Aromatic Annelation by Reaction of Arylimidoyl Radicals with Alkynes: a New Synthesis of Quinolines

Rino Leardini,^a Gian Franco Pedulli,^b Antonio Tundo,^{a*} and Giuseppe Zanardi^a

^a Istituto di Chimica Organica, Università, Viale Risorgimento 4, 40136 Bologna, Italy

An easily effected aromatic annelation is described, involving the reaction of arylimidoyl radicals with alkynes to give quinolines.

Imidoyl radicals have been investigated by e.s.r. spectroscopy by several workers and the spectroscopic results have been rationalized on the assumption that they possess a σ -electronic configuration. However, the chemical reactivity of these radicals and their use as intermediates for organic syntheses have so far received little attention. The only reported reactions are hydrogen abstraction and β -scission, the latter only occurring when the imidoyl nitrogen is linked to an alkyl or benzylic group.

We now report a useful aromatic annelation reaction leading to good yields of substituted quinolines, effected by arylimidoyls when allowed to react with alkynes. In a typical experiment the N-benzylideneaniline (1) (5 mmol) and di-isopropyl peroxydicarbonate (DPDC) (10 mmol) were kept at 60 °C in benzene (35 ml) with an appropriate alkyne (15 mmol) until decomposition of DPDC was complete. From the reaction mixture the substituted quinoline (2) could be separated, with, in a few cases, small amounts of isopropyl N-arylcarbamate and unchanged imine (Scheme 1 and Table 1).

These results are consistent with the intermediacy of the imidoyl radical (3) which adds to the unsubstituted carbon atom of the alkyne with formation of the vinyl radical (4). The subsequent intramolecular homolytic substitution on the aryl

Table 1. Substituted quinolines (2).

			M.p. or [b.p.]		
Product	R	Ar	% Yielda	(t/°C)	Ref.
(2a)	Ph	Ph	75	112—113	4
(2b)	,,.	$m\text{-NO}_2\text{C}_6\text{H}_4$	65	153—155	c
(2c)	,,	p-NO ₂ C ₆ H ₄	15	159—161	4
(2d)	,,	p-ClC ₆ H ₄	65	105—106	4
(2e)	,,	p-MeOC ₆ H ₄	75	83—84	c
(2f)	COMe	Ph	70	75—76	5
(2g)	,,	m-NO ₂ C ₆ H ₄	30	141143	c
(2h)	,,	$p-NO_2C_6H_4$	13	194—195	c
(2i)	,,	p-ClC ₆ H ₄	65	101102	6
(2j)	,,	p-MeOC ₆ H ₄	85	[205—208 (0.1 Torr)]	c
(2k)	CO ₂ Et	Ph	75	56—57	7
(21)	,,	m-NO ₂ C ₆ H ₄	35	110111	8ь
(2m)	,,	$p-NO_2C_6H_4$	18	135—137	8ь
(2n)	,,	p-ClC ₆ H ₄	75	72—73	9
(2o)	,,	p-MeOC ₆ H ₄	80	78—79	c

^a Yields were calculated for pure product based on starting imine. ^b Lit. m.p. 95—98 °C for a mixture of *m*- and *p*-nitro isomer.

b Istituto di Chimica Organica, Università, Via Ospedale 72, 09100 Cagliari, Italy

^c New compound; satisfactory ¹H n.m.r. and mass spectra and elemental analyses were obtained.

Scheme 1. Reagents: i, DPDC, benzene, 60 °C; ii, R-C\(\text{\subset}CH\).

ring directly linked to the nitrogen atom leads to (2) (Scheme 1). Analogous aromatic annelation, *via* addition of aryl radicals to dimethyl acetylendicarboxylate has also been reported by Cadogan and co-workers.³

The present quinoline synthesis seems to have greater applicability than that employing analogous imidoyl cations.⁴ For instance, no reaction between PhN=CClPh and ethyl propiolate in the presence of tin(IV) chloride is observed, while PhN=CHPh in the presence of DPDC reacts with the same alkyne affording high yields of 2-phenyl-4-ethoxycarbonylquinoline (2k).

This novel annelation of arylimidoyl radicals with alkynes

appears to be capable of considerable extension via the use of other imidoyl radicals and/or other acetylenic derivatives.

We gratefully acknowledge financial support from CNR, Rome, and from the Ministry of Public Education of Italy; we also thank Dr. D. Nanni for his collaboration on the experimental work.

Received, 24th May 1984; Com. 727

References

- 1 P. M. Blum and B. P. Roberts, J. Chem. Soc., Perkin Trans. 2, 1978, 1313; A. G. Davies, J. Y. Nedelec, and R. Sutcliffe, ibid., 1983, 209.
- 2 R. E. Banks, R. N. Haszeldine, and C. W. Stephen, Tetrahedron Lett., 1972, 3699; T. Salgusa, Y. Ito, N. Yasuda, and T. Hotaka, J. Org. Chem., 1970, 35, 4238; S. S. Kim, Tetrahedron Lett., 1977, 2741; M. Meier and C. Rüchardt, ibid., 1983, 4671 and references cited therein.
- 3 B. D. Baigrie, J. I. G. Cadogan, J. Cook, and J. T. Sharp, J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun., 1972, 1318; B. D. Baigrie, J. Brennan, J. I. G. Cadogan, J. Cook, and J. T. Sharp, J. Chem. Soc., Perkin Trans. 1, 1975, 1060.
- 4 R. R. Schmidt, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. Engl., 1960, 3, 804.
- 5 J. C. Shivers, M. L. Dillon, and C. R. Houser, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 1947, 69, 119.
- 6 S. Winstein, T. L. Jacobs, G. B. Linden, D. Seymour, E. F. Levy, B. F. Day, J. H. Robson, R. B. Henderson, and W. H. Florsheim, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 1946, 68, 1831.
- 7 R. Andruzzi, A. Trazza, L. Greci, and L. Marchetti, J. Electroanal. Chem. Interfacial Electrochem., 1980, 108, 49.
- 8 M. Davies, J. Chem. Soc., 1958, 828.
- M. C. Dubroeucq, C. G. A. Gueremy, G. R. Le Fur, and J. Mizoule, Eur. Pat. Appl., E.P. 42, 781; Chem. Abstr., 1982, 96, 162553s.