



# **Accepted Article**

**Title:** Synthesis of α-Alkyl-β-Hydroxy Amides through Biocatalytic Dynamic Kinetic Resolution Employing Alcohol Dehydrogenases

Authors: Daniel Méndez-Sánchez, Ángela Mourelle-Insua, Vicente Gotor-Fernández, and Ivan Lavandera

This manuscript has been accepted after peer review and appears as an Accepted Article online prior to editing, proofing, and formal publication of the final Version of Record (VoR). This work is currently citable by using the Digital Object Identifier (DOI) given below. The VoR will be published online in Early View as soon as possible and may be different to this Accepted Article as a result of editing. Readers should obtain the VoR from the journal website shown below when it is published to ensure accuracy of information. The authors are responsible for the content of this Accepted Article.

To be cited as: Adv. Synth. Catal. 10.1002/adsc.201900317

Link to VoR: http://dx.doi.org/10.1002/adsc.201900317



## Synthesis of α-Alkyl-β-Hydroxy Amides through Biocatalytic Dynamic Kinetic Resolution Employing Alcohol Dehydrogenases

Daniel Méndez-Sánchez,<sup>a,b,†</sup> Ángela Mourelle-Insua,<sup>a,†</sup> Vicente Gotor-Fernández,<sup>a\*</sup> and Iván Lavandera<sup>a\*</sup>

<sup>a</sup> Department of Organic and Inorganic Chemistry, University of Oviedo, Avenida Julián Clavería 8, 33006 Oviedo, Spain

<sup>o</sup> Current address: Department of Chemistry, University College London, 20 Gordon Street, London, WC1H 0AJ, UK

<sup>†</sup> These authors have equally contributed.

Received: ((will be filled in by the editorial staff))

Supporting information for this article is available on the WWW under http://dx.doi.org/10.1002/adsc.201#######.

**Abstract.** Chiral ( $\alpha$ -substituted)  $\beta$ -hydroxy amides are interesting derivatives as they are useful building blocks of many biologically active compounds. Herein, the biocatalytic stereocontrolled synthesis of various acyclic *syn*- $\alpha$ -alkyl- $\beta$ -hydroxy amides through a dynamic kinetic resolution is shown. Hence, a series of overexpressed alcohol dehydrogenases (ADHs) in *Escherichia coli* was used to reduce the corresponding racemic  $\beta$ -keto amides. Among them, ADH-A from *Rhodococcus ruber* and commercial evo-1.1.200 afforded the best activities and selectivities, giving access to the opposite enantiomers with high diastereomeric excess and excellent enantiomeric excess. Some of these compounds were obtained at preparative scale.

**Keywords:** Alcohol dehydrogenases; Biocatalysis; Chiral synthesis; Dynamic kinetic resolutions; β-Hydroxy amides

Chiral  $\beta$ -hydroxy amides are highly interesting derivatives as they are versatile and useful building blocks of different biologically active compounds. Among them, oxazolines,<sup>[1]</sup> oxazoles,<sup>[2]</sup> pyrrolidines,<sup>[3]</sup> azetidines,<sup>[4]</sup> and 1,3-amino alcohols such as fluoxetine,<sup>[5]</sup> can be mentioned. They are also present in the core structure of anticancer families like bengamides,<sup>[6]</sup> and can be utilised as ligands to induce chirality in organic transformations.<sup>[7]</sup>

Different synthetic approaches have been designed in order to synthesise these derivatives (Figure 1A). Hence, the aldol condensation between a (chiral) amide and an aldehyde<sup>[4,8]</sup> or an acylsilane<sup>[9]</sup> has been demonstrated as a valuable methodology to obtain these compounds with high enantiomeric excess (*ee*). Likewise, the hydrogenation of  $\beta$ -keto amide precursors employing ruthenium<sup>[10]</sup> or iridium<sup>[11]</sup> complexes, the hydroboration of unsaturated amides mediated by rhodium<sup>[12]</sup> or copper<sup>[13]</sup> complexes, the regioselective ring-opening of  $\alpha,\beta$ -epoxy amides with sodium bis(2-methoxyethoxy)aluminium hydride (Red-Al),<sup>[5]</sup> the palladium-catalysed  $\beta$ -acyloxylation of amides,<sup>[14]</sup> and the ruthenium-mediated reduction of  $\beta$ -keto nitriles<sup>[15]</sup> have been shown as attractive strategies.

However, the synthesis of  $\alpha$ -substituted  $\beta$ -hydrox amides in diastereo- and enantioselective manner is more challenging due to the formation of fou possible diastereoisomers. Again, various synthetic protocols have been developed to successfully get access to these compounds (Figure 1A). Aldol additions have provided good results although at the expense of using very low reaction temperatures.<sup>[16]</sup> The opening of chiral epoxides with different nucleophiles has also been demonstrated as another powerful tool. However, enantiopure synthons must be previously synthesised.<sup>[6,17]</sup> Å very simple and direct method is the stereoselective reduction of the racemic  $\alpha$ -substituted  $\beta$ -keto amides under dynamic conditions.<sup>[18]</sup> Since these substrates can easily racemise due to the high acidity of the  $\alpha$ -hydrogen, a dynamic kinetic resolution (DKR)<sup>[19,20]</sup> can be achieved, thus providing in the ideal case one out of four diastereoisomer products. Various chemical agents such boranes<sup>[21]</sup> and hydrides<sup>[22]</sup> have afforded the corresponding racemic  $\beta$ -hydroxy amides with high diastereomeric excess (de), while the  $Ru^{-[3,23]}$ and Ir-mediated<sup>[24]</sup> hydrogenation of  $\alpha$ -substituted  $\beta$ keto lactams gave the alcohols with excellent de and ee. There is just one report demonstrating the asymmetric hydrogen transfer of acyclic substrates with a ruthenium complex, obtaining the final compounds with very high de and ee values after recrystallisation of the reaction crude.<sup>[25]</sup>

Fax: (+34) 985 103446; phone: (+34) 985 103454 (V.G.-F.) and (+34) 985 103452 (I.L.); e-mail: vicgotfer@uniovi.es (V.G.-F.) and lavanderaivan@uniovi.es (I.L.)



**Figure 1.** A) Chemical approaches; and B) (chemo)enzymatic methodologies to synthesise chiral ( $\alpha$ -substituted)  $\beta$ -hydroxy amides.

From an enzymatic point of view (Figure 1B), the kinetic resolution of racemic  $\beta$ -hydroxy esters through lipase-catalysed aminolysis,<sup>[26]</sup> or  $\beta$ -*O*-protected nitriles with *Rhodococcus erythropolis* whole cells<sup>[27]</sup> and the reduction of  $\beta$ -keto amides using yeasts or fungi,<sup>[28]</sup> have provided the chiral alcohols but with low yields and/or selectivities. Very recently, the combination of *Rhodococcus rhodochrous* whole cells<sup>[29]</sup> or a ruthenium catalyst<sup>[30]</sup> with alcohol dehydrogenases (ADHs)<sup>[31]</sup> delivered different cyclic  $\beta$ -hydroxy amides starting from the corresponding racemic  $\beta$ -keto nitriles through a DKR process with excellent *de* and *ee*.

While the bioreduction under dynamic conditions of  $\alpha$ -substituted  $\beta$ -keto esters using whole cells or isolated ADHs has been recurrently studied,<sup>[20,32]</sup> this has not been the case for the amide analogues. Herein (Figure 1B, red), a set of  $\alpha$ -alkyl- $\beta$ -keto amides has been successfully reduced with different lyophilised preparations containing overexpressed ADHs in *E. coli* affording the corresponding  $\beta$ -hydroxy amides in many cases with outstanding enantio- and diastereoselectivities.

For this purpose, the chemical synthesis of a wide panel of  $\alpha$ -substituted  $\beta$ -keto amides was developed (Scheme 1). They differed in the amide protecting group and the substitution pattern at  $\alpha$ -position. We used as starting materials commercially available  $\beta$ keto esters **1a** and **1b** following two independent synthetic methodologies in order to obtain the corresponding  $\beta$ -keto amides **2a-d**. Better results were found for the synthesis of N-benzylated keto amides **2a** and **2b** (89% yield) through the formation of 1,3,2-dioxaborinane intermediates,<sup>[33]</sup> while to get access to compounds **2c** (91% yield) and **2d** (90% yield) the lipase-mediated approach was preferred,<sup>[34]</sup> finding less than 20% yield when benzylamine was used as nucleophile in the biotransformations for the synthesis of **2a** and **2b**. Later on, the  $\beta$ -keto amides were alkylated at  $\alpha$ -position by treatment with different alkyl halides in basic medium using acetone solvent.<sup>[32]</sup> After purification by as column chromatography,  $\alpha$ -alkylated  $\beta$ -keto amides **3a-h** were obtained in moderate yields (38-60%). Finally, the racemic  $\beta$ -hydroxy amides (4a-d) and  $\alpha$ substituted β-hydroxy amides (**5a-h**) wer synthesised by addition of sodium borohydride to a solution of the corresponding keto amide in dr, dichloromethane, obtaining the products after extraction in high yields (89-98%) and excellent purities.



**3a**, **5a**: R<sup>1</sup>, R<sup>4</sup> = Me, R<sup>2</sup> = H, R<sup>3</sup> = Bn; **3b**, **5b**: R<sup>1</sup> = Me, R<sup>4</sup> = Et, R<sup>2</sup> = H, R<sup>3</sup> = Bn **3c**, **5c**: R<sup>1</sup> = Me, R<sup>4</sup> = *n*-Pr, R<sup>2</sup> = H, R<sup>3</sup> = Bn; **3d**, **5d**: R<sup>1</sup> = Me, R<sup>4</sup> = Allyl, R<sup>2</sup> = H, R<sup>3</sup> = Bn **3e**, **5e**: R<sup>1</sup> = Me, R<sup>2</sup> = H, R<sup>3</sup>, R<sup>4</sup> = Bn; **3f**, **5f**: R<sup>1</sup> = Et, R<sup>4</sup> = Me, R<sup>2</sup> = H, R<sup>3</sup> = Bn **3g**, **5g**: R<sup>1</sup>, R<sup>4</sup> = Me, R<sup>2</sup> = H, R<sup>3</sup> = Allyl; **3h**, **5h**: R<sup>1</sup>, R<sup>4</sup> = Me, R<sup>2</sup>, R<sup>3</sup> = -[(CH<sub>2</sub>)<sub>S</sub>]-

**Scheme 1.** Synthesis of ( $\alpha$ -substituted)  $\beta$ -keto amides and racemic  $\beta$ -hydroxy amides.

In order to study the suitability of the DKR processes with the  $\alpha$ -substituted substrates, different ADHs were tested first towards the bioreduction of β-keto amides 2a-d. Thus, the effect of the amide protecting group could be considered. This way, lyophilised E. *coli* preparations containing overexpressed (S)selective ADHs from Rhodococcus ruber (ADH-A),<sup>[35]</sup> *Thermoanaerobacter* ethanolicus (TeSADH),<sup>[36]</sup> *Thermoanaerobium* sp. (ADH-T),<sup>[37]</sup> *Sphingobium yanoikuyae* (SyADH),<sup>[38]</sup> *Ralstonia* sp. (RasADH),<sup>[39]</sup> and the (R)-selective ADH from Lactobacillus brevis (LbADH)<sup>[40]</sup> were screened. Also, commercially available evo-1.1.200<sup>[41]</sup> was studied.

The bioreductions were performed at 25 mM concentration of the substrate in a reaction mixture containing Tris-HCl 50 mM pH 7.5 and 1 mM of the nicotinamide cofactor NAD(P)H. DMSO was used as cosolvent in all cases in a 2.5% v/v ratio, and either large amounts of 2-propanol (<sup>i</sup>PrOH) or the glucose/GDH system were employed to regenerate the cofactor. All reactions were incubated at 30 °C for 24 hours (Table 1). After this time, ADH-A and ADH-T revealed good results with all substrates, leading to the synthesis of the (S)-alcohols with high conversions and ee values (entries 1, 2, 4, 5, 7, 8, 10 and 11). Furthermore, the commercial evo-1.1.200 fully converted **2a-c** into the enantiopure (*R*)-alcohols 4a-c (entries 3, 6 and 9). However, it led to the formation of enantiopure (R)-4d with a moderate conversion value (entry 12). The other ADHs afforded worse results (see SI, Table S1).

**Table 1.** ADH-catalysed bioreduction of  $\beta$ -keto amides **2a-**d.<sup>[a]</sup>

$R^1$ $NR^2R^3$		ADH NAD(P)H (1 mM), 2.5	он о		
		cofactor regenerati Tris∙HCl 50 mM	$R^{1}$ NR <sup>2</sup> R <sup>3</sup>		
<b>2a-d</b> (25 mM)		30 °C, 24 h, 25	4a-d		
Entry	Substr	ate ADH	<b>c</b> [%] <sup>[b]</sup>	] <i>ee</i> [%] <sup>[c]</sup>	
1	2a	ADH-A	>99	96 ( <i>S</i> )	
2	2a	ADH-T	>99	>99 (S)	
3	2a	evo-1.1.2	00 >99	>99 ( <i>R</i> )	
4	2b	ADH-A	96	96 ( <i>S</i> )	
5	2b	ADH-T	96	>99 (S)	
6	2b	evo-1.1.2	00 >99	>99 ( <i>R</i> )	
7	2c	ADH-A	>99	>99 (S)	
8	2c	ADH-T	>99	>99 (S)	
9	2c	evo-1.1.2	00 >99	>99 ( <i>R</i> )	
10	2d	ADH-A	>99	>99 (S)	
11	2d	ADH-T	>99	>99 (S)	
12	2d	evo-1.1.2	00 40	>99(R)	

<sup>[a]</sup> For reaction conditions and the complete set of data, see the Supporting Information.

<sup>[b]</sup> Conversion values were measured by GC analyses.

<sup>[c]</sup> Enantiomeric excess values were measured by HPLC analyses. Major enantiomer in parentheses.

From these results, it was clear that even though these substrates were suitable for some ADHs, the amide moiety ( $R^2$  and  $R^3$ ) and the substitution pattern in  $R^1$  had an important influence in some ADHs behaviour. For this reason, the next step was to study the influence that the substitution pattern at the  $\alpha$ -position had in the bioreduction, and also to look if DKR transformations were possible.

The  $\alpha$ -methylated  $\beta$ -keto amide **3a** was chosen as model substrate (Table 2 and Table S2 in the Supporting Information). Under the same reaction conditions previously described, it was observed that full conversion into 5a was achieved when using ADH-A (entry 1), RasADH (entry 2) or evo-1.1.200 (entry 3). On the one hand, ADH-A and evo-1.1.200 led to very high diastereo- and enantioselectivity, obtaining in both cases the syn diastereoisomer as the major one. This way, (2R,3S)-5a was obtained with 90% de and 99% ee using ADH-A as biocatalyst and evo-1.1.200 led to the formation of (2S,3R)-5a with 94% de and >99% ee. On the other hand, even though RasADH showed good results in terms of conversion, it achieved the synthesis of the anti-diastereoisomer (2S,3S)-**5a** but with only 30% *de* and 28% *ee*.

After this screening, the influence of different alkyl chains at  $\alpha$ -position was studied. For this purpose, the bioreduction of substrates **3b-e** into the corresponding alcohols (5b-e) was attempted, finding remarkable results only with ADH-A and evo-1.1.200 (Tables S3 and S4 in the Supporting Information; Table 2, entries 4-11). As observed with the model substrate **3a**, both enzymes favoured the formation o. the syn-alcohols. In this manner, (2R,3S)-enantiomers were obtained with high conversions (78->99% conv and moderate to high diastereoselectivity (72-92% de) and very high enantioselectivity (99% ee) with ADH-A. Additionally, (2S,3R)-5b-d were synthesised in moderate to high conversion values (60-98% conv) and high diastereo- and enantioselectivities (90-92%) de and 99->99% ee) with evo-1.1.200. However, this biocatalyst lost its activity towards the synthesis of the  $\alpha$ -benzylated  $\beta$ -hydroxy amide **5e** (entry 11).

Encouraged by these results and looking for a further exploitation of the synthetic approach, we decided to undertake the ADH-catalysed DKR of substrate **3f**, bearing an ethyl group at R<sup>1</sup> position. Using the same reaction conditions, all enzymes were screened (Table S5 in the Supporting Information and Table 2, entries 12 and 13). Unfortunately, only evo-1.1.200 led to the preferential formation of alcohol ( $2S_3R$ )-**5f** with high conversion (98%), moderate *de* (77%) and excellent *ee* (>99%) values.

		NAD(P)H (1 m	M), 2.5% v/v DMS						
	R <sup>1</sup> ₃ ͡ş́ NR R <sup>4</sup> <b>3a-h</b> (25 mN	<sup>2</sup> R <sup>3</sup> cofactor reg Tris HCl ۱) 30 °C, 2	eneration system 50 mM pH 7.5 4 h, 250 rpm	→ R <sup>1</sup> * Ţ `N R <sup>4</sup> anti- <b>5a-</b> ŀ	$R^2R^3 \stackrel{\star}{=} R^{1^*} \stackrel{\star}{\stackrel{\star}{\Gamma}}_{R^4}$	<sup>~</sup> NR <sup>2</sup> R <sup>3</sup> 5a-h			
Entry	Substrate	ADH	<i>c</i> [%] <sup>[b]</sup>	<i>de</i> [%] <sup>[c],[d]</sup>	<i>ee anti</i> [%] <sup>[c]</sup>	<i>ee syn</i> [%] <sup>[c]</sup>			
1	3a	ADH-A	>99	90	n.d.	99 (2 <i>R</i> ,3 <i>S</i> )			
2	3a	RasADH	>99	30	28 (2 <i>S</i> ,3 <i>S</i> )	30(2R,3S)			
3	3a	evo-1.1.200	>99	94	n.d.	>99 (2 <i>S</i> ,3 <i>R</i> )			
4	3b	ADH-A	>99	88	n.d.	99 (2 <i>R</i> ,3 <i>S</i> )			
5	3b	evo-1.1.200	96	92	n.d.	>99 (2 <i>S</i> ,3 <i>R</i> )			
6	3c	ADH-A	99	72	99 (2 <i>S</i> ,3 <i>S</i> )	99 (2 <i>R</i> ,3 <i>S</i> )			
7	3c	evo-1.1.200	60	90	n.d.	99 (2 <i>S</i> ,3 <i>R</i> )			
8	3d	ADH-A	99	92	n.d.	99 (2 <i>R</i> ,3 <i>S</i> )			
9	3d	evo-1.1.200	98	92	n.d.	>99 (2 <i>S</i> ,3 <i>R</i> )			
10	3e	ADH-A	78	78	99 (2 <i>S</i> ,3 <i>S</i> )	99 (2 <i>R</i> ,3 <i>S</i> )	_		
11	3e	evo-1.1.200	<1	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.	- 1		
12	3f	ADH-A	<1	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.			
13	3f	evo-1.1.200	98	77	5(2R,3R)	>99 (2 <i>S</i> ,3 <i>R</i> )			
14	3g	ADH-A	99	82	n.d.	99 (2 <i>R</i> ,3 <i>S</i> )			
15	3g	evo-1.1.200	98	86	n.d.	>99 (2 <i>S</i> ,3 <i>R</i> )			
16	3h	ADH-A	96	12	99 (2 <i>S</i> ,3 <i>S</i> )	99 (2 <i>R</i> ,3 <i>S</i> )			
17	3h	evo-1.1.200	8	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.			
<sup>[a]</sup> For reaction conditions and the complete set of data, see the Supporting Information									

**Table 2.** Dynamic kinetic resolution of  $\alpha$ -substituted  $\beta$ -keto amides **3a-h** bearing different pattern substitution at  $\alpha$ -position.<sup>[a]</sup>

<sup>[a]</sup> For reaction conditions and the complete set of data, see the Supporting Information.

<sup>[b]</sup> Conversion values were measured by GC analyses.

<sup>[c]</sup> Diastereomeric and enantiomeric excess values were measured by HPLC analyses. Major diastereoisomer shown in parentheses.

<sup>[d]</sup> ADH-A and evo-1.1.200 produced preferentially the *syn*-diastereoisomer, while RasADH (entry 2) led preferentially to the formation of the *anti*-diastereoisomer. n.d. not determined.



**Scheme 2.** Semipreparative DKR of  $\alpha$ -substituted  $\beta$ -keto amides: A) **3a-e,g,h** catalysed by overexpressed ADH-A; and B) **3f** catalysed by evo-1.1.200.

At this point, the influence that different amide moieties had in the process was studied. For this reason, the bioreduction protocol was set up using  $\alpha$ methylated substrates 3g and 3h (Table S5 in the Supporting Information; Table 2, entries 14-17). On the one hand, as previously observed with compound **3a**, the keto amide **3g** was a suitable substrate for ADH-A and evo-1.1.200, being the syn-alcohol the major product in both cases (entries 14 and 15). Hence, (2R,3S)- and (2S,3R)-5g were obtained with high conversion and selectivity (99% conv., 82% de and 99% ee and 98% conv., 86% de and >99% ee, respectively). On the other hand, **3h** led to high conversion and low de values, while high enantioselectivity towards the formation of (2R,3S)-5h (96% conv, 12% de and 99% ee, entry 16) when using ADH-A as biocatalyst and a completely loss o. activity when utilising evo-1.1.200. From these results it became clear that the N-protecting had a large effect in the enzyme recognition, being the benzyl and the allyl moieties the most appropriate ones to perform these DKR transformations.

The relative *syn* configuration of the final products was assigned based on the use of NMR homonuclear decoupling experiments (see the Supporting Information). This result was confirmed with the method showed by Kalaitzakis and Smonou with  $\alpha$ alkyl- $\beta$ -hydroxy carbonyl compounds,<sup>[42]</sup> together with the measured <sup>3</sup>J<sub>H2H3</sub> for similar derivatives<sup>[25]</sup> and the known diastereopreference with the same enzymes with  $\alpha$ -alkyl- $\beta$ -keto esters.<sup>[32]</sup> The absolute configuration was determined due to the known stereospecificity of these ADHs.<sup>[32,35-41]</sup>

In order to demonstrate the applicability of the method, ADH-catalysed DKR transformations were performed at semipreparative scale. For this purpose, ADH-A was the enzyme of choice as it was the most efficient ketoreductase, providing good or excellent results in the bioreduction of substrates 3a-e,g,h. This way, 100 mg of model  $\beta$ -keto amide **3a** and 20 mg of the other compounds were transformed into the corresponding enantioenriched alcohols. 2.5% v/v of DMSO was employed as co-solvent and the reaction media (50 mM Tris.HCl pH 7.5) was implemented with NADH (1 mM). 2-Propanol (5% v/v) was employed to regenerate the nicotinamide cofactor. After 24 hours, similar results to those obtained at analytical scale were found (Scheme 2). Thus, the syn-(2R,3S)-diastereoisomers of the alcohols were obtained as the major one in moderate to high yields (52-94%) and excellent enantioselectivities (>99% ee). The diastereoselectivity of ADH-A remained high with the exception of  $\beta$ -hydroxy amide **5c** (59%) de) and, especially, alcohol 5h (9% de). Finally, the transformation of **3f** (20 mg) with evo-1.1.200 was performed, obtaining the enantiopure (2S,3R)-5f diastereoisomer with 78% de.

Overall, herein the reduction of various acyclic  $\alpha$ alkyl- $\beta$ -keto amides has been described, affording the corresponding syn- $\alpha$ -alkyl- $\beta$ -hydroxy amides with high diastereo- and enantioselectivities through DKR processes, employing lyophilised E. coli cells containing overexpressed ADHs. The high acidity of the  $\alpha$ -proton ensured a fast substrate racemisation yielding the enantioenriched products at conversions close to 100% even at almost neutral pH. Enantiocomplementary ADH-A from Rhodococcus ruber and commercially available evo-1.1.200 afforded the best results. An important effect of the alkyl chain at  $\alpha$ -position and also of the amide protecting group was observed in these bioreductions. Thus, higher *de* values were obtained for short alkyl moieties and N-benzylated amides. This methodology allows to get access to a new family of compounds with selectivities comparable to the ones obtained with metal catalysts,<sup>[25]</sup> thus demonstrating the great potential of enzymes to obtain valuable derivatives under straightforward, simple, and environmentallyfriendly conditions.

#### **Experimental Section**

Alcohol dehydrogenases from *Ralstonia* sp. (RasADH), *Lactobacillus brevis* (LbADH), *Sphingobium yanoikuyae* (SyADH), *Thermoanaerobacter ethanolicus* (TeSADH), *Thermoanaerobacter* sp. (ADH-T), *Rhodococcus ruber* (ADH-A) overexpressed on lyophilised *E. coli* cells were obtained as previously described in the literature.<sup>[32]</sup> evo-1.1.200 was acquired from evoxx technologies GmbH. Chemical reagents were purchased from different commercial sources and used without further purification. Preparative scale bioreduction of  $\alpha$ -substituted  $\beta$ -keto amides **3a-e,g,h** using the alcohol dehydrogenase from Rhodococcus ruber (ADH-A)

Lyophilised *E. coli*/ADH-A cells (100 mg for  $\beta$ -keto amide **3a** and 50 mg for  $\beta$ -keto amides **3b-e,g,h**), DMSO (2.5% v/v), NADH (1 mM) and <sup>i</sup>PrOH (5% v/v) were successively added into an Erlenmeyer flask containing  $\beta$ -keto amide (100 mg for **3a** and 20 mg for **3b-e,g,h**, 25 mM) in Tris-HCl buffer 50 mM pH 7.5. The reaction was shaken at 30 °C and 250 rpm for 24 h and then extracted with EtOAc (3 x 15 mL). The organic layers were separated by centrifugation (5 min, 4900 rpm), combined and finally dried over Na<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub>. The solvent was concentrated under vacuum, furnishing the  $\alpha$ -substituted  $\beta$ -hydroxy amides **5a-e,g,h** in moderate to excellent isolated yields (52-94%).

Preparative scale bioreduction of  $\alpha$ -substituted  $\beta$ -keto amide **3f** using the commercial alcohol dehydrogenase evo-1.1.200.

20 mg of  $\beta$ -keto amide **3f** (25 mM) was added in an Erlenmeyer flask containing DMSO (2.5% v/v), MgCl<sub>2</sub>· 6H<sub>2</sub>O (1 mM), NADH (1 mM) and <sup>i</sup>PrOH (5% v/v) in Tris·HCl buffer 50 mM pH 7.5 (final volume: 3.6 mL). Finally, 75 mg of lyophilised evo-1.1.200 were added and the reaction was shaken at 30 °C and 250 rpm for 24 h. After this time, the reaction was extracted with EtOAc (3 x 5 mL). The organic layers were separated by centrifugation (5 min, 4900 rpm), combined and finally dried over Na<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub>. The solvent was concentrated under vacuum, achieving the  $\alpha$ -substituted  $\beta$ -hydroxy amide **5f** in high isolated yield (17 mg, 84%).

#### Acknowledgements

We thank Prof. Wolfgang Kroutil (University of Graz) fo providing the overexpressed ADHs. Financial support from the Spanish Ministry of Economy and Competitiveness (MINECO. Project CTQ2016-75752-R) is gratefully acknowledged. A.M.-.. thanks MINECO for a predoctoral fellowship inside the FPI program.

### References

- [1] a) G. C. Moraski, M. Chang, A. Villegas-Estrada, S. G. Franzblau, U. Möllmann, M. J. Miller, *Eur. J. Med. Chem.* 2010, 45, 1703-1716; b) B. Li, S.-Q. Wang, B. Liu, B.-F. Shi, *Org. Lett.* 2015, 17, 1200-1203; c) M. Brandstätter, F. Roth, N. W. Luedtke, *J. Org. Chem.* 2015, 80, 40-51.
- [2] G. C. Moraski, S. G. Franzblau, M. J. Miller, *Heterocycles* 2010, 80, 977-988.
- [3] a) M. S. Lall, G. Hoge, T. P. Tran, W. Kissel, S. T. Murphy, C. Taylor, K. Hutchings, B. Samas, E. L. Ellsworth, T. Curran, H. D. H. Showalter, *J. Org. Chem.* 2012, 77, 4732-4739; b) N. A. Magnus, B. A. Astleford, D. L. T. Laird, T. D. Maloney, A. D. McFarland, J. R. Rizzo, J. C. Ruble, G. A. Stephenson, J. P. Wepsiec, *J. Org. Chem.* 2013, 78, 5768-5774.
- [4]N. Kern, M. Hoffmann, J.-M. Weibel, P. Pale, A. Blanc, *Tetrahedron* **2014**, *70*, 5519-5531.
- [5] H. Kakei, T. Nemoto, T. Ohshima, M. Shibasaki, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2004, 43, 317-320; Angew. Chem. 2004, 116, 321-324.

- [6] F. Sarabia, F. Martín-Gálvez, C. García-Ruiz, A. Sánchez-Ruiz, C. Vivar-García, J. Org. Chem. 2013, 78, 5239-5253.
- [7] P. Geoghegan, P. O'Leary, ACS Catal. 2012, 2, 573-591.
- [8] a) J. L. Vicario, M. Rodriguez, D. Badía, L. Carrillo, E. Reyes, Org. Lett. 2004, 6, 3171-3174; b) M. Rodríguez, J. L. Vicario, D. Badía, L. Carrillo, Org. Biomol. Chem. 2005, 3, 2026-2030; c) M. Ocejo, L. Carrillo, J. L. Vicario, D. Badía, E. Reyes, J. Org. Chem. 2011, 76, 460-470; d) G. Lemonnier, T. Poisson, S. Couve-Bonnaire, P. Jubault, X. Pannecoucke, Eur. J. Org. Chem. 2013, 3278-3289; e) H.-H. Cho, S.-H. Kim, Bull. Korean Chem. Soc. 2015, 36, 1274-1277.
- [9]a) R. B. Lettan II, T. E. Reynolds, C. V. Galliford, K. A. Scheidt, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 2006, *128*, 15566-15567; b)
  R. B. Lettan II, C. V. Galliford, C. C. Woodward, K. A. Scheidt, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 2009, *131*, 8805-8814.
- [10] a) R. Touati, T. Gmiza, S. Jeulin, C. Deport, V. Ratovelomanana-Vidal, B. B. Hassine, J.-P. Genet, *Synlett* 2005, 2478-2482; b) W. Li, X. Ma, W. Fan, X. Tao, X. Li, X. Xie, Z. Zhang, *Org. Lett.* 2011, *13*, 3876-3879; c) W. Li, W. Fan, X. Ma, X. Tao, X. Li, X. Xie, Z. Zhang, *Chem. Commun.* 2012, *48*, 8976-8978; d) W.-F. Li, X.-M. Xie, X.-M. Tao, X. Ma, W.-Z. Fan, X.-M. Li, Z.-G. Zhang, *RSC Adv.* 2012, *2*, 3214-3216.
- [11] a) J.-H. Xie, X.-Y. Liu, X.-H. Yang, J.-B. Xie, L.-X. Wang, Q.-L. Zhou, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2012, 51, 201-203; Angew. Chem. 2012, 124, 205-207; b) Y. Hu, X. Yin, Z. Chen, X.-Q. Dong, X. Zhang, Org. Chem. Front. 2018, 5, 2000-2003.
- [12] a) S. M. Smith, N. C. Thacker, J. M. Takacs, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2008, 130, 3734-3735; b) S. M. Smith, J. M. Takacs, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2010, 132, 1740-1741; c) S. M. Smith, J. M. Takacs, Org. Lett. 2010, 12, 4612-4615.
- [13] H. Chea, H.-S. Sim, J. Yun, Adv. Synth. Catal. 2009, 351, 855-858.
- [14] L. Zhou, W. Lu, Org. Lett. 2014, 16, 508-511.
- [15] R. González-Fernández, P. Crochet, V. Cadierno, Org. Lett. 2016, 18, 6164-6167.
- [16] a) J. L. Vicario, D. Badía, E. Domínguez, M. Rodríguez, L. Carrillo, J. Org. Chem. 2000, 65, 3754-3760; b) B. R. Kusuma, G. E. L. Brandt, B. S. J. Blagg, Org. Lett. 2012, 14, 6242-6245; c) K. Weidner, N. Kumagai, M. Shibasaki, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2014, 53, 6150-6154; Angew. Chem. 2014, 126, 6264-6268; d) K. Weidner, Z. Sun, N. Kumagai, M. Shibasaki, Angew. Chem. 1nt. Ed. 2015, 54, 6236-6240; Angew. Chem. 2015, 127, 6334-6338; e) A. Matsuzawa, H. Noda, N. Kumagai, M. Shibasaki, J. Org. Chem. 2017, 82, 8304-8308; f) Z. Liu, T. Takeuchi, R. Pluta, F. A. Arteaga, N. Kumagai, M. Shibasaki, Org. Lett. 2017, 19, 710-713; g) T. Takeuchi, N. Kumagai, M. Shibasaki, J. Org. Chem. 2017, Shibasaki, J. Org. Chem. 2018, 83, 5851-5858.
- [17] L. Martín-Ortiz, S. Chammaa, M. S. Pino-González, A. Sánchez-Ruiz, M. García-Castro, C. Assiego, F. Sarabia, *Tetrahedron Lett.* **2004**, *45*, 9069-9072.

- [18] a) G. Bartoli, M. Bartolacci, A. Giuliani, E. Marcantoni, M. Massaccesi, *Eur. J. Org. Chem.* 2005, 2867-2879; b) P.-G. Echeverria, T. Ayad, P. Phansavath, V. Ratovelomanana-Vidal, *Synthesis* 2016, 48, 2523-2539.
- [19] Recent reviews on DKRs: a) M. Rachwalski, N. Vermue, F. P. J. T. Rutjes, *Chem. Soc. Rev.* 2013, 42, 9268-9282; b) O. Verho, J.-E. Bäckvall, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 2015, *137*, 3996-4009; c) A. Díaz-Rodríguez, I. Lavandera, V. Gotor, *Curr. Green Chem.* 2015, *2*, 192-211; d) V. Bhat, E. R. Welin, X. Guo, B. M. Stoltz, *Chem. Rev.* 2017, *117*, 4528-4561.
- [20] The reduction of prochiral compounds under dynamic conditions has also been called as dynamic reductive kinetic resolution (DYRKR), see: G. A. Applegate, D. B. Berkowitz, *Adv. Synth. Catal.* 2015, *357*, 1619-1632.
- [21] a) G. Bartoli, M. Bosco, R. Dalpozzo, E. Marcantoni, M. Massaccesi, S. Rinaldia, L. Sambri, *Tetrahedron Lett.* 2001, 42, 8811-8815; b) G. Bartoli, M. Bosco, E. Marcantoni, M. Massaccesi, S. Rinaldi, L. Sambri, *Synthesis* 2004, 3092-3096.
- [22] G. Bartoli, M. Bosco, E. Marcantoni, P. Melchiorre, S. Rinaldi, L. Sambri, *Synthesis* 2004, 73-76.
- [23] D. Lynch, R. E. Deasy, L.-A. Clarke, C. N. Slattery, U. B. Rao Khandavilli, S. E. Lawrence, A. R. Maguire, N. A. Magnus, H. A. Moynihan, *Org. Lett.* 2016, 18, 4978-4981.
- [24] D.-H. Bao, X.-S. Gu, J.-H. Xie, Q.-L. Zhou, Org. Lett. 2017, 19, 118-121.
- [25] J. Limanto, S. W. Krska, B. T. Dorner, E. Vazquez, N Yoshikawa, L. Tan, Org. Lett. 2010, 12, 512-515.
- [26] a) M. J. García, F. Rebolledo, V. Gotor, *Tetrahedron. Asymmetry* **1992**, *3*, 1519-1522; b) M. J. García, F.
   Rebolledo, V. Gotor, *Tetrahedron: Asymmetry* **1993**, *4*, 2199-2210.
- [27] a) D.-Y. Ma, D.-X. Wang, J. Pan, Z.-T. Huang, M.-X. Wang, *Tetrahedron: Asymmetry* 2008, *19*, 322-329; b)
  D.-Y. Ma, D.-X. Wang, J. Pan, Z.-T. Huang, M.-X. Wang, *J. Org. Chem.* 2008, *73*, 4087-4091.
- [28] a) T. Hudlicky, G. Gillman, C. Andersen, *Tetrahedron: Asymmetry* 1992, *3*, 281-286; b) M. Quirós, F. Rebolledo, R. Liz, V. Gotor, *Tetrahedron: Asymmetry* 1997, *8*, 3035-3038; c) M. Quirós, F. Rebolledo, V. Gotor, *Tetrahedron: Asymmetry* 1999, *10*, 473-486; d) N. Athanasiou, A. J. Smallridge, M. A Trewhella, *J. Mol. Catal. B: Enzym.* 2001, *11*, 893-896; e) R. E. Saxon, H. Leisch, T. Hudlicky, *Tetrahedron: Asymmetry* 2008, *19*, 672-681.
- [29] E. Liardo, N. Ríos-Lombardía, F. Morís, J. González-Sabín, F. Rebolledo, Org. Lett. 2016, 18, 3366-3369.
- [30] E. Liardo, R. González-Fernández, N. Ríos-Lombardía, F. Morís, J. García-Álvarez, V. Cadierno, P. Crochet, F. Rebolledo, J. González-Sabín, *ChemCatChem* 2018, 10, 4676-4682.
- [31] Recent reviews: a) S. de Wildeman, N. Sereinig in *Science of Synthesis, Stereoselective Synthesis, Vol. 2*

(Eds.: J. G. De Vries, G. A. Molander, P. A. Evans), Georg Thieme Verlag, Stuttgart, **2011**, pp. 133-208; b) C. M. Nealon, M. M. Musa, J. M. Patel, R. S. Phillips, *ACS Catal.* **2015**, *5*, 2100-2114; c) T. S. Moody, S. Mix, G. Brown, D. Beecher in *Science of Synthesis*, *Biocatalysis in Organic Synthesis*, *Vol.* 2 (Eds.: K. Faber, W.-D. Fessner, N. J. Turner), Georg Thieme Verlag, Stuttgart, **2015**, pp. 421-458; d) R. Zhang, Y. Xu, R. Xiao, *Biotechnol. Adv.* **2015**, *33*, 1671-1684.

- [32] A. Cuetos, A. Rioz-Martínez, F. R. Bisogno, B. Grischek, I. Lavandera, G. de Gonzalo, W. Kroutil, V. Gotor, *Adv. Synth. Catal.* **2012**, *354*, 1743-1749.
- [33] B. Štefane, S. Polanc, *Tetrahedron* 2007, 63, 10902-10913.
- [34] M. J. García, F. Rebolledo, V. Gotor, *Tetrahedron Lett.* 1993, 38, 6141-6142.
- [35] W. Stampfer, B. Kosjek, C. Moitzi, W. Kroutil, K. Faber, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2002, 41, 1014-1017; Angew. Chem. 2002, 114, 1056-1059.
- [36] C. Heiss, M. Laivenieks, J. G. Zeikus, R. S. Phillips, *Bioorg. Med. Chem.* 2001, 7, 1659-1666.
- [37] J. Peters, T. Minuth, M.-R. Kula, *Enzyme Microb. Technol.* **1993**, *11*, 950-958.

- [38] a) I. Lavandera, G. Oberdofer, J. Gross, S. de Wildeman, W. Kroutil, *Eur. J. Org. Chem.* 2008, 15, 2539-2543; b) I. Lavandera, A. Kern, V. Resch, B. Ferreira-Silva, A. Glieder, W. M. F. Fabian, S. de Wildeman, W. Kroutil, *Org. Lett.* 2008, 11, 2155-2158.
- [39] a) I. Lavandera, A. Kern, B. Ferreira-Silva, A. Glieder, S. de Wildeman, W. Kroutil, J. Org. Chem. 2008, 15, 6003-6005; b) H. Man, K. Kędziora, J. Kulig, A. Frank, I. Lavandera, V. Gotor-Fernández, D. Röther, S. Hart, J. P. Turkerburg, G. Grogan, Top. Catal. 2014, 5, 356-365.
- [40] a) M. Wolberg, W. Hummel, C. Wandrey, M. Müller, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2000, 23, 4306-4308; Angew. Chem. 2000, 112, 4476-4478; b) S. Leuchs, L. Greiner, Chem. Biochem. Eng. Q. 2011, 2, 267-281.
- [41] P. Könst, H. Merkens, S. Kara, S. Kochius, A. Vogel, R. Zuhse, D. Holtmann, I. W. C. E. Arends, F. Hollmann, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **2012**, *51*, 9914-9917; *Angew. Chem.* **2012**, *124*, 10052-10055.
- [42] D. Kalaitzakis, I. Smonou, J. Org. Chem. 2008, 73, 3919-3921.

### UPDATE

Synthesis of α-Alkyl-β-Hydroxy Amides through Biocatalytic Dynamic Kinetic Resolution Employing Alcohol Dehydrogenases

Adv. Synth. Catal. Year, Volume, Page – Page

Daniel Méndez-Sánchez, Ángela Mourelle-Insua, Vicente Gotor-Fernández,\* and Iván Lavandera\*

