Journal of Organometallic Chemistry, 108 (1976) C1—C4
© Elsevier Sequoia S.A., Lausanne — Printed in The Netherlands

Preliminary communication

FORMATION OF CYCLOPROPANE RINGS VIA Zr—X (X = Cl, Br) γ-ELIMINATION

WILSON TAM and MICHAEL F. RETTIG*
Department of Chemistry, University of California, Riverside, California 92502 (U.S.A.)

(Received November 1st, 1975)

Summary

(η-C_5H_5)$_2$Zr(Cl)H (I) reacts with 4-chlorocyclohexene and 4-bromocyclohexene to give moderate yields of bicyclo[3.1.0]hexane. Reaction of I with 4-chloropent-1-ene gives low yields of *cis*- and *trans*-1,2-dimethylcyclopropanes, 42% *trans*-pent-2-ene, and no *cis*-pent-2-ene. Reaction of I with 2-methyl-3-chloropropene gives 31% methylcyclopropane. Formation of these rings is believed to proceed by Zr—X (X = Cl, Br) γ-elimination. Side reactions include (1) Zr—X β-elimination to yield halogen-free olefins and (2) formation of halogen-free olefins by direct reduction of C—X by Zr—H.

It is known that (η-C_5H_5)$_2$Zr(Cl)H (I) reacts with olefins to form stable alkylzirconium complexes, (η-C_5H_5)$_2$Zr(Cl)R [1, 2]. This reaction involves either regiospecific addition of Zr—H to a terminal olefin or addition of Zr—H to an internal olefin followed by rapid rearrangement via reversible β-hydride elimination to place the Zr atom at the least hindered accessible position. Thus, reaction of I with either oct-1-ene or oct-4-ene forms complexes with the zirconium substituent at the end of the alkyl chain [2a]. It also is known that haloalkylmetallic complexes can undergo metal—halogen elimination to form olefins [3] or in some cases carbocyclic rings [4]. Earlier workers who studied the (η-C_5H_5)$_2$-Zr(Cl)R complexes have emphasized the utility and stereochemistry of Zr—R cleavages by electrophilic reagents [2]. We now report that (C_5H_5)$_2$Zr(Cl)H adds to olefins containing halogen. The addition is followed by decomposition to give cyclopropanes or olefinic products.

In the reaction of I with 4-chlorocyclohexene, which is representative of the reactions studied, 5.20 mmoles each of 1- and 4-chlorocyclohexene and 50 μl of benzene in C_6D_6 (2 ml total volume) were stirred for 61 h at 65°C (sealed tube, inert atmosphere). The reaction mixture was cooled and if the ^{1}H NMR spectrum of the completed reaction solution showed significant indication of residual alkylzirconium, the solution was hydrolyzed prior to analysis. The yields of organic products were determined by GLC and ^{1}H NMR. The products, yields, and reac-

TABLE 1

PRODUCTS FROM THE REACTION OF $(\eta\text{-}C_5H_5)_2Zr(Cl)H$ WITH OLEFINIC HALIDES

Reactant	Products, yield (%) (Determined by ^{1}H NMR)	Products, yield (%) (Determined by GLC)	Unreacted starting material (%) (Determined by GLC)	Reaction conditions
4-Chlorocyclohexene	Bicyclo[3.1.0]hexane (40) Cyclohexene (26)	Cyclohexane (5) Chlorocyclohexane (15)	8	61 h, 65°C (hydrolyzed)
4-Chlorocyclohexene	Bicyclo[3.1.0]hexane (35) Cyclohexene (39)	Cyclohexane (8) Chlorocyclohexane (2)	—	24 h, 85°C (hydrolyzed)
4-Bromocyclohexene	Bicyclo[3.1.0]hexane (18) Cyclohexene (40)	Cyclohexane (4) Bromocyclohexane (26)	10	90 h, 65°C (hydrolyzed)
4-Bromocyclohexene	Bicyclo[3.1.0]hexane (16) Cyclohexene (58)	Cyclohexane (9) Bromocyclohexane (5)	—	24 h, 85°C (hydrolyzed)
4-Chloropent-1-ene	Pent-2-ene (*trans* only) (42) *trans*-1,2-Dimethylcyclopropane (8) *cis*-1,2-Dimethyl cyclopropane (3)		—	65 h, 115°C
2-Methyl-3-chloropropene	2-Methylpropene (54) Methylcyclopropane (31)			50 h, 60°C
1-Chlorocyclohexene		Cyclohexene (14) Cyclohexane (20)	36	24 h, 85°C (hydrolyzed)
Chlorocyclohexane		Cyclohexane (96)	—	24 h, 85°C (hydrolyzed)
trans-1,4-Dichloro but-2-ene	1-Chlorobut-2-ene (29)		39	115 h, 75°C

tion conditions for the various experiments are summarized in Table 1.

In every case studied where X = Cl, the isolated yield of $(\eta\text{-}C_5H_5)_2ZrCl_2$ [5] was in the range 82—87%. Whenever possible, pure samples of volatile products were collected by GLC. The ^1H NMR spectra of all products are identical to those found in the literature or to those of authentic samples.

The proposed pathway for the reaction of I with 4-halocyclohexene is illustrated in Scheme 1.



Formation of bicyclo[3.1.0]hexane is believed to proceed by Zr—X γ-elimination, while formation of cyclohexene proceeds by Zr—X β-elimination and/or direct reduction of C—X by Zr—H. Product cyclohexene is susceptible to further addition of Zr—H to yield a cyclohexylzirconium complex, which, upon hydrolysis would give cyclohexane. The reaction of I with chlorocyclohexane to give cyclohexane demonstrated the feasibility of direct reduction of C—Cl by Zr—H*. The lower yield of bicyclo[3.1.0]hexane from 4-bromocyclohexene might be due to the facility of direct C—Br reduction.

The reaction of I with 1-chlorocyclohexene yielded no bicyclo[3.1.0]hexane. This is consistent with Zr—Cl β-elimination being favored over Zr—H β-elimination. Thus, after the Zr—H addition to the double bond in 1-chlorocyclohexene, either one or two Zr—H β-eliminations must precede Zr—Cl γ-elimination to give bicyclo[3.1.0]hexane. The Zr—H β-elimination would have to compete with Zr—Cl β-elimination, the latter giving cyclohexene. The faster rate of Pd—Cl

---

*C—Cl reductions by M-H are common. See for example ref. 6a. Reductions of CH_2Cl_2 to CH_3Cl and $CHCl_3$ to CH_2Cl by $(\eta\text{-}C_5H_5)Zr(Cl)H$ have also been observed [6b].

elimination compared with Pd—H β-elimination already has been demonstrated [3].

The reaction of I with 4-chloropent-1-ene gave *trans*-pent-2-ene but no *cis*-pent-2-ene. Formation of *trans*-pent-2-ene can occur from (1) Zr—X β-elimination and/or (2) reduction of formed 4-chloro-*trans*-pent-2-ene. Further explorations of effects of leaving groups and olefin stereochemistry on ring closure are in progress.

Acknowledgement

This research was supported in part by the University of California Intramural Research Fund.

References

1 P.C. Wailes, H. Weigold and A.P. Bell, J. Organometal. Chem., 27 (1971) 373.
2 (a) D.W. Hart and J. Schwartz, J. Amer. Chem. Soc., 96 (1974) 8115;
  (b) C.A. Bertelo and J. Schwartz, J. Amer. Chem. Soc., 97 (1975) 228;
  (c) J.A. Labinger, D.W. Hart, W.E. Seibert III and J. Schwartz, J. Amer. Chem. Soc., 97 (1975) 3851;
  (d) T.F. Blackburn, J.A. Labinger and J. Schwartz, Tetrahedron Lett., (1975) 3041.
3 (a) R.F. Heck, J. Amer. Chem. Soc., 90 (1968) 5531;
  (b) C.F. Kohll and R. Van Helden, Rec. Trav. Chim. Pays-Bas, 87 (1968) 481;
  (c) D.G. Brady, J. Chem. Soc. Chem. Commun., (1970) 434;
  (d) H.C. Volger, Rec. Trav. Chim. Pays-Bas, 87 (1968) 501;
  (e) E.W. Stern, M.L. Spector and H.P. Leftin, J. Catalysis, 6 (1966) 152.
4 (a) H. Hoberg, Justus Liebigs Ann. Chem., 656 (1962) 1;
  (b) P. Binger and R. Köster, Tetrahedron Lett., (1961) 156;
  (c) M.F. Hawthorne, J. Amer. Chem. Soc., 82 (1960) 1886;
  (d) J.K. Kochi and D.M. Singleton, J. Org. Chem., 33 (1968) 1027;
  (e) H.C. Brown and S.P. Rhodes, J. Amer. Chem. Soc., 91 (1969) 4306 and ref. therein.
5 P.M. Druce, B.M. Kingston, M.F. Lappert ,T.R. Spalding and R.C. Srivastava, J. Chem. Soc. A, (1969) 2106.
6 (a) R.A. Schunn, Systematics of Transition Metal Hydride Chemistry, in E.L. Muetterties (Ed.), Transition Metal Hydrides, Marcel Dekker, New York, 1971;
  (b) P.C. Wailes and H. Weigold, J. Organometal. Chem., 24 (1970) 405.