theory.³⁷ A relative permittivity $\varepsilon = 1$ was used for electrostatic contributions in the presence of explicit water ($\varepsilon = 3.5$ for some preliminary calculations under vacuum). Water solvation was performed using the Molecular Silverware (MS) algorithm and periodic boundary conditions (PBC).³⁸ Non-bonded cut-off distances for MM and for MD were set at 12 Å. Optimizations were carried out using the simplex algorithm and the

conjugate gradient was used as a termination method, with gradients of 3.0 kcal (mol Å)⁻¹ for the calculations carried out in water.³⁹ For further information and figures, see ESI.[†]

DNA binding experiments

DNA calf thymus binding. Concentrated solutions of ligands 4, 8 and 11 in DMSO (Aldrich, HPLC grade) were diluted with a phosphate buffered saline solution (PBS) at pH = 7.4 containing 5% DMSO to give concentrations of $1.90 \times$ 10^{-5} , 1.90×10^{-5} and 1.94×10^{-5} mol L⁻¹, respectively. Calf thymus DNA (high molecular weight sodium salt, ctDNA) was purchased from Aldrich and dissolved in Milli-Q water to obtain a $\sim 2 \text{ mg mL}^{-1}$ solution. The ctDNA concentration (per base pair) of this solution, determined by UV-Vis using ε (260 nm) = 13 200 M⁻¹ cm⁻¹, was 4.1 × 10⁻³ mol L^{-1.40} For titrations, 10 µL aliquots of this ctDNA solution were added to a 10 mm quartz cell containing 3 mL of the above ligand solutions and the samples were stirred prior to measurement to ensure temperature equilibration (10 min). At the end of the titration 170 µL of ctDNA was added and the ligand/DNA molar ratios reached values of approximately 1:12. The effect of ligand dilution after the addition of each aliquot did not give an error of more than 5% for the ligand concentration. Nevertheless, the fluorescence emission intensities were corrected due to this dilution. The derivation of the equations for the ligand-macromolecule binding is described in the ESI.†

DNA melting assay. Synthetic oligodeoxynucleotides with one strand 5'-end-labelled with the fluorophore 6-carboxyfluorescein (6-FAM, F) and the complementary strand 3'-end-labelled with the quencher tetramethylrhodamine (TAMRA, Q) were synthesized and purified by high-performance liquid chromatography as a single peak by biomers.net GmbH (Ulm, Germany). Annealing of each F-oligonucleotide with its complementary Q-oligonucleotide at a final duplex concentration of 0.1 μ mol L⁻¹ in Dulbecco's PBS buffer [137 mM NaCl, 2.7 mM KCl, 8.06 mM Na₂HPO₄, 1.47 mM KH₂PO₄ (pH 7.4), ionic strength 999999] was accomplished on a PTC-100 thermocycler (MJ Research) by first heating to 95 °C for 5 min and then gradually cooling down to 20 °C at a rate of 1 °C min⁻¹. Correct annealing was confirmed by monitoring the fluorecence quenching that takes place when F and Q are placed in close proximity in the formation of a double-stranded structure. The melting reactions were carried out in 96-well plates loaded with the preannealed double-stranded oligonucleotides in a total volume of 20 µL of PBS buffer at a final concentration of 0.1 μ mol L⁻¹ either in the absence or in the presence of each ligand. The ligand was dissolved in DMSO and serially diluted in the same solvent to yield final concentrations from 0.01 to 0.1 μ mol L⁻¹. The mixtures were incubated for 1 h at 37 °C before the melting assay was started in a 7500 Fast Real-Time PCR System (ABI Prism, Applied Biosystems, Foster City, CA, USA) by increasing the temperature at a rate of $1 \, {}^{\circ}\text{C} \, \text{min}^{-1}$ up to 95 °C. The changes in fluorescence at 517 nm in the FAM channel (excitation at 488 nm from the argon ion laser line) obtained as a function of the temperature were recorded and

the midpoint of the transition (T_m) was calculated. This allowed us to estimate the increases in melting temperatures (ΔT_m) brought about by ligand binding. A series of custom-designed oligonucleotides were designed to provide at least a single occurrence of all possible 3-base pair long and all possible palindromic 4-base pair long binding sites composed of either A and T or G and C bases. All measurements were carried out at least in duplicate. The raw data recovered from the instrument for each plate consisted of an array of FAM fluorescence values for each of the 96 wells at each temperature. These data were analysed to estimate the increases in melting temperatures (ΔT_m) brought about by ligand binding at the different concentrations analyzed. The numeric analysis was carried out using an in-house developed Visual Basic application running on Microsoft Excel (Microsoft, Redmond, WA, USA).

Acknowledgements

Financial support from the Spanish Ministerio de Economía y Competitividad (project CTQ2011-24715), Instituto de Salud Carlos III (REDinREN, RD12/0021/0014) and University of Alcalá (project CCG2013/EXP-036) and grants for P. B. and R. M. S. are gratefully acknowledged.

Notes and references

- 1 K. Bhadra and G. S. Kumar, *Med. Res. Rev.*, 2011, 31, 821.
- 2 M. J. Cho, A. J. Repta, C. C. Cheng, K. Y. Zee-Cheng,
 T. Higuchi and I. H. Pitman, *J. Pharm. Sci.*, 1975, 64, 1825.
- 3 H. Ihmels, K. Faulhaber, D. Vedaldi, F. Dall'Acqua and G. Viola, *Photochem. Photobiol.*, 2005, **81**, 1107.
- 4 D. Makhey, B. Gatto, C. Yu, A. Liu, L. F. Liu and E. J. LaVoie, *Bioorg. Med. Chem.*, 1996, 4, 781.
- 5 E. Mateos, V. L. Cebolla, L. Membrado, J. Vela, E. M. Gálvez, M. Matt and F. P. Cossio, *J. Chromatogr. A*, 2007, **1146**, 251.
- 6 H. Ihmels and A. Salbach, *Photochem. Photobiol.*, 2006, **82**, 1572.
- 7 P. Giri and G. S. Kumar, *Mini-Rev. Med. Chem.*, 2010, **10**, 568.
- 8 K. Bhadra and G. S. Kumar, *Biochim. Biophys. Acta*, 2011, **1810**, 485.
- 9 S. Hazra, M. Hossain and G. S. Kumar, *Mol. BioSyst.*, 2013, 9, 143.
- 10 J. Zhou, D. A. Sayre, Y. Zheng, H. Szmacinski and H. O. Sintim, *Anal. Chem.*, 2014, 86, 2412.
- 11 S. Hazra, M. Hossain and G. S. Kumar, J. Inclusion Phenom. Macrocyclic Chem., 2014, 78, 311.
- 12 (a) K. Benner, H. Ihmels, S. Kölsch and P. M. Pithan, Org. Biomol. Chem., 2014, 12, 1725; (b) R. Bortolozzi, H. Ihmels, L. Thomas, M. Tian and G. Viola, Chem. Eur. J., 2013, 19, 8736; (c) M. Tian, H. Ihmels and S. Ye, Org. Biomol. Chem.,

2012, **10**, 3010; (*d*) K. Faulhaber, A. Granzhan, H. Ihmels, D. Otto, L. Thomas and S. Wells, *Photochem. Photobiol. Sci.*, 2011, **10**, 1535; (*e*) H. Ihmels, *Sci. Synth., Knowl. Updates*, 2011, **1**, 75; (*f*) A. Granzhan, H. Ihmels and G. Viola, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2007, **129**, 1254; (*g*) H. Ihmels, *Sci. Synth.*, 2005, **15**, 907.

- 13 (a) C. Norez, C. Jayle, F. Becq and C. Vandebrouck, *Pulm. Pharmacol. Ther.*, 2014, 27, 38; (b) C. Norez, F. Bilan, A. Kitzis, Y. Mettey and F. Becq, *J. Pharmacol. Exp. Ther.*, 2008, 325, 89; (c) C. Marivingt-Mounir, C. Norez, R. Dérand, L. Bulteau-Pignoux, D. Nguyen-Huy, B. Viossat, G. Morgant, F. Becq, J.-M. Vierfond and Y. Mettey, *J. Med. Chem.*, 2004, 47, 962.
- 14 A. Paul and S. Bhattacharya, Curr. Sci., 2012, 102, 212.
- 15 H. Ihmels, B. Engels, K. Faulhaber and C. Lennartz, *Chem. Eur. J.*, 2000, **6**, 2854.
- 16 L. Strekowski, Heterocyclic Polymethine Dyes; Synthesis, Properties and Applications, in *Top. Heterocycl. Chem.*, Springer GmbH, 2008, p. 14.
- 17 (a) B. Abarca, R. Custodio, A. M. Cuadro, D. Sucunza, A. Domingo, F. Mendicuti, J. Álvarez-Builla and J. J. Vaquero, Org. Lett., 2014, 16, 3464; (b) A. Núñez, B. Abarca, A. M. Cuadro, J. Alvarez-Builla and J. J. Vaquero, Eur. J. Org. Chem., 2011, 1280; (c) A. Núñez, B. Abarca, A. M. Cuadro, J. Alvarez-Builla and J. J. Vaquero, J. Org. Chem., 2009, 74, 4166; (d) A. Núñez, A. M. Cuadro, J. Alvarez-Builla and J. J. Vaquero, 9, 2977.
- 18 (a) T. Cañeque, A. M. Cuadro, J. Alvarez-Builla and J. J. Vaquero, *Tetrahedron Lett.*, 2009, 50, 1419;
 (b) D. García-Cuadrado, A. M. Cuadro, J. Alvarez-Builla, U. Sancho, O. Castaño and J. J. Vaquero, *Org. Lett.*, 2006, 8, 5955; (c) D. García-Cuadrado, A. M. Cuadro, B. M. Barchin, A. Núñez, T. Cañeque, J. Alvarez-Builla and J. J. Vaquero, *J. Org. Chem.*, 2006, 71, 7989; (d) D. García, A. M. Cuadro, J. Alvarez-Builla and J. J. Vaquero, *Org. Lett.*, 2004, 6, 4175.
- (a) T. Cañeque, A. M. Cuadro, J. Alvarez-Builla, J. Pérez-Moreno, K. Clays, O. Castaño, J. L. Andrés and J. J. Vaquero, *Dyes Pigm.*, 2014, 101, 116; (b) E. Maçôas, G. Marcelo, S. Pinto, T. Cañeque, A. M. Cuadro, J. J. Vaquero and J. M. G. Martinho, *Chem. Commun.*, 2011, 47, 7374.
- 20 (a) V. Martínez, C. Burgos, J. Alvarez-Builla, G. Fernández,
 A. Domingo, R. García-Nieto, F. Gago, I. Manzanares,
 C. Cuevas and J. J. Vaquero, J. Med. Chem., 2004, 47, 1136;
 (b) A. Molina, J. J. Vaquero, J. L. García-Navío, J. Alvarez-Builla, B. Pascual-Teresa, F. Gago and M. M. Rodrigo,
 J. Org. Chem., 1999, 64, 3907.
- 21 V. García-Hernández, Ph.D. Thesis, University of Alcalá, 2009.
- 22 J. Siro, A. Ramos, J. J. Vaquero, J. Alvarez-Builla and J. L. García-Navío, *Tetrahedron*, 2000, 56, 2469.
- 23 (a) J. Pastor, J. G. Siro, J. L. García-Navío, J. J. Vaquero, J. Alvarez-Builla, F. Gago, B. de Pascual-Teresa, M. Pastor and M. M. Rodrigo, *J. Org. Chem.*, 1997, 62, 5476;
 (b) M. P. Matia, J. L. García-Navío, J. J. Vaquero and J. Alvarez-Builla, *Liebigs Ann. Chem.*, 1992, 777.

- 24 R. Carceller, J. L. García-Navío, M. L. Izquierdo, J. Alvarez-Builla, M. Fajardo, P. Gómez-Sal and F. Gago, *Tetrahedron*, 1994, 50, 4995.
- 25 I. Santiesteban, J. Siro, J. J. Vaquero, J. L. Garcia-Navio,
 J. Alvarez-Builla and O. Castaño, *J. Org. Chem.*, 1996, 60, 5667.
- 26 M. Pereira and V. Thiery, Org. Lett., 2012, 14, 4754.
- 27 Z. Xu, N. J. Singh, S. K. Kim, D. R. Spring, K. S. Kim and J. Yoon, *Chem. – Eur. J.*, 2011, **17**, 1163.
- 28 J. Mendiola, J. A. Rincón, C. Mateos, J. F. Soriano, O. de Frutos, J. K. Niemeier and E. M. Davis, *Org. Process Res. Dev.*, 2009, 13, 263.
- 29 F. Mendicuti and W. L. Mattice, *Polym. Bull.*, 1989, 22, 557.
- 30 (a) H. Ihmels, K. Faulhaber, K. Wissel, G. Bringmann, K. Messer, G. Viola and D. Vedaldi, *Eur. J. Org. Chem.*, 2001, 1157; (b) M. Torimura, S. Kurata, K. Yamada, T. Yokomaku, Y. Kamagata, T. Kanagawa and R. Kurane, *Anal. Sci.*, 2001, 17, 155; (c) C. A. M. Seidel, A. Schulz and M. H. M. Sauer, *J. Phys. Chem.*, 1996, 100, 5541.
- 31 (a) M. Ardhammar, B. Nordén and T. Kurucsev, in *Circular Dichroism: Principles and Applications*, ed. N. Berova, K. Nakanishi and R. W. Woody, Wiley-VCH, Weinheim, 2000, p. 741; (b) W. C. Johnson, in *Circular Dichroism: Principles and Applications*, ed. N. Berova, K. Nakanishi and R. W. Woody, Wiley-VCH, Weinheim, 2000; p. 703.
- 32 (a) M. N. Dehkordi, A.-K. Bordbar, P. Lincoln and V. Mirkhani, Spectrochim. Acta, Part A, 2012, 90, 50;
 (b) K. Triantafillidi, K. Karidi, O. Novakova, J. Malina and A. Garoufis, Dalton Trans., 2011, 40, 472; (c) J. Kypr, I. Kejnovska, D. Renciuk and M. Vorlickova, Nucleic Acids Res., 2009, 37, 1713; (d) K. Karidi, A. Garoufis, N. Hadjiliadis and J. Reedijk, Dalton Trans., 2005, 728.
- 33 (a) S. Basili, A. Bergen, F. Dall'Acqua, A. Faccio,
 A. Granzhan, H. Ihmels, S. Moro and G. Viola, *Biochemistry*,
 2007, 46, 12721; (b) B. Nordén and T. Kurucsev, *J. Mol. Recognit.*, 1994, 7, 141.
- 34 D. V. O'Connor, W. R. Ware and J. C. Andre, J. Phys. Chem., 1979, 83, 1333.
- 35 Sybyl-X 2.0, Tripos International, 1699 South Hanley Rd., St. Louis, Missouri, 63144, USA.
- 36 M. Clark, R. D. Cramer III and O. N. Van, *J. Comput. Chem.*, 1989, **10**, 982.
- M. J. Frisch, H. B. Schlegel, G. E. Scuseria, M. A. Robb, J. R. Cheeseman, G. Scalmani, V. Barone, B. Mennucci, G. A. Petersson, H. Nakatsuji, M. Caricato, X. Li, H. P. Hratchian, A. F. Izmaylov, J. Bloino, G. Zheng, J. L. Sonnenberg, M. Hada, M. Ehara, K. Toyota, R. Fukuda, J. Hasegawa, M. Ishida, T. Nakajima, Y. Honda, O. Kitao, H. Nakai, T. Vreven, J. A. Montgomery, J. E. Peralta, F. Ogliaro, M. Bearpark, J. J. Heyd, E. Brothers, K. N. Kudin, V. N. Staroverov, R. Kobayashi, J. Normand, K. Raghavachari, A. Rendell, J. C. Burant, S. S. Iyengar, J. Tomasi, M. Cossi, N. Rega, J. M. Millam, M. Klene, J. E. Knox, J. B. Cross, V. Bakken, C. Adamo, J. Jaramillo, R. Gomperts, R. E. Stratmann, O. Yazyev, A. J. Austin, R. Cammi, C. Pomelli, J. W. Ochterski, R. L. Martin,

Paper

K. Morokuma, V. G. Zakrzewski, G. A. Voth, P. Salvador, J. J. Dannenberg, S. Dapprich, A. D. Daniels, Ö. Farkas, J. B. Foresman, J. V. Ortiz, J. Cioslowski and D. J. Fox, *Gaussian 09, Revision A.1.*, Gaussian, Inc., Wallingford, CT, USA, 2009.

- 38 M. Blanco, J. Comput. Chem., 1991, 12, 237.
- 39 Y. Brunel, H. Faucher, D. Gagnaire and A. Rassat, *Tetrahedron*, 1975, **31**, 1075.
- 40 A. W. McConnaughie and T. C. Jenkins, *J. Med. Chem.*, 1995, **38**, 3488.