## Novel photo-rearrangements of 3,3,5-triaryl-1,2-dioxolanes: evidence for 1,5-dioxyl diradical intermediates

## Masaki Kamata,\* Yasuhiro Nishikata and Mitsuaki Kato

Department of Chemistry, Faculty of Education, Niigata University, Ikarashi, Niigata 950-21, Japan

3-(*p*-Methoxyphenyl)-substituted 1,2-dioxolanes undergo novel photo-rearrangements to afford 1,3-diaryl-3-(*p*methoxyphenoxy)propan-1-ones along with 1,3,3-triaryl-3-hydroxypropan-1-ones *via* 1,5-dioxyl diradical intermediates.

Much attention has been focused on cyclic peroxides from both synthetic and mechanistic viewpoints.<sup>1</sup> In particular, the fragmentation mechanisms for 1,2-dioxolanes and 1,2-dioxanes have been intensively studied in connection with the reactivities of dioxyl diradical species.<sup>2,3</sup> Adam and coworkers proposed that the intermediates are not 1,5-dioxyl diradicals, but are instead 1-oxatrimethylene diradicals in both the photo and thermal reactions of 1,2-dioxolanes [eqn. (1)],2a whereas 1,6-dioxyl diradicals are intermediates in the reactions of 1,2-dioxanes [eqn. (2)].<sup>3a</sup> These contrasting results prompted us to re-examine the involvement of 1,5-dioxyl diradicals in the photoreactions of 1,2-dioxolanes. Chemical capture is a useful method for confirming the existence of reactive intermediates, particularly when such species are short-lived, like 1,5-dioxyl diradicals. We designed 3,3,5-triaryl-1,2-dioxolanes 1 as substrates for the photoreactions since the 1,5-dioxyl diradicals, if generated, could be intramolecularly captured by 1,4-hydrogen atom transfer. Herein, we report our results on the photorearrangements of 1, providing clear evidence for the intervention of the 1,5-dioxyl diradicals.

3,3,5-Triaryl-1,2-dioxolanes 1 were prepared by the oxygenation of 1,1,2-triarylcyclopropanes sensitised by triphenylpyrylium perchlorate. Upon irradiation of a nitrogenpurged MeCN (25 ml) solution of 3,3,5-triphenyl-1,2-dioxolane 1a (0.5 mmol) in a Pyrex tube for 5 h with a 2 kW xenon lamp, benzophenone 2a (24%), acetophenone 3a (20%) and benzaldehyde 4a (13%) were obtained together with the rearranged product, 1,3,3-triphenyl-3-hydroxypropan-1-one 5a (21%, entry 1 in Table 1, Scheme 1).<sup>†</sup> Similarly, photoreaction of 1b produced aldol 5b (19%) together with fragmentation products **2b-4b** (entry 2). Unexpectedly, 1-(p-methoxyphenyl)-3-(pmethylphenyl)-3-(p-methoxyphenoxy)propan-1-one 6c (19%) was obtained along with aldol 5c (25%) and other fragmentation products 2c-4c (entry 3) when 3,3-bis(p-methoxyphenyl)-5-(pmethylphenyl)-1,2-dioxolane 1c was photolysed under similar conditions. To determine the generality of such aryl transfer, photoreactions of other 3,3-bis(p-methoxylphenyl)substituted 1,2-dioxanes 1d and 1e were examined. Similar to the case of 1c, keto ethers 6d and 6e were isolated in 23 and 27% yields,

respectively (entry 4 and 5). Interestingly, in constrast to the photoreactions of 1c–1e, keto ether 6f was not obtained at all in the photoreaction of *m*-methoxyphenyl-substituted dioxolane 1f (entry 6). In order to obtain information about the excited states of 1, a triplet quenching experiment for 1c was conducted using 2,5-dimethylhexa-1,3-diene ( $E_T = 58.7$  kcal mol<sup>-1</sup>; 1 cal = 4.184 J). The formation of 5c and 6c was almost completely suppressed, while formation of 1c using benzophe-

Table 1 Photoreactions of 3,3,5-triaryl-1,2-dioxolanes 1<sup>a</sup>

Entry	Dioxolane	Conversion (%)	Yield $(\%)^b$				
			2	3	4	5	6
1	1a	86	24	20	13	21	0
2	1b	81	13	6	4	19	0
3	1c	90	21	6	12	25	19
4	1d	93	29	16	8	26	23
5	1e	85	20	7	13	14	27
6	1f	80	19	7	13	27	0
7c	1c	47	20	5	22	d	d
8ef	1c	52	22	6	5	14	9
9 <i>f</i>	1c	27	12	3	7	5	3

<sup>*a*</sup> 2 kW Xe lamp; Pyrex cut; 15–20 °C; 1, 0.5 mmol; MeCN, 25 ml. <sup>*b*</sup> Isolated yields by silica gel TLC. <sup>*c*</sup> 2,5-Dimethylhexa-1,3-diene, 5.0 mmol. <sup>*d*</sup> Less than 2% yield. <sup>*c*</sup> Ph<sub>2</sub>CO, 0.5 mmol. <sup>*f*</sup>  $\lambda > 340$  nm; irradiation time, 5 h.



- **d**  $Ar^1 = p$ -MeOC<sub>6</sub>H<sub>4</sub>,  $Ar^2 = Ph$
- **e**  $\operatorname{Ar}^1 = \rho \operatorname{-MeOC}_6 \operatorname{H}_4$ ,  $\operatorname{Ar}^2 = \rho \operatorname{-ClC}_6 \operatorname{H}_4$ 
  - $Ar^1 = m$ -MeOC<sub>6</sub>H<sub>4</sub>,  $Ar^2 = p$ -MeC<sub>6</sub>H<sub>4</sub> Scheme 1 Reagents and conditions: i, hv, N<sub>2</sub>, MeCN, 3h



Chem. Commun., 1996 2407



'none enhanced all the product yields except that of 4c (compare entry 8 with entry 9). In contrast, 4,4'-dimethoxybenzophenone 2c (99%) and *p*-methylacetophenone 3c (91%) were isolated exclusively when 1c was heated at 150 °C for 1.5 h under nitrogen atmosphere.

On the basis of the above results, a plausible mechanism is proposed, as shown in Scheme 2. The formation of 5 and 6 would suggest the intervention of the 1,5-dioxyl diradical 7. In the photoreactions of 1c-1e, the excited triplet state of 1 generates the 1,5-dioxyl diradical 7, which undergoes 1,4-aryl transfer in competition with 1,4-hydrogen transfer to produce rearranged products 5 and 6, respectively, whereas the fragmentation products 2-4 are produced mainly from the excited singlet state of 1. In the case of 1a-1b and 1f, the generated 1,5-dioxyl diradical 7 predominantly undergoes 1,4-hydrogen transfer to produce 5. The 1,4-aryl transfer in 7 could be promoted by the attack of electrophilic oxyl radical on the electron-donating p-methoxyphenyl group at its ipso carbon. The isolation of 6c-6e and the lack of 6f could support this assumption.

We are grateful to Professor Tsutomu Miyashi (Faculty of Science, Tohoku University) and Professor Eietsu Hasegawa (Faculty of Science, Niigata University) for their helpful comments.

## Footnotes

† 1,1-Diphenylethylene oxide and 1,2-diphenylethanone were also obtained in the photoreaction of **1a**. All products were isolated by silica gel thin layer chromatography (TLC) and characterised by their spectroscopic data. *Selected data* for **5c**: mp 143–143.5 °C; IR (KBr) 3435 (OH), 1660 cm<sup>-1</sup>; <sup>1</sup>H NMR (90 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  2.41 (3 H, s), 3.75 (6 H, s), 3.83 (2 H, s), 5.49 (1 H, s), 6.64–6.88 (4 H, m), 7.08–7.38 (6 H, m), 7.64–7.90 (2 H, m). For **6c**: oil, IR (neat) 1680 cm<sup>-1</sup>; <sup>1</sup>H NMR (90 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  2.28 (3 H, s), 3.13 (1 H, dd, J 16.4, 4.4 Hz), 3.64 (3 H, s), 3.75 (1 H, dd, J 16.4, 8.2 Hz), 3.79 (3 H, s), 5.74 (1 H, dd, J 8.2, 4.4 Hz), 6.58–6.78 (4 H, m), 6.79–7.02 (2 H, m), 7.04–7.20 (2 H, m), 7.24–7.40 (2 H, m), 7.80–8.08 (2 H, m);  $^{13}$ C NMR (22.5 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  21.08 (q), 46.97 (t), 55.37 (q), 55.54 (q), 77.53 (d), 113.72 (d), 114.48 (d), 117.62 (d), 126.19 (d), 129.33 (d), 130.30 (s), 130.58 (d), 137.40 (s), 138.65 (s), 152.14 (s), 154.14 (s), 163.63 (s), 195.64 (s).

## References

- W. Adam, Acc. Chem. Res., 1979, 12, 390; I. Saito and S. S. Nittla, in The Chemistry of Functional Groups, Peroxides, ed. S. Patai, Wiley-Interscience, New York, 1983; pp. 311–374; A. J. Bloodworth and H. J. Eggelte, in Singlet O<sub>2</sub>, ed. A. Frimer, CRC Press, Boca Raton, FL, 1985, Vol. II, pp. 93–203; S. F. Nelsen, in Advances in Oxygenated Processes, ed. A. L. Baumstark, JAI Press, Greenwich, Connecticut, 1990, vol. 2, pp. 153–179. A. L. Baumstark, P. C. Vasquex and Y.-X Chen, J. Org. Chem., 1994, 59, 6692 and references cited therein; K. Mizuno, T. Tamai, I. Hashida, Y. Otsuji, Y. Kuriyama and K. Tokumaru, J. Org. Chem., 1994, 59, 7329 and references cited therein.
- 2 (a) W. Adam and N. Duran, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 1977, 99, 2729; (b) W. Adam and N. Duran, Tetrahedron Lett., 1972, 14, 1357; (c) W. Adam and N. Duran, J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun., 1972, 279; (d) W. Adam and J. Arce, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 1975, 97, 926; (e) R. M. Wilson and J. W. Rekers, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 1981, 103, 206; (f) A. J. Bloodworth and H. J. Eggelte, Tetrahedron Lett., 1984, 25, 1525; (g) J. Rigaudy, in CRC Handbook of Organic Photochemistry and Photobiology, ed. W. M. Horspool and P.-S. Song, CRC Press, Boca Raton, FL, 1995, pp. 325–334.
- 3 (a) W. Adam and J. Sanabia, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 1977, 99, 2735;
  (b) G. H. Posner and C. H. Oh, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 1992, 114, 8328;
  (c) G. H. Posner, J. N. Cumming, P. Ploypradith and C. H. Oh, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 1995, 117, 5885; (d) G. H. Posner, D. Wang, L. Gonzalez, X. Tao, J. N. Cumming, D. Klinedinst and T. A. Shapiro, Tetrahedron Lett., 1996, 37, 815 and references cited therein; (e) A. J. Bloodworth and A. Shah, Tetrahedron Lett., 1995, 36, 7551.

Received, 30th July 1996; Com. 6/05324F