

Article

## Formal Syntheses of (±)-Platensimycin and (±)-Platencin via a Dual-Mode Lewis Acid Induced Cascade Cyclization Approach

Lizhi Zhu, Congshan Zhou, Wei Yang, Shuzhong He, Gui-Juan Cheng, Xinhao Zhang, and Chi-Sing Lee

*J. Org. Chem.*, **Just Accepted Manuscript** • DOI: 10.1021/jo401105q • Publication Date (Web): 17 Jul 2013

Downloaded from <http://pubs.acs.org> on August 1, 2013

### Just Accepted

"Just Accepted" manuscripts have been peer-reviewed and accepted for publication. They are posted online prior to technical editing, formatting for publication and author proofing. The American Chemical Society provides "Just Accepted" as a free service to the research community to expedite the dissemination of scientific material as soon as possible after acceptance. "Just Accepted" manuscripts appear in full in PDF format accompanied by an HTML abstract. "Just Accepted" manuscripts have been fully peer reviewed, but should not be considered the official version of record. They are accessible to all readers and citable by the Digital Object Identifier (DOI®). "Just Accepted" is an optional service offered to authors. Therefore, the "Just Accepted" Web site may not include all articles that will be published in the journal. After a manuscript is technically edited and formatted, it will be removed from the "Just Accepted" Web site and published as an ASAP article. Note that technical editing may introduce minor changes to the manuscript text and/or graphics which could affect content, and all legal disclaimers and ethical guidelines that apply to the journal pertain. ACS cannot be held responsible for errors or consequences arising from the use of information contained in these "Just Accepted" manuscripts.



**ACS Publications**  
High quality. High impact.

The Journal of Organic Chemistry is published by the American Chemical Society.  
1155 Sixteenth Street N.W., Washington, DC 20036  
Published by American Chemical Society. Copyright © American Chemical Society.  
However, no copyright claim is made to original U.S. Government works, or works  
produced by employees of any Commonwealth realm Crown government in the course  
of their duties.

# Formal Syntheses of (±)-Platensimycin and (±)-Platencin via a Dual-Mode Lewis Acid Induced Cascade Cyclization Approach


Lizhi Zhu,<sup>†</sup> Congshan Zhou,<sup>†,‡</sup> Wei Yang,<sup>†</sup> Shuzhong He,<sup>†</sup> Gui-Juan Cheng,<sup>†</sup> Xinhao Zhang<sup>†,\*</sup> and Chi-Sing Lee<sup>†,\*</sup>

<sup>†</sup> Laboratory of Chemical Genomics, School of Chemical Biology and Biotechnology, Peking University Shenzhen Graduate School, Shenzhen University Town, Xili, Shenzhen 518055, China

<sup>‡</sup> College of Chemistry and Chemical Engineering, Hunan Institute of Science and Technology, Yueyang 414006, China

\* E-mail: zhangxh@pkusz.edu.cn, lizc@pkusz.edu.cn

## Table of Contents/Abstract Graphic




## Abstract

A mild and efficient dual-mode Lewis acid induced Diels Alder (DA)/carbocyclization cascade cyclization reaction has been developed for construction of the tricyclic core of *ent*-kaurenoids in one pot with the aid of a theoretical study on the  $\pi,\sigma$ -Lewis acidities of a variety of Lewis acids. With  $\text{ZnBr}_2$  as the dual-mode Lewis acid, a series of substituted enones and dienes underwent DA/carbocyclization cascade cyclization reaction smoothly at room temperature and provided the tricyclic cyclized products in one pot with good yields and high diastereoselectivity. The tricyclic cyclized product has been successfully utilized as a common intermediate for formal syntheses of ( $\pm$ )-platensimycin and ( $\pm$ )-platencin.

## Introduction

Platensimycin (**1**)<sup>1</sup> and Platencin (**2**)<sup>2</sup> are potent bacterial type II fatty acid biosynthesis inhibitors, which were isolated from *Streptomyces patensis* MA 7327 and 7339 by a research group at Merck & Co., Inc. in 2006 and 2007 respectively. Both platensimycin (**1**) and platencin (**2**) bear the same 3-amino-2,4-dihydroxybenzoic acid side-chain with a different cage structure (an oxatetracyclic structure for platensimycin and a tricyclic carbocycle for platencin) (Figure 1). A recent study suggested that the biosynthesis of platensimycin (**1**) involved an *ent*-kaurene type intermediate that was derived from *ent*-copalyl pyrophosphate (CPP).<sup>3-4</sup> Platensimycin (**1**) is a potent and selective inhibitor of FabF (the enzyme that catalyzed the elongation step in bacterial fatty acid synthesis),<sup>1</sup> while

1  
2  
3  
4 platencin (**2**) is a moderate inhibitor of both FabF and FabH (the enzyme that  
5  
6 catalyzed the initial condensation step in bacterial fatty acid synthesis).<sup>2</sup> With their  
7  
8 unique mode of biological actions, these natural products showed potent antibacterial  
9  
10 activities against a broad spectrum of multi-drug resistant Gram-positive pathogens,  
11  
12 including methicillin-resistant *Staphylococcus aureus* (MRSA) and  
13  
14 vancomycin-resistant *Enterococcus* (VRE) with no observed toxicity.<sup>5-9</sup> However, the  
15  
16 development of platensimycin (**1**) and platencin (**2**) into promising drug candidates  
17  
18 has been greatly limited by their poor in vivo efficacy and pharmacokinetic  
19  
20 properties.<sup>10</sup> As such, a tremendous amount of effort<sup>11-18</sup> has been invested in the  
21  
22 synthesis of platensimycin<sup>19-51</sup> and platencin<sup>52-72</sup> as well as their structural analogues.  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28 Up to now, only a very limited number of platensimycin and platencin analogues have  
29  
30 been reported to be more potent than the parent natural products;<sup>43</sup> and the  
31  
32 development of structural analogues of platensimycin (**1**) and platencin (**2**) with  
33  
34 improved in vivo efficacy and pharmacokinetic properties remains a challenge.  
35  
36  
37  
38 Therefore, developing an efficient and versatile synthetic entry to platensimycin and  
39  
40 platencin analogues is important for facilitating the development of these natural  
41  
42 products into promising leads in drug discovery.  
43  
44  
45  
46  
47  
48  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60




**FIGURE 1.** Tricyclic core of *ent*-kaurenoids (**I**) and examples of *ent*-kaurene related natural products

Dual-mode Lewis acids<sup>73-77</sup> are useful for developing cascade cyclization reactions since they can induce cyclization reactions via forming  $\sigma$ - and/or  $\pi$ -complexes with the substrates as well as the intermediate(s) that are generated *in situ*. We are particularly interested in developing dual mode Lewis acid induced cascade cyclization reactions for natural product syntheses since they can often construct the core structure of the synthetic target in a single operation under mild conditions.<sup>78-83</sup> Recently, we have developed the  $\text{ZnBr}_2$  catalyzed Diels Alder (DA)/carbocyclization cascade cyclization reaction for the rapid construction of *cis*-hydrindanes and demonstrated its utilities in natural product synthesis.<sup>80</sup> As such, we have decided to employ this strategy for developing a new cascade cyclization reaction that could give a rapid access to the tricyclic fused ring system **I** (Figure 1), which is an important structural motif of the *ent*-kaurene related natural products<sup>84-86</sup> and is anticipated to provide rapid access to the cage structures of platensimycin (**1**)

and platencin (**2**).

As shown in Figure 2, our strategy involved a Lewis acid induced DA cycloaddition of enone **3a** with diene **4a**. The resulting silyl enol ether of the DA adduct **5a** could undergo intramolecular carbocyclization with the alkyne to form the bicycle[3.2.1]octane moiety of **6a** in a one-pot manner. This strategy requires a mild dual-mode Lewis acid that can form  $\sigma$ -complexes with enone **3a** for inducing the DA cycloaddition, and  $\pi$ -complexes with intermediate **5a** for inducing the carbocyclization without causing hydrolysis of silyl enol ethers **4a** and **5a**. We have previously reported the dual-mode Lewis acid induced DA/carbocyclization cascade cyclization reaction for construction of the 6,6,5-tricyclic cyclized product **6a**, and its application in the formal synthesis of platensimycin (**1**).<sup>83</sup> We herein report the computational and experimental details on the method development, and demonstrate the utilities of the cascade reaction by employing the cyclized product (**6a**) as a common building block for the formal syntheses of ( $\pm$ )-platensimycin (**1**) and ( $\pm$ )-platencin (**2**).









**FIGURE 2.** Rapid access to the 6,6,5-tricyclic fused ring system via the dual-mode Lewis acid induced cascade cyclization approach

## Results and Discussion

## 1. Theoretical investigation of the binding enthalpies of Lewis acids towards $\sigma$ - and $\pi$ -electrons

In search of a suitable dual-mode Lewis acid for developing the DA/carbocyclization cascade cyclization reaction, the binding enthalpies between a variety of Lewis acids and the  $\pi/\sigma$  complex partners, including propene/acetaldehyde (Table 1) and styrene/benzaldehyde (Table S1 in Supporting Information), were evaluated by density-functional theory (DFT) calculations. As shown in Table 1 and Table S1, only slight differences in binding enthalpies were found between the alkyl and aryl compounds. In general, Lewis acids based on the main-group elements, such as  $\text{MgX}_2$  and  $\text{AlX}_3$ , have stronger  $\sigma$ -binding than  $\pi$ -binding. On the other hand, the  $\pi$ -binding enthalpies of the transition metal based Lewis acids, such as  $\text{AuCl}$  and  $\text{Pd}(\text{OAc})_2$ , are higher, owing to the  $\pi$  back-bonding from the d-electrons of the transition metal to  $\pi^*$  orbital of substrates. These results are consistent with a similar theoretical study on the B3LYP/SDD values of a smaller set of Lewis acids reported by Yamamoto.<sup>75</sup>

**TABLE 1.** Binding enthalpies (in  $\text{kcal mol}^{-1}$ ) of Lewis acids towards propene and acetaldehyde and the differences calculated at M06/BSI<sup>a</sup>


									
Entry	LA			$\Delta H_{\pi} - \Delta H_{\sigma}$	Entry	LA			$\Delta H_{\pi} - \Delta H_{\sigma}$
1	$\text{AuCl}$	-41.9	-26.9	-15.0	16	$\text{Zn}(\text{OTf})_2$	-24.4	-27.5	3.1
2	$\text{Pd}(\text{OAc})_2$	-16.8	-3.2	-13.6	17	$\text{ZnCl}_2$	-20.5	-24.1	3.6
3	$\text{AuCl}_3$	-45.6	-33.9	-11.7	18	$\text{BF}_3$	-6.7	-11.5	4.8
4	$\text{CuCl}$	-37.8	-30.7	-7.1	19	$\text{BCl}_3$	-5.6	-11.4	5.8
5	$\text{AgCl}$	-27.3	-20.5	-6.8	20	$\text{InBr}_3$	-22.8	-28.6	5.8

6	AgOTf	-34.9	-28.4	-6.5	21	InCl <sub>3</sub>	-23.1	-29.5	6.4
7	CuI	-33.7	-28.0	-5.7	22	TiCl <sub>4</sub>	-5.9	-12.4	6.5
8	CuCl <sub>2</sub>	-24.9	-21.7	-3.2	23	In(OTf) <sub>3</sub>	-14.2	-20.8	6.6
9	Ni(acac) <sub>2</sub>	-7.4	-5.2	-2.2	24	SnCl <sub>4</sub>	-7.3	-15.4	8.1
10	Cu(OAc) <sub>2</sub>	-10.3	-10.6	0.3	25	MgBr <sub>2</sub>	-19.0	-28.2	9.2
11	HgCl <sub>2</sub>	-13.1	-14.4	1.3	26	MgCl <sub>2</sub>	-18.6	-28.0	9.4
12	FeBr <sub>3</sub>	-28.9	-30.8	1.9	27	AlMe <sub>2</sub> Cl	-12.8	-23.3	10.5
13	ZnI <sub>2</sub>	-18.8	-21.3	2.5	28	AlEt <sub>2</sub> Cl	-13.4	-24.2	10.8
14	FeCl <sub>3</sub>	-29.3	-32.1	2.8	29	AlCl <sub>3</sub>	-20.4	-31.8	11.4
15	ZnBr <sub>2</sub>	-20.7	-23.8	3.1					

<sup>a</sup> See the experimental section for the computational methods.

To induce the DA/carbocyclization cascade cyclization reaction, the  $\pi/\sigma$ -binding energies of the Lewis acid should be high enough to promote sequential cyclization reactions. To identify the appropriate Lewis acid for the cascade reaction, the  $\pi$ -binding enthalpies ( $\Delta H_{\pi}$ ) were plotted against the differences of  $\pi/\sigma$ -binding enthalpies ( $\Delta H_{\pi} - \Delta H_{\sigma}$ ). As shown in Figure 3, Zn(II), In(III) and Fe(III) based Lewis acids have similar properties (within the box in Figure 3) with  $\pi$ -binding enthalpies of -10 ~ -30 kcal mol<sup>-1</sup> and  $\sigma/\pi$ -binding enthalpy differences ( $\Delta H_{\pi} - \Delta H_{\sigma}$ ) of -2 ~ -7 kcal mol<sup>-1</sup>. More importantly, we have previously demonstrated that In(III) and Zn(II) are effective dual-mode Lewis acids for inducing the Prins/Conia-ene<sup>78,81</sup> and Michael/Conia-ene<sup>79,82</sup> cascade cyclization reaction respectively. Taking these results into consideration, we identified a sub-group of Lewis acids that are promising for the development of the DA/carbocyclization cascade cyclization reaction.






**FIGURE 3.** The plot of  $\pi$ -binding enthalpies ( $\Delta H_{\pi}$ ) versus the differences of  $\pi/\sigma$  binding enthalpies ( $\Delta H_{\pi} - \Delta H_{\sigma}$ )

## 2. Screening of dual-mode Lewis acids

Based on the above analysis, the reaction between enone **3a** and diene **4a** was studied using a variety of In(III), Zn(II) and Fe(III) based Lewis acids. As shown in Table 2, InCl<sub>3</sub> in acetonitrile led to an 80% yield of side-product **7**, which could be formed via hydration of the alkyne moiety of **3a** even under anhydrous conditions (Table 2, entry 1). However, employing the same condition for various protected but-3-yn-1-ols did not lead to any methyl ketone products (data not shown). These results indicated that the ketone moiety of **3a** could be cyclized with the In(III)-activated alkyne and form the cyclic enol ether intermediate (**9-[In]** in Figure 5), which could lead to the methyl ketone side-product (**7**) upon hydrolysis. Switching the Lewis acid to InBr<sub>3</sub> resulted in only a trace amount of the expected cyclized

product (**6a**) along with 60% of **7** (entry 2). In(OTf)<sub>3</sub> also gave side-product **7** in 90% yield (Table 2, entry 3). Switching the solvent to dichloromethane resulted in rapid decomposition of the substrates (Table 2, entry 4-6).

**TABLE 2.** In(III) as the dual-mode Lewis acid for the cascade reaction<sup>a</sup>




Entry	Lewis acid	Solvent	Yield <sup>b</sup> ( <b>6a</b> )	Yield <sup>b</sup> ( <b>7</b> )
1	InCl <sub>3</sub>	CH <sub>3</sub> CN	-	80
2	InBr <sub>3</sub>	CH <sub>3</sub> CN	trace	60
3	In(OTf) <sub>3</sub>	CH <sub>3</sub> CN	-	90
4	InCl <sub>3</sub>	CH <sub>2</sub> Cl <sub>2</sub>	-	-
5	InBr <sub>3</sub>	CH <sub>2</sub> Cl <sub>2</sub>	-	-
6	In(OTf) <sub>3</sub>	CH <sub>2</sub> Cl <sub>2</sub>	-	-

<sup>a</sup> The general procedures were followed. <sup>b</sup> Isolated yields (%) after silica gel column chromatography.

The activities of a number of Zn(II) and Fe(III) based Lewis acids were then investigated. As shown in Table 3, no cyclization was observed when using Zn(II) triflate or halides in acetonitrile (Table 3, entry 1-4). FeCl<sub>3</sub>/CH<sub>3</sub>CN resulted in the hydrolysis of **4a** (Table 3, entry 5). Switching the solvent to dichloromethane with Zn(II) triflate did not give any cyclized product (Table 3, entry 6), and the silyl enol ether diene (**4a**) was hydrolyzed slowly under these reaction conditions. Finally, we found that the cascade cyclization went smoothly by using Zn(II) halides in dichloromethane and afforded the tricyclic product (**6a**) bearing the *cis*-decalin

efficiently and diastereoselectively (Table 3, entry 7-9). The reaction of **3a** and **4a** in the presence of FeCl<sub>3</sub> in CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub> led to about 40% of **6a** (Table 3, entry 10). Silyl enol ether **4a** was hydrolyzed rapidly under these conditions. The optimal reaction conditions are ZnBr<sub>2</sub> (1.5 equiv) in CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub> at room temperature for 12 hours. These mild conditions afforded the expected cyclized product (**6a**) in 86% yield as a single diastereomer (Table 3, entry 8), which was characterized unambiguously by NMR experiments<sup>87</sup> and X-ray crystallography<sup>88</sup>. Interestingly, Zn(OTf)<sub>2</sub>/LiBr in CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub> also afforded good yields of cyclized product **6a** (Table 3, entry 11), suggesting that the addition of LiBr could facilitate the formation of a Zn(II) bromide dimer, which is presumably one of the active species under the reaction conditions.<sup>89</sup> However, ZnBr<sub>2</sub>/LiBr gave only a trace amount of **6a** (Table 3, entry 12) hence the effect of LiBr is not clear in this situation. The extra LiBr might occupy the vacant site of the active species or promote the formation of unreactive metal halide clusters. A brief survey on the effects of solvents showed that ZnBr<sub>2</sub> in chloroform afforded a similar yield (Table 3, entry 13), but THF, 1,4-dioxane, toluene or hexanes did not provide any cyclized product (Table 3, entry 14-17). The silyl enol ether diene (**4a**) was hydrolyzed slowly under these conditions. Reducing the loading of ZnBr<sub>2</sub> to 0.3 equivalents led to an incomplete reaction, and afforded only a 30% yield of **6a** (Table 3, entry 18). Increasing the reaction temperature resulted in a similar result (Table 3, entry 19).

**TABLE 3.** Zn(II) and Fe(III) as the dual-mode Lewis acid for the cascade reaction<sup>a</sup>


					
Entry	Lewis acid	Equiv	Solvent	Temp	Yield <sup>c</sup>

<sup>a</sup> The general procedures were followed. <sup>b</sup> one equivalent of LiBr was added. <sup>c</sup> Isolated yields (%) after silica gel column chromatography.

Since Al(III) based Lewis acids are known effective promoters for DA cycloadditions and are reported to be effective for carbocyclization with *endo*-selectivity,<sup>90</sup> a variety of Al(III) Lewis acids were studied. As shown in Table 4, no reaction was observed with EtAlCl<sub>2</sub> in acetonitrile and the silyl enol ether was hydrolyzed under these conditions (Table 4, entry 1). Switching the solvent to toluene

gave only the DA adduct **5a**, which was then hydrolyzed and gave modest yields of side-product **5b** (Table 4, entry 2) after aqueous work-up. Switching the solvent to CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub> provided only a trace amount of the 6,6,5-tricyclic product (**6a**) along with about 30-40% of side-product **5b** after aqueous work-up (Table 4, entry 3), while the potential 6,6,6-tricyclic product was not observed. Et<sub>2</sub>AlCl or Me<sub>2</sub>AlCl in CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub> followed by addition of ZnBr<sub>2</sub> afforded 40 and 60% yields of the 6,6,5-tricyclic product **6a** respectively (Table 4, entry 4-5). These results indicated that Al(III) behaved more like an  $\sigma$ -Lewis acid in our system, which is consistent with the results of the above theoretical study.

**TABLE 4.** Al(III) as the dual-mode Lewis acid for the cascade reaction<sup>a</sup>




Entry	Lewis acid	Solvent	Temp	Yield <sup>b</sup> ( <b>6a</b> )	Yield <sup>b</sup> ( <b>5b</b> )
1	EtAlCl <sub>2</sub>	CH <sub>3</sub> CN	0 °C to rt	-	-
2	EtAlCl <sub>2</sub>	Toluene	0 °C to rt	-	30
3	EtAlCl <sub>2</sub>	CH <sub>2</sub> Cl <sub>2</sub>	0 °C to rt	Trace	40
4	Et <sub>2</sub> AlCl/ZnBr <sub>2</sub>	CH <sub>2</sub> Cl <sub>2</sub>	-78 °C to rt	40	-
5	Me <sub>2</sub> AlCl/ZnBr <sub>2</sub>	CH <sub>2</sub> Cl <sub>2</sub>	-78 °C to rt	76	-

<sup>a</sup> The general procedures were followed. <sup>b</sup> Isolated yields (%) after silica column chromatography.

### 3. Computational investigation on the mechanism of the cascade reaction

The mechanism of the DA/carbocyclization cascade cyclization reaction was studied by DFT calculations. The potential energy surfaces (PES) of the cascade

reaction, with and without  $\text{ZnCl}_2$ , are shown in Figure 4. The free energy barrier of the DA reaction between unactivated **3a** and **4a** was calculated to be 32.1 kcal/mol, implying that the reaction is unlikely to take place at room temperature. In the presence of  $\text{ZnCl}_2$ , the free energy barrier for DA reaction is reduced to 25.4 kcal mol<sup>-1</sup> (blue curve in Figure 4).  $\text{ZnCl}_2$  activates **3a** through a  $\sigma$ -coordination to the carbonyl group. Following the rate-determining Diels Alder reaction, **5a-[Zn]- $\pi,\sigma$**  undergoes carbocyclization with a free energy barrier of 17.9 kcal mol<sup>-1</sup>. Inspired by Yu's work,<sup>89</sup> we also considered the possibility of dimeric  $[\text{ZnCl}_2]_2$  as a catalyst. Indeed, the reaction catalyzed by  $[\text{ZnCl}_2]_2$  was calculated to be more favorable with an overall activation free energy of 22.2 kcal mol<sup>-1</sup>.  $[\text{ZnCl}_2]_2$  may bind to carbonyl and alkynyl with two Zn atoms, thus decreasing the strains. More importantly, dimeric  $[\text{ZnCl}_2]_2$  stabilizes **8-[Zn]** by distributing the negative charges over the dimeric Zn moiety. The computational finding of the dimeric model could also account for the different reactivities of  $\text{Zn}(\text{OTf})_2$  and  $\text{Zn}(\text{OTf})_2/\text{LiBr}$  (entries 6 and 11 in Table 3).




**FIGURE 4.** Potential Energy Surfaces of the cascade cyclization reaction of enone with diene in the absence (red lines) and presence of  $\text{ZnCl}_2$  (blue line) or  $(\text{ZnCl}_2)_2$  (green line) calculated at

M06/BSII//M06/BSI. Relative free energies at 298K are given in kcal mol<sup>-1</sup>

#### 4. A comparison study on the effect of different Lewis acids

To understand the different reactivity of In(III), Al(III) and Zn(II) based Lewis acids, further DFT calculations were conducted. The three potential energy surfaces of the reactions involving InCl<sub>3</sub>, AlMe<sub>2</sub>Cl, or [ZnCl<sub>2</sub>]<sub>2</sub> were shown in Figure 5. The DA/carbocyclization cascade cyclization reaction is shown on the right side, while the competitive heterocyclization is shown on the left side. The results of the DFT calculations suggest different behaviors of these three Lewis acids. The [ZnCl<sub>2</sub>]<sub>2</sub> catalyzed cascade reaction has been discussed above. Compared to the  $\sigma$ -complex **3a-[Zn]- $\pi,\sigma$** , the initial  $\pi$ -complex **3a-[Zn]- $\pi$**  is less favorable by 7.3 kcal mol<sup>-1</sup>. The relative free energy of the transition state for heterocyclization **HCy-TS-[Zn]** is lower than that of the DA reaction **DA-TS-[Zn]**. However, the following intermediate **9-[Zn]** is rather unstable, with a reaction free energy of 11.0 kcal mol<sup>-1</sup> referring to **3a-[M]**. This implies that the cascade reaction is much more favorable thermodynamically.



**FIGURE 5.** Potential-energy surfaces computed for the reaction of enone with diene catalyzed by  $\text{AlMe}_2\text{Cl}$  (red),  $[\text{ZnCl}_2]_2$  (green) or  $\text{InCl}_3$  (blue) calculated at M06/BSII//M06/BSI. Relative free energies at 298K are given in  $\text{kcal mol}^{-1}$ .

For the case with  $\text{InCl}_3$ , both the activation free energy and reaction free energy for the heterocyclization are significantly lower than those of  $\text{ZnCl}_2$ . Combining the DFT results with the experimental observations, we propose that  $\text{InCl}_3$  preferentially mediated the intramolecular heterocyclic reaction which would lead to the product **9-[In]** and then the side product **7** after hydrolysis. The  $\text{AlMe}_2\text{Cl}$  mediated heterocyclization, however, is highly unfavorable (similar to that of  $\text{ZnCl}_2$ ). Furthermore, the weak  $\text{Al}-\pi$  interaction cannot activate the carbocyclization process both kinetically and thermodynamically. The free energy the barrier is 9.1  $\text{kcal/mol}$  higher than that of the  $\text{ZnCl}_2$  catalyzed process and the intermediate is 12.0  $\text{kcal/mol}$  higher in free energy than the DA intermediate, implying that the reaction catalyzed



by  $\text{AlMe}_2\text{Cl}$  is likely to stop at the first step. This is consistent with the experimental results.

Based on this theoretical study,  $\text{InCl}_3$  is a better  $\pi$ -Lewis acid that activates the triple bond to promote the intramolecular heterocyclic reactions of the enone.  $\text{AlMe}_2\text{Cl}$  is a better  $\sigma$ -Lewis acid that can only promote the DA reaction by coordinating to the carbonyl as a  $\sigma$ -Lewis acid whereas  $\text{ZnCl}_2$  is a dual-mode Lewis acid that efficiently induces the cascade cyclization reaction, acting both as a  $\sigma$  and then as a  $\pi$  Lewis acid.

























## 5. Study on the scope of substrates

With the optimal conditions in hand, the scope of the substrates was studied with a series of substituted enones (**3a-h**) and dienes (**4a-c**). As shown in Table 5, methyl substituents at C1 to C4 of the enone were well tolerated and gave comparable yields and diastereoselectivity of the cyclized products (**6b-e**) (Table 5, entry 2-5). The diastereoselectivities of **6b-c** would be rationalized based on the preliminary conformational analysis in Figure 6. Addition of diene **4a** is expected from the face that is *anti* to the methyl substituent. More importantly, the geminal dimethyl substituent at C3 also afforded good yields of cyclized product **6f** (Table 5, entry 6). This result indicated that a quaternary carbon center adjacent to the reactive site can be tolerated under this cyclization condition. Introducing an OTBS moiety at C5 also afforded good yields and good diastereoselectivity (Table 5, entry 7). The observed diastereoselectivity of **6g** would be rationalized by a non-chelating Felkin-Anh model in Figure 6. The OTBS group preferentially orientates *anti* to the carbonyl for


minimization of the dipole, and addition of diene **4a** is anticipated from the face that is *anti* to the OTBS group. These results indicate that these substituted enones and dienes not only afford comparable results, but also provide a handle for developing asymmetric reactions via substrate control. Moreover, the 7-membered enone (**3h**) also gave good yields and good diastereoselectivity for the cyclized product **6h** (Table 5, entry 8), which could be a useful building block for the syntheses of grayanane-type diterpenes.<sup>91</sup> Diene **4b**, which bears two methyl substituents at the reactive site (C6) for the DA cycloaddition also reacted smoothly and gave the cyclized product (**6i**) as a single diastereomer (Table 5, entry 9).<sup>88</sup> The intermediate that arose from the double Michael reaction pathway was not observed under these reaction conditions. Diene **4c**, which bears a methyl group at C7 (the reactive site for the carbocyclization), also afforded a very good yield (91%) of the cyclized product (**6j**) diastereoselectively (Table 5, entry 10).

**TABLE 5.** The study on the scope of substrates.<sup>a</sup>

Entry	Enones	Dienes	Product	Yields <sup>b</sup> (dr)
1				86 <sup>c</sup>
2				81 (8:1)

3				88 <sup>c</sup>
4				85 (16:1)
5				80 <sup>c</sup>
6				80 <sup>c</sup>
7				75 (7:1)
8				82 <sup>c</sup>
9				79 <sup>c</sup>
10				91 <sup>c</sup>


<sup>a</sup> The general procedures were followed. <sup>b</sup> Isolated yields (%) after silica gel column chromatography. <sup>c</sup> A single diastereomer.



**FIGURE 6.** A rationale for the observed diastereoselectivity of **6b-d** and **6g**.

## 6. Applications in natural product synthesis


To demonstrate the utility of this cascade cyclization reaction, the cyclized product **6a** was employed as a common precursor for the formal synthesis of platensimycin (**1**) and platencin (**2**). The retrosynthesis of **1** is showed in Scheme 1. The cage structure of the Snider's intermediate<sup>21</sup> (**10**) could be established via selective reduction of ketone **11**, followed by acid-induced cationic cyclization. Ketone **11** could be obtained via 1,2-carbonyl migration of compound **12**, which could be prepared readily by differentiation of the two ketone moieties of **6a**. The retrosynthesis of **2** also employs **6a** as the starting material. As shown in Scheme 1, the bicyclo[2.2.2]octane moiety of the Nicolaou's intermediate<sup>52</sup> (**13**) is expected to be established by radical rearrangement of **14**, which could be obtained from **15** via a 1,3-allylic rearrangement. Compound **15** could be also obtained from **6a** via differentiation of the ketone moieties.



**SCHEME 1.** Retrosynthetic analysis of platensimycin **1** and platencin **2** from **6a**

## 7. Formal synthesis of ( $\pm$ )-platensimycin **1**

Since both synthetic strategies involve the differentiation of two ketone moieties in **6a**, the conditions for direction reaction with the ketones were first investigated. Based on the preliminary conformational analysis of **6a**, the two ketone moieties should have significant differences in the steric hindrance that could be exploited for differentiation. However, treatment with 1 equivalent of a bulky hydride, such as L, K-selectride or DIBAL, resulted in a roughly 3:1 mixture of **16a** and **16b** (Scheme 2) based on the NMR analysis of the crude product. Reduction of **6a** with  $\text{NaBH}_4$  in a variety of conditions resulted in non-selective reduction. Reaction between **6a** and tosyl hydrazine resulted in a roughly 1:1 mixture of hydrazones (**17a** and **17b**) based on the NMR analysis of the crude product.



**SCHEME 2.** Attempts of ketone differentiation of **6a**

Diketone **6a** was thus converted to the silyl enol ethers (**18a-c**), which were epoxidized using a variety of oxidants. As shown in Table 6, epoxidation of **16a** with DMDO resulted in decomposition of the substrate (Table 6, entry 1). Switching the oxidant to *m*CPBA selectively afforded 10% of  $\alpha$ -hydroxy ketone **19** as a single diastereomer along with about 20% of **6a** recovered (Table 6, entry 2). More bulky silyl enol ethers (**18b** and **18c**) led to an increase in yields to 30 and 60% respectively (Table 6, entry 3-4). The yield of **19** was further optimized by using magnesium monoperoxyphthalate (MMPP), which provided **19** in 65-80% (Table 6, entry 5-7). The optimal yield was obtained from **18c** with MMPP as the oxidant at room temperature, which gave 80% yield of **19** with no hydrolysis of the silyl enol ethers being observed (Table 6, entry 7).


**TABLE 6.** Selective  $\alpha$ -hydroxylation of **6a**<sup>a</sup>

Entry	R	[O]	Temp	Yield <sup>b</sup>

1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16
		1	TMS	DMDO	0 °C	-									
		2	TMS	<i>m</i> CPBA	0 °C	10									
		3	TES	<i>m</i> CPBA	0 °C	30									
		4	TBS	<i>m</i> CPBA	0 °C	60									
		5	TMS	MMPP	0 °C	65									
		6	TES	MMPP	0 °C	75									
		7	TBS	MMPP	rt	80									

<sup>a</sup>The crude intermediates (**18a-c**) were used without purification. <sup>b</sup>Isolated yields after silica gel column chromatography. MMPP = magnesium monoperoxyphthalate

With **19** prepared, it was converted to ketone **12** via hydroxyl-directed reduction followed by elimination of the resulting diol (Scheme 3).<sup>92-93</sup> This protocol provided **10** in 75% yield. Silyl enol formation followed by MMPP epoxidation of **12** provided  $\alpha$ -hydroxyl ketone **20** as a single diastereomer. However, acetylation of **20** under various acidic conditions resulted in a mixture of acetal isomers (**21a** and **21b**). After a survey of different acid conditions, we found that **20** can be equilibrated to **22** qualitatively and diastereoselectively with 2 N aqueous HCl overnight. Indeed, the  $\alpha$ -hydroxylation of **22** with MMPP and equilibrium can be done conveniently in a one-pot manner, and the diastereomer bearing the *trans*-decalin was not observed under these reaction conditions. The resulting alcohol of **22** was then acetylated and deacetoxyated using SmI<sub>2</sub>. Finally, reduction of ketone **11** with K-selectride followed by treatment of trifluoroacetic acid<sup>70</sup> afforded the Snider's intermediate<sup>21</sup> (**10**), which could be converted to **1** according to the literature procedures.<sup>19-20</sup>




SCHEME 3. Formal synthesis of Platensimycin 1

## 8. Formal synthesis of (±)-platencin 2


For the formal synthesis of **2**, enone **15** was expected to be obtained via dehydration of  $\alpha$ -hydroxyl ketone **19**. However, decomposition of **19** resulted under a variety of dehydration conditions. Preparation of enone **15** via oxidation of **6a** and **18a-c** was then examined. As shown in Scheme 3, oxidation of **6a** using IBX provided 10-30% of the expected enone **13**. Switching the substrate to **18a-c** increased the yield of **15** up to 58% (from **18c**). Under these oxidation conditions, the reactions needed to be stopped before completion to avoid over-oxidation. Finally, the yield of **15** was optimized via bromination of **18c**, followed by elimination.






**SCHEME 4.** Synthesis of enone **15** via selective oxidation or bromination

With enone **15** in hand, the two ketones were reduced using Luche reduction<sup>94</sup> conditions (Scheme 5). The resulting diol **25** is a single diastereomer. Acetylation of **25** afforded diacetate **26** in good yield. The 1,3-allylic rearrangement of **25** and **26** were investigated with a variety of acids<sup>95-97</sup> and Pd catalysts<sup>98-102</sup> respectively. However, these conditions resulted in either no reaction or decomposition of substrates. The allylic alcohol of **25** was thus selectively oxidized with  $\text{MnO}_2$  (Scheme 6). After TBS protection of **28**, enone **29** was converted to **31** stereoselectively via the Wharton transposition protocol.<sup>103</sup> After a number of protecting and functional group manipulations, the bicycle[3.2.1]octane moiety was converted to the bicycle[2.2.2]octane using Yoshimitsu's procedures.<sup>71</sup> Finally, oxidative removal of the PMB ether followed by oxidation of the resulting alcohol finished the synthesis of the Nicolaou's intermediate<sup>52</sup> (**13**), which can also be converted to **2** according to the literature procedures.<sup>52</sup>



SCHEME 5. Study on the 1,3-allylic rearrangement




SCHEME 6. Formal synthesis of Platencin 2

## 9. Optimization of the ketone differentiation

The differentiation was further optimized by exhaustive reduction of the diketone with sodium borohydride (diol **34**, a roughly 3:1 diastereomeric mixture) followed by selective TBS protection, which afforded **35** in 78% yield as a roughly 3:1

diastereomeric mixture from **6a** (Scheme 7). The diastereomers are separable and the stereochemistry of the major diastereomer of **35** was determined by NMR experiments.<sup>87</sup> Since the two newly generated stereogenic centers will be removed in the late stage of the synthesis, all the diastereomers can be used for the synthesis of **1** and **2**. Triflation of **35** followed by elimination afforded **37**. Finally, desilylation of **36** followed by oxidation of the resulting alcohol provided ketone **12**, which could lead to Snider's intermediate **10** in only 7 steps. Oxidation of alcohol **35** followed by Saegusa oxidation<sup>104</sup> afforded enone **29**, which can lead to Nicolaou's intermediate **13** in 8 steps.



**SCHEME 7.** Optimization of the ketone differentiation of **6a**.

## Conclusion

In summary, we have evaluated the  $\pi/\sigma$ -binding properties of 29 commonly used Lewis acids in a theoretical study and successfully identified a sub-class of Lewis acids, including  $\text{In}(\text{III})$ ,  $\text{Zn}(\text{II})$  and  $\text{Fe}(\text{III})$  halides, with similar  $\pi/\sigma$ -binding properties.

With the aid of these theoretical studies, we have developed a mild DA/carbocyclization cascade cyclization reaction with  $\text{ZnBr}_2$  (1.5 equiv) as the dual-mode Lewis acid mediator, which rapidly provided the 6,6,5-tricyclic fused cyclized product **6a** from two simple substrates (**3a** and **4a**). The mechanism of this cascade reaction was further studied via a comparison study between In(III), Zn(II) and Al(III) induced reactions by DFT calculations. Under the optimal conditions ( $\text{ZnBr}_2$  in  $\text{CH}_2\text{Cl}_2$  at room temperature), a variety of substituted enones **3a-h** and dienes **4a-c** underwent cascade cyclization smoothly and afforded the cyclized products (**6a-j**) in one pot with good yields and high diastereoselectivity. The utility of this new cascade reaction has been successfully demonstrated by employing cyclized product **6a** as the common building block for the formal synthesis of ( $\pm$ )-platensimycin (**1**) and ( $\pm$ )-platencin (**2**) (43% in 10 steps and 38% in 11 steps overall yields from **6a** respectively).

## Experimental Section

### General Computational Methods

All the calculations were carried out with the Gaussian 09 package.<sup>105</sup> Geometry optimizations and frequency calculations were performed with the M06 method<sup>106-110</sup> with BSI (the LANL2DZ basis set<sup>111</sup> and corresponding effective core potentials (ECPs)<sup>112-114</sup> for elements with atomic number higher than 36, and the 6-31G(d) basis set<sup>115</sup> for other atoms). The transition state (TS) were confirmed by frequency calculation and intrinsic reaction coordinate (IRC) calculations.<sup>116-119</sup> All the TS stationary points were correctly connected to the corresponding species. Vibrational frequency calculations also provide thermal corrections for enthalpies and Gibbs free energies (at 298.15 K and 1 atm). For reaction energy profile, single point energies were calculated at the M06 level with a larger basis sets BSII (def2-TZVP<sup>120-126</sup> with ECP for In<sup>127</sup> and 6-311++G(3df,3pd) for other atoms). Solvent effects were taken into account by using the SMD solvation model.

### General Experimental Methods

All air- and water-sensitive reactions were carried out under a nitrogen atmosphere with dry solvents under anhydrous conditions, unless otherwise noted. Reactions were monitored by thin-layer chromatography (TLC) carried out on 0.25 mm silica gel plates (60F-254) that were analyzed by fluorescence upon 254 nm irradiation or by staining with KMnO<sub>4</sub> (200 mL H<sub>2</sub>O of 1.5 g KMnO<sub>4</sub>, 10 g K<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub> and 1.25 mL of 10% aqueous NaOH). Silica gel (60, particle size 0.0400.063 mm) was used for flash column chromatography. All the chemicals were purchased commercially and used without further purification. Anhydrous THF was distilled from

sodium-benzophenone. Toluene was distilled over Na. CH<sub>3</sub>CN and CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub> were distilled from calcium hydride. Molecular sieves were activated by heating at 200 °C for 12 hours at ~1.0 Torr. Yields refer to the isolated yields after silica gel flash column chromatography, unless otherwise stated. NMR spectra were recorded on either a 300 (1H: 300 MHz, <sup>13</sup>C: 75.5 MHz) or 500 MHz (<sup>1</sup>H: 500 MHz, <sup>13</sup>C: 125.8 MHz) spectrometer. The NOESY experiments were performed on a 500 or 600 MHz spectrometer. The following abbreviations were used to explain the multiplicities: s = singlet, d = doublet, t = triplet, q = quartet, m = multiplet, br = broad. High-resolution mass spectra were obtained from a MALDI-TOF mass Spectrometer. Melting points were uncorrected and determined on a micro-melting point meter. Crystallographic data were obtained from a single crystal X-ray diffractometer. All the IR spectra were recorded with a FTIR spectrometer.

**2-(Prop-2-yn-1-yl)cyclohex-2-enone (3a),**

**4,4-dimethyl-2-(prop-2-yn-1-yl)cyclohex-2-enone (3f) and**

**2-(prop-2-yn-1-yl)cyclohept-2-enone (3h)**

To a stirred solution of NaOMe (prepared from sodium (1.25 g, 5.43 mmol) and CH<sub>3</sub>OH (30 mL)) in methanol (50 mL) at 0 °C was added a solution of methyl thioglycolate (5.52 g, 5.21 mmol) in methanol (20 mL). After 5 minute stirring at 0 °C, a solution of the appropriate enone (5.21 mmol) in methanol (20 mL) was added dropwise at the same temperature. The reaction mixture was allowed to warm to room temperature slowly and heated under reflux for 10 hours. After removal of the

volatiles, the resulting orange residue was dissolved in diethyl ether (50 mL) and extracted with a 2 N NaOH aqueous solution (30 mL×2). The combined aqueous layer was acidified with a 1 N HCl aqueous solution (60 mL), extracted with diethyl ether (50 mL×2), dried over Na<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub>, filtered and concentrated. Silica gel flash column chromatography (hexanes/ethyl acetate = 10:1) afforded an orange-yellow liquid as the product. To a solution of this crude product in dry acetone (50 mL) was added powdered K<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub> (3.6 g, 26.1 mmol) and 3-bromoprop-1-yne (2.5 g, 20.8 mmol). The reaction mixture was refluxed for 4 hours. After TLC analysis showed the consumption of the starting material, the volatiles were removed in vacuo. The residue was poured onto ice/water and extracted with diethyl ether (100 mL × 2). The combined extracts dried over Na<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub>, filtered and concentrated. The residue was dissolved in diethyl ether (50 mL) and a 5% NaOH aqueous solution (50 mL) was added. The reaction mixture was stirred for 4 hours at room temperature. Then the organic layer was separated and washed with saturated NaHCO<sub>3</sub> solution followed by brine solution (50 mL×2). After removal of the volatiles, the residue was purified by silica flash column chromatography (hexanes/ethyl acetate = 20:1). **3a**: (a yellow oil, 35% in 3 steps from cyclohex-2-enone).<sup>1</sup> **3f**: (a yellow oil, 32% in 3 steps from 4,4-dimethylcyclohex-2-enone) <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>) δ 6.82 (s, 1H), 3.12 (t, *J* = 2.0 Hz, 2H), 2.50-2.46 (t, *J* = 6.8 Hz, 2H), 2.18 (t, *J* = 2.4 Hz, 1H), 1.85 (t, *J* = 6.80 Hz, 2H), 1.18 (s, 6H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>) δ 197.9, 155.3, 130.9, 80.7, 71.8, 36.0, 34.3, 33.0, 27.8, 18.9; IR (neat, cm<sup>-1</sup>): 2941, 2864, 1680, 1430, 1273, 1236, 1162, 876, 766; HRMS (ESI/[M+H]<sup>+</sup>) calcd. for C<sub>11</sub>H<sub>15</sub>O: 163.1123, found 163.1117. **3h**: (a

yellow oil, 32% in 3 steps from cyclohept-2-enone)  $^1\text{H}$  NMR (400 MHz,  $\text{CDCl}_3$ )  $\delta$  6.99 (tt,  $J = 6.4, 1.6$  Hz, 1H), 3.19-3.17 (m, 2H), 2.62 (t,  $J = 6.12$  Hz, 2H), 2.49-2.45 (m, 2H), 2.18 (t,  $J = 2.6$  Hz, 1H), 1.80-1.75 (m, 4H);  $^{13}\text{C}$  NMR (100 MHz,  $\text{CDCl}_3$ )  $\delta$  202.9, 143.5, 137.4, 81.3, 71.7, 42.3, 27.4, 24.9, 21.6, 21.1; IR (neat,  $\text{cm}^{-1}$ ): 2941, 2864, 1680, 1430, 1273, 1236, 1162, 876, 766; HRMS (ESI/[ $\text{M}+\text{H}$ ] $^+$ ) calcd. for  $\text{C}_{10}\text{H}_{13}\text{O}$ : 149.0966, found 149.0962.

**6-Methyl-2-(prop-2-yn-1-yl)cyclohex-2-enone (3b),**

**5-methyl-2-(prop-2-yn-1-yl)cyclohex-2-enone (3c),**

**4-methyl-2-(prop-2-yn-1-yl)cyclohex-2-enone (3d) and**

**3-methyl-2-(prop-2-yn-1-yl)cyclohex-2-enone (3e)**

To a stirred solution of the appropriate  $\beta$ -keto ester (1 equiv) with the corresponding enal (or enone) (1 equiv) in *t*BuOH (1 M) was added a catalytic amount of *t*BuOK (0.05 equiv) at 0  $^\circ\text{C}$ . The mixture was stirred at that temperature for 30 min, and then treated with 0.2 equiv of *t*BuOK. The resulting mixture was heated under reflux for 20 hours. After cooling to room temperature, the reaction was quenched with a 1 N HCl aqueous solution (10 mL), diluted with diethyl ether (80 mL), washed with a saturated  $\text{NaHCO}_3$  aqueous solution (3 mL $\times$ 20) and brine (20 mL $\times$ 2). The organic layer was dried over  $\text{Na}_2\text{SO}_4$ , filtered, and concentrated. The residue was purified by silica gel flash column chromatography (hexanes/ethyl acetate = 20:1). **3b** (a yellow liquid, 52% from ethyl 2-methyl-3-oxohept-6-ynoate and acrylaldehyde):  $^1\text{H}$  NMR (300 MHz,  $\text{CDCl}_3$ )  $\delta$  7.12 (s, 1H), 3.15 (s, 1H), 2.48-2.38 (m, 3H), 2.17 (t,  $J = 2.6$  Hz, 1H), 2.07



(qd,  $J = 12.8, 4.4$  Hz, 1H), 1.75 (ddd,  $J = 25.6, 12.8, 7.6$  Hz, 1H), 1.15 (d,  $J = 6.80$  Hz, 3H);  $^{13}\text{C}$  NMR (100 MHz,  $\text{CDCl}_3$ )  $\delta = 200.6, 145.2, 133.3, 81.0, 71.5, 41.6, 30.9, 25.2, 19.1, 15.0$ ; IR (neat,  $\text{cm}^{-1}$ ): 3299, 2942, 2874, 1660, 1431, 1362, 655; HRMS (ESI/[M+H] $^{+}$ ) calcd. for  $\text{C}_{10}\text{H}_{13}\text{O}$ : 149.0966, found 149.0959. **3c** (a yellow liquid, 76% from ethyl 3-oxohept-6-ynoate and but-2-enal):  $^1\text{H}$  NMR (400 MHz,  $\text{CDCl}_3$ )  $\delta$  ppm 7.13-7.12 (m, 1H), 3.14 (s, 2H), 2.54-2.46 (m, 2H), 2.23-2.07 (m, 4H), 1.05 (d,  $J = 1.6$  Hz, 3H);  $^{13}\text{C}$  NMR (100 MHz,  $\text{CDCl}_3$ )  $\delta$  198.1, 145.3, 133.5, 80.7, 71.5, 46.0, 34.0, 30.3, 20.9, 18.7; IR (neat,  $\text{cm}^{-1}$ ): 3295, 2948, 2874, 1663, 1431, 1365, 650; HRMS (ESI/[M+H] $^{+}$ ) calcd. for  $\text{C}_{10}\text{H}_{13}\text{O}$ : 149.0966, found 149.0959. **3d** (a yellow liquid, 50% from ethyl 3-oxohept-6-ynoate and methacrylaldehyde):  $^1\text{H}$  NMR (400 MHz,  $\text{CDCl}_3$ )  $\delta$  6.96 (d,  $J = 1.2$  Hz, 1H), 3.18 (d,  $J = 1.6$  Hz, 2H), 2.63-2.57 (m, 1H), 2.51 (td,  $J = 13.6, 3.6$  Hz, 1H), 2.40-2.32 (m, 1H), 2.18 (t,  $J = 2.6$  Hz, 1H), 2.15-2.03 (m, 1H), 1.76-1.59 (m, 1H), 1.18 (s, 3H), 1.16 (s, 3H);  $^{13}\text{C}$  NMR (100 MHz,  $\text{CDCl}_3$ )  $\delta$  198.0, 151.7, 132.6, 80.7, 71.7, 36.8, 31.3, 30.9, 20.3, 18.8; IR (neat,  $\text{cm}^{-1}$ ): 3293, 2942, 2871, 1670, 1427, 1380, 644; HRMS (ESI/[M+H] $^{+}$ ) calcd. for  $\text{C}_{10}\text{H}_{13}\text{O}$ : 149.0966, found 149.0969. **3e** (a yellow liquid, 75% from ethyl 3-oxohept-6-ynoate and but-3-en-2-one):  $^1\text{H}$  NMR (400 MHz,  $\text{CDCl}_3$ )  $\delta$  3.22 (s, 2H), 2.43-2.37 (m, 4H), 2.05 (s, 3H), 1.98-1.92 (m, 2H), 1.89 (dd,  $J = 3.4, 2.0$  Hz, 1H);  $^{13}\text{C}$  NMR (100 MHz,  $\text{CDCl}_3$ )  $\delta$  196.8, 158.1, 130.8, 81.9, 67.2, 37.2, 32.8, 21.9, 21.4, 14.1; IR (neat,  $\text{cm}^{-1}$ ): 3299, 2939, 1665, 1628, 1430, 1384, 1186, 649; HRMS (ESI/[M+H] $^{+}$ ) calcd. for  $\text{C}_{10}\text{H}_{13}\text{O}$ : 149.0966, found 149.0976.

**2-(1-((*tert*-Butyldimethylsilyl)oxy)prop-2-yn-1-yl)cyclohex-2-enone (3g)**

To a stirred mixture of 3-(trimethylsilyl)propionaldehyde (4.5 g, 35.3 mmol), imidazole (3.0 g, 44.13 mmol) and TBAI (0.40 g, 1.06 mmol) in THF (35 mL) and a 1 N NaHCO<sub>3</sub> aqueous solution (140 mL) was added cyclohex-2-enone (6.8 mL, 70.6 mmol) at room temperature. The resulting mixture was stirred at room temperature for 48 hours, then quenched with a 1 N HCl aqueous solution (100 mL), and extracted with CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub> (50 mL×3). The combined organic extracts were washed with brine, dried over Na<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub>, filtered and concentrated. Silica gel flash column chromatography (hexanes/ethyl acetate = 1:1) provided a colorless oil (4.02 g, 26.8 mmol, 76%) as the intermediate. **2-(1-hydroxyprop-2-yn-1-yl)cyclohex-2-enone (39)**: <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>) δ 7.27 (t, *J* = 4.0 Hz, 1H), 5.23 (s, 1H), 3.56 (d, *J* = 4.8 Hz, 1H), 2.57-2.56 (m, 1H), 2.48-2.42 (m, 4H), 2.03-1.97 (m, 2H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>) δ 199.5, 148.2, 137.3, 81.7, 74.8, 60.9, 38.2, 25.6, 22.3; IR (neat, cm<sup>-1</sup>): 3480, 3307, 2920, 2857, 1682, 1070; HRMS (ESI/[M+H]<sup>+</sup>) calcd. for C<sub>9</sub>H<sub>11</sub>O<sub>2</sub>: 151.0759, found 151.0768. To a stirred solution of **39** (1.44 g, 9.6 mmol) in CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub> (50 mL) was added imidazole (1.31 g, 19.2 mmol) and TBSCl (2.17 g, 14.4 mmol). The reaction mixture was stirred at room temperature for 4 hours, and then quenched with a saturated NaHCO<sub>3</sub> aqueous solution (20 mL). The aqueous layer was extracted with CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub> (20 mL×3) and the combined organic extracts were washed with brine (10 mL), dried over MgSO<sub>4</sub>, filtered and concentrated. Silica gel flash column chromatography (hexanes/ethyl acetate = 5:1) of the residue gave a yellow oil (2.49 g, 9.4 mmol, 98%) as the product; **3g**: <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>) δ 7.26 (t, *J* = 2.8 Hz,

1H), 5.34 (s, 1H), 2.43-2.40 (m, 5H), 2.03-1.97 (m, 2H), 0.89 (s, 3H), 0.15 (s, 3H), 0.10 (s, 1H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>) δ 197.2, 146.1, 139.1, 83.9, 72.5, 59.0, 38.3, 25.7, 25.7, 25.7, 22.6, 18.2, -3.6, -4.8, -5.2; IR (neat, cm<sup>-1</sup>): 3305, 2957, 2920, 2870, 1680, 1470, 1378, 1260, 1067, 844, 771; HRMS (ESI/[M+H]<sup>+</sup>) calcd. for C<sub>15</sub>H<sub>25</sub>O<sub>2</sub>Si: 265.1624, found 265.1631.

### General procedures for the DA/carbocyclization cascade cyclization reactions

To a stirred solution of **3** (10 mmol) in CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub> (100 mL) was added the appropriate Lewis acid (15 mmol) at room temperature. The mixture was stirred at room temperature for 30 minutes and then treated with silyl enol ether **4** (20 mmol). The reaction mixture was stirred for 12 hours at room temperature, and then quenched by a saturated NaHCO<sub>3</sub> aqueous solution, extracted with CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub> (50 mL×3). The combined organic extracts were washed with brine, dried over Na<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub>, filtered and concentrated. The residue was purified by silica gel flash column chromatography (hexanes/ethyl acetate = 10:1).

### 8a-(Prop-2-yn-1-yl)hexahydronaphthalene-1,6(2*H*,7*H*)-dione (**5b**)

The general procedures for the DA/carbocyclization cascade cyclization reaction were followed with Et<sub>2</sub>AlCl or M<sub>2</sub>AlCl as the Lewis acid. Base on TLC analysis, both **5a** and **5b** were formed under the reaction condition, and **5b** (a white solid, 50-60%) was obtained as the major side-product after work up. **5b**: mp = 110-111 °C, <sup>1</sup>H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>) 2.68-2.63 (m, 3H), 2.55-2.37 (m, 4H), 2.32-2.29 (m, 3H), 2.06 (s, 1H),

2.01-1.93 (m, 3H), 1.68-1.53 (m, 2H);  $^{13}\text{C}$  NMR (75 MHz,  $\text{CDCl}_3$ )  $\delta$  211.6, 210.4, 79.0, 72.1, 51.4, 43.3, 42.6, 38.0, 37.6, 31.0, 26.4, 25.7, 22.8; IR (neat,  $\text{cm}^{-1}$ ): 3292, 2952, 2927, 2869, 1718, 1706, 1458, 1436; HRMS (ESI/[M+Na] $^+$ ) calcd. for  $\text{C}_{13}\text{H}_{16}\text{O}_2\text{Na}^+$ : 227.1048, found 227.1044. When the above reaction mixture was treated with  $\text{ZnBr}_2$  (1.1 equiv) and was allowed to stirred at room temperature for 30 minutes, cyclized product **6a** (40-76%) was obtained.

### 2-(2-Oxopropyl)cyclohex-2-enone (**7**)

The general procedures for the DA/carbocyclization cascade cyclization reaction were followed with  $\text{InCl}_3$ ,  $\text{InBr}_3$  or  $\text{In}(\text{OTf})_3$  as the Lewis acid. Compound **7** (a colorless oil, 60-90%) was obtained as the major side-product after aqueous work up and silica gel flash column chromatography (hexanes/ethyl acetate = 20:1). **7**:  $^1\text{H}$  NMR (300 MHz,  $\text{CDCl}_3$ )  $\delta$  6.82 (t, 1H,  $J$  = 4.1 Hz), 3.27 (s, 2H), 2.47 (dd, 2H,  $J$  = 2.9, 6.5 Hz), 2.45-2.38 (m, 2H), 2.20 (s, 3H), 2.10-1.98 (m, 2H);  $^{13}\text{C}$  NMR (75 MHz,  $\text{CDCl}_3$ ):  $\delta$  206.0, 198.5, 148.5, 134.0, 44.1, 37.8, 29.9, 26.0, 22.9; HRMS (ESI/[M $^+$ H] $^+$ ) calcd. for  $\text{C}_9\text{H}_{13}\text{O}_2$ : 153.0910, found 153.0911.

For synthesis of **6a-j**, the general procedures for the DA/carbocyclization cascade cyclization reaction were followed with  $\text{ZnBr}_2$  as the Lewis acid.

**6-Methylenehexahydro-4a,7-methanobenzo[7]annulene-4,8(1H,5H)-dione (6a)** (a white solid, 1.88 g, 8.6 mmol, 86% from **3a** and **4a**, a single diastereomer): mp = 80.0-80.6  $^\circ\text{C}$ ,  $^1\text{H}$  NMR (400 MHz,  $\text{CDCl}_3$ )  $\delta$  5.07 (t,  $J$  = 2.4 Hz, 1H), 5.00 (s, 1H), 3.40

(td,  $J = 17.6, 2.8$  Hz, 1H), 3.32 (d,  $J = 5.2$  Hz, 1H), 2.81 (dd,  $J = 16.0, 8.8$  Hz, 1H), 2.48-2.26 (m, 5H), 2.11-2.04 (m, 2H), 1.91-1.81 (m, 2H), 1.77-1.65 (m, 2H);  $^{13}\text{C}$  NMR (125 MHz,  $\text{CDCl}_3$ )  $\delta$  210.9, 207.5, 146.4, 109.4, 60.2, 57.3, 45.4, 41.3, 39.0, 37.5, 37.1, 29.6, 25.79; IR (neat,  $\text{cm}^{-1}$ ): 2939, 2866, 1710, 1655, 1421, 1320, 1216, 1189, 1137, 896; HRMS (ESI/[ $\text{M}+\text{H}$ ] $^+$ ) calcd. for  $\text{C}_{13}\text{H}_{17}\text{O}_2$ : 205.1229, found 205.1233.

**3-Methyl-6-methylenehexahydro-4a,7-methanobenzo[7]annulene-4,8(1H,5H)-dio**

**ne (6b)** (a white solid, 1.77 g, 8.1 mmol, 81% from **3b** and **4a**, dr = 8:1), major diastereomer: mp = 116.2-117.2  $^{\circ}\text{C}$ ,  $^1\text{H}$  NMR (400 MHz,  $\text{CDCl}_3$ )  $\delta$  5.07 (d,  $J = 2.0$  Hz, 1H), 5.01 (s, 1H), 3.40 (td,  $J = 17.8, 2.6$  Hz, 1H), 3.34 (d,  $J = 5.0$  Hz, 1H), 2.81 (dd,  $J = 16.4, 8.6$  Hz, 1H), 2.54 (td,  $J = 12.6, 6.2$  Hz, 1H), 2.45-2.33 (m, 2H), 2.27 (dddd,  $J = 10.0, 8.4, 4.0, 2.0$  Hz, 1H), 2.14-2.02 (m, 2H), 1.91-1.86 (m, 2H), 1.68 (ddd,  $J = 14.0, 6.8, 3.8$  Hz, 1H), 1.60-1.52 (m, 1H), 1.42 (dq,  $J = 13.2, 4.0$  Hz, 1H);  $^{13}\text{C}$  NMR (125 MHz,  $\text{CDCl}_3$ )  $\delta$  212.1, 207.6, 146.3, 109.3, 60.2, 57.2, 46.2, 41.9, 41.3, 37.6, 37.0, 35.1, 29.7, 14.6; IR (neat,  $\text{cm}^{-1}$ ): 2957, 2939, 2872, 1707, 1652, 1472, 1223, 1162, 914; HRMS (ESI/[ $\text{M}+\text{H}$ ] $^+$ ) calcd. for  $\text{C}_{14}\text{H}_{19}\text{O}_2$ : 219.1385, found 219.1381.

**2-Methyl-6-methylenehexahydro-4a,7-methanobenzo[7]annulene-4,8(1H,5H)-dio**

**ne (6c)** (a white solid, 1.92 g, 8.8 mmol, 88% from **3c** and **4a**, a single diastereoisomer): mp = 118.8-119.7  $^{\circ}\text{C}$ ,  $^1\text{H}$  NMR (400 MHz,  $\text{CDCl}_3$ )  $\delta$  5.05 (s, 1H), 4.99 (s, 1H), 3.36-3.30 (m, 2H), 2.79 (dd,  $J = 16.4, 8.6$  Hz, 1H), 2.64 (dd,  $J = 13.8, 6.0$  Hz, 1H), 2.56-2.27 (m, 4H), 2.16 (d,  $J = 13.8$  Hz, 1H), 2.12-1.92 (m, 2H), 1.87 (dd,  $J = 11.6, 4.5$  Hz, 1H), 1.49 (d,  $J = 14.1$  Hz, 1H), 1.00 (dd,  $J = 18.8, 6.9$  Hz, 3H);  $^{13}\text{C}$  NMR (100 MHz,  $\text{CDCl}_3$ )  $\delta$  211.2, 207.8, 146.2, 109.4, 59.8, 56.9, 45.1, 40.8, 39.7, 37.4,

36.8, 35.3, 30.0, 19.1; IR (neat,  $\text{cm}^{-1}$ ): 2970, 2921, 2884, 1704, 1655, 1469, 1433, 1381, 1317, 1231, 1182, 1146, 938; HRMS (ESI/[M+H]<sup>+</sup>) calcd. for  $\text{C}_{14}\text{H}_{19}\text{O}_2$ : 219.1385, found 219.1393.

**1-Methyl-6-methylenehexahydro-4a,7-methanobenzo[7]annulene-4,8(1*H*,5*H*)-dione (6d)** (a white solid, 1.86 g, 8.5 mmol, 85% from **3d** and **4a**, dr = 16:1), major diastereomer: mp = 74.1-75.2°C, <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz,  $\text{CDCl}_3$ )  $\delta$  5.05 (s, 1H), 4.98 (s, 1H), 3.40 (dd,  $J$  = 18.0, 2.0 Hz, 1H), 3.30 (d,  $J$  = 4.6 Hz, 1H), 2.65 (dd,  $J$  = 16.6, 8.0 Hz, 1H), 2.53 (dt,  $J$  = 14.1, 6.0 Hz, 1H), 2.40-2.25 (m, 4H), 2.05 (ddd,  $J$  = 13.1, 5.6, 3.2 Hz, 1H), 1.99-1.80 (m, 3H), 1.51-1.36 (m, 1H), 1.02 (dd,  $J$  = 22.4, 8.6 Hz, 3H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (100 MHz,  $\text{CDCl}_3$ )  $\delta$  211.0, 207.8, 146.4, 109.2, 59.8, 56.6, 51.9, 38.9, 38.0, 37.9, 37.4, 34.8, 31.9, 19.5; IR (neat,  $\text{cm}^{-1}$ ): 2964, 2933, 2884, 1710, 1655, 1460, 1435, 1326, 1173, 1000, 896; HRMS (ESI/[M+H]<sup>+</sup>) calcd. for  $\text{C}_{14}\text{H}_{19}\text{O}_2$ : 219.1385, found 219.1392.

**9a-Methyl-6-methylenehexahydro-4a,7-methanobenzo[7]annulene-4,8(1*H*,5*H*)-dione (6e)** (a white solid, 1.75 g, 8.0 mmol, 80% from **3e** and **4a** (with 2 additional equiv of **4a** and stirring for 24 hours), a single diastereomer): mp = 117.4-118.2 °C, <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz,  $\text{CDCl}_3$ )  $\delta$  5.02 (s, 1H), 4.99 (s, 1H), 3.32 (d,  $J$  = 4.7 Hz, 1H), 3.06 (dd,  $J$  = 18.4, 2.6 Hz, 1H), 2.69-2.39 (m, 4H), 2.32 (ddd,  $J$  = 14.2, 3.4, 1.6 Hz, 1H), 2.19 (dt,  $J$  = 13.6, 5.2 Hz, 1H), 2.06 (d,  $J$  = 12.0 Hz, 1H), 2.00-1.89 (m, 3H), 1.37 (dd,  $J$  = 14.0, 2.0 Hz, 1H), 1.03 (s, 3H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (100 MHz,  $\text{CDCl}_3$ )  $\delta$  211.5, 207.6, 146.7, 108.9, 61.1, 58.8, 50.1, 43.9, 39.0, 37.9, 34.1, 33.0, 22.8, 22.2; IR (neat,  $\text{cm}^{-1}$ ): 2970, 2933, 2866, 1713, 1698, 1655, 1454, 1436, 1420, 1323, 1262, 1158, 1061, 893, 747; HRMS

(ESI/[M+H]<sup>+</sup>) calcd. for C<sub>14</sub>H<sub>19</sub>O<sub>2</sub>: 219.1385, found 219.1389.

**1,1-Dimethyl-6-methylenehexahydro-4a,7-methanobenzo[7]annulene-4,8(1H,5H)**

**-dione (6f)** (a white solid, 1.86 g, 8.0 mmol, 80% from **3f** and **4a** (with 2 additional equiv of **4a** and stirring for 24 hours, a single diastereomer): mp = 39.6-40.7 °C, <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>) δ 5.08 (d, *J* = 1.2 Hz, 1H), 4.97 (s, 1H), 3.28 (d, *J* = 4.8 Hz, 1H), 3.14 (td, *J* = 17.2, 3.0 Hz, 1H), 2.67-2.47 (m, 2H), 2.42-2.32 (m, 1H), 2.29-2.23 (m, 3H), 2.00-1.93 (m, 2H), 1.90-1.68 (m, 2H), 1.00 (s, 3H), 0.94 (s, 3H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>) δ 212.3, 208.6, 145.8, 109.9, 58.5, 55.1, 51.4, 43.0, 38.3, 37.2, 36.8, 35.8, 34.1, 30.7, 20.8; IR (neat, cm<sup>-1</sup>): 2970, 2884, 1710, 1659, 1469, 1439, 1226, 1180, 1152, 887; HRMS (ESI/[M+H]<sup>+</sup>) calcd. for C<sub>15</sub>H<sub>21</sub>O<sub>2</sub>: 233.1542, found 233.1532.

**5-((*tert*-Butyldimethylsilyl)oxy)-6-methylenehexahydro-4a,7-methanobenzo[7]an**

**nulene-4,8(1H,5H)-dione (6g)** (an off-white amorphous solid, 2.5 g, 7.5 mmol, 75% from **3g** and **4a**, dr = 7:1), major diastereomer: <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>) δ 5.33 (d, *J* = 2.0 Hz, 1H), 5.19 (s, 1H), 5.14 (dd, *J* = 2.8, 0.8 Hz, 1H), 3.25 (d, *J* = 4.8 Hz, 1H), 3.05 (dd, *J* = 16.4, 8.8 Hz, 1H), 2.74-2.70 (m, 1H), 2.43-2.28 (m, 3H), 2.07-1.98 (m, 2H), 1.86-1.64 (m, 4H), 0.90 (s, 9H), 0.24 (s, 3H), 0.07 (s, 3H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>) δ 210.4, 208.4, 150.5, 110.8, 74.3, 59.9, 41.6, 39.1, 36.6, 34.4, 29.6, 29.0, 25.8, 24.7, 18.0, -4.2, -4.9; IR (neat, cm<sup>-1</sup>): 2958, 2939, 2866, 1707, 1475, 1250, 1140, 1122, 1094, 865, 838, 777; HRMS (ESI/[M+H]<sup>+</sup>) calcd. for C<sub>19</sub>H<sub>31</sub>O<sub>3</sub>Si: 335.2042, found 335.2049.

**4-Methylenehexahydro-1H-3,5a-methanoheptalene-2,6(3H,7H)-dione (6h)** (an

off-white amorphous solid, 1.79 g, 8.2 mmol, 82% from **3h** and **4a**, a single diastereomer):  $^1\text{H}$  NMR (400 MHz,  $\text{CDCl}_3$ )  $\delta$  5.08 (d,  $J$  = 1.4 Hz, 1H), 4.93 (s, 1H), 3.23 (d,  $J$  = 4.8 Hz, 1H), 2.87-2.60 (m, 2H), 2.55 (s, 2H), 2.48-2.44 (m, 1H), 2.41-2.18 (m, 2H), 2.14-1.72 (m, 4H), 1.68-1.27 (m, 4H);  $^{13}\text{C}$  NMR (100 MHz,  $\text{CDCl}_3$ )  $\delta$  213.8, 208.4, 145.4, 110.1, 58.3, 58.0, 43.9, 43.5, 41.9, 41.2, 35.0, 33.2, 28.7, 25.7; IR (neat,  $\text{cm}^{-1}$ ): 2933, 2866, 1704, 1655, 1451, 1332, 1219, 1173, 1651, 914, 890; HRMS (ESI/[M+H] $^+$ ) calcd. for  $\text{C}_{14}\text{H}_{19}\text{O}_2$ : 219.1385, found 219.1392.

**10,10-Dimethyl-6-methylenehexahydro-4a,7-methanobenzo[7]annulene-4,8(1H,5H)-dione (6i)** (a white solid, 1.84 g, 7.9 mmol, 79% from **3a** and **4b**, a single diastereomer): mp = 74.8-76.5°C,  $^1\text{H}$  NMR (400 MHz,  $\text{CDCl}_3$ )  $\delta$  5.07 (t,  $J$  = 2.2 Hz, 1H), 4.99 (s, 1H), 3.73 (td,  $J$  = 18.0, 2.8 Hz 1H), 2.95-2.81 (m, 2H), 2.61-2.47 (m, 1H), 2.33 (ddd,  $J$  = 14.6, 10.2, 4.7 Hz, 2H), 2.17 (dd,  $J$  = 18.3, 3.4 Hz, 2H), 2.11-1.93 (m, 2H), 1.76-1.61 (m, 2H), 1.26 (s, 3H), 0.82 (s, 3H);  $^{13}\text{C}$  NMR (100 MHz,  $\text{CDCl}_3$ )  $\delta$  210.1, 208.3, 144.6, 110.4, 72.4, 60.7, 46.6, 45.7, 41.6, 41.1, 40.2, 31.9, 25.6, 25.1, 24.3; IR (neat,  $\text{cm}^{-1}$ ): 2957, 2872, 1692, 1652, 1460, 1262, 1222, 1173, 890; HRMS (ESI/[M+H] $^+$ ) calcd. for  $\text{C}_{15}\text{H}_{21}\text{O}_2$ : 233.1542, found 233.1525.

**7-Methyl-6-methylenehexahydro-4a,7-methanobenzo[7]annulene-4,8(1H,5H)-dione (6j)** (a white solid, mp = 76.6-77.6 °C, 1.99 g, 9.1 mmol, 91% from **3a** and **4c**, a single diastereomer):  $^1\text{H}$  NMR (400 MHz,  $\text{CDCl}_3$ )  $\delta$  5.02 (s, 1H), 4.89 (t,  $J$  = 2.4 Hz, 1H), 3.53 (td,  $J$  = 17.8, 2.8 Hz, 1H), 2.82 (dd,  $J$  = 16.4, 8.6 Hz, 1H), 2.53-2.25 (m, 5H), 2.18-2.02 (m, 2H), 1.94-1.79 (m, 1H), 1.79-1.65 (m, 3H), 1.21 (s, 3H);  $^{13}\text{C}$  NMR (100 MHz,  $\text{CDCl}_3$ )  $\delta$  211.0, 208.3, 151.0, 107.7, 59.1, 55.4, 45.7, 44.1, 41.8, 38.9, 38.8,



29.4, 25.7, 16.5; IR (neat,  $\text{cm}^{-1}$ ): 2933, 2866, 1707, 1652, 1433, 1323, 1253, 1210, 1146, 1058, 890; HRMS (ESI/[M+H]<sup>+</sup>) calcd. for  $\text{C}_{14}\text{H}_{19}\text{O}_2$ : 219.1385, found 219.1376.

**6-Methylene-1,2,5,6,7,9a-hexahydro-4a,7-methanobenzo[7]annulene-4,8-diyl)bis(oxy))bis(trimethylsilane) (18a)**

To a solution of **6a** (10.2 g, 50 mmol), hexamethyldisilazane (42 mL, 200 mmol), and NaI (22.5g, 150 mmol) in  $\text{CH}_3\text{CN}$  (50mL) was added TMSCl (1.87 g, 27.4 mmol) at room temperature. The reaction mixture was stirred for 1 hour, and then diluted with hexanes (250 mL), quenched by water (50mL). The aqueous layer was extracted with hexanes (100 mL×3) and the combined organic extracts were washed with brine (50 mL), dried over  $\text{Na}_2\text{SO}_4$ , filtered and concentrated to give a yellow oil as the crude product, which was used without further manipulations.

**6-Methylene-1,2,5,6,7,9a-hexahydro-4a,7-methanobenzo[7]annulene-4,8-diyl)bis(oxy))bis(triethylsilane) (18b) and**

**6-methylene-1,2,5,6,7,9a-hexahydro-4a,7-methanobenzo[7]annulene-4,8-diyl)bis(oxy))bis(tert-butyldimethylsilane) (18c)**

To a solution of **6a** (2.04 g, 10 mmol) in  $\text{CH}_2\text{Cl}_2$  (100 mL) and triethylamine (5.5 mL, 40 mmol) at 0° C was added TESOTf or TBSOTf (25 mmol). The reaction mixture was stirred at 0 °C for 1 hour, and then quenched by brine. The organic layer was separated, dried over  $\text{Na}_2\text{SO}_4$ , filtered and concentrated. Compound **18b** was used

without further manipulations, and compound **18c** was purified by silica gel flash column chromatography (hexanes/ethyl acetate = 99:1 with 3% Et<sub>3</sub>N) to give a white solid (4.33 g, 10 mmol, 100%) as the product. **18c**: mp= 75.8-76.7 °C, <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>) δ ppm 4.87 (d, *J* = 4.4 Hz, 1H), 4.80 (s, 1H), 4.59 (s, 1H), 4.47 (d, *J* = 4.0 Hz, 1H), 2.99 (d, *J* = 12.8 Hz, 1H), 2.67 (d, *J* = 4.4 Hz, 1H), 2.16-1.94 (m, 4H), 1.772 (dd, *J* = 10.4, 4.4 Hz, 1H), 1.60-1.53 (m, 2H), 1.39-1.28 (m, 2H), 0.94 (s, 9H), 0.92 (s, 9H), 0.19 (s, 3H), 0.16 (s, 3H), 0.14 (s, 3H), 0.12 (s, 3H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>) δ = 155.3, 154.8, 152.9, 104.3, 103.3, 101.8, 50.4, 46.6, 44.7, 40.2, 35.9, 28.5, 25.74, 25.6, 24.1, 18.2, 17.9, -4.1, -4.3, -4.9, -5.0; IR (neat, cm<sup>-1</sup>): 2964, 2933, 2866, 1662, 1470, 1348, 1253, 1198, 1171, 1088, 1040, 1006, 890, 832, 777; HRMS (ESI/[M+H]<sup>+</sup>) calcd. for C<sub>25</sub>H<sub>45</sub>O<sub>2</sub>Si<sub>2</sub>: 433.2958, found 433.2974.

### **3-Hydroxy-6-methylenehexahydro-4a,7-methanobenzo[7]annulene-4,8(1*H*,5*H*)-dione (19)**

To a solution of **18c** (10.8 g, 25 mmol), NaHCO<sub>3</sub> (2.1 g, 25 mmol) in CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub> (250 mL) and MeOH (250 mL) was added monoperoxyphthalic acid magnesium salt hexahydrate (MMPP) (6.8 g, 13.7 mmol) at room temperature. The resulting mixture was stirred for 2-4 hours. After TLC analysis showed the consumption of the starting materials, the reaction mixture was quenched by addition of a saturated Na<sub>2</sub>S<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> aqueous solution (20 mL). The aqueous layer was extracted with CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub> (150 mL×3) and the combined organic extracts were washed with a 2 N HCl aqueous solution, a saturated NaHCO<sub>3</sub> aqueous solution, and brine and then concentrated. Silica gel flash

column chromatography (hexanes/ethyl acetate = 2:1) of the residue gave a white solid (4.40 g, 20.0 mmol, 90%) as the product. **19**: mp = 138.8-138.9 °C, <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>) δ 5.11 (t, *J* = 2.0 Hz, 1H), 5.05 (s, 1H), 4.30 (m, 1H), 3.59 (d, *J* = 3.2 Hz, 1H), 3.42 (td, *J* = 18.0, 2.8 Hz, 1H), 3.37 (d, *J* = 5.2 Hz, 1H), 2.79 (dd, *J* = 16.8, 8.8 Hz, 1H), 2.49-2.42 (m, 2H), 2.37-2.26 (m, 2H), 2.10 (d, *J* = 8.4 Hz, 1H), 1.96-1.87 (m, 1H), 1.76-1.71 (m, 1H), 1.61-1.54 (m, 1H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>) δ 211.1, 206.6, 144.8, 110.1, 72.7, 59.8, 55.9, 45.8, 40.7, 37.4, 36.6, 35.4, 27.4; IR (neat, cm<sup>-1</sup>): 3391, 2939, 1716, 1695, 1439, 1104, 976, 899, 865; HRMS (ESI/[M+H]<sup>+</sup>) calcd. for C<sub>13</sub>H<sub>17</sub>O<sub>3</sub>: 221.1178, found 221.1180.

**6-Methylene-6,7,9a-tetrahydro-4a,7-methanobenzo[7]annulene-4,8(1*H*,5*H*)-diol (12)**

To a stirred solution of **19** (6.60 g, 30.0 mmol) in THF (600 mL) was added NaBH<sub>4</sub> (0.39 g, 10.5 mmol) slowly in portions at -78 °C. The resulting mixture was stirred at -78 °C for 30 minutes and then warmed to -20 °C slowly. After TLC showed consumption of **19**, the reaction was quenched by addition of a saturated NH<sub>4</sub>Cl aqueous solution (50 mL) at -20 °C. The aqueous phase was extracted with ethyl acetate (300 mL×3), and the combined organic extracts were washed with brine (50 mL×2), dried over MgSO<sub>4</sub>, filtered and concentrated to give a pale yellow oil as the crude product (a mixture of diol diastereomers), which was used without further manipulations. To a solution of the crude product (6.60 g, 30.0 mmol) in toluene (600 mL) was added triphenylphosphine (31.4 g, 120 mmol), imidazole (8.2 g, 120 mmol)

and iodine (22.9 g, 90 mmol). The mixture was heated under reflux for 5 hour. After cooling to room temperature, the reaction mixture was diluted with ethyl acetate (500 mL) and washed successively with a 10% sodium thiosulfate aqueous solution, a saturated NaHCO<sub>3</sub> aqueous solution, brine and dried over MgSO<sub>4</sub>. After removal of the volatiles, silica gel flash column chromatography (hexanes/ ethyl acetate = 20:1) of the residue gave (4.2 g, 22 mmol, 75%) a colorless oil as the product. **12**: <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>) δ 5.67 (td, *J* = 10.0, 4.4 Hz, 1H), 5.46 (td, *J* = 8.0, 2.0 Hz, 1H), 5.01 (s, 1H), 4.93 (s, 1H), 3.19 (s, 1H), 2.84 (dd, *J* = 17.2, 8.0 Hz, 1H), 2.52-2.41 (m, 1H), 2.07-1.83 (m, 6H), 1.62-1.58 (m, 2H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>) δ 209.8, 148.3, 132.9, 127.6, 108.7, 59.3, 45.7, 43.9, 42.0, 40.5, 39.6, 28.3, 25.5; IR (neat, cm<sup>-1</sup>): 2957, 2933, 2897, 2866, 1723, 1473, 1259, 1070, 1006, 838, 783; HRMS (ESI/[M+H]<sup>+</sup>) calcd. for C<sub>13</sub>H<sub>17</sub>O: 189.1279, found 189.1269.

**9-Hydroxy-6-methylene-1,2,6,7,9,9a-hexahydro-4a,7-methanobenzo[7]annulen-8(5H)-one (20) and**

**8-hydroxy-6-methylene-1,5,6,7,8,9a-hexahydro-4a,7-methanobenzo[7]annulen-9(2H)-one (22)**

To a solution of **12** (3.76 g, 20 mmol) in CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub> (100 mL) and triethylamine (11 mL, 80 mmol) at 0 °C was added TBSOTf (11.4 mL, 30 mmol). The reaction mixture was stirred at 0 °C for 1 hour. The mixture was quenched by brine, separated, and concentrated. Silica gel flash column chromatography (hexanes/ethyl acetate = 99:1 with 5% Et<sub>3</sub>N) of the residue gave a yellow oil as the crude product, which was used

without further manipulations. To a solution of the crude silyl enol ether in  $\text{CH}_2\text{Cl}_2$  (200 mL) and MeOH (100 mL) with  $\text{NaHCO}_3$  (3.36, 40 mmol) at room temperature was added MMPP (5.94 g, 12 mmol). After stirring at room temperature for 2-4 hours, then reaction mixture was quenched by addition of a saturated  $\text{Na}_2\text{S}_2\text{O}_3$  aqueous solution (10 mL). The aqueous layer was extracted with  $\text{CH}_2\text{Cl}_2$  (300 mL $\times$ 3) and the combined organic extracts were washed with brine and then concentrated. The residue was then dissolved in THF (100 mL) and MeOH (10 mL), and was treated with a 2 M aqueous HCl solution (100 mL) at room temperature. The mixture was stirred at room temperature for 2 hours and quenched by addition of a saturated  $\text{NaHCO}_3$  aqueous solution. The aqueous layer was extracted with diethyl ether (200 mL $\times$ 3) and the combined organic extracts were washed with brine (50 mL), dried over  $\text{Na}_2\text{SO}_4$ , filtered and concentrated. Silica gel flash column chromatography (hexanes/ethyl acetate = 9:1) of the residue gave a yellow oil (3.45 g, 16.9 mmol, 85%) as the product. **20**:  $^1\text{H}$  NMR (400 MHz,  $\text{CDCl}_3$ )  $\delta$  5.70 (m, 1H), 5.48 (td,  $J$  = 9.6, 2.0 Hz, 1H), 5.05 (t,  $J$  = 2.0 Hz, 1H), 4.96 (s, 1H), 4.58 (d,  $J$  = 8.8 Hz, 1H), 3.35 (d,  $J$  = 4.8 Hz, 1H), 3.21 (s, 1H), 2.62-2.47 (m, 3H), 2.10-2.02 (m, 3H), 1.95-1.84 (m, 2H), 1.11-1.03 (m, 1H);  $^{13}\text{C}$  NMR (100 MHz,  $\text{CDCl}_3$ )  $\delta$  210.8, 147.7, 132.0, 128.4, 109.2, 71.7, 56.5, 49.5, 44.9, 44.8, 42.0, 25.3, 18.3; IR (neat,  $\text{cm}^{-1}$ ): 3433, 2921, 1716, 1442, 1226, 899, 734, 704; HRMS (ESI/[ $\text{M}+\text{H}$ ] $^+$ ) calcd. for  $\text{C}_{13}\text{H}_{17}\text{O}_2$ : 205.1229, found 205.1240. When the above reaction mixture was stirred in the HCl/THF/methanol mixture for 24 hours, and then quenched by saturated aqueous  $\text{NaHCO}_3$  solution. Another yellow oil (3.45 g, 16.9 mmol, 85%) was obtained as the product using the same work-up

procedures. **22**:  $^1\text{H}$  NMR (300 MHz,  $\text{CDCl}_3$ )  $\delta$  5.73 (td,  $J = 12.0, 3.0$  Hz, 1H), 5.50 (td,  $J = 12.0, 3.0$  Hz, 1H), 5.09 (t,  $J = 1.5$  Hz, 1H), 4.95 (s, 1H), 4.24 (t,  $J = 3.0$  Hz, 1H), 3.61 (d,  $J = 3.0$  Hz, 1H), 2.94 (t,  $J = 3.0$  Hz, 1H), 2.44-2.34 (m, 2H), 2.22-2.12 (m, 3H), 2.06-2.01 (m, 1H), 1.95-1.88 (m, 1H), 1.85-1.77 (m, 1H);  $^{13}\text{C}$  NMR (100 MHz,  $\text{CDCl}_3$ )  $\delta$  213.9, 146.4, 132.3, 127.5, 110.8, 78.1, 55.9, 48.2, 46.4, 45.8, 40.1, 25.3, 24.1; IR (neat,  $\text{cm}^{-1}$ ): 3482, 2933, 1704, 1436, 1381, 1088, 884, 701; HRMS (ESI/[M+H] $^+$ ) calcd. for  $\text{C}_{13}\text{H}_{17}\text{O}_2$ : 205.1229, found 205.1237.

**6-Methylene-9-oxo-1,2,5,6,7,8,9,9a-octahydro-4a,7-methanobenzo[7]annulen-8-yl acetate (23)**

To a solution of **22** (2.04 g, 10 mmol) in  $\text{CH}_2\text{Cl}_2$  (100 mL) with triethylamine (5.6 mL, 40 mmol) at room temperature was added acid anhydride (1.8 mL, 20 mmol). The reaction mixture was stirred at room temperature for 1 hour and quenched with saturated  $\text{NaHCO}_3$  aqueous solution (30 mL). The aqueous layer was extracted by diethyl ether (50 mL $\times$ 3) and the combined organic extracts were washed with brine, dried over  $\text{MgSO}_4$ , filtered and concentrated. Silica gel flash column chromatography (hexanes/ethyl acetate = 9:1) of the residue afford a colorless oil (2.33 g, 9.5 mmol, 95%) as the product. **23**:  $^1\text{H}$  NMR (400 MHz,  $\text{CDCl}_3$ )  $\delta$  5.74 (td,  $J = 10.0, 2.8$  Hz, 1H), 5.49 (td,  $J = 10.0, 2.8$  Hz, 1H), 5.31 (d,  $J = 3.6$  Hz, 1H), 5.11 (s, 1H), 5.04 (s, 1H), 2.93 (t,  $J = 4.6$  Hz, 1H), 2.44-2.38 (m, 2H), 2.28 (d,  $J = 16.8$  Hz, 1H), 2.18 (s, 3H), 2.17-2.06 (m, 3H), 1.99-1.94 (m, 1H), 1.85-1.77 (m, 2H);  $^{13}\text{C}$  NMR (100 MHz,  $\text{CDCl}_3$ )  $\delta$  207.1, 170.1, 146.5, 132.0, 127.8, 111.6, 78.4, 56.9, 46.4, 45.8, 44.9, 40.2,

25.3, 24.4, 20.7; IR (neat,  $\text{cm}^{-1}$ ): 2933, 2860, 1750, 1726, 1432, 1375, 1235, 1070, 1043, 1024, 738, 710; HRMS (ESI/[M+H]<sup>+</sup>) calcd. for C<sub>15</sub>H<sub>19</sub>O<sub>3</sub>: 247.1334, found 247.1316.

**6-Methylene-1,5,6,7,8,9a-hexahydro-4a,7-methanobenzo[7]annulen-9(2H)-one**  
**(11)**

To a stirred solution of **23** (1.23 g, 5 mmol) in THF (degassed, 67 mL) and MeOH (33 mL) at 0 °C was added SmI<sub>2</sub> (a 0.1 M solution in THF, 110 mL). The resulting mixture was stirred at 0 °C for 20 minutes, and was quenched with water (100 mL) and extracted with diethyl ether (100 mL×3). The combined organic extracts were dried over MgSO<sub>4</sub>, filtered and concentrated. Silica gel flash column chromatography (hexanes/ethyl acetate = 20:1) of the residue afforded a yellow oil (0.89 g, 4.7 mmol, 95%) as the product. **11**: <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>) δ 5.73 (td, *J* = 10.8, 2.8 Hz, 1H), 5.47 (td, *J* = 10.0, 2.4 Hz, 1H), 4.97 (s, 1H), 5.11 (s, 1H), 4.93 (s, 1H), 2.90 (d, *J* = 3.6 Hz, 1H), 2.54-2.23 (m, 4H), 2.15-2.11 (m, 2H), 1.86-1.68 (m, 4H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>) δ 214.8, 152.8, 133.3, 127.4, 108.3, 56.9, 49.8, 46.9, 44.2, 40.7, 40.6, 25.5, 25.0; IR (neat,  $\text{cm}^{-1}$ ): 2933, 1735, 1646, 1433, 1366, 1247, 1037, 874, 698; HRMS (ESI/[M+H]<sup>+</sup>) calcd. for C<sub>15</sub>H<sub>19</sub>O<sub>3</sub>: 247.1334, found 247.1316; HRMS (ESI/[M+H]<sup>+</sup>) calcd. for C<sub>13</sub>H<sub>17</sub>O: 189.1279, found 189.1263.

**8-Methyl-3,4,4a,5,6,7,8,9-octahydro-5,8-epoxy-7,9a-methanobenzo[7]annulene**  
**(10, Snider's intermediate)**

To a stirred solution of **11** (564 mg, 3 mmol) in CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub> (50 mL) was added K-selectride (a 1.0 M solution in THF, 18 mL, 18 mmol) at -78 °C and the reaction mixture was allowed to warm up to 0 °C and stirred for 1.5 hours. The reaction was then quenched with a saturated NH<sub>4</sub>Cl aqueous solution (10 mL). The aqueous layer was extracted with CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub> (50 mL×2). The combined organic extracts were dried over Na<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub>, filtered, and concentrated to give a yellow oil as the crude alcohol product, which was used without further manipulations. To a stirred solution of the crude alcohol product (570 mg, 3 mmol) in CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub> (10 mL) was added trifluoroacetic acid (10 mL) at 0 °C and the mixture was stirred at the same temperature for 30 minutes. The reaction was quenched with saturated aqueous NaHCO<sub>3</sub> (30 mL) at 0 °C. The aqueous layer was extracted with CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub> (50 mL×2). The combined organic extracts were dried over Na<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub>, filtered, and concentrated. Silica gel flash column chromatography (hexanes/ethyl acetate = 30:1) of the residue afforded a colorless oil (484 mg, 85%) as the product. **Snider's intermediate 10**: <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>) δ 5.60 (td, *J* = 10.8, 3.6 Hz, 1H), 5.33 (td, *J* = 10.0, 2.0 Hz, 1H), 4.14 (d, *J* = 6.8 Hz, 1H), 2.15-2.09 (m, 3H), 1.91-1.74 (m, 4H), 1.58-1.42 (m, 5H), 1.39 (s, 3H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>) δ = 133.13, 126.7, 86.7, 80.6, 52.6, 45.5, 44.8, 44.6, 43.5, 38.3, 26.2, 23.3, 22.2; IR (neat, cm<sup>-1</sup>): 2941, 2865, 1709, 1473, 1447, 1377, 1326, 1090, 997, 823; HRMS (ESI/[M+H]<sup>+</sup>) calcd. for C<sub>13</sub>H<sub>19</sub>O: 191.1436, found 191.1433.

**3-Bromo-6-methylenehexahydro-4a,7-methanobenzo[7]annulene-4,8(1*H*,5*H*)-dione (24)**



To a solution of **18c** (4.32 g, 10 mmol) in THF (200 mL) was added NBS (1.96 g, 11 mmol) at 0 °C. The mixture was stirred until TLC analysis showed the consumption of the starting material (about 2 hours). The mixture was then quenched with a 2 N HCl aqueous solution. The aqueous layer was extracted with diethyl ether (200 mL x 3), and the combined organic extracts were washed with a saturated NaHCO<sub>3</sub> aqueous solution, brine, dried over Na<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub>, filtered and concentrated. Silica gel flash column chromatography (hexanes/ethyl acetate = 10:1 ) of the residue gave a white solid (2.52 g, 9 mmol, 90%) as the product. **24**: mp = 75.8-76.7 °C, <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>) δ 5.11 (s, 1H), 5.06 (s, 1H), 4.80 (dd, *J* = 13.2, 6.0 Hz, 0.5H), 4.66 (dd, *J* = 12.8, 6.0 Hz, 0.5H), 3.49-3.43 (m, 1H), 3.38 (d, *J* = 5.2 Hz, 1H), 2.82 (dd, *J* = 16.8, 8.8 Hz, 1H), 2.69-2.64 (m, 1H), 2.59-2.52 (m, 1H), 2.43 (d, *J* = 3.6 Hz, 1H), 2.39-2.34 (m, 2H), 2.18-1.95 (m, 4H), 1.84-1.57 (m, 1H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>) δ 206.4, 206.4, 201.8, 201.2, 144.9, 144.8, 110.1, 110.0, 62.0, 59.8, 59.8, 57.5, 57.4, 53.8, 45.2, 45.1, 40.6, 38.3, 38.0, 38.0, 37.3, 36.6, 36.5, 30.5, 29.4; IR (neat, cm<sup>-1</sup>): 2945, 2870, 1713, 1655, 1423, 1322, 1224, 1190, 1140, 895; HRMS (ESI/[M+H]<sup>+</sup>) calcd. for C<sub>13</sub>H<sub>16</sub>O<sub>2</sub>Br: 283.0334, found 283.0370.

**6-Methylene-6,7,9,9a-tetrahydro-4a,7-methanobenzo[7]annulene-4,8(1*H*,5*H*)-dione (15)**

**Procedures with **24** as the starting material:** To a stirred solution of **24** (1.41 g, 0.5 mmol) in DMF (5 mL) was added LiBr (0.26 g, 3 mmol) and Li<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub> (0.22 g, 3 mmol). The resulting mixture was stirred at 120 °C until TLC analysis showed

consumption of the starting material (about 2 hours). The mixture was then poured into cool water (10 mL), and the precipitate was collected by filtration. Silica gel flash column chromatography (*n*hexanes/EtOAc = 4:1) of the precipitate afforded an amorphous solid (71 mg, 70%) as the product. **15**: <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>) δ ppm 6.89 (td, *J* = 10.0, 4.0 Hz, 1H), 6.20-6.02 (d, *J* = 10.8 Hz, 1H), 5.11 (s, 1H), 5.03 (s, 1H), 3.58 (td, *J* = 17.6, 2.8 Hz, 1H), 3.35 (d, *J* = 5.0 Hz, 1H), 2.84 (dd, *J* = 16.0, 8.0 Hz, 1H), 2.63 (dd, *J* = 16.0, 8.0 Hz, 1H), 2.50-2.29 (m, 1H), 2.22 (dd, *J* = 12.0, 1.6 Hz, 1H), 2.06 (d, *J* = 16.0 Hz, 1H), 1.83-1.87 (m, 1H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>) δ = 207.5, 199.2, 147.5, 146.4, 129.2, 109.2, 59.8, 53.0, 40.6, 40.4, 37.4, 35.9, 30.5; IR (neat, cm<sup>-1</sup>): 2951, 2914, 2855, 1710, 1670, 1418, 1387, 1311, 1219, 1183, 899, 801; HRMS (ESI/[M+H]<sup>+</sup>) calcd. for C<sub>13</sub>H<sub>15</sub>O<sub>2</sub>: 203.1072, found 203.1061. **General procedures with 6a as the starting material:** To a stirred 0.2 M solution of **6a** in DMSO or ethyl acetate was added IBX (2-4 equiv). The solution was stirred at 80 °C until TLC analysis showed the consumption of starting material. The reaction mixture was cooled to room temperature and diluted with diethyl ether. The organic layer was washed with a 5% NaHCO<sub>3</sub> aqueous solution, water, brine, and then dried over MgSO<sub>4</sub>, filtered and concentrated. Silica gel column chromatography (*n*hexanes/EtOAc = 4:1) of the residue afforded an amorphous solid (30-40%) as the product. **General procedures with 18a-c as the starting material:** To the crude **18a-c** was added a 1:1 IBX/NMO (2-10 equiv) solution in DMSO (0.2 M for **18a-c**) in one portion at ambient temperature. The mixture was stirred at 45 °C until TLC analysis showed consumption of the starting material. The reaction mixture was then

diluted with a 5% NaHCO<sub>3</sub> aqueous solution and extracted with diethyl ether (×3). The combined organic extracts was filtered through a pad of celite and washed with saturated aqueous NaHCO<sub>3</sub> solution, water, and brine. After drying over MgSO<sub>4</sub> and filtration, the volatiles were removed in vacuo. Silica gel flash column chromatography (*n*hexanes/EtOAc = 4:1) of the residue afforded an amorphous solid (20-57%) as the product along with **6a** (10-70%) being recovered.

**6-Methylene-1,4,5,6,7,8,9,9a-octahydro-4a,7-methanobenzo[7]annulene-4,8-diol**  
**(25)**

To a solution of **15** (2.02 g, 10 mmol) in MeOH (100 mL) was added CeCl<sub>3</sub>•7H<sub>2</sub>O (3.72 g, 10 mmol) at 0°C. The reaction mixture was cooled to -78°C and treated with NaBH<sub>4</sub> (0.37g, 10 mmol). After stirring at -78°C for 10 minutes, the reaction mixture was concentrated. The residue was dissolved in diethyl ether (100 mL) and washed by a saturated NaHCO<sub>3</sub> aqueous solution (20 mL) and brine (20 mL), dried over Na<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub>, filtered and concentrated. Silica gel flash column chromatography (hexanes/ethyl acetate = 4:1) of the residue gave a colorless oil (2.00 g, 9.7 mmol, 97%) as the product. **25**: <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>) δ ppm 5.73-5.63 (m, 1H), 5.59 (dd, *J* = 10.0, 2.0 Hz, 1H), 4.97 (d, *J* = 1.6 Hz, 2H), 4.17 (s, 1H), 3.91-3.76 (m, 1H), 2.74-2.68 (m, 2H), 2.10-1.97 (m, 3H), 1.84-1.63 (m, 4H), 1.49-1.35 (m, 3H), 1.26 (s, 2H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>) δ = 150.0, 130.7, 127.6, 106.8, 70.3, 69.0, 50.8, 46.1, 39.8, 38.0, 34.7, 29.6, 28.2; IR (neat, cm<sup>-1</sup>): 3427, 2927, 2848, 1652, 1457, 1432, 1076, 1046, 871; HRMS (ESI/[M+H]<sup>+</sup>) calcd. for C<sub>13</sub>H<sub>19</sub>O<sub>2</sub>: 207.1385, found 207.1388.

**6-Methylene-1,4,5,6,7,8,9,9a-octahydro-4a,7-methanobenzo[7]annulene-4,8-diyl diacetate (26)**

To a solution of **25** (1.03 g, 5 mmol) in CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub> (50 mL) with triethylamine (4.2 mL, 30 mmol) at room temperature was added acid anhydride (1.1 mL, 12 mmol). The reaction mixture was stirred at room temperature for 1 hour and then quenched with a saturated NaHCO<sub>3</sub> aqueous solution (20 mL). The aqueous layer was extracted by diethyl ether (20 mL × 3) and the combined organic extracts were washed with brine, dried over MgSO<sub>4</sub>, filtered and concentrated. Silica gel flash column chromatography (hexanes/ethyl acetate = 9:1) of the residue afforded a white solid (1.44 g, 5 mmol, 99%) as the product. **26**: mp = 99.6-100.4°C, <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>) δ 5.72 (tdd, *J* = 9.4, 4.4, 2.2 Hz, 1H), 5.59-5.43 (m, 1H), 5.38 (s, 1H), 5.03-4.85 (m, 3H), 2.75 (d, *J* = 2.8 Hz, 1H), 2.37 (td, *J* = 16.9, 2.4 Hz, 1H), 2.20-2.03 (m, 9H), 1.99-1.89 (m, 1H), 1.82-1.66 (m, 2H), 1.59-1.48 (m, 2H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>) δ 171.0, 170.6, 148.3, 128.4, 127.0, 108.0, 72.3, 72.0, 47.0, 44.4, 39.7, 37.8, 29.9, 29.6, 29.4, 21.2, 21.1; IR (neat, cm<sup>-1</sup>): 2957, 2914, 1735, 1655, 1460, 1433, 1366, 1238, 1037, 957, 884; HRMS (ESI/[M+H]<sup>+</sup>) calcd. for C<sub>17</sub>H<sub>23</sub>O<sub>4</sub>: 291.1596, found 291.1604.

**8-Hydroxy-6-methylene-5,6,7,8,9,9a-hexahydro-4a,7-methanobenzo[7]annulene-4(1H)-one (28)**

To a solution of **25** (1.03 g, 5 mmol) in CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub> (50 mL) was added MnO<sub>2</sub> (8.7 g, 100 mmol). The reaction mixture was heated under reflux for 12 hour. After cooling to

room temperature, the mixture was filtered and concentrated. Silica gel flash column chromatography (hexanes/ethyl acetate = 4:1) of the residue afford a colorless oil (0.98 g, 4.8 mmol, 95%) as the product. **28**:  $^1\text{H}$  NMR (400 MHz,  $\text{CDCl}_3$ )  $\delta$  6.88 (ddd,  $J = 10.0, 5.6, 2.2$  Hz, 1H), 6.03 (ddd,  $J = 10.0, 2.8, 1.0$  Hz, 1H), 5.01-5.00 (m, 2H), 3.93-3.77 (m, 1H), 3.31 (td,  $J = 17.4, 2.6$  Hz, 1H), 2.82-2.66 (m, 1H), 2.57-2.39 (m, 1H), 2.33 (td,  $J = 19.5, 5.4$  Hz, 1H), 2.22 (td,  $J = 11.8, 6.0$  Hz, 1H), 2.11-1.96 (m, 1H), 1.83 (ddd,  $J = 19.4, 12.8, 4.0$  Hz, 2H), 1.68 (d,  $J = 10.4$  Hz, 1H), 1.67 (s, 1H), 1.42 (ddd,  $J = 13.8, 11.2, 6.6$  Hz, 1H);  $^{13}\text{C}$  NMR (100 MHz,  $\text{CDCl}_3$ )  $\delta$  201.1, 148.7, 148.0, 129.2, 107.3, 68.6, 53.2, 50.3, 39.2, 37.8, 35.1, 34.5, 30.1; IR (neat,  $\text{cm}^{-1}$ ): 3494, 3055, 2988, 2927, 1735, 1671, 1372, 1268, 1247, 1049, 741, 704; HRMS (ESI/[M+H] $^+$ ) calcd. for  $\text{C}_{13}\text{H}_{17}\text{O}_2$ : 205.1229, found 205.1237.

**8-((*tert*-Butyldimethylsilyl)oxy)-6-methylene-5,6,7,8,9,9a-hexahydro-4a,7-methanobenzo[7]annulen-4(1H)-one (29)**

To a stirred solution of **28** (204 mg, 1 mmol) in  $\text{CH}_2\text{Cl}_2$  (10 mL) was added imidazole (170 mg, 2.5 mmol) and TBSCl (181 mg, 1.2 mmol). The reaction mixture was stirred at room temperature for 4 hours, and then quenched by addition of a saturated aqueous  $\text{NaHCO}_3$  solution (10 mL). The aqueous layer was extracted with  $\text{CH}_2\text{Cl}_2$  (10 mL $\times$ 2) and the combined organic extracts were washed with brine (10 mL), dried over  $\text{MgSO}_4$ , filtered and concentrated. Silica gel flash column chromatography (hexanes/ethyl acetate = 10:1) of the residue gave a white solid (318 mg, 0.99 mmol, 99%) as the product. **29**: mp = 95.0-95.8  $^\circ\text{C}$ ,  $^1\text{H}$  NMR (400 MHz,  $\text{CDCl}_3$ )  $\delta$  6.86 (ddd,

$J = 10.0, 5.6, 2.0$  Hz, 1H), 6.08-5.91 (m, 1H), 4.94 (t,  $J = 6.4$  Hz, 2H), 3.85 (ddd,  $J = 10.53, 5.69, 3.02$  Hz, 1H), 3.25 (td,  $J = 17.10, 2.63$  Hz, 1H), 2.60 (t,  $J = 1.2$  Hz, 1H), 2.45 (tdd,  $J = 19.4, 11.7, 2.4$  Hz, 1H), 2.29 (td,  $J = 19.6, 5.4$  Hz, 1H), 2.17 (td,  $J = 11.6, 5.79$  Hz, 1H), 2.00 (dd,  $J = 17.2, 1.8$  Hz, 1H), 1.89-1.72 (m, 1H), 1.70-1.43 (m, 3H), 0.88 (s, 9H), 0.06 (s, 3H), 0.04 (s, 3H);  $^{13}\text{C}$  NMR (100 MHz,  $\text{CDCl}_3$ )  $\delta$  201.5, 148.0, 147.5, 129.2, 107.8, 69.9, 53.2, 50.4, 39.2, 37.9, 35.4, 34.3, 30.4, 25.7, 18.1, -4.6, -4.7; IR (neat,  $\text{cm}^{-1}$ ): 2957, 2933, 2890, 2860, 1683, 1667, 1469, 1390, 1250, 1137, 1106, 1088, 1070, 884, 869, 832, 774; HRMS (ESI/[M+H] $^+$ ) calcd. for  $\text{C}_{19}\text{H}_{31}\text{O}_2\text{Si}$ : 319.2093, found 319.2100.

**6-((*tert*-Butyldimethylsilyl)oxy)-4-methyleneoctahydro-2a,5-methanocyclohepta[4,5]benzo[1,2-*b*]oxiren-2(1a*H*)-one (30)**

To a solution of **29** (3.18 g, 10 mmol) in MeOH (100 mL) was added a 30%  $\text{H}_2\text{O}_2$  aqueous solution (1.53 mL, 25 mmol) and a 6 N NaOH aqueous solution (1.86 mL, 10.5 mmol). The reaction was stirred at room temperature for 1.5 hours. Then the solution was quenched with a saturated  $\text{Na}_2\text{S}_2\text{O}_3$  aqueous solution (50 mL). The mixture was extracted with diethyl ether (100 mL $\times$ 3), washed with brine (40 mL). The organic extracts were dried over  $\text{Na}_2\text{SO}_4$ , filtered and concentrated. Silica gel flash column chromatography (hexanes/ethyl acetate = 9:1) of the residue afforded a white solid (3.2 g, 9.6 mmol, 96%) as the product. **30**: mp = 108.8-109.8  $^\circ\text{C}$ ,  $^1\text{H}$  NMR (400 MHz,  $\text{CDCl}_3$ )  $\delta$  4.96 (s, 1H), 4.94 (s, 1H), 3.73 (ddd,  $J = 10.8, 5.6, 3.0$  Hz, 1H), 3.52 (t,  $J = 2.7$  Hz, 1H), 3.21 (d,  $J = 3.6$  Hz, 1H), 3.10 (td,  $J = 16.8, 2.6$  Hz, 1H), 2.60 (s,

1H), 2.21-2.16 (m, 2H), 2.11-2.04 (m, 2H), 1.74 (dd,  $J = 11.6, 2.4$  Hz, 1H), 1.69-1.55 (m, 1H), 1.55-1.42 (m, 1H), 0.87 (s, 9H), 0.05 (s, 3H), 0.03 (s, 3H);  $^{13}\text{C}$  NMR (100 MHz,  $\text{CDCl}_3$ )  $\delta$  206.9, 147.1, 108.1, 69.8, 53.7, 53.4, 53.3, 51.0, 39.3, 37.6, 33.2, 30.6, 27.51, 25.7, 18.0, -4.7, -4.7; IR (neat,  $\text{cm}^{-1}$ ): 2945, 2878, 2854, 1695, 1247, 1107, 1091, 884, 832, 771; HRMS (ESI/[ $\text{M}+\text{H}$ ] $^+$ ) calcd. for  $\text{C}_{19}\text{H}_{31}\text{O}_3\text{Si}$ : 335.2042, found 335.2050.

**8-((*tert*-Butyldimethylsilyl)oxy)-6-methylene-1,2,5,6,7,8,9,9a-octahydro-4a,7-methanobenzo[7]annulen-2-ol (31)**

To a solution of **30** (3 g, 9 mmol) in MeOH (80 mL) was added  $\text{NH}_2\text{NH}_2\cdot\text{H}_2\text{O}$  (0.95 mL, 18 mmol) at 0 °C. After stirring for 15 minutes, AcOH (1.1 mL, 18 mmol) was added at 0 °C, and the resulting mixture was stirred at the same temperature for another 1.5 hours, the reaction was then quenched by a saturated  $\text{NaHCO}_3$  aqueous solution and the mixture was extracted with diethyl ether (100 mL $\times$ 2). The combined extracts were dried over  $\text{Na}_2\text{SO}_4$ , filtered and concentrated. Silica gel flash column chromatography (hexanes/ethyl acetate = 9:1) of the residue gave a yellow solid (2.67 g, 8.3 mmol, 93 %) as the product. **31**: mp = 86.7-87.9 °C,  $^1\text{H}$  NMR (400 MHz,  $\text{CDCl}_3$ )  $\delta$  5.75-5.66 (m, 2H), 4.94 (d,  $J = 10.8$  Hz, 2H), 4.13 (d,  $J = 4.0$  Hz, 1H), 3.72 (ddd,  $J = 10.8, 5.8, 3.0$  Hz, 1H), 2.50 (s, 1H), 2.39-2.18 (m, 2H), 2.02-1.69 (m, 4H), 1.66 (dd,  $J = 19.2, 7.4$  Hz, 1H), 1.56-1.36 (m, 3H), 0.88 (s, 9H), 0.05 (s, 3H), 0.03 (s, 3H);  $^{13}\text{C}$  NMR (100 MHz,  $\text{CDCl}_3$ )  $\delta$  149.2, 139.5, 127.4, 107.5, 70.9, 64.4, 50.2, 45.5, 44.1, 37.6, 36.1, 35.2, 34.3, 25.8, 18.1, -4.6, -4.7; IR (neat,  $\text{cm}^{-1}$ ): 3354, 2933, 2853,

1478, 1250, 1106, 1076, 1012, 878, 832, 774; HRMS (ESI/[M+H]<sup>+</sup>) calcd. for C<sub>19</sub>H<sub>33</sub>O<sub>2</sub>Si: 321.2250, found 321.2244.

**2-((4-Methoxybenzyl)oxy)-6-methylene-1,2,5,6,7,8,9,9a-octahydro-4a,7-methanobenzo[7]annulen-8-yl)oxy)dimethylsilane (32)**

To a solution of **31** (1.60 g, 5 mmol) in anhydrous DMF (50 mL) was added NaH (a 60% dispersion in mineral oil, 600 mg, 15 mmol) at room temperature. The resulting solution was stirred at room temperature for 25 minutes, and treated with PMBCl (2 mL, 15 mmol, 3 equiv). After stirring at the same temperature for 3 hours, the reaction mixture was quenched by addition of a saturated NH<sub>4</sub>Cl aqueous solution (50 mL). The resulting mixture was extracted with diethyl ether (100 mL×3). The combined organic extracts were washed with brine, dried over Na<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub>, and concentrated. Silica gel flash column chromatography (hexanes/ethyl acetate = 9:1) of the residue gave a yellow oil (1.98 g, 4.5 mmol, 90%) as the product. **32**: <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>) δ 7.28 (d, *J* = 8.68 Hz, 2H), 6.88 (d, *J* = 8.41 Hz, 2H), 5.77-5.71 (m, 2H), 4.95 (d, *J* = 11.2 Hz, 2H), 4.50 (dd, *J* = 34.0, 11.6 Hz, 2H), 3.83 (t, *J* = 6.0 Hz, 1H), 3.77 (s, 3H), 3.75 (m, 1H), 2.50 (s, 1H), 2.30 (s, 2H), 2.06-1.97 (m, 1H), 1.86-1.70 (m, 3H), 1.53-1.39 (m, 3H), 0.90 (s, 9H), 0.08 (s, 3H), 0.06 (s, 3H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>) δ 159.0, 149.4, 139.8, 130.9, 129.1, 125.6, 113.4, 107.4, 71.1, 70.5, 70.1, 55.2, 50.3, 45.4, 44.2, 37.7, 35.4, 34.8, 32.5, 25.8, 18.1, -4.6, -4.7; IR (neat, cm<sup>-1</sup>): 2939, 2854, 2836, 1610, 1515, 1463, 1302, 1253, 1174, 1079, 1037, 820; HRMS (ESI/[M+H]<sup>+</sup>) calcd. for C<sub>27</sub>H<sub>41</sub>O<sub>3</sub>Si: 441.2825, found 441.2833.



**2-((4-Methoxybenzyl)oxy)-6-methylene-1,2,5,6,7,8,9,9a-octahydro-4a,7-methanobenzo[7]annulen-8-ol (14)**

To a solution of **32** (1.76 g, 4 mmol) in anhydrous THF (20 mL) was added TBAF (a 1.0 M solution in THF, 12 mL, 12 mmol) at room temperature. The reaction mixture was stirred at room temperature for 12 hours, and then quenched by addition of a saturated NH<sub>4</sub>Cl aqueous solution (20 mL). The aqueous layer was extracted with diethyl ether (50 mL×3). The combined organic extracts were washed with brine, dried over Na<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub>, and concentrated. Silica gel flash column chromatography (hexanes/ethyl acetate = 5:1) of the residue gave a yellow oil (1.30 g, 4 mmol, 100%) as the product. **14**: <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>) δ 7.27 (dd, *J* = 8.4, 3.0 Hz, 2H), 6.88 (dd, *J* = 6.4, 2.0 Hz, 2H), 5.83-5.68 (m, 2H), 4.97 (s, 2H), 4.49 (dd, *J* = 27.8, 11.6 Hz, 2H), 3.89-3.76 (m, 1H), 3.76-3.65 (m, 5H), 2.62 (m, 1H), 2.39-2.22 (m, 2H), 2.02 (dd, *J* = 17.2, 7.4 Hz, 1H), 1.88-1.75 (m, 2H), 1.73-1.61 (m, 2H), 1.62-1.48 (m, 4H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>) δ 159.1, 150.5, 139.3, 130.9, 129.2, 125.9, 113.7, 106.9, 70.5, 70.2, 69.9, 55.2, 50.1, 45.3, 44.1, 37.4, 35.6, 34.9, 32.3; IR (neat, cm<sup>-1</sup>): 3445, 3055, 2933, 2860, 1716, 1604, 1518, 1454, 1265, 1170, 1033, 887, 826, 737, 704; HRMS (ESI/[M+H]<sup>+</sup>) calcd. for C<sub>21</sub>H<sub>27</sub>O<sub>3</sub>: 327.1960, found 327.1971.

**2-((4-methoxybenzyl)oxy)-6-methylene-1,2,5,6,7,8,9,9a-octahydro-4a,7-methanobenzo[7]annulen-8-yl) S-methyl carbonodithioate (33)**

To a stirred solution of **14** (1.02 g, 3.1 mmol) in dry THF (40 mL) was added sodium

hydride (a 60% dispersion in mineral oil, 800 mg, 20 mmol) at 0 °C. The resulting mixture was stirred at room temperature for 30 minutes. The mixture was treated with carbon disulfide (3.60 mL, 60.00 mmol) and heated under reflux for 1 hour. The reaction mixture was allowed to cool to room temperature, then treated with methyl iodide (1.2 mL, 20 mmol) and stirred for 16 hours. The reaction mixture was diluted with ethanol (8 mL), water (16 mL), and extracted with diethyl ether (50 mL×3). The combined organic extracts were washed with a saturated NH<sub>4</sub>Cl aqueous solution (20 mL) followed by brine and dried over Na<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub>. After filtration and removal of the volatiles, silica gel flash column chromatography (hexanes/ethyl acetate = 9:1) of the residue gave a yellow oil (1.24 g, 2.96 mmol, 95%) as the product. **33**: <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>) δ 7.27 (d, *J* = 8.4 Hz, 2H), 6.95-6.81 (m, 2H), 5.81-5.71 (m, 2H), 5.69 (dddd, *J* = 11.0, 8.8, 7.8, 3.5 Hz, 1H), 4.99 (d, *J* = 20.0 Hz, 2H), 4.50 (dd, *J* = 27.6, 11.6 Hz, 2H), 3.85 (t, *J* = 2.0 Hz, 1H), 3.81 (s, 3H), 2.99 (s, 1H), 2.54 (s, 3H), 2.37 (s, 2H), 2.18-2.03 (m, 2H), 1.93-1.76 (m, 3H), 1.63-1.59 (m, 2H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>) δ 159.1, 150.5, 139.3, 130.9, 129.2, 125.9, 113.7, 106.9, 70.5, 70.2, 69.9, 55.2, 50.1, 45.3, 44.1, 37.4, 35.6, 34.9, 32.3; IR (neat, cm<sup>-1</sup>): 2951, 2933, 2866, 1732, 1616, 1515, 1457, 1244, 1232, 1207, 1046, 960, 930, 880, 820; HRMS (ESI/[M+H]<sup>+</sup>) calcd. for C<sub>21</sub>H<sub>27</sub>O<sub>3</sub>: 327.1960, found 327.1971.

**3-Methylene-3,4,8,8a-tetrahydro-1*H*-2,4a-ethanonaphthalen-7(2*H*)-one** (13,  
Nicolaou's intermediate)

To a stirred solution of **33** (654 mg, 2 mmol) in benzene (200 mL) at room

temperature were added *n*Bu<sub>3</sub>SnH (2.65 mL, 10 mmol) and AIBN (659 mg, 4 mmol). The mixture was heated under reflux for 4 hours. During the course of heating, additional amounts of *n*Bu<sub>3</sub>SnH (607  $\mu$ L, 10 mmol  $\times$  3) was added to ensure the completion of the reaction. After cooling to room temperature and removal of the volatiles, the residue was dissolved in diethyl ether and washed with a 1 N HCl aqueous solution (5 mL). The solution was brought to neutral condition by addition of a 1 N NaOH aqueous solution. The organic layer was separated, washed with brine, and concentrated to give a colorless oil as a crude product, which was used without further manipulations. To a solution of the crude product in CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub> (20 mL) and H<sub>2</sub>O (0.1 mL) was added DDQ (0.91 g, 4 mmol) at room temperature. The mixture were stirred for 30 minutes, and then quenched by a saturated Na<sub>2</sub>S<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> aqueous (10 mL). The aqueous layer was extracted by diethyl ether (20 mL $\times$ 2) and the combined organic extracts were washed with a saturated NaHCO<sub>3</sub> aqueous solution and brine, dried over MgSO<sub>4</sub>, filtered and concentrated to give the crude product, which was used without further manipulations. To a stirred solution of the crude product in CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub> (20 mL) at room temperature was added NaHCO<sub>3</sub> (0.50 g, 6 mmol) and Dess-Martin periodinane (1.27 g, 3 mmol). The reaction mixture was stirred at room temperature for 30 minutes, and then the solution was quenched with a saturated Na<sub>2</sub>S<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> aqueous solution (10 mL). The aqueous layer was extracted by diethyl ether (20 mL $\times$ 2) and the combined organic extracts were washed with a saturated NaHCO<sub>3</sub> aqueous solution and brine, dried over MgSO<sub>4</sub>, filtered and concentrated. Silica gel flash column chromatography (hexanes/ethyl acetate = 20:1) of the residue

gave a colorless oil (309 mg, 1.6 mmol, 82% in 3 steps from **33**) as the product.

**Nicolaou's intermediate (13):**  $^1\text{H}$  NMR (300 MHz,  $\text{CDCl}_3$ )  $\delta$  6.57 (d,  $J$  = 10.0 Hz, 1H), 5.88 (d,  $J$  = 10.0 Hz, 1H), 4.84 (d,  $J$  = 1.7 Hz, 1H), 4.69 (d,  $J$  = 1.7 Hz, 1H), 2.48-2.40 (m, 2H), 2.36-2.29 (m, 2H), 2.19-2.08 (m, 2H), 2.03-1.96 (m, 1H), 1.82-1.68 (m, 3H), 1.55-1.48 (m, 1H), 1.20 (m, 1H);  $^{13}\text{C}$  NMR (100 MHz,  $\text{CDCl}_3$ )  $\delta$  200.0, 156.6, 148.8, 127.7, 106.8, 41.6, 40.8, 36.0, 35.5, 35.4, 34.8, 26.3, 24.4; IR (neat,  $\text{cm}^{-1}$ ): 2941, 2864, 1680, 1430, 1273, 1236, 1162, 876, 766; HRMS (ESI/[M+H] $^+$ ) calcd. for  $\text{C}_{13}\text{H}_{17}\text{O}$ : 189.1279, found 189.1287.

#### **6-Methylenedecahydro-4a,7-methanobenzo[7]annulene-4,8-diol (34)**

To a stirred solution of **6a** (10.2 g, 50 mmol) in MeOH (250 mL) was added  $\text{NaBH}_4$  (4.08 g, 110 mmol). The resulting mixture was stirred at room temperature for 2 hours, and then the volatiles were removed under reduced pressure. Silica gel flash column chromatography (hexanes/ethyl acetate = 2:1) of the residue gave an off-white amorphous solid (10.09 g, 48.5 mmol, 97%, a mixture of diastereomer) as the product. The major diastereomer of **34** was obtained by washing of the amorphous solid with hexanes a few times. **34** (major diastereomer):  $^1\text{H}$  NMR (400 MHz,  $\text{CDCl}_3$ )  $\delta$  4.92 (s, 1H), 4.90 (s, 1H), 3.72 (m, 1H), 3.46 (m, 1H), 2.74 (d,  $J$  = 6.4 Hz, 1H), 2.62 (s, 1H), 1.91-1.85 (m, 3H), 1.76-1.54 (m, 5H), 1.46-1.26 (m, 6H);  $^{13}\text{C}$  NMR (100 MHz,  $\text{CDCl}_3$ )  $\delta$  150.4, 106.5, 72.6, 69.8, 51.0, 48.7, 42.6, 39.8, 35.6, 32.0, 28.7, 28.3, 24.3; IR (neat,  $\text{cm}^{-1}$ ): 3378, 2939, 2859, 1726, 1652, 1452, 1253, 1043, 874, 735; HRMS (ESI/[M+H] $^+$ ) calcd. for  $\text{C}_{13}\text{H}_{21}\text{O}_2$ : 209.1542, found 209.1549. The stereochemistry of

**34** was assigned by comparing the  $^1\text{H}$  and  $^{13}\text{C}$  NMR spectra with the product that obtained by TBAF deprotection of the major diastereomer of **34**.

**8-((*tert*-Butyldimethylsilyl)oxy)-6-methylenedecahydro-4a,7-methanobenzo[7]annulen-4-ol (**35**)**

To a stirred solution of **34** (9.4 g of a mixture of diastereomers, 45 mmol) in  $\text{CH}_2\text{Cl}_2$  (450 mL) was added imidazole (7.65 g, 112.5 mmol) and TBSCl (7.46 g, 49.5 mmol). The reaction mixture was stirred at room temperature for 4 hours, and then quenched by addition of a saturated  $\text{NaHCO}_3$  aqueous solution (50 mL). The aqueous layer was extracted with  $\text{CH}_2\text{Cl}_2$  (50 mL $\times$ 2) and the combined organic extracts were washed with brine (30 mL), dried over  $\text{MgSO}_4$ , filtered and concentrated. Silica gel flash column chromatography (hexanes/ethyl acetate = 10:1) of the residue gave a white solid (11.6 g, 36 mmol, 80%, a roughly 3:1 diastereomeric mixture) as the product along with **34** (0.9 g, 4.3 mmol) being recovered. **35** (major diastereomer): mp = 73.3-74.1  $^\circ\text{C}$ ,  $^1\text{H}$  NMR (400 MHz,  $\text{CDCl}_3$ )  $\delta$  4.93 (d,  $J$  = 1.8 Hz, 1H), 4.88 (s, 1H), 3.78 (ddd,  $J$  = 10.8, 5.6, 3.0 Hz, 1H), 3.48 (d,  $J$  = 5.8 Hz, 1H), 2.71 (td,  $J$  = 16.6, 2.6 Hz, 1H), 2.54 (s, 1H), 1.92 (dd,  $J$  = 16.6, 1.6 Hz, 1H), 1.80-1.73 (m, 2H), 1.64-1.59 (m, 2H), 1.53-1.29 (m, 8H), 0.88 (s, 9H), 0.06 (s, 3H), 0.04 (s, 3H);  $^{13}\text{C}$  NMR (100 MHz,  $\text{CDCl}_3$ )  $\delta$  149.2, 107.1, 72.9, 71.1, 51.2, 48.7, 42.6, 39.8, 35.4, 32.0, 29.1, 28.4, 25.80, 24.4, 18.1, -4.6, -4.7; IR (neat,  $\text{cm}^{-1}$ ): 3397, 2957, 2933, 2890, 2860, 1659, 1473, 1463, 1372, 1253, 1110, 1082, 1055, 881, 863, 835, 771; HRMS (ESI/[ $\text{M}+\text{H}$ ] $^+$ ) calcd. for  $\text{C}_{19}\text{H}_{35}\text{O}_2\text{Si}$ : 323.2406, found 323.2413. **35** (minor diastereomer):  $^1\text{H}$  NMR (400 MHz,

CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  4.85 (s, 1H), 4.81 (s, 1H), 3.82 (t,  $J$  = 4.0 Hz, 1H), 3.39 (dd,  $J$  = 7.2, 4.0 Hz, 1H), 2.73-2.69 (m, 2H), 2.06-1.81 (m, 4H), 1.71-1.66 (m, 2H), 1.57-1.32 (m, 8H), 0.88 (s, 9H), 0.06 (s, 3H), 0.04 (s, 3H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  152.5, 105.0, 73.8, 72.9, 50.2, 49.7, 41.7, 39.1, 33.0, 32.5, 30.6, 25.8, 24.5, 23.3, 18.0, -4.0, -5.0; HRMS (ESI/[M+H]<sup>+</sup>) calcd. for C<sub>19</sub>H<sub>35</sub>O<sub>2</sub>Si: 323.2406, found 323.2400.

**6-Methylene-1,2,5,6,7,8,9,9a-octahydro-4a,7-methanobenzo[7]annulen-8-yl)oxy)silane (36)**

To a solution of **35** (9.66 g, 30 mmol) and pyridine (24 mL) in CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub> (500 mL) at -78 °C was added Tf<sub>2</sub>O (12.3 mL, 75 mmol). The reaction mixture was allowed to warm to 0 °C over 15 minutes and treated with isopropanol (3.6 mL, 45 mmol). The resulting solution was stirred at 25 °C for 15 minutes and then quenched with saturated aqueous NaHCO<sub>3</sub> solution. The aqueous layer was extracted with diethyl ether (100 mL×3). The combined organic extracts were washed with saturated aqueous NH<sub>4</sub>Cl solution, water, and brine; dried over MgSO<sub>4</sub>, and concentrated. Silica gel flash column chromatography (hexanes/ethyl acetate = 99:1) of the residue gave a colorless oil (8.6 g, 28.2 mmol, 84%) as the product. **36**: <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  5.71-5.53 (m, 1H), 5.46 (d,  $J$  = 9.8 Hz, 1H), 4.95 (s, 1H), 4.92 (s, 1H), 3.76 (ddd,  $J$  = 10.8, 5.8, 3.0 Hz, 1H), 2.50 (s, 1H), 2.38-2.15 (m, 2H), 2.07-2.01 (m, 2H), 1.89-1.62 (m, 3H), 1.58-1.44 (m, 4H), 0.90 (s, 9H), 0.07 (s, 3H), 0.06 (s, 3H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  150.1, 134.9, 126.7, 107.1, 71.2, 50.8, 46.0, 43.8, 40.4, 40.1, 36.1, 27.3, 26.3, 25.8, 18.1, -4.6, -4.7; IR (neat, cm<sup>-1</sup>): 2963, 2939, 2866, 1680, 1472,

1253, 1094, 878, 832, 777; HRMS (ESI/[M+H]<sup>+</sup>) calcd. for C<sub>19</sub>H<sub>33</sub>OSi: 305.2301, found 305.2304.

**6-Methylene-1,2,5,6,7,8,9,9a-octahydro-4a,7-methanobenzo[7]annulen-8-ol (37)**

To a solution of **36** (6.08 g, 20 mmol) in THF (50 mL) and MeOH (5 mL) was added a 2 N HCl aqueous solution (50 mL) at room temperature. The reaction mixture was stirred for 1 hour, and then quenched by saturated aqueous NaHCO<sub>3</sub> solution. After diluted with diethyl ether (100 mL), the aqueous layer was extracted with diethyl ether (100 mL×3) and the combined organic extracts were washed with brine (30 mL), dried over Na<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub>, filtered and concentrated. Silica gel flash column chromatography (hexanes/ethyl acetate = 9:1) of the residue gave a white solid (3.80 g, 20 mmol, 100%) as the product. **37**: mp = 59.7-60.6 °C, <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>) δ 5.60 (td, *J* = 9.4, 3.4 Hz, 1H), 5.46 (td, *J* = 9.8, 1.8 Hz, 1H), 4.95 (s, 2H), 3.72 (s, 1H), 2.60 (s, 1H), 2.38-2.18 (m, 2H), 2.07-2.04 (m, 2H), 1.77-1.67 (m, 4H), 1.66-1.46 (m, 4H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>) δ 151.1, 134.4, 126.9, 106.5, 70.0, 50.6, 45.8, 43.7, 40.4, 39.8, 36.1, 26.9, 26.2; IR (neat, cm<sup>-1</sup>): 3403, 2927, 2866, 1652, 1451, 1427, 1046, 881, 698; HRMS (ESI/[M+H]<sup>+</sup>) calcd. for C<sub>13</sub>H<sub>19</sub>O: 191.1436, found 191.1439.

**6-Methylene-1,2,6,7,9,9a-hexahydro-4a,7-methanobenzo[7]annulen-8(5*H*)-one (12)**

To a stirred solution of **37** (1.90 g, 10 mmol) in CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub> (100 mL) at room temperature was added NaHCO<sub>3</sub> (2.52 g, 30 mmol) and Dess-Martin periodinane (6.39 g, 15

mmol). The reaction mixture was stirred at room temperature for 30 min, and then the solution was quenched with a saturated  $\text{Na}_2\text{S}_2\text{O}_3$  aqueous solution (20 mL). The aqueous layer was extracted by diethyl ether (50 mL $\times$ 3) and the combined organic extracts were washed with saturated a saturated  $\text{NaHCO}_3$  aqueous solution and brine; dried over  $\text{MgSO}_4$ , filtered and concentrated. Silica gel flash column chromatography (hexanes/ethyl acetate = 20:1) of the residue gave a colorless oil (1.69 g, 9.0 mmol, 90%) as the product.

**8-((*tert*-Butyldimethylsilyl)oxy)-6-methyleneoctahydro-4a,7-methanobenzo[7]annulen-4(1*H*)-one (38)**

To a stirred solution of **35** (6.44 g, 20 mmol) in  $\text{CH}_2\text{Cl}_2$  (200 mL) at room temperature was added  $\text{NaHCO}_3$  (5.04 g, 60 mmol) and Dess-Martin periodinane (12.7 g, 30 mmol). The reaction mixture was stirred at room temperature for 30 min, and then quenched with a saturated  $\text{Na}_2\text{S}_2\text{O}_3$  aqueous solution (40 mL). The aqueous layer was extracted by diethyl ether (50 mL $\times$ 2) and the combined organic extracts were washed with a saturated  $\text{NaHCO}_3$  aqueous solution and brine, dried over  $\text{MgSO}_4$ , filtered and concentrated. Silica gel flash column chromatography (hexanes/ethyl acetate = 20:1) of the residue gave a white solid (5.76 g, 18 mmol, 90%) as the product. **38**: mp = 73.0-73.9 °C,  $^1\text{H}$  NMR (400 MHz,  $\text{CDCl}_3$ )  $\delta$  4.94 (s, 2H), 3.88 (ddd,  $J$  = 10.6, 5.8, 3.0 Hz, 1H), 3.09 (td,  $J$  = 17.5, 2.7 Hz, 1H), 2.62 (dd,  $J$  = 5.1, 2.8 Hz, 1H), 2.48-2.23 (m, 2H), 2.16-1.87 (m, 5H), 1.76-1.52 (m, 6H), 0.89 (s, 9H), 0.07 (s, 3H), 0.05 (s, 3H);  $^{13}\text{C}$  NMR (100 MHz,  $\text{CDCl}_3$ )  $\delta$  213.0, 147.3, 107.9, 70.1, 57.3, 51.2, 44.4, 39.0, 37.7,



36.6, 35.2, 28.8, 26.2, 25.7, 18.1, -4.6, -4.7; IR (neat,  $\text{cm}^{-1}$ ): 2945, 2866, 1710, 1658, 1472, 1256, 1131, 1106, 1079, 875, 838, 780; HRMS (ESI/[M+H]<sup>+</sup>) calcd. for  $\text{C}_{19}\text{H}_{34}\text{O}_2\text{Si}$ : 322.2328, found 322.2333.

**8-((*tert*-Butyldimethylsilyl)oxy)-6-methylene-5,6,7,8,9,9a-hexahydro-4a,7-methanobenzo[7]annulen-4(1*H*)-one (29) from 38**

To a solution of diisopropylamine (3.29 mL, 23.4 mmol) in THF (24 mL) was added dropwise a solution of *n*butyllithium (a 2.5 M solution in hexanes, 9 mL, 22.5 mmol,) at -78 °C under argon. After stirred for 30 minutes at -78 °C, this solution was added dropwise to a solution of **38** (18 mmol) in THF (36 mL) via cannulation. The resulting mixture was continued to stir at -78 °C for 1 hour and then treated with trimethylsilyl chloride (freshly distilled from calcium hydride, 11 mL, 90 mmol). After stirring at -78 °C for another hour, the volatiles were removed under reduced pressure at 0 °C. The residue was then dissolved in  $\text{CH}_3\text{CN}$  (180 mL) and treated with  $\text{Pd}(\text{OAc})_2$  (4.04 g, 18 mmol). The resulting mixture was stirred at room temperature for 12 hours. The reaction mixture was then filtered and concentrated. Silica gel flash column chromatography (hexanes/ethyl acetate = 20:1) of the residue gave a white solid (4.97 g, 15.6 mmol, 87%) as the product.

**Acknowledgments**

This work is supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (Grant No. 21272012, 21232001 and 21071052), Shenzhen Science and Technology

Innovation Commission of People's Government of Shenzhen Municipality (ZDSY20120614144410389 and KQTD201103) and the Peking University Shenzhen Graduate School. Special thanks to Prof. Ga-Lai Sonoe Law and her student, Mr. Wai-Sum Lo, from the Hong Kong Polytechnic University for their constructive comments on this manuscript.

### Supporting Information

Binding enthalpies of 29 Lewis acids towards styrene and benzaldehyde, total energies and coordinates of optimized minima and transition states, the X-ray structures of compounds **6a** and **6i**, and  $^1\text{H}$  NMR and  $^{13}\text{C}$  NMR spectra for all the new compounds. This material is available free of charge via the Internet at <http://pubs.acs.org>.

### References and Footnotes

- (1) Wang, J.; Soisson, S. M.; Young, K.; Shoop, W.; Kodali, S.; Galgoci, A.; Painter, R.; Parthasarathy, G.; Tang, Y.; Cummings, R.; Ha, S.; Dorso, K.; Motyl, M.; Jayasuriya, H.; Ondeyka, J.; Herath, K.; Zhang, C.; Hernandez, L.; Alloco, J.; A'BasilioTormo, J. R.; Genilloud, O.; Vicente, F.; Pelaez, F.; Colwell, L.; Lee, S. H.; Michael, B.; Felcetto, T.; Gill, C.; Silver, L. L.; Hermes, J.; Bartizal, K.; Barrett, J.; Schmatz, D. J.; Becker, W.; Cully, D.; Singh, S. B. *Nature* **2006**, *441*, 358-361.

- (2) Wang, J.; Kodali, S.; Lee, S. H.; Galgoci, A.; Painter, R.; Dorso, K.; Racine, F.; Motyl, M.; Hernandez, L.; Tinney, E.; Colletti, S.; Herath, K.; Cummings, R.; Salazar, O.; Gonzalez, I.; Basilio, A.; Vicente, F.; Genilloud, O.; Pelaez, F.; Jayasuriya, H.; Young, K.; Cully D.; Singh, S. B. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.* **2007**, *104*, 7612-7616.
- (3) Herath, K.; Attygalle, A. B.; Singh, S. B. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2007**, *129*, 15422-15423.
- (4) Smanski, M. J.; Yu, Z.; Casper, J.; Lin, S.; Peterson, R. M.; Chen, Y.; Wendt-Pienkowski, E.; Rajski, S. R.; Shen, B. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.* **2007**, *108*, 13498-13503.
- (5) Häbich, D.; von Nussbaum, F. *ChemMedChem* **2006**, *1*, 951-954.
- (6) Brown, E. D. *Nature* **2006**, *441*, 293-294.
- (7) Pearson, H. *Nature* **2006**, *441*, 260-261.
- (8) Brinster, S.; Lamberet, G.; Staels, B.; Trieu-Cuot, P.; Gruss, A.; Poyart, C. *Nature* **2009**, *458*, 83-86.
- (9) Martens, E.; Demain, A. L. *J. Antibiot.* **2011**, *64*, 705-710.
- (10) Brinster, S.; Lamberet, G.; Staels, B.; Trieu-Cuot, P.; Gruss, A.; Poyart, C. *Nature* **2009**, *458*, 83-86.
- (11) Tiefenbacher, K.; Mulzer, J. *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **2008**, *47*, 2548-2555.
- (12) Manallack, D. T.; Crosby, I. T.; Khakham, Y.; Capuano, B. *Curr. Med. Chem.* **2008**, *15*, 705-710.
- (13) Yao, Y.-S.; Yao, Z.-J. *Chin. J. Org. Chem.* **2008**, *28*, 1553-1560.

- (14) Harsh, P.; O'Doherty, G. A. *Chemtracts* **2009**, 22, 31-40.
- (15) Lu, X.; You, Q. *Curr. Med. Chem.* **2010**, 17, 1139-1155.
- (16) Palanichamy, K.; Kaliappan, K. P. *Chem.-Asian J.* **2010**, 5, 668-703.
- (17) Nicolaou, K. C.; Chen, J. S. D.; Edmonds, J.; Estrada, A. A. *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **2009**, 48, 660-719.
- (18) Nicolaou, K. C.; Chen, J. S.; Dalby, S. M. *Bioorg. Med. Chem.* **2009**, 17, 2290-2303.
- (19) Nicolaou, K. C.; Li, A.; Edmonds, D. J. *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **2006**, 45, 7086-7090.
- (20) Nicolaou, K. C.; Edmonds, D. J.; Li, A.; Tria, G. S. *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **2007**, 46, 3942-3945.
- (21) Zou, Y.; Chen, C.-H.; Taylor, C. D.; Foxman, B. M.; Snider, B. B. *Org. Lett.* **2007**, 9, 1825-1828.
- (22) Kaliappan, K. P.; Ravikumar, V. *Org. Lett.* **2007**, 9, 2417-2419.
- (23) Nicolaou, K. C.; Tang, Y.; Wang, J. *Chem. Commun.* **2007**, 1922-1923.
- (24) Li, P.; Payette, J. N.; Yamamoto, H. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, **2007**, 129, 9534-9535.
- (25) Ghosh, A. K.; Kai, X. *Org. Lett.* **2007**, 9, 4013-4016.
- (26) Tiefenbacher, K.; Mulzer, J. *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **2007**, 46, 8074-8075.
- (27) Lalic, G.; Corey, E. J. *Org. Lett.*, **2007**, 9, 4921-4923.
- (28) Nicolaou, K. C.; Lister, T.; Denton, R. M.; Montero, A.; Edmonds, D. J. *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **2007**, 46, 4712-4714.

- (29) Nicolaou, K. C.; Tang, Y.; Wang, J.; Stepan, A. F.; Li, A.; Montero, A. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2007**, *129*, 14850-14851.
- (30) Nicolaou, K. C.; Pappo, D.; Tsang, K. Y.; Gibe, R.; Chen, D. Y.-K. *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **2008**, *47*, 944-946.
- (31) Kim, C. H.; Jang, K.; Choi, P. S.; Chung, Y. Y. K.; Lee, E. *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **2008**, *47*, 4009-4011.
- (32) Matsuo, J.; Takeuchi, K.; Ishibashi, H. *Org. Lett.* **2008**, *10*, 4049-4052.
- (33) Yeung, Y.-Y.; Corey, E. J. *Org. Lett.* **2008**, *10*, 3877-3878.
- (34) Nicolaou, K. C.; Stepan, A. F.; Lister, T.; Li, A.; Montero, A.; Tria, G. S.; Turner, C. I.; Tang, Y.; Wang, J. R.; Denton, M.; Edmonds, D. J. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2008**, *130*, 13110-13119.
- (35) Yun, S. Y.; Zheng, J.-C.; Lee, D. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2009**, *131*, 8413-8415.
- (36) Ghosh, A. K.; Xi, K. *J. Org. Chem.* **2009**, *74*, 1163-1170.
- (37) Nicolaou, K. C.; Li, A.; Ellery, S. P.; Edmonds, D. J. *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.*, **2009**, *48*, 6293-6295.
- (38) McGrath, N. A.; Bartlett, E. S.; Sittihan, S.; Njardarson, J. T. *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **2009**, *48*, 8543-8546;.
- (39) Nicolaou, K. C.; Li, A.; Edmonds, D. J.; Tria, G. S.; Ellery, S. P. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2009**, *131*, 16905-16918.
- (40) Shen, H. C.; Ding, F.-X.; Singh, S. B.; Parthasarathy, G.; Soisson, S. M.; Ha, S. N.; Chen, X.; Kodali, S.; Wang, J.; Dorso, K.; Tata, J. R.; Hammond, M. L.; MacCoss, M.; Colletti, S. L. *Bioorg. Med. Chem. Lett.*, 2009, **19**, 1623-1627.

- (41) Wang, J.; Lee, V.; Sintim, H. O. *Chem.-Eur. J.*, **2009**, *15*, 2747-2750.
- (42) Jang, K. P.; Kim, C. H.; Na, S. W.; Kim, H.; Kang, H.; Lee, E. *Bioorg. Med. Chem. Lett.* **2009**, *19*, 4601-4602.
- (43) Jang, K. P.; Kim, C. H.; Na, S. W.; Jang D. S.; Kang, H.; Lee, E. *Bioorg. Med. Chem. Lett.* **2010**, *20*, 2156-2158.
- (44) Tiefenbacher, K.; Trondlin, L.; Mulzer, J.; Pfaltz, A. *Tetrahedron*, **2010**, *66*, 6508-6513.
- (45) Eey, S. T.-C.; Lear, M. J. *Org. Lett.*, **2010**, *12*, 5510-5513.
- (46) Magnus, P.; Rivera, H.; Lynch, V. *Org. Lett.*, **2010**, *12*, 5677-5679.
- (47) Oblak, E. Z.; Wright, D. L. *Org. Lett.* **2011**, *13*, 2263-2265.
- (48) Wang, J.; Sintim, H. O. *Chem.-Eur. J.* **2011**, *17*, 3352-3357.
- (49) Heretsch, P.; Giannis, A. *Synthesis* **2007**, 2614-2616.
- (50) Maras, N.; Anderluh, P. S.; Urleb, U.; Kocavar, M. *Synlett*, **2009**, 437-440.
- (51) McNulty, J.; Nair, J. J.; Capretta, A. *Tetrahedron Lett.* **2009**, *50*, 4087-4091.
- (52) Nicolaou, K. C.; Tria, G. S.; Edmonds, D. J. *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **2008**, *47*, 1780-1783.
- (53) Hayashida, J.; Rawal, V. H. *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **2008**, *47*, 4373-4376.
- (54) Tiefenbacher, K.; Mulzer, J. *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **2008**, *47*, 2548-2555.
- (55) Yun, S. Y.; Zheng, J.-C.; Lee, D. *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **2008**, *47*, 6201-6203.
- (56) Waalboer, D. C. J.; Schaapman, M. C.; van Delft, F. L.; Rutjes, F. P. J. T. *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **2008**, *47*, 6576-6578.

- (57) Nicolaou, K. C.; Toh, Q.-Y.; Chen, D. Y.-K. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2008**, *130*, 11292-11293.
- (58) Austin, K. A. B.; Banwell, M. G.; Willis, A. C. *Org. Lett.* **2008**, *10*, 4465-4468.
- (59) Varseev, G. N.; Maier, M. E. *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **2009**, *48*, 3685-3688.
- (60) Tiefenbacher, K.; Mulzer, J. *J. Org. Chem.* **2009**, *74*, 2937-2941.
- (61) Barykina, O. V.; Rossi, K.; Rybak, L. M. J.; Snider, B. B. *Org. Lett.* **2009**, *11*, 5334-5337.
- (62) Ghosh, A. K.; Xi, K. *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **2009**, *48*, 5372-5375.
- (63) Nicolaou, K. C.; Tria, G. S.; Edmonds, D. J.; Kar, M. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2009**, *131*, 15909-15917.
- (64) Hirai, S.; Nakada, M. *Tetrahedron Lett.* **2010**, *51*, 5076-5079.
- (65) Singh, V.; Sahu, B. C.; Bansal, V.; Mobin, S. M. *Org. Biomol. Chem.* **2010**, *8*, 4472-4481.
- (66) Li, P.; Yamamoto, H. *Chem. Commun.* **2010**, 46, 6294-6295.
- (67) Waalboer, D. C. J.; Leenders, S. H. A. M.; Schuelin-Casonato, T.; van Delft, F. L.; Rutjes, F. P. J. T. *Chem.-Eur. J.* **2010**, *16*, 11233-11236.
- (68) Tiefenbacher, K.; Gollner, A.; Mulzer, J. *Chem.-Eur. J.* **2010**, *16*, 9616-9622.
- (69) Leung, G. Y. C.; Li, H.; Toh, Q.-Y.; Ng, A. M.-Y.; Sum, R. J.; Bandow, J. E.; Chen, D. Y.-K. *Eur. J. Org. Chem.* **2011**, 183-196.
- (70) Hirai, S.; Nakada, M. *Tetrahedron* **2011**, *67*, 518-530.
- (71) Yoshimitsu, T.; Nojima, S.; Hashimoto, M.; Tanaka, T. *Org. Lett.* **2011**, *13*, 3698-3701.

- (72) Palanichamy, K. A.; Subrahmanyam, V.; Kaliappan, K. P. *Org. Biomol. Chem.* **2011**, 9, 7877-7886.
- (73) Babu, S. A. *Synlett* **2002**, 531-532.
- (74) Fringuelli F.; Piermatti O.; Pizzo F.; Vaccaro L. *Curr. Org. Chem.* **2003**, 7, 1661-1689
- (75) Yamamoto, Y. *J. Org. Chem.* **2007**, 72, 7817-7831.
- (76) Baba, A.; Yasuda, M.; Nishimoto, Y.; Saito, T.; Onishi, Y. *Pure Appl. Chem.* **2008**, 80, 845-854.
- (77) Itoh, Y.; Tsuji, H.; Yamagata, K.-i.; Endo, K.; Tanaka, I.; Nakamura, M.; Nakamura, E. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2008**, 130, 17161-17167.
- (78) Peng, W.; Lee, C.-S. *Synlett* **2008**, 142-146.
- (79) Li, W.; Liu, X.; Zhou, X. C.-S. Lee, *Org. Lett.* **2010**, 12, 548-551.
- (80) Han, Y.; Zhu, L.; Lee, C.-S. *Org. Lett.* **2011**, 13, 588-591.
- (81) Huang, S.; Du, G.; Lee, C.-S. *J. Org. Chem.* **2011**, 76, 6534-6541.
- (82) Liu, X.; Lee, C.-S. *Org. Lett.* **2012**, 14, 2886-2889.
- (83) Zhu, L.; Han, Y.; Du, G.; Lee, C.-S. *Org. Lett.* **2013**, 15, 524-527.
- (84) Qasseem, M. A.; Rogers, N. A. J.; Othman, A. A. *Tetrahedron* **1968**, 24, 4535-4542.
- (85) Corey, E. J.; Liu, K. *Tetrahedron Lett.* **1997**, 38, 7491-7494.
- (86) Toyota, M.; Wada, T.; Fukumoto, K.; Ihara, M. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1998**, 120, 4916-4925.
- (87) The NMR data are available in the Supporting Information.



- (88) The crystals of **6a**, **6b** and **6i** were obtained by recrystallization from *n*hexane. CCDC-909564 (**6a**), CCDC-950106 (**6b**) and CCDC-909565 (**6i**) contain the supplementary crystallographic data for this paper. These data can be obtained free of charge from the Cambridge Crystallographic Data Centre via [www.ccdc.cam.ac.uk/data\\_request/cif](http://www.ccdc.cam.ac.uk/data_request/cif).
- (89) Wang, T.; Liang, Y.; Yu, Z.-X. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2011**, *133*, 9343-9353.
- (90) Imamura, K.-i.; Yoshikawa, E.; Gevorgyan, V.; Yamamoto, Y. *Tetrahedron Lett.* **1999**, *40*, 4081-4084.
- (91) Chen, S. L.; Zhang, H. P.; Wang, L. Q.; Bao, G. H.; Qin, G. W. *J. Nat. Prod.* **2004**, *67*, 1903-1906.
- (92) Garegg, P. J.; Samuelsson, B. *Synthesis* **1979**, 813-814.
- (93) Liu, A. Liu, Z. Z.; Zou, Z. M.; Chen, S. Z.; Xu, L. Z.; Yang, S. L. *Tetrahedron* **2004**, *60*, 3689-3694.
- (94) Luche, J. L. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1978**, *100*, 2226-2227.
- (95) Amate, Y.; Bretón, J. L.; Garcia-Granados, A.; Martinez, A.; Onorato, M. E.; de Buruaga, A. S. *Tetrahedron* **1990**, *46*, 6939-6950.
- (96) Reizlman, A.; Zwanenburg, B. *Synthesis* **2000**, 1952-1955.
- (97) Xia, W. J.; Li, D. R.; Shi, L.; Tu, Y. Q. *Tetrahedron Lett.* **2002**, *43*, 627-630.
- (98) Reitz, A.; Avery, M. A.; Verlander, M. S.; Goodman, M. *J. Org. Chem.* **1981**, *46*, 4859-4863.
- (99) Keinan, E.; Peretz, M. *J. Org. Chem.* **1983**, *48*, 5302-5309.

- (100) Curzon, E.; Golding, B. T.; Pierpoint, C.; Waters, B. W. *J. Organomet. Chem.* **1984**, 262, 263-269.
- (101) Trost, B. M.; Lee, C. B. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2001**, 123, 3687-3696.
- (102) Pasqua, A. E.; Ferrari, F. D.; Hamman, C.; Liu, Y.; Crawford, J. J.; Marquez, R. *J. Org. Chem.* **2012**, 77, 6989-6997.
- (103) Wharton, P. S.; Bohlen, D. H. *J. Org. Chem.* **1961**, 26, 3615-3616.
- (104) Ito, Y.; Hirao, T.; Saegusa, T. *J. Org. Chem.* **1978**, 43, 1011-1013.
- (105) Gaussian 09, Revision A.1, Frisch, M. J.; Trucks, G. W.; Schlegel, H. B.; Scuseria, G. E.; Robb, M. A.; Cheeseman, J. R.; Scalmani, G.; Barone, V.; Mennucci, B.; Petersson, G. A.; Nakatsuji, H.; Caricato, M.; Li, X.; Hratchian, H. P.; Izmaylov, A. F.; Bloino, J.; Zheng, G.; Sonnenberg, J. L.; Hada, M.; Ehara, M.; Toyota, K.; Fukuda, R.; Hasegawa, J.; Ishida, M.; Nakajima, T.; Honda, Y.; Kitao, O.; Nakai, H.; Vreven, T.; Montgomery, J. A., Jr.; Peralta, J. E.; Ogliaro, F.; Bearpark, M.; Heyd, J. J.; Brothers, E.; Kudin, K. N.; Staroverov, V. N.; Kobayashi, R.; Normand, J.; Raghavachari, K.; Rendell, A.; Burant, J. C.; Iyengar, S. S.; Tomasi, J.; Cossi, M.; Rega, N.; Millam, J. M.; Klene, M.; Knox, J. E.; Cross, J. B.; Bakken, V.; Adamo, C.; Jaramillo, J.; Gomperts, R.; Stratmann, R. E.; Yazyev, O.; Austin, A. J.; Cammi, R.; Pomelli, C.; Ochterski, J. W.; Martin, R. L.; Morokuma, K.; Zakrzewski, V. G.; Voth, G. A.; Salvador, P.; Dannenberg, J. J.; Dapprich, S.; Daniels, A.; Farkas, D.; Foresman, J. B.; Ortiz, J. V.; Cioslowski, J.; Fox, D. J. Gaussian, Inc., Wallingford CT, 2009.
- (106) Becke, A. D. *Phys. Rev. A* **1988**, 38, 3098-3100.

- (107) Lee, C.; Yang, W.; Parr, R. G. *Phys. Rev. B* **1988**, *37*, 785-789.
- (108) Vosko, S. H.; Wilk, L.; Nussair, M. *Can. J. Phys.* **1980**, *58*, 1200-1211.
- (109) Becke, A. D. *J. Chem. Phys.* **1993**, *98*, 5648-5652.
- (110) Zhao, Y.; Truhlar, D.G. *Theor. Chem. Acc.* **2008**, *120*, 215-241.
- (111) Dunning, T. H. Jr.; Hay, P. J. In *Modern Theoretical Chemistry*; Schaefer, H. F. III, Ed.; Plenum: New York, 1976; Vol. 3, 1-28.
- (112) Hay, P. J.; Wadt, W. R. *J. Chem. Phys.* **1985**, *82*, 270-283.
- (113) Wadt, W. R.; Hay, P. J. *J. Chem. Phys.* **1985**, *82*, 284-298.
- (114) Hay, P. J.; Wadt, W. R. *J. Chem. Phys.* **1985**, *82*, 299-310.
- (115) Petersson, G. A.; Al-Laham, M. A. *J. Chem. Phys.* **1991**, *94*, 6081-6090.
- (116) Fukui, K. *J. Phys. Chem.* **1970**, *74*, 4161-4163.
- (117) Fukui, K. *Acc. Chem. Res.* **1981**, *14*, 363-368.
- (118) Gonzalez, C.; Schlegel, H. B. *J. Chem. Phys.* **1989**, *90*, 2154-2161.
- (119) Gonzalez, C.; Schlegel, H. B. *J. Phys. Chem.* **1990**, *94*, 5523-5527.
- (120) Schafer, A.; Huber, C.; Ahlrichs, R. *J. Chem. Phys.* **1994**, *100*, 5829-5835.
- (121) Weigend, F.; Ahlrichs, R. *Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys.* **2005**, *7*, 3297-3305.
- (122) Zhang, X. H.; Schwarz, H. *Chem. Eur. J.* **2010**, *16*, 5882-5888.
- (123) Zhang, X. H.; Schwarz, H. *Theor. Chem. Acc.* **2011**, *129*, 389-399.
- (124) The basis sets were obtained from the Gaussian Basis Set Library EMSL at <https://bse.pnl.gov/bse/portal>.
- (125) Feller, D. *J. Comp. Chem.* **1996**, *17*, 1571-1586.

- (126) Schuchardt, K. L.; Didier, B. T.; Elsethagen, T.; Sun, L.; Gurumoorthi, V.;  
Chase, J.; Li, J.; Windus, T. L. *J. Chem. Inf. Model* **2007**, *47*, 1045-1052.
- (127) Metz, B.; Stoll, H.; Dolg, M. *J. Chem. Phys.* **2000**, *113*, 2563-2569