A new class of tethered-arene ruthenium(II) complexes with pendant P and C donor atoms: synthesis of $\eta^6:\eta^1:\eta^1$ phosphonio-azabutadienyl ruthenabicycles *via* allenylidene intermediates[†]

Victorio Cadierno,* Josefina Díez, Joaquín García-Álvarez and José Gimeno*

Departamento de Química Orgánica e Inorgánica, I.U.Q.O.E.M., Facultad de Química, Universidad de Oviedo, 33071, Oviedo, Spain. E-mail: jgh@fq.uniovi.es; vcm@fq.uniovi.es; Fax: +34985103446; Tel: +34985103461

Received (in Cambridge, UK) 5th April 2004, Accepted 4th June 2004 First published as an Advance Article on the web 20th July 2004

The activation of 1,1-diphenyl-2-propyn-1-ol by complexes [RuCl(η^{6} -p-cymene){ η^{2} -P,N-Ph₂PCH₂P(=NR)Ph₂]⁺ (1a–d) results in the formation of the unusual tethered (η^{6} -arene)–ruthenium(II) derivatives 2a–d, *via* an unprecedented iminophosphorane–allenylidene coupling process.

Since the isolation of the first allenylidene-ruthenium(II) complexes,1 the development of their chemistry has shown them to form one of the cornerstones of the synthetic applications of carbene-ruthenium complexes. Their applications in both stoichiometric² and catalytic³ organic transformations are among the most appealing in ruthenium-mediated organic synthesis,4 featuring a versatile reactivity including nucleophilic, electrophilic as well as cycloaddition reactions. In the context of our studies on the utility of ruthenium(II)-allenylidenes for promoting regio- and stereoselective C-C and C-heteroatom couplings,5 we now report a synthetic methodology for unprecedented tethered arene-ruthenium(II) complexes 2 (see Fig. 1). They have been generated from the intramolecular coupling of an iminophosphorane group -Ph2P=N-R_F with the allenylidene chain =C=C=CPh₂ and concomitant coordination of one of the terminal phenyl groups to ruthenium. To the best of our knowledge, these complexes represent the first examples of tethered-arene derivatives in which the pendant arm is linked to the metal by both P and C-donor atoms displaying a rare $\eta^6:\eta^1:\eta^1$ coordination mode.⁶

The process takes place by reaction of the iminophosphorane complexes **1a**–**d**⁷ with a 10-fold excess of 1,1-diphenyl-2-propyn-1-ol in dichloromethane at room temperature (*ca.* 48 h), yielding the bicyclic phosphonio-azabutadienyl–ruthenium(II) derivatives **2a–d** (Scheme 1), which have been isolated as air-stable orange solids in 78–88% yield.⁸ Analytical and spectroscopic data of complexes **2a–d** support the proposed formulation.[‡] In particular the ¹H and ¹³C-{¹H} NMR data indicate: (*i*) the disappearance of the isopropyl and methyl resonances of the *p*-cymene ligand, and (*ii*) the formation of the Ru–C bond in the azabutadienyl chain as reflected by the appearance of a characteristic low-field doublet of doublets resonance at *ca.* $\delta_{\rm C}$ 234 ppm [²*J*(CP) = 14.3–18.1 and 1.7–4.5 Hz].⁸

Moreover, the structure of complex **2d** has been determined by a single-crystal X-ray diffraction study (Fig. 2).§ The most remarkable features of the structure are the Ru–C(23) and N(1)–C(23) bond lengths (2.026(10) and 1.297(11) Å, respectively) which are



Fig. 1 Structure of the tethered-arene complexes reported in this paper.

† Electronic supplementary information (ESI) available: experimental details. See http://www.rsc.org/suppdata/cc/b4/b404971c/ consistent with the presence of single metal-carbon and double nitrogen-carbon bonds, respectively.⁹

Complexes **2a–d** formally result from the coupling of the uncoordinated iminophosphorane unit $-Ph_2P=N-R_F$ of **1a–d** with the allenylidene chain (resulting from the dehydration of the coordinated propargylic alcohol) with concomitant exchange of the η^6 -*p*-cymene ligand by one phenyl group of the alkynol. Remarkably, an unusual migration of the fluoroaromatic substituent R_F from the imino group = $N-R_F$ to the C_β atom of the allenylidene chain also takes place.

Although no intermediates could be detected by NMR spectroscopy, the involvement of allenylidene species in this unusual coupling process has been confirmed by using the closely related mesitylene–ruthenium(II) complex **3** as starting material (Scheme 2). Thus, the treatment of **3** with 10 equiv. of HC=CC(OH)Ph₂, in CH₂Cl₂ at room temperature generates (*ca.* 12 h) the diphenylallenylidene derivative **5**. The hemilabile properties of the η^2 -*P*,*N*-iminophosphorane-phosphine ligand provide the required vacant site for the coordination of the propargylic alcohol.⁷ Complex **5** slowly converts (*ca.* 10 days) into the phosphonio-



Scheme 1 Activation of 1,1-diphenyl-2-propyn-1-ol by complexes 1a-d.



Fig. 2 Molecular structure of **2d**. SbF_6^- anion, hydrogen atoms and phenyl groups of the P,N-ligand have been omitted. Selected bond distances (Å) and angles (°): Ru–Cl(1) 2.399(2); Ru–P(2) 2.302(3); Ru–C(23) 2.026(10); P(1)–N(1) 1.638(6); N(1)–C(23) 1.297(11); C(23)–C(14) 1.490(12); C(14)–C(7) 1.373(13); C(23)–Ru–P(2) 86.7(3); C(23)–Ru–Cl(1) 88.4(2); P(2)–Ru–Cl(1) 90.29(9); Ru–C(23)–N(1) 132.6(7); C(23)–N(1)–P(1) 130.3(7); Ru–C(23)–C(14) 114.1(7); C(23)–C(14)–C(7) 118.1(9).

azabutadienyl metallacycle **2a**, *via* allenylidene–iminophosphorane coupling and mesitylene–phenyl ring exchange (Scheme 2).

The allenylidene complex **5** could be isolated in 79% yield,‡ and its structure was confirmed unequivocally by X-ray crystallography (Fig. 3).¶

Although the overall mechanism for this coupling reaction is still unknown it seems that the first step, in which the -Ph2P=N-RF unit is added to the allenylidene chain, depends on the electrophilicity of the C_{α} atom of the allenylidene ligand. This could explain the observed slower reaction rate in the transformation of the more electron-rich mesitylene vs the p-cymene complex (3 vs 1a), allowing the isolation of allenylidene 5 in which the electrophilicity of the α -carbon is clearly reduced. In accord with this, the analogous hexamethylbenzene complex 6[±] (Scheme 2) remains unchanged under similar reaction conditions. It should be noted that, although the addition of X-H (X = O, N, S, P) bonds to the $C_{\alpha}=C_{\beta}$ of transition-metal allenylidenes is a well-established transformation which generally yields Fischer-type α,β -unsaturated carbenes² or in some cases azoniabutadienyl species (X = N),8 no X-C bond additions to allenylidene chains have been reported to date. This reaction pathway constitutes a novel example of the usefulness of transition-metal allenylidene complexes as building blocks for the preparation of unusual organometallic skeletons.

In summary, a readily accessible route to unprecedented $\eta^6:\eta^1:\eta^1$ tethered-arene–ruthenium(II) complexes, in which the pendant arms involve both P and C donor atoms, is described. The chemistry of transition-metal complexes containing tethered-type ligands is growing rapidly because of their potential contribution to the configurational stability around the metal centre, and for promoting selective stoichiometric and catalytic transformations.^{6,10} Further studies concerning the scope and mechanism of this coupling process, as well as reactivity studies on the new type of tethered-arene–ruthenium(II) complexes **2**, are now under active investigation.



Scheme 2 $R_F = p-C_5F_4N$. Reagents and conditions: i, HC=CC(OH)Ph₂ (10 equiv.), CH₂Cl₂, rt; ii, R = H, CH₂Cl₂, rt, 10 days.



Fig. 3 Molecular structure of 5. SbF_6^- anion, hydrogen atoms and phenyl groups of the P,N-ligand have been omitted. Selected bond distances (Å) and angles (°): Ru–Cl(1) 2.3887(17); Ru–P(1) 2.3237(18); Ru–C(1) 1.896(7); C(1)–C(2) 1.242(9); C(2)–C(3) 1.366(10); P(1)–C(37) 1.842(6); C(37)–P(2) 1.814(6); P(2)–N(1) 1.560(6); N(1)–C(50) 1.379(9); C(1)–Ru–P(1) 86.0(2); C(1)–Ru–Cl(1) 88.4(2); P(1)–Ru–Cl(1) 89.37(6); Ru–C(1)–C(2) 178.7(6); C(1)–C(2)–C(3) 176.6(8).

This work was supported by the Ministerio de Ciencia y Tecnología (MCyT) of Spain (Project BQU2003–00255). V.C. thanks the MCyT for the award of a Ramón y Cajal contract.

Notes and references

[‡] Compounds **1c-d**, **2a-d**, **5** and **6** have been characterized by NMR spectroscopy and elemental analyses. See ESI.

§ Crystal data for **2d**: RuC₄₈H₃₂F₉N₃P₂ClSb, M = 1141.98, orange prism (0.125 × 0.075 × 0.025 mm), monoclinic, C2/c, a = 39.587(7) Å, b = 12.430(2) Å, c = 20.522(4) Å, $\alpha = 90^{\circ}$, $\beta = 117.306(7)^{\circ}$, $\gamma = 90^{\circ}$, V = 8973(3) Å³, Z = 8, $D_{calc} = 1.691$ g cm⁻³, μ (Cu-K α) = 9.392 mm⁻¹, Nonius Kappa CCD diffractometer, Cu–K α radiation ($\lambda = 1.54184$ Å). 54554 reflections collected, 5843 unique ($R_{int} = 0.095$). $R_1 = 0.0603$; $wR_2 = 0.1392$ both for $I > 2\sigma(I)$. CCDC 236842. See http://www.rsc.org/suppdata/cc/b4/b404971c/ for crystallographic files in .cif format.

¶ Črystal data for **5**: RuC₅₄H₄₄ $\hat{\Gamma}_{10}N_2P_2ClSb$, M = 1231.12, violet prism (0.25 × 0.25 × 0.10 mm), monoclinic, $P_{2_1/a}$, a = 16.4727(16) Å, b = 17.1842(17) Å, c = 20.571(2) Å, $\alpha = 90^{\circ}$, $\beta = 112.879(2)^{\circ}$, $\gamma = 90^{\circ}$, V = 5364.9(3) Å³, Z = 4, $D_{calc} = 1.524$ g cm⁻³, μ (Mo-K α) = 0.966 mm⁻¹, Bruker Smart CCD diffractometer, Mo-K α radiation ($\lambda = 0.71073$ Å). 43447 reflections collected, 16052 unique ($R_{int} = 0.1035$). $R_1 = 0.0728$; $wR_2 = 0.1988$ both for $I > 2\sigma(I)$. CCDC 236843. See http://www.rsc.org/suppdata/cc/b4/b404971c/ for crystallographic files in .cif format.

1 J. P. Selegue, Organometallics, 1982, 1, 217.

- 2 M. I. Bruce, *Chem. Rev.*, 1998, **98**, 2797; V. Cadierno, M. P. Gamasa and J. Gimeno, *Eur. J. Inorg. Chem.*, 2001, 571.
- 3 Ruthenium(II) allenylidene complexes have shown to be active precatalysts in: (a) ROMP: R. Castarlenas and P. H. Dixneuf, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed., 2003, 42, 4524; (b) RCM: M. Bassetti, F. Centola, D. Sémeril, C. Bruneau and P. H. Dixneuf, Organometallics, 2003, 22, 4459; (c) Dimerization of tin hydrides: S. M. Maddock and M. G. Finn, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed., 2001, 40, 2138; (d) Propargylic substitutions: Y. Nishibayashi, H. Imajima, G. Onodera, M. Hidai and S. Uemura, Organometallics, 2004, 23, 26; (e) Allenylidene–ene reactions: Y. Nishibayashi, Y. Inada, M. Hidai and S. Uemura, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2003, 125, 6060; (f) Cycloaddition reactions: Y. Nishibayashi, Y. Inada, M. Hidai and S. Uemura, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2002, 124, 7900.
- 4 T. Naota, H. Takaya and S.-I. Murahashi, *Chem. Rev.*, 1998, 98, 2599;
 B. M. Trost, F. D. Toste and A. B. Pinkerton, *Chem. Rev.*, 2001, 101, 2067;
 V. Ritleng, C. Stirling and M. Pfeffer, *Chem. Rev.*, 2002, 102, 1731.
- 5 V. Cadierno, S. Conejero, M. P. Gamasa and J. Gimeno, *Dalton Trans.*, 2003, 3060 and references therein.
- 6 Tethered (η⁶-arene)–Ru(π) complexes containing pendant N,N- and N,O-donor arms have been recently reported: J. Hannedouche, G. J. Clarkson and M. Wills, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2004, **126**, 986.
- 7 We have described the synthesis and hemilabile behaviour of complexes 1a-b and 3-4: V. Cadierno, J. Díez, S. E. García-Garrido, S. García-Granda and J. Gimeno, J. Chem. Soc., Dalton Trans., 2002, 1465; V. Cadierno, P. Crochet, J. García-Álvarez, S. E. García-Garrido and J. Gimeno, J. Organomet. Chem., 2002, 663, 32.
- 8 Only the azabutadienyl-ruthenium(II) derivatives $[Ru{C(CH=CPh_2)=NR}(\eta^5-C_5H_5)(CO)(P^iPr_3)]$ (R Ph ⁿPr = CH2C=CH), obtained by deprotonation of the corresponding azoniabu- $[Ru{C(CH=CPh_2)=NHR}(\eta^5-C_5H_5)(CO$ tadienyl species)(PiPr₃)][BF₄], are known: (a) D. J. Bernad, M. A. Esteruelas, A. M. López, J. Modrego, M. C. Puerta and P. Valerga, Organometallics, 1999, 18, 4995; (b) M. L. Buil, M. A. Esteruelas, A. M. López and E. Oñate, Organometallics, 2003, 22, 162.
- 9 The P(1)–N(1) distance (1.638(6) Å) shows also the expected value for a P–N single bond. See for example: F. H. Allen, O. Kennard, D. G. Watson, A. G. Orpen, L. Brammer and R. Taylor, *J. Chem. Soc., Perkin Trans.* 2, 1987, S1. These structural parameters seem to rule out any important contribution from the carbenic resonance form:



10 See for example: H. Butenschon, *Chem. Rev.*, 2000, **100**, 1527; J. W. Faller and D. G. D'Alliessi, *Organometallics*, 2003, **22**, 2749; B. Çetinkaya, S. Demir, I. Özdemir, L. Toupet, D. Sémeril, C. Bruneau and P. H. Dixneuf, *Chem. Eur. J.*, 2003, **9**, 2323.