



One-Pot Synthesis of Cycloocta[*b*]indole through Formal [5+3] Cycloaddition Using Donor-Acceptor Cyclopropanes

Akito Okabe,^[a] Shinji Harada,^{*[a],[b]} Takuya Takeda,^[a] and Atsushi Nishida^{*[a],[b]}

Abstract: A new approach to cycloocta[*b*]indole through formal [5+3] cycloaddition was developed. This methodology was realized by using an indole derivative as a C5 unit and a cyclopropane derivative as a C3 unit. These two units have both donor and acceptor properties. Two carbon-carbon bonds were formed stepwise by the successive addition of a Lewis acidic catalyst and a Brønsted base. The reaction could be performed as a one-pot process. Optically active cycloocta[*b*]indole was also synthesized by using this methodology with a chiral cyclopropane as a C3 substrate.

Introduction

Cycloocta[*b*]indole is a tricyclic heterocycle, and its cyclooctane ring shares two carbons of indole at the C2 and C3 positions. The cycloocta[*b*]indole skeleton is seen in many natural and biologically active compounds.^[1] For example, iprindole (Figure 1) has been used for the treatment of depression,^[2] and talcarpine^[3] is a macroline alkaloid,^[4] which is a family of more than 150 compounds with potent hypotensive and antibiotic activities. While cycloocta[*b*]indoles have been shown to have attractive biological activities, there are few examples of the synthesis of the skeleton.^[5] This is due to the general difficulty of constructing 8membered carbocycles.^{[1d],[6],[7]}

Recently, we designed a novel donor-acceptor (D-A) indole derivative 1 as a C5 unit and developed intermolecular [5+2] cycloheptannulation using alkyne as a C2 unit (Scheme 1, route A).^[8] This C5 indole 1 contains both nucleophilic carbon (C_a) and electrophilic carbon (C_b) . We then envisioned that the combination of this D-A indole with an appropriate C3 unit would afford 8-membered ring compounds. Among C3 units, we chose D-A cyclopropane.^[9] D-A cyclopropane contains both nucleophilic carbon (C_c) and electrophilic carbon (C_d), and has been widely used for intermolecular cycloaddition.^[10] It is typically activated by Lewis acid to form 1,3-dipolar species, where the negative charge at C_c is stabilized by the acceptor substituent (e.g., electronwithdrawing group) while the positive charge at C_d is stabilized by the donor substituent (e.g., electron-donating group and/or conjugated system). Although there are several reports on the use of a combination of indole derivatives and D-A cyclopropane to construct indole-fused 5- and 6-membered ring systems,[11] to the best of our knowledge there have been no reports on the construction of an 8-membered ring through an intermolecular reaction. Herein, we report a one-pot synthesis of cycloocta[b]indole skeleton through formal [5+3] cycloaddition by a stepwise alkylation/Michael addition process between D-A indole and D-A cyclopropane (Scheme 1, route B).



Figure 1. Biologically Active Alkaloids with a Cycloocta[b]indole Skeleton

[a]	Mr. A. Okabe, Dr. S. Harada, Dr. T. Takeda, Prof. Dr. A. Nishida Graduate School of Pharmaceutical Sciences
	Chiba University
	1-8-1 Inohana, Chuo-ku, Chiba 260-8675 (Japan)
	E-mail: s.harada@faculty.chiba-u.jp, anishida@faculty.chiba-u.jp
	Homepage: http://www.p.chiba-u.jp/lab/gousei/en/index.html
[b]	Dr. S. Harada, Prof. Dr. A. Nishida
	Molecular Chirality Research Center
	Chiba University
	1-33 Yayoi-cho, Inage-ku, Chiba 263-8522 (Japan)
	Supporting information for this article is given via a link at the end of the document



Scheme 1. Construction of Indole-Fused Carbocycles Using a Common C5 Unit.

Results and Discussion

We screened Lewis acids using indole **1a** and cyclopropane **2a** as substrates (Table 1). When metal triflates were used as Lewis acids at 80 °C in 1,2-dichloroethane (DCE), only ring-opening and subsequent alkylation took place to afford alkylated product **3aa** in good yields (entries 1-4). No cycloadduct was observed for every entry, therefore we first examined the optimal catalyst for the alkylation. Yb(OTf)₃ promoted the alkylation in the highest yield, and other lanthanide triflates were examined. However, both lanthanum and europium triflates required a longer reaction

COMMUNICATION

time to consume indole **1a** (entries 5 and 6). Although InI₃ was the optimal catalyst in our previous report,^[8] it gave **3aa** in 78% yield (entry 7). TiCl₄ was not a suitable catalyst, and most of **1a** was recovered (entry 8). BF₃•OEt₂ required a longer reaction time to consume **1a**, and the yield of **3aa** was 74% (entry 9). The desired cyclization was not realized under these conditions, however, Yb(OTf)₃ was selected as a suitable catalyst for alkylation. When the amount of Yb(OTf)₃ was decreased to 5 mol% (entry 10), the yield of **3aa** was comparable to the result in entry 4.

Table 1. Screening of Lewis Acids for the Activation of D-A Cyclopropane



Entry	Lewis Acid	Time (h)	Yield (%)	Recov. of 1a (%)
1	Cu(OTf) ₂	0.5	78	0
2	Zn(OTf) ₂	4	87	trace
3	Sc(OTf)₃	0.5	79	0
4	Yb(OTf)₃	0.5	92	0
5	La(OTf)₃	8	92	0
6	Eu(OTf)₃	1	92	0
7	Inl₃	1	78	0
8	TiCl ₄	4	5	<92
9	BF ₃ •OEt ₂	9	74	0
10 ^[a]	Yb(OTf) ₃	4	94	0

[a] 5 mol% of Lewis acid was used.

We then tried to construct an 8-membered ring using **3aa** as a cyclization precursor (Table 2). The desired reaction proceeded under basic conditions. With the addition of two equivalents of NaH, cycloocta[*b*]indole **4aa** was obtained in 92% yield with 7.2:1 (*cis*-**4aa**:*trans*-**4aa**) diastereoselectivity after stirring for 24 hours at room temperature (entry 1).^[12] KHMDS also afforded cyclized product **4aa** in comparable yield, however the selectivity was decreased to only 1:1.1 (entry 2). In entries 3-8, milder inorganic bases were examined, but the yields of **4aa** were less than the yield in entry 1. Notably, the diastereoselectivity changed

drastically with the choice of base. This might be due to a change in the conformation of the intermediate, but further investigation is still necessary. Amine bases were not appropriate for this reaction (entries 9 and 10). Therefore, we chose NaH, which gave the best yield and diastereoselectivity, for cyclization.

Table 2. Cyclization under Basic Conditions



[a] Determined by ¹H NMR of the Crude Material. These isomers can be separated by multiple elution with preparative TLC. [b] 18-Crown-6 (2.0 equiv.) was added. [c] Carried out at 80 °C.

We realized the stepwise synthesis of cycloocta[*b*]indole from C5 indole **1** and C3 cyclopropane **2** by using a catalytic amount of ytterbium triflate and then a stoichiometric amount of Brønsted base. We then tried a one-pot process. After we confirmed that **1a** was consumed by TLC and cooled the reaction mixture, we added NaH to the mixture. The one-pot synthesis of cycloocta[*b*]indole was successfully achieved to give **4aa** in 84% yield (Scheme 2).



Scheme 2. One-Pot Synthesis of Cycloocta[b]indole. [a] Determined by ¹H NMR of the Crude Material.

COMMUNICATION

The scope of the substrates was examined following the one-pot process in Scheme 2. D-A cyclopropanes 2 with various types of donor substituents are summarized in Table 3. When electrondonating and -withdrawing groups were installed on the benzene ring as a donor substituent, cyclized products 4 were obtained in high yields (4ab-4ad). Cyclopropanes with a methyl group on the benzene ring afforded 4ae-4ag in good to high yields, though the reaction time of alkylation (1st step) varied according to the position of the methyl group. A heteroaromatic ring and styryl group were applicable, and desired cyclized products 4ah and 4ai were obtained in moderate yields. When vinylcyclopropane derivative 2j was used, thermal activation was necessary for the completion of cyclization. The cyclized product 4aj was obtained in 52% yield.

Table 3. Scope of Substituents on Cyclopropane^[a]



[a] Diastereomeric ratio was determined by ¹H NMR of the crude material.
 [b] The second cyclization step was carried out at 80 °C.

Next, we surveyed the scope of C5 indole derivatives **1** (Table 4). A benzyl group instead of methyl on indole nitrogen gave **4ba** in comparable yield. When non-protected substrate (\mathbb{R}^{1} =H) was used, the alkylation step proceeded in 62% yield (4 h), although the second cyclization step gave a complex mixture and we could not identify the corresponding cyclized product. A methoxy group at the C5 position on the indole ring accelerated the alkylation step, but **4ca** was obtained in moderate yield. An indole substrate with ketone as an electron-withdrawing group was also applicable, and cyclized product **4da** was obtained in 77% yield.^[13]





[a] Diastereomeric ratio was determined by ¹H NMR of the crude material.

То elucidate the reaction mechanism, optically active cyclopropane (S)-(-)-2a^{[11e],[14]} was applied to the stepwise synthesis of cycloocta[b]indole (Scheme 3). First, the chiral alkylated product (R)-(-)-3aa was obtained in 85% yield without a significant loss of enantiopurity. After cyclization under basic conditions, the enantiopurity of (-)-4aa was comparable to that of (-)-3aa. The enantiomeric excess of (-)-4aa could be enriched by a single recrystallization operation, and the relative and absolute configuration of the enantiomerically-pure (-)-4aa was unambiguously confirmed by X-ray crystallographic analysis.^[15] From the structural information of the X-ray analysis, the stereochemistry of the chiral carbon of (S)-(-)-2a was revealed to be inversed. This result strongly supported that the alkylation step proceeded in an S_N2 fashion. It has been reported that the nucleophilic ring-opening of D-A cyclopropane took place via an S_N2-like reaction pattern,^[9] thus our result agreed with those in previous reports.



Scheme 3. Stepwise Synthesis of Optically Active Cycloocta[b]indole.

The proposed mechanism of this process is shown in Scheme 4. Ytterbium salt was coordinated by a dicarbonyl moiety of the cyclopropane substrate to form an activated species **A**. Subsequent Friedel-Crafts alkylation between **A** and D-A indole

COMMUNICATION

substrate occurred in an $S_N 2$ manner to give alkylated indole **B** as an intermediate. Next, sodium hydride gave an anionic species **C**, intramolecular Michael addition took place to construct the 8-membered ring (**D**), and the protonation of **D** afforded product **4**.



Scheme 4. Plausible Reaction Mechanism.

Conclusions

We developed a novel synthetic approach toward a cycloocta[*b*]indole skeleton. The combination of a pair of D-A substrates enabled the construction of an 8-membered ring through formal [5+3] cycloaddition in a one-pot process. Various substituents could be installed on the substrate. Optically active cycloocta[*b*]indole was also synthesized. With C5 D-A indole 1 as a common substrate, a synthetic approach to indole-fused both 7-and 8-membered rings can be realized. Work on the development of asymmetric reaction and the construction of 8-membered *hetero*cycles is ongoing.

Acknowledgments

This work was supported by the Japan Society for the Promotion of Science (JSPS) KAKENHI [Grant Numbers 16K08154 (SH), 19K06991 (SH), 17J03524 (TT), and 17H03969 (AN)], and the Tokyo Biochemical Research Foundation [Grant Number 16-B1-5 (SH)]. We acknowledge the Institute for Global Prominent Research, Chiba University, for providing financial support.

Keywords: Medium-ring compounds • Synthetic methods • Cyclization • Catalysis • Indole

- a) P. Joseph-Nathan, R. Hernandez-Medel Mdel, E. Martinez, M. Rojas-Gardida, C. M. Cerda, J. Nat. Prod. 1988, 51, 675-689; b) N. Keawpradub, G. C. Kirby, J. C. Steele, P. J. Houghton, Planta Med. 1999, 65, 690-694; c) N. Keawpradub, E. Eno-Amooquaye, P. J. Burke, P. J. Houghton, Planta Med. 1999, 65, 311-315; d) G. Mehta, V. Singh, Chem. Rev. 1999, 99, 881-930; e) T. S. Kam, Y. M. Choo, Phytochemistry 2004, 65, 603-608; f) T. S. Kam, Y. M. Choo, J. Nat. Prod. 2004, 67, 547-552; g) A. M. Khan, S. Noreen, Z. P. Imran, M. I. Choudhary, Nat. Prod. Res. 2011, 25, 898-904; h) S. Cheenpracha, T. Ritthiwigrom, S. Laphookhieo, J. Nat. Prod. 2013, 76, 723-726; i) S. J. Tan, J. L. Lim, Y. Y. Low, K. S. Sim, S. H. Lim, T. S. Kam, J. Nat. Prod. 2014, 77, 2068-2080.
- a) L. M. Rice, E. Hertz, M. E. Freed, *J. Med. Chem.* **1964**, 7, 313-319; b)
 B. L. Baxter, M. I. Gluckman, *Nature* **1969**, 223, 750-752.
- [3] a) J. Naranjo, M. Pinar, M. Hesse, H. Schmid, *Helv. Chim. Acta* **1972**, *55*, 752-771; b) W.-H. Wong, P.-B. Lim, C.-H. Chuah, *Phytochemistry* **1996**, *41*, 313-315; c) P. Yu, J. M. Cook, *J. Org. Chem.* **1998**, *63*, 9160-9161; d) P. Yu, T. Wang, J. Li, J. M. Cook, *J. Org. Chem.* **2000**, *65*, 3173-3191.
 [4] S. E. Lewis, *Tetrahedron* **2006**, *62*, 8655-8681.
- [5] a) C. Caubère, P. Caubère, S. Ianelli, M. Nardelli, B. Jamart-Grégoire, Tetrahedron 1994, 50, 11903-11920; b) T. Lavoisier-Gallo, E. Charonnet, J. Rodriguez, J. Org. Chem. 1998, 63, 900-902; c) J. Yu, T. Wang, X. Liu, J. Deschamps, J. Flippen-Anderson, X. Liao, J. M. Cook, J. Org. Chem. 2003. 68. 7565-7581: d) M. L. Bennasar, E. Zulaica, S. Tummers. Tetrahedron Lett. 2004, 45, 6283-6285; e) Y. S. Tran, O. Kwon, Org. Lett. 2005, 7, 4289-4291; f) A. K. Yadav, S. Peruncheralathan, H. Ila, H. Junjappa, J. Org. Chem. 2007, 72, 1388-1394; g) A. Noren-Muller, W. Wilk, K. Saxena, H. Schwalbe, M. Kaiser, H. Waldmann, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2008, 47, 5973-5977; h) K. A. Miller, C. S. Shanahan, S. F. Martin, Tetrahedron 2008, 64, 6884-6900; i) W. Wilk, A. Noren-Muller, M. Kaiser, H. Waldmann, Chem. Eur. J. 2009, 15, 11976-11984; j) C. Zhu, X. Zhang, X. Lian, S. Ma, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2012, 51, 7817-7820; k) F. Zhan, G. Liang, Angew, Chem. Int. Ed. 2013, 52, 1266-1269; I) G. Xia, X. Han, X. Lu, Org. Lett. 2014, 16, 2058-2061; m) U. Sharma, R. Kancherla, T. Naveen, S. Agasti, D. Maiti, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2014, 53, 11895-11899; n) C. Zhu, S. Ma, Adv. Synth. Catal. 2014, 356, 3897-3911; o) S. Tong, Z. Xu, M. Mamboury, Q. Wang, J. Zhu, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2015, 54, 11809-11812; p) T. T. Wang, L. Zhao, Y. J. Zhang, W. W. Liao, Org. Lett. 2016, 18, 5002-5005; q) M. T. Rahman, J. R. Deschamps, G. H. Imler, J. M. Cook, Chem. Eur. J. 2018, 24, 2354-2359; r) Y. Xu, G. Zheng, L. Kong, X. Li, Org. Lett. 2019, 21, 3402-3406.
- a) N. A. Petasis, M. A. Patane, *Tetrahedron* 1992, 48, 5757-5821; b) S.
 M. Sieburth, N. T. Cunard, *Tetrahedron* 1996, 52, 6251-6282; c) M.
 Murakami, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* 2003, 42, 718-720; d) A. Michaut, J.
 Rodriguez, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* 2006, 45, 5740-5750; e) M. Tori, R.
 Mizutani, *Molecules* 2010, 15, 4242-4260; f) Z. X. Yu, Y. Wang, Y. Wang, *Chem Asian J* 2010, 5, 1072-1088; g) Y. Wang, Z. X. Yu, *Acc. Chem. Res.* 2015, 48, 2288-2296.
- a) L. Yet, Chem. Rev. 2000, 100, 2963-3008; b) L. A. Paquette, J. Organomet. Chem. 2006, 691, 2083-2088; c) F. Lopez, J. L. Mascarenas, Chem. Eur. J. 2007, 13, 2172-2178; d) R. Madsen, Eur. J. Org. Chem. 2007, 2007, 399-415; e) L. Jiao, Z. X. Yu, J. Org. Chem. 2013, 78, 6842-6848.
- [8] T. Takeda, S. Harada, A. Okabe, A. Nishida, J. Org. Chem. 2018, 83, 11541-11551.
- [9] a) H. U. Reissig, R. Zimmer, *Chem. Rev.* 2003, *103*, 1151-1196; b) M.
 Yu, B. L. Pagenkopf, *Tetrahedron* 2005, *61*, 321-347; c) M. A. Cavitt, L.
 H. Phun, S. France, *Chem. Soc. Rev.* 2014, *43*, 804-818; d) T. F.
 Schneider, J. Kaschel, D. B. Werz, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* 2014, *53*, 5504-5523; e) H. K. Grover, M. R. Emmett, M. A. Kerr, *Org. Biomol. Chem.* 2015, *13*, 655-671; f) S. J. Gharpure, L. N. Nanda, *Tetrahedron Lett.* 2017, *58*, 711-720; g) Y. Nishii, *J. Synth. Org. Chem., Jpn.* 2018, *76*, 922-937.
- [10] For recent selected examples, see: a) A. A. Tabolin, R. A. Novikov, Y. A. Khomutova, A. A. Zharov, G. A. Stashina, Y. V. Nelyubina, Y. V. Tomilov, S. L. loffe, *Tetrahedron Lett.* 2015, *56*, 2102-2105; b) H. Xu, J. L. Hu, L. Wang, S. Liao, Y. Tang, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 2015, *137*, 8006-8009; c) L.

COMMUNICATION

K. B. Garve, M. Pawliczek, J. Wallbaum, P. G. Jones, D. B. Werz, *Chem. Eur. J.* 2016, *22*, 521-525; d) T. Kaicharla, T. Roy, M. Thangaraj, R. G. Gonnade, A. T. Biju, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* 2016, *55*, 10061-10064; e) J. Preindl, S. Chakrabarty, J. Waser, *Chem. Sci.* 2017, *8*, 7112-7118; f) L. K. B. Garve, P. G. Jones, D. B. Werz, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* 2017, *56*, 9226-9230; g) A. U. Augustin, M. Sensse, P. G. Jones, D. B. Werz, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* 2017, *56*, 9226-9230; g) A. U. Augustin, M. Sensse, P. G. Jones, D. B. Werz, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* 2017, *56*, 14293-14296; h) A. U. Augustin, M. Busse, P. G. Jones, D. B. Werz, *Org. Lett.* 2018, *20*, 820-823; i) Y. Matsumoto, D. Nakatake, R. Yazaki, T. Ohshima, *Chem. Eur. J.* 2018, *24*, 6062-6066; j) A. O. Chagarovskiy, V. S. Vasin, V. V. Kuznetsov, O. A. Ivanova, V. B. Rybakov, A. N. Shumsky, N. N. Makhova, I. V. Trushkov, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* 2018, *57*, 10338-10342; k) M. Petzold, P. G. Jones, D. B. Werz, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* 2019, *58*, 6225-6229. See also reference 9 and references cited therein.

[11] a) P. Harrington, M. A. Kerr, *Tetrahedron Lett.* **1997**, *38*, 5949-5952; b)
M. A. Kerr, R. G. Keddy, *Tetrahedron Lett.* **1999**, *40*, 5671-5675; c) D. B.
England, T. D. O. Kuss, R. G. Keddy, M. A. Kerr, *J. Org. Chem.* **2001**, 66, 4704-4709; d) H. K. Grover, T. P. Lebold, M. A. Kerr, *Org. Lett.* **2011**, *13*, 220-223; e) H. Xiong, H. Xu, S. Liao, Z. Xie, Y. Tang, *J. Am. Chem.*

Soc. 2013, 135, 7851-7854; f) R. Talukdar, D. P. Tiwari, A. Saha, M. K. Ghorai, Org. Lett. 2014, 16, 3954-3957; g) Q. J. Liu, W. G. Yan, L. Wang, X. P. Zhang, Y. Tang, Org. Lett. 2015, 17, 4014-4017; h) Y. S. Gee, D. J. Rivinoja, S. M. Wales, M. G. Gardiner, J. H. Ryan, C. J. T. Hyland, J. Org. Chem. 2017, 82, 13517-13529; i) D. Garayalde, G. Rusconi, C. Nevado, Helv. Chim. Acta 2017, 100, e1600333; j) Z.-Q. Zhu, L. Yu, M. Sun, G.-J. Mei, F. Shi, Adv. Synth. Catal. 2018, 360, 3109-3116; k) R. K. Varshnaya, P. Banerjee, J. Org. Chem. 2019, 84, 1614-1623.

- [12] The relative configuration of 4aa was unambiguously confirmed by X-ray crystallographic analysis of the minor isomer. CCDC 1908212 contains supplemental crystallographic data. See also, Supporting Information.
- [13] The relative configuration of major-4da was confirmed by X-ray crystallographic analysis. CCDC 1908207 contains supplemental crystallographic data. See also, Supporting Information.
- [14] a) K. Sapeta, M. A. Kerr, *J. Org. Chem.* 2007, *72*, 8597-8599; b) Z.-Y.
 Chu, N. Li, D. Liang, Z.-H. Li, Y.-S. Zheng, J.-K. Liu, *Tetrahedron Lett.* 2018, 59, 715-718.
- [15] CCDC 1909494 contains supplemental crystallographic data. See also, Supporting Information.

COMMUNICATION

COMMUNICATION

A new approach to cycloocta[b]indole through formal [5+3] cycloaddition was developed. This methodology was realized by using an indole derivative as a C5 unit and a cyclopropane derivative as a C3 unit. Two carbon-carbon bonds were formed stepwise by the addition of a Lewis acidic catalyst and a Brønsted base. The reaction could be performed as a one-pot process.



Eight-membered Ring

Akito Okabe, Shinji Harada,* Takuya Takeda, and Atsushi Nishida*

Page No. – Page No.

One-Pot Synthesis of Cycloocta[b]indole through Formal [5+3] Cycloaddition Using Donor-Acceptor Cyclopropanes