# Toward preparative resolution of chiral alcohols by an organic chemical method<sup>†</sup>

Nino Malic,<sup>a</sup> Cornelis Moorhoff,<sup>a</sup> Valerie Sage,<sup>a</sup> Dilek Saylik,<sup>a</sup> Euneace Teoh,<sup>a</sup> Janet L. Scott<sup>b</sup> and Christopher R. Strauss<sup>\*c</sup>

Received (in Montpellier, France) 17th December 2009, Accepted 28th December 2009 First published as an Advance Article on the web 25th January 2010 DOI: 10.1039/b9nj00768g

Asymmetric alcohols were resolved as  $1-\alpha$ -*O*-alkyl-2,3-unsaturated hexosides. After separation of diastereoisomers, the auxiliary and the enantiomeric alcohol were recovered by transglycosidation. Potential applications include resolution of labile secondary and tertiary alcohols, difficult by existing techniques, and enhancement of ees of chiral alcohols produced enzymatically or by synthetic catalytic methods.

Organic chemical methods for resolving racemic mixtures of alcohols have proven difficult to develop, somewhat cumbersome to use and they appear to have limited scope.<sup>1</sup> Consequently, bio-organic approaches, commonly involving enantioselective enzymatic hydrolysis of racemic esters or stereoselective reduction of ketones, have gained favour.<sup>2a,b</sup> Their disadvantages, however, can include needs for high dilution, low throughputs of substrate, lengthy reaction times, low reactivity of sterically crowded molecules and difficulties with isolation.<sup>2c-f</sup> Encouragingly though, reports indicating early signs of progress in the resolution of sterically crowded molecules including tertiary alcohols, by enzymatic means, have appeared.<sup>3</sup>

With regard to synthetic approaches, a strategy involving thermal retro Diels–Alder reactions was recently disclosed.<sup>4a</sup> Most commonly, however, enantiospecific syntheses of asymmetric alcohols utilise precursor aldehydes, but such processes tend not to afford uniformly high ees with any one particular catalyst.<sup>4b,c</sup> Consequently, enantiomeric alcohols that are not readily accessible through the chiral pool<sup>5</sup> tend to be available in limited quantities and at premium prices.

We now report preliminary studies toward a novel and green organic chemical approach for the preparative resolution of alcohols. It was considered that a chiral auxiliary could satisfy commercial requirements, including objectives of green chemistry,<sup>6</sup> if it had broad applicability and was effective, readily accessible, of relatively low molecular weight and low toxicity, and chemically stable, as well as easy to apply and to remove by reversible, efficient, non-hazardous methods.

Ferrier reaction<sup>7</sup> of glycals with racemic alcohols has already been employed to produce 2,3-unsaturated glycosides of optically active alcohols.<sup>1*j*,*k*</sup> Separation of the respective diastereoisomers and acid hydrolysis can afford individual enantiomers of the alcohol. A major drawback is that loss of the auxiliary sugar after successive chemical transformations renders the method wasteful and impractical for general use. Acid hydrolysis employed to cleave the glycosides also has the potential to affect the enantiomeric purity and to degrade some alcohols.

In this work, we have investigated a potential low-waste process that would not require strong acid and that involves the use and recycling of 2,3-unsaturated hexosides, *e.g.* **1** (Scheme 1) of low molecular weight alcohols such as MeOH and EtOH, as chiral auxiliaries. The aim was to attach and detach the chiral alcohol from such auxiliaries under mild conditions, by Lewis acid-catalysed transacetalisation processes that would afford optically enriched alcohols and enable the glycosidic moiety to be recycled.

It was hypothesised that attack by the chiral alcohol (*i.e.* that to be resolved) at the anomeric centre of the auxiliary would not proceed by nucleophilic substitution of **1**, but rather through a cationic intermediate such as **2** (Scheme 1), by a pathway analogous to that proposed for the Ferrier rearrangement and Ferrier glycosylation of 1,2-glycals.<sup>8</sup> If that premise were to hold, literature reports suggested that, owing to a strong anomeric effect,  $\alpha$ -epimers would predominate.<sup>9</sup> Hence, racemic alcohols possessing one asymmetric centre would be expected to give essentially two major diastereoisomers instead of four. Somewhat surprisingly, literature precedents for transacetalisation of an *O*-glycoside of a 2,3-unsaturated hexose are rare.<sup>10</sup> Searches did not reveal any examples concerned with alcohol resolution.

The present process, outlined in Scheme 2 with racemic alcohol  $R^3R^4R^5COH$ , contains three key steps: (1) transactalisation of resolving agents such as 1 by the racemic



Scheme 1 Pathway proposed for transacetalisation of 2,3-unsaturated hexosides.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>a</sup> Centre for Green Chemistry and School of Chemistry,

Monash University, Wellington Rd, Clayton, VIC 3800, Australia <sup>b</sup> JLS ChemConsult Ltd, 31 Oakley Rd, Reading, UK RG4 7RN. E-mail: JanetScott@JLSChemConsult.com;

*Tel:* +44 (0)118 9463901

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>c</sup> Queen's University Ionic Liquids Research Laboratories, David Keir Building, Stranmillis Rd, Belfast, Northern Ireland, UK BT9 5AG. E-mail: chris.strauss@qub.ac.uk; Fax: +44 (0)28 9066 5297; Tel: +44 (0)28 9097 5420

<sup>†</sup> Electronic supplementary information (ESI) available: Experimental methods, procedures and characterisation data of all new compounds. See DOI: 10.1039/b9nj00768g



Scheme 2 Cycle of alcohol resolution via transacetalisation.

alcohol to afford a mixture of diastereoisomeric glycosides 3 of (R) and (S)-alcohols, with loss of R<sup>1</sup>OH (typically MeOH or EtOH), (2) isolation and separation of diastereoisomeric glycosides 3a and 3b and (3) reverse transacetalisation of 3a or 3b with R<sup>1</sup>OH to release the (R) or (S)-alcohol and to re-form the resolving agent 1.

The relative stereochemistries about the asymmetric centres of auxiliaries 1 are depicted by way of illustration only, in Schemes 1 and 2. A wide array of auxiliaries analogous to 1 is possible, for example *via O*-derivatisation at the C4 and C6 positions as well as through the use of glycals obtained from various sugars or by total synthesis.<sup>11</sup> Such variations can influence properties such as polarity and crystallinity of the auxiliaries and their derivatives. Herein, the principle has been demonstrated through examples with novel auxiliary 4 derived from glucose. Auxiliary 4 was readily produced. It occurred predominantly as the  $\alpha$ -anomer and was crystalline. This latter property was advantageous for preparative applications, but was somewhat offset by a tendency to sublime, behaviour that would militate against industrial applicability of 4.

Regarding Step 1 (Scheme 2), glycosylation of racemic chiral alcohols was carried out in solvents of relatively low polarity. Toluene was preferred from the standpoint of green chemistry. K10 Montmorillonite clay or  $BF_3 \cdot OEt_2$  were employed as catalysts. Temperatures ranged from ambient to 80 °C depending upon the stability of the components under the conditions applied. The forward reaction was promoted by removal of MeOH or EtOH under partial vacuum (*ca.* 20 mmHg pressure) or, with MeOH, by 4 Å molecular sieves. The efficacy of K10 Montmorillonite as a catalyst appeared to vary with individual batches. Acid-treated clay gave higher conversions in shorter times. Significantly, during this step the isomeric ratio of products did not appear to be affected by reaction time or temperature.

As shown in Table 1, transacetalisation with different classes of alcohols was carried out with the methylene bridged auxiliary 4 and K10 Montmorillonite as catalyst, at temperatures of 50–80 °C. Alcohols including (S)-1-phenylethanol (5), (R)-3-hydroxytetrahydrofuran (6), (R), (S) and rac-2-butanols (7, 8 and 9), rac-pantolactone (10), rac- $\alpha$ -methyl cyclopropanemethanol (11), rac-1-pentyn-3-ol (12), rac-1-octyn-3-ol

Table 1	Transacetalisation	of auxiliary 4	with alcohols 5-14
---------	--------------------	----------------	--------------------

Entry	Alcohol	Product	Isolated yield (%)
1	Рћ <sup></sup> ЮН <b>5</b>	$Ph^{-1} (S) - \alpha 5a : (S) - \beta 5b$	45
2	6 OH	6a	58
3		)	72
4		Nor Sa	67
5	он 9	$(R)-\alpha 9\mathbf{a} : (S)-\alpha 9\mathbf{b} : (S)-\beta 9\mathbf{c}$	57
6	PH PH O I	53 : 43 : 4 $(R) - \alpha \ 10a : (S) - \alpha \ 10b$	41 (10a) 4 (10b)
7		$\sqrt{\frac{1}{129}}$	40
8	0H 12	56 : 44 ( <i>R</i> )-α 12a : ( <i>S</i> )-α 12b : ( <i>S</i> )-β 12c	61
9	13	$(R)-\alpha 13a : (S)-\alpha 13b$	39 ( <b>13a</b> ) 12 ( <b>13b</b> )
10	он 14	$14a^{a}$	15 <sup>b</sup> , 51 <sup>c</sup>

<sup>*a*</sup> Stereochemistries were not determined. <sup>*b*</sup> Partially isolated as a single anomer. <sup>*c*</sup> Remainder of eluted adduct was a mixture of anomers.

(13) and *rac*-3-methyl-1-pentyn-3-ol (14) underwent substantial or complete conversion.

The use of enantiomerically enriched alcohols (ee > 98%) in transacetalisations (entries 1–4, Table 1) established that epimerisation about the asymmetric centre was negligible under the conditions used. Furthermore, all of the (*R*)-alcohols investigated gave  $\alpha$ -anomers exclusively. (*S*)-Alcohols tended to afford  $\alpha$ -anomers predominantly, but unfortunately, not exclusively. Nonetheless, the stereoselectivity was usually around 4 : 1 and strongly favoured the  $\alpha$ -anomer (see entries 1 and 5 in Table 1). This result was consistent with findings reported for Ferrier glycosylation of alkynols by Schreiber *et al.*<sup>12</sup> Significantly, in some cases, the transglycosylation step itself displayed enantioselectivity. Indeed, more than 70% of the (*R*)- $\alpha$  adduct was obtained from *rac*-pantolactone (10), *rac*-1-pentyn-3-ol (12) and *rac*-1-octyn-3-ol (13) (entries 6, 8 and 9).

Of particular interest, the transacetalisation of a racemic tertiary alcohol, 3-methyl-1-pentyn-3-ol (14), also showed enantioselectivity. Analysis of the isolated adduct 14a (Table 1, entry 10) by  $^{13}$ C NMR and GC showed an enantiomeric ratio of 4 : 3 for derivatised alcohol 14. Although the absolute stereochemistries were not determined, the enantiomeric ratio was preserved in the liberated alcohol 14 after reverse transacetalisation. This indicated that racemisation about the tertiary centre did not occur during the process and confirmed the applicability of the approach to tertiary alcohols, which otherwise can be reactive under acidic conditions.

Cyclopropylcarbinols also are acid labile, affording ring expansion and ring opening<sup>13</sup> and 1-phenylethanol (5) readily undergoes acid-catalysed dehydration which can lead to the formation of bis( $\alpha$ -methylbenzyl)ether.<sup>14</sup> In a literature example, the success of Ferrier glycosylation with acid-sensitive alcohols was dependent upon the Lewis acid catalyst used.<sup>15</sup> Herein, the stability of auxiliary **4**, together with the relatively mild conditions required for transacetalisation at C1, precluded decomposition of all labile alcohols investigated. Successful transacetalisation with *rac*- $\alpha$ -methylcyclopropanemethanol (**11**), *rac*-1-pentyn-3-ol (**12**), and *rac*-1-octyn-3-ol (**13**) demonstrated the feasibility of the process regarding that aspect.

Differences in polarity between the reactants and the products were exploited where possible, to facilitate isolation and separation of the components. In Step 1 (Scheme 2), a relatively non-polar auxiliary is attacked by a polar racemic alcohol to afford a relatively non-polar diastereoisomeric mixture of derivatised chiral alcohols. A volatile, polar alcohol of low MW is liberated. As mentioned, the leaving alcohol (shown as  $R^{1}OH$ ) can be removed under reduced pressure or, in some cases, with molecular sieves, leaving a mixture of derivatised and underivatised chiral alcohols. In some cases the mixture could be class-separated by solvent partition with pentane and a mixture of MeOH-saturated aqueous NaHCO3 (1 : 1). The glycosides were partitioned into the hydrocarbon solvent and the unreacted alcohol dissolved in the aqueous phase. The isolated glycosides may be separated chromatographically if necessary or, preferably, by crystallisation, as illustrated for 10a.

Forward reactions (Step 1, Scheme 2) usually proceeded readily. Not surprisingly then, the positions of equilibrium often disfavoured reverse reactions. In those cases, Step 3 required more forcing conditions than did Step 1. It was carried out with either MeOH or EtOH, depending upon the regenerated auxiliary desired, but typically with a higher loading of K10 Montmorillonite than for the forward process. Temperatures exceeding 50 °C tended to promote decomposition of the auxiliary, typically through ring opening of the pyran to afford an acetal, **15**, and/or an enal, **16**<sup>16</sup> (Scheme 3 and Table 2).

Reverse transacetalisation (depicted as Step 3, Scheme 2) was carried out on (R)- $\alpha$ -pantolactone adduct **10a** and (R)- $\alpha$ -1-octyn-3-ol adduct **13b** as shown in Table 2. Here also, release of (R)- $\alpha$ -1-octyn-3-ol required a substantially higher loading



Scheme 3 Decomposition of auxiliary 4 during transglycosylation.

 Table 2
 Release of chiral alcohols from adducts 10a and 13b

Entry	Adduct	Chiral alcohol	Isolated yield (%) 4 : alcohol : 15	% ee <sup>a</sup>
1	10a		18 : 35 : 13	>99
2	13b	13с	23 : 38 : 22	>99
<sup>a</sup> Assesse	ed by chiral	GC.		

of acid washed K10 Montmorillonite catalyst and a longer reaction time than did the forward reaction (Step 1, Scheme 2). Chiral alcohols **10c** and **13c** were recovered in reasonable yields and with high ee, as determined by chiral GC (Table 2). Auxiliary **4** was not fully recovered, owing to ring opening to the acetal **15**. The ultimate objective, establishment of industrially viable methodology, will depend upon the use of auxiliaries that are more stable under the conditions of transacetalisation.

To summarise, in this preliminary study, proof of principle has been demonstrated for a novel organic chemical approach toward resolution of chiral alcohols. A proposed reaction cycle involving three steps and the use of glycosides of 2,3unsaturated hexoses has been validated experimentally, but yields and recoveries are not yet satisfactory. Non-enzymatic resolution of chiral tertiary alcohols has been addressed apparently for the first time. Although auxiliary 4 was the illustrative resolving agent employed herein, it could not be recovered quantitatively for future use, so its potential for industrial scale applications appears to be limited. However, given the wide array of naturally occurring sugars and scope for their derivatisation, there are ample opportunities for preparing robust auxiliaries. Apart from tertiary alcohols, the methodology was applied to secondary alcohols including those with additional reactive functionality. Such alcohols can be difficult to resolve by existing alternative techniques. After refinement of the technique, potential applications could include separation of racemic mixtures as well as enrichment of optically active alcohols produced enzymatically or by synthetic catalytic methods that do not afford high enantiomeric excesses in the reactive step. We acknowledge the ARC for funding this work.

## Experimental

Full experimental details are provided as ESI.<sup>†</sup> Representative procedures for the preparation of auxiliary **4**, formation of the adducts of pantolactone (**10**) with this auxiliary and recovery of one enantiomer of the resolved alcohol and auxiliary follow.

### Auxiliary preparation

Methyl-2,3-dideoxy-4,6-O-methylene-a-D-erythro-hex-2-enopyranoside (4) was prepared by a method adapted from the procedure of Lipták et al.17 To a solution of methyl-2,3dideoxy-a-D-erythro-hex-2-enopyranoside (1.00 g, 6.24 mmol) in DMSO (6 mL) was added NaOH (1.00 g, 24.96 mmol) as a powder. This mixture was stirred at 60 °C for 30 min under N<sub>2</sub> prior to the addition of CH<sub>2</sub>Br<sub>2</sub> (1.14 g, 6.55 mmol). After 1.5 h at 60 °C the mixture was poured into water (20 mL) and extracted with diethyl ether (3  $\times$  20 mL). The organic layer was dried (MgSO<sub>4</sub>), filtered and the solvent removed in vacuo to give a yellow oil, which, after chromatographic purification (silica column eluting with diethyl ether-pentane = 7 : 2), yielded **4** as a white crystalline solid (0.46 g, 43%), mp 85.5–85.9 °C. IR (Nujol)  $\nu$  cm<sup>-1</sup> 2893, 2463, 1737, 1711, 1150, 1107, 1061, 1022, 998, 968, 940, 916, 893, 722, 670. <sup>1</sup>H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 300 MHz)  $\delta$  6.07 (m, 1H), 5.71 (ddd, J = 2.3, 2.5, 10.3 Hz, 1H), 5.06 (d, J = 6.2 Hz, 1H), 4.85 (m, 1H), 4.67 (d, J = 6.2 Hz, 1H), 4.18 (m, 1H), 3.86 (m, 1H), 3.73 (m, 1H), 3.54 (t, J = 10.3, 1H), 3.44 (s, 3H). <sup>13</sup>C NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 75 MHz) & 130.7, 126.9, 96.1 (anomeric-C), 94.1, 75.3, 69.4, 64.2, 56.1. Mass spectrum (ESI<sup>+</sup>, MeOH) m/zcalculated for  $[C_8H_{12}O_4Na]^+$  195.0629, found 195.0633.

#### Adduct formation

rac-Pantolactone (10) (450 mg, 3.49 mmol) and methyl-2,3-dideoxy-4,6-O-methylene- $\alpha$ -D-erythro-hex-2-enopyranoside (4) (200 mg, 1.16 mmol) were dissolved in dry toluene (5 mL) and acid-treated K10 Montmorillonite (60 mg, 30 wt%) and 4 Å molecular sieves added. After heating at 75 °C for 20 h the clay and molecular sieves were removed by filtration and the solvent evaporated to give a yellow oil. GC analysis indicated 93% conversion to product with a ratio of (R)- $\alpha$  adduct 10a : (S)- $\alpha$  adduct **10b** = 71 : 29. Upon trituration with methanol the (R)- $\alpha$  adduct 10a crystallised as a white solid (126 mg, 41%), mp 192.4–194.6 °C. <sup>1</sup>H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 300 MHz)  $\delta$ 6.12 (d, J = 10.8 Hz, 1H), 5.85 (m, 1H), 5.44 (br s, 1H), 5.07(d, J = 6.2 Hz, 1H), 4.69 (d, J = 6.2 Hz, 1H), 4.16 (s, 1H),4.12 (dd, J = 4.7, 10.3 Hz, 1H), 4.03 (d, J = 8.8 Hz, 1H), 3.94 (d, J = 8.9 Hz, 1H), 3.89 (br s, 1H), 3.77 (m, 1H), 3.56(m, 1H), 1.20 (s, 3H), 1.11 (s, 3H). <sup>13</sup>C NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 75 MHz) δ 175.2, 130.9, 126.5, 94.1, 93.9, 78.6, 76.4, 75.1, 69.1, 64.5, 40.1, 22.9, 19.6. Mass spectrum (ESI<sup>+</sup>, MeOH) m/zcalculated for  $[C_{13}H_{18}O_6Na]^+$  293.1001, found 293.0997.

A small quantity of the (*S*)- $\alpha$  adduct **10b** was recovered as a yellow oil post column chromatography and partition (12 mg, 4%), <sup>1</sup>H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 300 MHz)  $\delta$  6.15 (d, J = 10.9 Hz, 1H), 5.77 (td, J = 2.5, 10.3 Hz, 1H), 5.06 (br d, 2H), 4.67 (d, J = 6.2 Hz, 1H), 4.28 (m, 1H), 4.08–3.88 (m, 5H), 3.48 (m, 1H), 1.20 (s, 3H), 1.11 (s, 3H). <sup>13</sup>C NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 75 MHz)  $\delta$  178.5, 132.1, 125.6, 96.3, 94.2, 80.8, 75.9, 75.0,

69.1, 64.5, 40.5, 23.5, 19.5. Mass spectrum (ESI<sup>+</sup>, MeOH) m/z calculated [C<sub>13</sub>H<sub>18</sub>O<sub>6</sub>Na]<sup>+</sup> 293.1001, found 293.0990.

Resolved alcohol and auxiliary recovery: (R)- $\alpha$  adduct 10a (160 mg, 0.59 mmol) was dissolved in dry methanol (20 mL) followed by the addition of acid-treated K10 Montmorillonite (1.28 g, 800 wt%). The reaction mixture was heated to 40  $^{\circ}$ C for 8 h, clay removed by filtration and the solvent evaporated to give a yellow oil containing no 10a. GC analysis revealed auxiliary 4 and acetal 15 (ring-opened by-product) in a 60 : 40 ratio. After column chromatography on a silica column eluting with ethyl acetate-hexane (1 : 2), methyl-2,3-dideoxy-4,6-Omethylene- $\alpha$ -D-*erythro*-hex-2-enopyranoside (4) (31 mg, 18%), (R)-pantolactone (10c) (45 mg, 35%) and the acetal by-product 16 (26 mg, 13%) were recovered. The enantiomeric excess of recovered (R)-pantolactone was determined to be >99% by chiral GC (temperature program: initial column temperature was 110 °C for 10 min, then heated to 200 °C at 20 °C min<sup>-1</sup> and maintained at 200 °C for 5 min).

#### Notes and references

- 1 (a) M. Pallavicini, E. Valoti, L. Villa and O. Piccolo, Tetrahedron: Asymmetry, 1994, 5, 5; (b) X. Fu, J. Yin, T. K. Thiruvengadam, T. L. McAllister, C.-H. Tann and C. Colon, Org. Process Res. Dev., 2002, 6, 308; (c) V. Michelet, I. Besnier, S. Tanier, A. M. Touzin, J. P. Genet and J. P. Demoute, Synthesis, 1995, 165; (d) H. Nemoto, H. Tsutsumi, S. Yuzawa, X. Peng, W. Zhong, J. Xie, N. Miyoshi, I. Suzuki and M. Shibuya, Tetrahedron Lett., 2004, 45, 1667; (e) Y. Imai, T. Sato and R. Kuroda, Chem. Commun., 2005, 3289; (f) O. Bortolini, G. Fantin and M. Fogagnolo, Chirality, 2005, 17, 121; (g) Y.-M. Song, T. Zhou, X.-S. Wang, X.-N. Li and R.-G. Xiong, Cryst. Growth Des., 2006, 6, 14; (h) Z. Kovári, Z. Böcskei, C. Kassai, E. Fogassy and D. Kozma, Chirality, 2004, 16, S23, and references cited therein; (i) Y. Kobayashi, K. Kodama and K. Saigo, Org. Lett., 2004, 6, 2941; (j) B. M. Banik, M. S. Manhas and A. K. Bose, J. Org. Chem., 1994, 59, 4714; (k) B. K. Banik, C. Mukhopadhyay, C. R. Logan and F. B. Becker, Synth. Commun., 2007, 37, 3895
- 2 (a) P. F. Mugford, U. G. Wagner, Y. Jiang, K. Faber and R. J. Kazlauskas, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed., 2008, 47, 8782;
  (b) M. Breuer, K. Ditrich, T. Habicher, B. Hauer, M. Keβeler, R. Stümer and T. Zelinski, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed., 2004, 43, 788, and references cited therein; (c) J. Crosby, Tetrahedron, 1991, 47, 4789; (d) G. R. Allan and A. J. Carnell, J. Org. Chem., 2001, 66, 6495; (e) C. J. Welch, B. Grau, J. Moore and D. J. Mathre, J. Org. Chem., 2001, 66, 6836; (f) A. Cordova, M. R. Tremblay, B. Clapham and K. D. Janda, J. Org. Chem., 2001, 66, 5645.
- 3 (a) R. Kourist, P. Dominguez de Maria and U. T. Bornscheuer, *ChemBioChem*, 2008, 9, 491; (b) M. Wiggers, J. Holt, R. Kourist, S. Bartsch, I. W. C. E. Arends, A. J. Minnaard, U. T. Bornscheuer and U. Hanefeld, J. Mol. Catal. B: Enzym., 2009, 60, 82; (c) D. Ozdemirhan, S. Sezer and Y. Sonmez, Tetrahedron: Asymmetry, 2008, 19, 2717.
- 4 (a) S. Jones and D. Valette, Org. Lett., 2009, 11, 5358; (b) L. Pu and H.-B. Yu, Chem. Rev., 2001, 101, 757; (c) K. Soai and S. Niwa, Chem. Rev., 1992, 92, 833.
- 5 H.-U. Blaser, Chem. Rev., 1992, 92, 935.
- 6 P. T. Anastas and T. C. Williamson, Green Chemistry: Designing Chemistry for the Environment, ACS Symp. Ser., 1996, 626, 1–17.
- 7 (a) R. J. Ferrier, Adv. Carbohydr. Chem. Biochem., 1970, 24, 199;
   (b) R. J. Ferrier and J. O. Hoberg, Adv. Carbohydr. Chem. Biochem., 2003, 58, 55.
- 8 D. M. Ciment and R. J. Ferrier, J. Chem. Soc. C, 1966, 441.
- 9 (a) G. Zhang and Q. Lin, Synth. Commun., 2007, 37, 3485;
  (b) D. Mukherjee, S. K. Yousuf and S. C. Taneja, Tetrahedron Lett., 2008, 49, 4944; (c) K. De, J. Legros, B. Crousse and D. Bonnet-Delpon, Tetrahedron, 2008, 64, 10497; (d) P. Nagaraj and N. G. Ramesh, Tetrahedron Lett., 2009, 50, 3970.

- 10 (a) J. C. López, A. M. Gómez, S. Valverde and B. Fraser-Reid, J. Org. Chem., 1995, **60**, 3851; (b) J. C. López and B. Fraser-Reid, J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun., 1992, 94; (c) R. D. Dawe and B. Fraser-Reid, J. Carbohydr. Chem., 1982, **1**, 21.
- (a) P. Varelis, A. J. Graham, B. L. Johnson, B. W. Skelton and A. H. White, *Aust. J. Chem.*, 1994, **47**, 1735; (b) S. Danishefsky and J. F. Kerwin, *J. Org. Chem.*, 1982, **103**, 5454; (c) J. Knol, J. F. G. A. Jansen, F. van Bolhuis and B. L. Feringa, *Tetrahedron Lett.*, 1991, **32**, 7465.
- 12 H. Kubota, J. Lim, K. M. Depew and S. L. Schreiber, *Chem. Biol.*, 2002, 9, 265.
- 13 (a) C. C. Lee and A. J. Cessna, Can. J. Chem., 1980, 58, 1075, and references cited therein; (b) E. D. Matveeva, M. P. Kvasha and

A. L. Kurts, *Russ. J. Org. Chem.*, 1996, **32**, 17; (c) C. Ferreri, M. Ambrosone and C. Chatgilialoglu, *Synth. Commun.*, 1995, **25**, 3351.

- 14 (a) S. Wuyts, K. De Temmerman, D. E. De Vos and P. A. Jacobs, *Chem.-Eur. J.*, 2005, **11**, 386; (b) S. Kim, K. N. Chung and S. Yang, *J. Org. Chem.*, 1987, **52**, 3917.
- 15 M. Koreeda, T. A. Houston, B. K. Shull, E. Klemke and R. J. Tuinman, *Synlett*, 1995, 90.
- 16 (a) B. J. Fraser-Reid, Acc. Chem. Res., 1985, 18, 347;
  (b) E. R. Birnbaum, J. E. Gómez and D. W. Darnall, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 1970, 92, 5287; (c) S. Y.-K. Tam and B. Fraser-Reid, Carbohydr. Res., 1975, 45, 29.
- 17 A. Lipták, V. A. Oláh and J. Kerékgyártó, Synthesis, 1982, 421.