## Carbon Homologation of 1-Alkynes Using Alkoxymethyl Esters Mediated by Dichlorobis(trifluoromethanesulfonato)titanium(IV)

Yoo Tanabe

School of Science, Kwansei Gakuin University, 1-1-155 Uegahara, Nishinomiya 662 (Received May 30, 1994)

Various 1-alkynes were converted to 1-alkoxy-3-chloro-2-alkenes using alkoxymethyl esters and dichloro-bis(trifluoromethanesulfonato)titanium(IV)  $[TiCl_2(OTf)_2, titanium(IV) bis(triflate)]$ . This carbon homologation proceeded in the case of not only simple 1-alkynes but also for several 3- or 4-dimethylcarbamoyloxy-5-benzoyloxy-, 5-phenoxycarbonyloxy-, and 5-methoxycarbonyloxy-1-alkynes. Among them, 3- and 4-dimethylcarbamoyloxy-1-butynes underwent the reaction without loss of their functionalities compared with the other oxy substrates. The stereoselectivity was Z predominant, especially in the case of the above mentioned 3-, 4-, and 5-oxy substituted 1-alkynes. Addition of  $TiCl_4$  to this system was somewhat effective for enhancing the Z ratios. Titanium(IV) bis(triflate) was the only effective catalyst among several Lewis acids such as  $AlCl_3$ ,  $TiCl_4$ ,  $SnCl_4$ ,  $ZnCl_2$ , and  $Sn(OTf)_2$ . As a functionalization of the obtained vinyl chloride, 3-chloro-4-dimethylcarbamoyloxy-1-methoxy-2-pentene was converted into the corresponding ketone using  $Hg(OCOCF_3)_2$ .

Metal trifluoromethanesulfonates (triflates) systems have enjoyed various types of significant C–C bonds formation in organic synthesis, and are continuously being developed.<sup>1)</sup> The triflates of B, Si, Sn(II), Sn(IV), and Al are well recognized as effective aldol-type reaction mediators. Recently, lanthanide metals (for example, Yb) and Sc triflates have been introduced as water-tolerable catalysts for the aldol reaction.<sup>2)</sup>

During the course of synthetic studies on the dichlorobis(trifluoromethanesulfonato)titanium(IV) (OTf)<sub>2</sub>, titanium(IV) bis(triflate)] (1) mediated selective acylation reactions, we noted two important facts: (1) titanium(IV) bis(triflate) (1) would smoothly generate the vinyl cationic species from 1-alkynes 2 with carboxylic anhydride during the acylation of 2, although the use of acyl chlorides were almost ineffective for the acylation,<sup>3)</sup> and (2) the crossed type-Claisen condensation of alkoxymethyl esters 3 toward methyl esters was conducted using 1 and tertiary amines, wherein 1 interacted more preferentially with 3 than the methyl esters.4) Accordingly, both of these reactions proceed via the formation of strong six-membered chelate complexes between 1 and carboxylic anhydride or 3. In addition, it has been very recently reported, that titanium(IV) bis(triflate) (1) became an efficient catalyst for macrolide synthesis, wherein intramolecular esterification (a kind of acylation) of hydroxy carboxylic acid was performed.<sup>5)</sup>

In due consideration, the reaction between 1-alkynes 2 and alkoxymethyl esters 3 promoted by 1 were possibly promising, because the alkoxymethyl cations will be more easily generated than the acyl cations. We report here an effective method for the preparation of 1-alkoxy-3-chloro-2-alkenes 4 from 1-alkynes 2 and alkoxymethyl esters 3, i.e., a carbon homologation, promoted by titanium(IV) bis(triflate) (1) as shown in Scheme 1. Alkoxymethyl esters 3 were easily prepared from carboxylic acids and the corresponding alkyl chloromethyl ethers or vinyl ethers. 6) Although ZnCl<sub>2</sub> catalyzed

such a homologation reaction of simple 1-alkynes using the chloromethyl methyl ether (9),  $^{7)}$  1,3-dichloro-2-alkenes are frequently liable to be produced together with 1-alkoxy-3-chloro-2-alkenes  $4.^{8)}$  A similar example of dimethylaluminium halide-CH<sub>2</sub>O promoted homologation of simple 1-alkynes was also reported: This reaction gave mixtures of allenic alcohols and 3-chloroallylic alcohols which were prepared with high Z selectivities via a syn Friedel-Crafts type addition.  $^{8)}$ 

## Results and Discussion

First, the addition reaction of 1-heptyne (2a) with methoxymethyl benzoate (3a) in the presence of titanium(IV) bis(triflate) (1) at 0 °C for an hour gave 3-chloro-1-methoxy-2-octene (8) in 75% yield (E/Z=35:65) according to Markownikoff's rule. The configurations (E or Z) of 8 were determined by the comparison of olefinic proton using <sup>1</sup>H NMR: Chemical shift of the E isomer located downer field than that of the Z isomer.<sup>9)</sup>

A plausible reaction mechanism of the carbon homologation is illustrated in Scheme 2. The alkoxymethyl cation 6 produced from a six-membered chelate intermediate 5 would work as the reactive species. Alkyne 2 reacts with the reactive cation 6 to afford the vinyl chloride 4 via the vinyl cationic intermediate 7. It is notable that the vinyl cation 7 is trapped with a chloride ion to afford the vinyl chloride 4. This fact is in contrast to that of the related reaction of 1-alkynes 2 with acid anhydrides to give 1,3-diketones wherein the vinyl cation would be reacted with quenching water.<sup>3)</sup>

Next, the carbon homologation using other methoxymethylchlorinating reagents such as chloromethyl methyl ether  $(9)^{7)}$  and dimethoxymethane (10) were examined under the same conditions. The reaction of 1-heptyne (2a) with 10 gave the desired 3-chloro-1-methoxy-2-octene (8) in 53% (E/Z=43:57) yield. But the yield was only 15% when 9 was used. These results would indicate that the chelate-type coordination of 1 with reagents such as alkoxymethyl esters 3

$$R^{1} = \underbrace{\begin{array}{c} R^{3} = 0 \\ OR^{2} \end{array}}_{TICl_{2}(OTf)_{2}} \underbrace{\begin{array}{c} CI \\ R^{4} \neq E \end{array}}_{TICl_{2}(OTf)_{2}} + \underbrace{\begin{array}{c} CI \\ R^{3} = 0 \\ OTf \end{array}}_{Scheme 1.}$$

$$R^{3} = 0 \\ OR^{2} + TICl_{2}(OTf)_{2} + \underbrace{\begin{array}{c} R^{3} = 0 \\ OTf \\ OR^{2} \end{array}}_{TICl_{2}(OTf)} + \underbrace{\begin{array}{c} R^{3} = 0 \\ OTf \\ R^{2} = 1 \end{array}}_{Scheme 1.}$$

$$R^{1} = 2 + \underbrace{\begin{array}{c} R^{1} = 2 \\ R^{1} = 1 \end{array}}_{Scheme 1} + \underbrace{\begin{array}{c} R^{3} = 0 \\ OTf \\ R^{2} = 1 \end{array}}_{Scheme 1} + \underbrace{\begin{array}{c} R^{3} = 0 \\ OTf \\ R^{2} = 1 \end{array}}_{Scheme 1} + \underbrace{\begin{array}{c} R^{3} = 0 \\ OTf \\ R^{2} = 1 \end{array}}_{Scheme 1} + \underbrace{\begin{array}{c} R^{3} = 0 \\ OTf \\ R^{2} = 1 \end{array}}_{Scheme 1} + \underbrace{\begin{array}{c} R^{3} = 0 \\ OTf \\ R^{2} = 1 \end{array}}_{Scheme 1} + \underbrace{\begin{array}{c} R^{3} = 0 \\ OTf \\ R^{2} = 1 \end{array}}_{Scheme 1} + \underbrace{\begin{array}{c} R^{3} = 0 \\ OTf \\ R^{2} = 1 \end{array}}_{Scheme 1} + \underbrace{\begin{array}{c} R^{3} = 0 \\ OTf \\ R^{2} = 1 \end{array}}_{Scheme 1} + \underbrace{\begin{array}{c} R^{3} = 0 \\ OTf \\ R^{2} = 1 \end{array}}_{Scheme 1} + \underbrace{\begin{array}{c} R^{3} = 0 \\ OTf \\ R^{2} = 1 \end{array}}_{Scheme 1} + \underbrace{\begin{array}{c} R^{3} = 0 \\ OTf \\ R^{2} = 1 \end{array}}_{Scheme 1} + \underbrace{\begin{array}{c} R^{3} = 0 \\ OTf \\ R^{2} = 1 \end{array}}_{Scheme 1} + \underbrace{\begin{array}{c} R^{3} = 0 \\ OTf \\ R^{2} = 1 \end{array}}_{Scheme 1} + \underbrace{\begin{array}{c} R^{3} = 0 \\ OTf \\ R^{2} = 1 \end{array}}_{Scheme 1} + \underbrace{\begin{array}{c} R^{3} = 0 \\ OTf \\ R^{2} = 1 \end{array}}_{Scheme 1} + \underbrace{\begin{array}{c} R^{3} = 0 \\ OTf \\ R^{2} = 1 \end{array}}_{Scheme 1} + \underbrace{\begin{array}{c} R^{3} = 0 \\ OTf \\ R^{2} = 1 \end{array}}_{Scheme 1} + \underbrace{\begin{array}{c} R^{3} = 0 \\ OTf \\ R^{2} = 1 \end{array}}_{Scheme 1} + \underbrace{\begin{array}{c} R^{3} = 0 \\ OTf \\ R^{2} = 1 \end{array}}_{Scheme 1} + \underbrace{\begin{array}{c} R^{3} = 0 \\ OTf \\ R^{2} = 1 \end{array}}_{Scheme 1} + \underbrace{\begin{array}{c} R^{3} = 0 \\ OTf \\ R^{2} = 1 \end{array}}_{Scheme 1} + \underbrace{\begin{array}{c} R^{3} = 0 \\ OTf \\ R^{2} = 1 \end{array}}_{Scheme 1} + \underbrace{\begin{array}{c} R^{3} = 0 \\ OTf \\ R^{3} = 1 \end{array}}_{Scheme 1} + \underbrace{\begin{array}{c} R^{3} = 0 \\ OTf \\ R^{3} = 1 \end{array}}_{Scheme 1} + \underbrace{\begin{array}{c} R^{3} = 0 \\ OTf \\ R^{3} = 1 \end{array}}_{Scheme 1} + \underbrace{\begin{array}{c} R^{3} = 0 \\ OTf \\ R^{3} = 1 \end{array}}_{Scheme 1} + \underbrace{\begin{array}{c} R^{3} = 0 \\ OTf \\ R^{3} = 1 \end{array}}_{Scheme 1} + \underbrace{\begin{array}{c} R^{3} = 0 \\ OTf \\ R^{3} = 1 \end{array}}_{Scheme 1} + \underbrace{\begin{array}{c} R^{3} = 0 \\ OTf \\ R^{3} = 1 \end{array}}_{Scheme 1} + \underbrace{\begin{array}{c} R^{3} = 0 \\ OTf \\ R^{3} = 1 \end{array}}_{Scheme 1} + \underbrace{\begin{array}{c} R^{3} = 0 \\ OTf \\ R^{3} = 1 \end{array}}_{Scheme 1} + \underbrace{\begin{array}{c} R^{3} = 0 \\ OTf \\ R^{3} = 1 \end{array}}_{Scheme 1} + \underbrace{\begin{array}{c} R^{3} = 0 \\ OTf \\ R^{3} = 1 \end{array}}_{Scheme 1} + \underbrace{\begin{array}{c}$$

Scheme 2.

and dimethoxymethane (10) is essential to generate the methoxymethyl cation during the present reaction.

Various alkoxymethyl carboxylates **3** were screened as reagents using 1-heptyne (**2a**) as the substrate to examine their reactivity and the stereoselectivity (E/Z) as shown in Table 1. Although the steric bulkiness of substituent  $R^2$  or  $R^3$  in **3** caused a slight effect on enhancement of the Z ratios, their values were within the range of E/Z=40:60-31:69. Other simple terminal alkynes, i.e., 1-decyne (**2b**) and cyclohexylacetylene (**2c**) underwent the similar reactions using methoxymethyl chloroacetate (**3d**) to give the corresponding 3-chloro-1-methoxyl-2-alkenes **13** and **14**, respectively.

The reactions of several 5-benzoyloxy-, 5-phenoxycar-bonyloxy-, 3- or 5-methoxycarbonyloxy-, and 3- or 4-dimethylcarbamoyloxy-1-alkynes **2d—2i** also proceeded

Table 1. Addition Reactions of 1-Heptyne (2a) with Alkoxymethyl Esters 3a—3h Promoted by TiCl<sub>2</sub>(OTf)<sub>2</sub> (1)<sup>a)</sup>

Entry	Alkoxymethyl ester		Product	Yield/%	$\sqrt[6]{E/Z^{ m b)}}$
1	PhCO <sub>2</sub> -MOM <sup>c)</sup>	( <b>3a</b> )	8	75	40/60
2	$MeCO_2$ - $MOM$	(3b)	8	62	38/62
3	PhCH <sub>2</sub> CH <sub>2</sub> CO <sub>2</sub> -MOM	(3c)	8	55	38/62
4	ClCH <sub>2</sub> CO <sub>2</sub> -MOM	(3d)	8	73	35/65
5	$^{t}\mathrm{BuCO_{2}}\mathrm{-MOM}$	(3e)	8	64	37/63
6	Cl <sub>2</sub> CHCO <sub>2</sub> -MOM	(3f)	8	32	31/69
7	$ClCH_2CO_2CH_2OCH_2Ph$	(3g)	11	35	37/63
8	$\mathrm{ClCH_2CO_2CH_2O}^i\mathrm{Pr}$	(3h)	12	55	34/66

a) These reactions were carried out in  $CH_2Cl_2$  at 0 °C for 2 h. Molar ratios of 2a:3a-3h:1 were

as shown in Scheme 3, whose results are summarized in Table 2. The Z ratios of these products 15—20 somewhat increased compared with those in the case of simple 1-alkynes 2a, 2b, and 2c perhaps due to the formation of intermediate 23 by the anchimeric assistance of these carbonyloxy groups during the reactions. Although the acyl- and alkoxycarbonyl type protective groups of the hydroxy function in 2d-2f, 2h were apt to be cleaved to some extent during the reactions (Entries 3—6 and 8), the dimethylcarbamoyl group in 2g and 2i was found to be stable so as to affect the increase of the yields (Entries 7, 9, and 10). Addition of TiCl<sub>4</sub> to this system was also slightly effective for enhancing the Z ratios (Entries 4 and 10). The reaction of 4-octyne (2j), an internal alkyne proceeded to give 21 with a low yield (Entry 11).

The present reaction employing these oxy-substituted alkynes 2d—2i with 3d, and even with chloromethyl methyl ether (9) or dimethoxymethane (10) did not proceed by the reported methods catalyzed by AlCl<sub>3</sub><sup>7a)</sup> or ZnCl<sub>2</sub>. The When TiCl<sub>4</sub>, SnCl<sub>4</sub> or tin(II) triflate [Sn-(OTf)<sub>2</sub>] was used in the reaction of 2i, the desired product 20 was obtained in poor yield (10%) and 2i was almost totally recovered. These facts indicate the high Lewis acidity of titanium(IV) bis(triflate) (1).

Vinyl chlorides are well recognized as a class of important precursor of ketones by the hydrolysis<sup>10)</sup> and di- or tri-substituted olefins by regioselective displacement of the chlorine atom upon treatment with suitable nucleophiles<sup>11)</sup> such as Grignard reagents catalyzed by nickel complex.<sup>11d)</sup> As an application of the present reaction, conversion of 3-chloro-4-dimethylcarbamoyloxy-1-methoxy-2-pentene (**20**) to the corresponding

<sup>= 1.0: 1.6: 1.1.</sup> b) Determined by GLC analysis.

c)  $MOM-=MeOCH_2-$ .

Table 2. Addition Reactions of Several Alkynes with Methoxymetyl Chloroacetate  $(3d)^{a}$ 

		Sul	ostrate				
Entry	$\overline{n}$	$R^4$	$\mathrm{R}^5$		Product	Yield (%)	E/Z
1	1-Decyne (2)		( <b>2</b> b)	13	68	$40/60^{\rm b)}$	
2	Cyclohexylacetylene (2c)			14	39	$47/53^{ m b)}$	
3	2	H	COPh	(2d)	15	43	$25/75^{c)}$
$4^{d)}$	<b>2</b>	H	$\operatorname{COPh}$	(2d)	15	37	$15/85^{c)}$
5	2	H	$\mathrm{CO_2Ph}$	(2e)	16	55	$30/70^{c)}$
6	<b>2</b>	H	$\mathrm{CO_2Me}$	(2f)	17	56	$30/70^{c)}$
7	1	H	$\mathrm{CO_2NMe_2}$	(2g)	18	66	$30/70^{c)}$
8	0	H	$\mathrm{CO_2Me}$	(2h)	19	27	$35/65^{c)}$
9	0	Me	$\mathrm{CO_2NMe_2}$	(2i)	20	65	$30/70^{\rm b}$
$10^{d)}$	0	Me	$\mathrm{CO_2NMe_2}$	(2i)	20	57	$24/76^{\rm b}$
11	4-Octyne (2		(2j)	21	12		

a) These reactions were carried out in  $CH_2Cl_2$  at 0 °C for an hour and at room temprature for 5 h. Molar ratios of 2b-2j:3d:1 were =1.0:1.6:1.1. b) Determined by GLC analysis. c) Determined by  $^1H$  NMR measurement of the olefinic proton. d) 1.10 Molar amounts of TiCl<sub>4</sub> vs. the substrate were added to this system.

CI 
$$OCON(CH_3)_2$$
  $OCON(CH_3)_2$   $O$ 

ketone **22** by hydrolysis was examined. Hydrolyses of **22** using  $H_2SO_4^{10a)}$  and  $TiCl_4^{10b)}$  were first tried, but these reactions gave complex mixtures. When Hg- $(OCOCF_3)_2^{10c)}$  was used, the desired ketone **22** was successfully obtained in 62% yield, wherein the labile functional groups such as  $\alpha$ -dimethylcarbamoyloxy and  $\beta$ -methoxyl groups in the ketone **22** were retained during the reaction (Scheme 4).

This method will provide a carbon homologation of not only simple 1-alkynes but also for several 3- or 4-dimethylcarbamoyloxy-, 5-benzoyloxy-, 5-phenoxycarbonyloxy-, and 5-methoxycarbonyloxy-1-alkynes with alkoxymethyl esters  $\bf 3$  in moderate to good yields with Z

predominant stereoselectivities promoted by titanium-(IV) bis(triflate) (1).

## Experimental

Apparatus and Materials. Boiling points are uncorrected.  $^1\mathrm{H}\,\mathrm{NMR}$  spectra were recorded on a Hitachi R-24B (60 MHz) and a JEOL FX-90Q (90 MHz) spectrometers using TMS as an internal standard in CDCl<sub>3</sub>. IR spectra were recorded on a Hitachi 270-30 spectrophotometer. MS spectra were obtained with a Hitachi GC/MS M-80 instrument. Analytical GLC was performed on a Shimadzu GC9A with a 5% SE-30 (1.5 m×3 mm) or a 5% XE-60 (1.5 m×3 mm) packed column. Dichlorobis(trifluoromethanesulfonato)titanium(IV) [TiCl<sub>2</sub>(OTf)<sub>2</sub>=titanium(IV) bis-

(triflate) (1)] was prepared by the previously reported procedure. (4c) Alkoxymethyl esters 3a, (12) 3b, (13) 3d, (4c) 3e, (6c) and  $3f^{14}$ ) were prepared according to the reported procedure. Other reagents and the solvents were of commercial grade and were used without further purification. Silicagel column chromatography was performed on a Merck Art.

Methoxymethyl 3-Phenylpropionate (3c). Reaction of 3-phenylpropionic acid and chloromethyl methyl ether gave 3c in 82% yield by the known method: Bp 180 °C (oven temp)/1.0 mmHg (1 mmHg=133.3 Pa) by bulb to bulb distillation; IR (film) 1730 cm<sup>-1</sup>; <sup>1</sup>H NMR  $\delta$ =2.30—2.90 (4H, m), 3.30 (3H, s), 5.10 (2H, s), 7.00—7.40 (5H, m); MS (70 eV) m/z 194 (M<sup>+</sup>); Anal. (C<sub>11</sub>H<sub>14</sub>O<sub>3</sub>) C. H.

Benzyloxymethyl Chloroacetate (3g). Reaction of chloroacetic acid and benzyl chloromethyl ether gave 3g in 62% yield by the known method: <sup>6a)</sup> Bp 200 °C (oven temp)/1.0 mmHg by bulb to bulb distillation; IR (film) 1730 cm<sup>-1</sup>; <sup>1</sup>H NMR  $\delta$ =4.00 (2H, s), 4.50 (2H, s), 5.15 (2H, s), 7.00—7.20 (5H, m); MS(70 eV) m/z 214 (M<sup>+</sup>); Anal. (C<sub>10</sub>H<sub>11</sub>ClO<sub>3</sub>) C, H.

Isopropoxymethyl Chloroacetate (3h). Reaction of chloroacetic acid and chloromethyl isopropyl ether gave 3h in 75% yield by the known method: <sup>6a)</sup> Bp 150 °C (oven temp)/20 mmHg by bulb to bulb distillation; IR (film) 1730 cm<sup>-1</sup>; <sup>1</sup>H NMR  $\delta$ =1.05 (6H, d, J=7 Hz), 3.50—4.00 (1H, m), 3.95 (2H, s), 5.25 (2H, s); MS (70 eV) m/z 166 (M<sup>+</sup>); Anal. (C<sub>6</sub>H<sub>11</sub>ClO<sub>3</sub>) C, H.

3-Chloro-1-methoxy-2-octene (8). A typical procedure (Table 1, Entry 1): To a stirred suspension of titanium-(IV) bis(triflate) (1, 191 mg, 0.46 mmol) in dichloromethane (1.0 ml) was added methoxymethyl benzoate (3a, 114 mg, 0.69 mmol) in dichloromethane (0.5 ml) at 0 °C under an argon atmosphere. To the resultant mixture, 1-heptyne (2a, 40 mg, 0.42 mmol) in dichloromethane (0.5 ml) was added at 0 °C followed by stirring at this temperature for 2 h. Then, phosphate buffer solution (pH 7.0; 2.0 ml) was added to the mixture followed by filtration with Celite. The mixture was extracted with dichloromethane (20 ml×2) and washed with water, brine, and dried (Na<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub>). Evaporation of the solvent and purification with silica-gel chromatography (hexane/ether=10:1) gave 8 (56 mg; 75%). Colorless oil: E/Z=40:60 by GLC analysis (SE-30; column temp 150 °C); IR (film)  $1120 \text{ cm}^{-1}$ ; <sup>1</sup>H NMR  $\delta = 0.75 - 1.05$  (3H, m), 1.05—1.70 (6H, m), 2.15—2.55 (2H, m), 3.30 (3H, s), 3.85— 4.15 (2H, m), 5.25—5.60 (1H, m; Z-form), 5.60—5.85 (1H, m; E-form); MS (70 eV) m/z 176 (M<sup>+</sup>); Anal. (C<sub>9</sub>H<sub>17</sub>ClO) C. H.

**1-Benzyloxy-3-chloro-2-octene (11).** Colorless oil: E/Z=37:63 by GLC analysis (SE-30; column temp 180 °C); IR (film) 1130 cm<sup>-1</sup>; <sup>1</sup>H NMR  $\delta=0.70$ —1.00 (3H, m), 1.00—1.50 (6H, m), 2.00—2.40 (2H, m), 3.85—4.20 (2H, m), 4.40 (2H, s), 5.20—5.50 (1H, m; *Z*-form), 5.50—5.80 (1H, m; *E*-form), 7.15—7.30 (5H, m); MS (70 eV) m/z 252 (M<sup>+</sup>); Anal. (C<sub>15</sub>H<sub>21</sub>ClO) C, H.

**3-Chloro-1-isopropoxy-2-octene (12).** Colorless oil: E/Z=34:66 GLC analysis (SE-30; column temp 150 °C); IR (film) 1120 cm<sup>-1</sup>; <sup>1</sup>H NMR  $\delta$ =0.60—1.00 (3H, m), 0.90—1.70 (6H, m), 1.05 (6H, d, J=7 Hz), 2.00—2.50 (2H, m), 3.50 (1H, q, J=7 Hz), 3.80—4.10 (2H, m), 5.15—5.45 (1H, m; Z-form), 5.45—5.70 (1H, m; E-form); MS (70 eV)

m/z 204 (M<sup>+</sup>); Anal. (C<sub>11</sub>H<sub>21</sub>ClO) C, H.

**3-Chloro-1-methoxy-2-undecene (13).** Colorless oil: E/Z=40:60 by GLC analysis (SE-30; column temp  $180^{\circ}$ C); IR (film) 1120 cm<sup>-1</sup>;  $^{1}$ H NMR  $\delta=0.75$ —1.05 (3H, m), 1.05—1.75 (12H, m), 2.15—2.55 (2H, m), 3.30 (3H, s), 3.85—4.15 (2H, m), 5.25—5.60 (1H, m; Z-form), 5.60—5.85 (1H, m; E-form); Anal. ( $C_{12}$ H $_{23}$ ClO) C, H.

1-Chloro-1-cyclohexyl-3-methoxy-1-propene (14). Colorless oil: E/Z=47:53 by GLC analysis (SE-30; column temp 150 °C); IR (film) 1120 cm<sup>-1</sup>; <sup>1</sup>H NMR  $\delta$ =1.50—2.65 (11H, m), 3.30 (3H, s), 3.85—4.15 (2H, m), 5.30—5.65 (1H, m; Z-form), 5.65—5.90 (1H, m; E-form); Anal. (C<sub>10</sub>H<sub>17</sub>ClO) C, H.

**4-Pentynyl benzoate (2d).** Reaction of 4-pentyn-1-ol and benzoyl chloride in the presence of Et<sub>3</sub>N (1.10 equiv) in CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub> at 0 °C for 5 h gave 2d. Colorless oil: Bp 89—90 °C/0.08 mmHg; IR (film) 2120, 1715 cm<sup>-1</sup>; <sup>1</sup>H NMR  $\delta$ =1.50—2.40 (5H, m), 4.25 (2H, t, J=7 Hz), 7.00—8.10 (5H, m).

5-Phenoxycarbonyloxy-1-pentyne (2e). Reaction of 4-pentyn-1-ol and phenoxycarbonyl chloride in a similar procedure of preparation of 2d gave 2e. Colorless oil: Bp 82—84 °C/0.08 mm Hg; IR (film) 2120, 1715 cm<sup>-1</sup>; <sup>1</sup>H NMR  $\delta$ =1.70—2.40 (5H, m), 4.25 (2H, t, J=7 H), 7.00—7.50 (5H, m).

5-Methoxycarbonyloxy-1-pentyne (2f). Reaction of 4-pentyn-1-ol and methoxycarbonyl chloride in a similar procedure of preparation of 2d gave 2f. Colorless oil: Bp 150 °C (oven temp)/20 mmHg by bulb to bulb distillation; IR (film) 2110, 1720 cm<sup>-1</sup>;  $^{1}$ H NMR  $\delta$ =1.50—2.40 (5H, m), 3.70 (3H, s), 4.10 (2H, t, J=7 Hz).

**4-Dimethylcarbamoyloxy-1-butyne (2g).** Reaction of 3-butyn-1-ol and dimetylcarbamoyl chloride in a similar procedure of preparation of **2d** gave **2g**. Pale yellow oil: Bp 80 °C (oven temp)/1.5 mmHg by bulb to bulb distillation; IR (film) 2110, 1650 cm<sup>-1</sup>; <sup>1</sup>H NMR  $\delta$ =2.30 (1H, t, J=2 Hz), 2.35—2.70 (2H, m), 2.95 (6H, s), 4.15 (2H, t, J=7 Hz).

3-Dimethylcarbamoyloxy-2-butyne (2i). Reaction of 3-butyn-2-ol and dimethylcarbamoyl chloride in a similar procedure of preparation of 2g gave 2i. Yellow oil: Bp 80 °C (oven temp)/1.5 mmHg by bulb to bulb distillation; IR (film) 2110, 1650 cm<sup>-1</sup>; <sup>1</sup>H NMR  $\delta$ =1.50 (3H, d, J=7 Hz), 2.45 (1H, d, J=2 Hz), 2.95 (6H, s), 5.40 (1H, dd, J=7 Hz, J=2 Hz).

For the syntheses of 15—21, the reactions were carried out by almost the same procedure of 8 except the conditions of footnote a) in Table 2.

**3-Chloro-1-methoxy-6-benzoyloxy-2-hexene** (15). Colorless oil: Yield 43% (37% when TiCl<sub>4</sub> was added); E/Z=25:75 (15:85) by <sup>1</sup>H NMR measurement of the olefinic proton; <sup>1</sup>H NMR  $\delta=1.65-2.10$  (2H, m), 2.20—2.60 (2H, m), 3.25 (3H, s), 3.85—4.40 (4H, m), 5.30—5.55 (1H, m; *Z*-form), 5.60—5.90 (1H, m; *E*-form), 7.00—7.45 (5H, m); MS (70 eV) m/z 268 (M<sup>+</sup>); Anal. (C<sub>14</sub>H<sub>17</sub>ClO<sub>3</sub>) C, H. Methyl benzoate was obtained as a by-product in 25% yield, and in 33% yield when TiCl<sub>4</sub> was added.

**3-Chloro-1-methoxy-6-phenoxycarbonyloxy-2-hexene (16).** Colorless oil: E/Z=30:70 by the same procedure of **15**; IR (film) 1715, 1120 cm<sup>-1</sup>;  $^{1}$ H NMR  $\delta=1.65-2.10$  (2H, m), 2.20—2.60 (2H, m), 3.25 (3H, s), 3.80—4.30 (4H, m), 5.30—5.60 (1H, m; *Z*-form), 5.60—5.90 (1H, m; *E*-form), 7.00—7.45 (5H, m); MS (70 eV) m/z 284 (M<sup>+</sup>);

Anal. (C<sub>14</sub>H<sub>17</sub>ClO<sub>4</sub>) C, H. Methyl phenyl carbonate was obtained as a by-product in 13% yield.

- 3- Chloro- 1- methoxy- 6- methoxycarbonyloxy- 2-hexene (17). Colorless oil: E/Z=30:70 determined by the same procedure of 15; IR (film) 1720, 1120 cm<sup>-1</sup>; <sup>1</sup>H NMR  $\delta=1.65-2.60$  (4H, m), 3.25 (3H, s), 3.70 (3H, s), 3.70—4.25 (4H, m), 5.30—5.60 (1H, m; Z-form), 5.60—5.90 (1H, m; E-form); MS (70 eV) m/z 222 (M<sup>+</sup>); Anal. (C<sub>9</sub>H<sub>15</sub>ClO<sub>4</sub>) C, H.
- 3-Chloro-1-methoxy-5-dimethylcarbamoyloxy-2-pentene (18). Colorless oil: E/Z=30:70 determined by the same procedure of 15; IR (film) 1720, 1650 cm<sup>-1</sup>; <sup>1</sup>H NMR  $\delta$ =2.20—2.55 (2H, m), 3.35 (3H, s), 3.70 (3H, s), 4.00—4.40 (4H, m), 5.35—5.65 (1H, m; Z-form), 5.60—5.90 (1H, m; E-form); Anal. (C<sub>9</sub>H<sub>16</sub>ClNO<sub>3</sub>) C, H, N.
- 3- Chloro- 1- methoxy- 4- methoxycarbonyloxy- 2-pentene (19). Colorless oil: E/Z=35:65 determined by the same procedure of 15; IR (film) 1720, 1120 cm<sup>-1</sup>; <sup>1</sup>H NMR  $\delta$ =1.60 (3H, d, J=7 Hz), 3.20 (3H, s), 3.70 (3H, s), 3.90—4.30 (2H, m), 4.60 (1H, d, J=7 Hz), 5.70—6.00 (1H, m; Z-form), 6.00—6.25 (1H, m; E-form); Anal. (C<sub>8</sub>H<sub>13</sub>ClO<sub>4</sub>) C, H.
- 3-Chloro-1-methoxy-4-dimethylcarbamoyloxy-2-pentene (20). Colorless oil: Yield 65% (57% when TiCl<sub>4</sub> was added); E/Z=30:70 (24:76) by GLC analysis (XE-60; column temp 180 °C); IR (film) 1650, 1120 cm<sup>-1</sup>; <sup>1</sup>H NMR  $\delta=1.60$  (3H, d, J=7 Hz), 2.95 (6H, s), 3.35 (3H, s), 4.00—4.30 (2H, m), 4.60—4.75 (1H, m), 5.80—6.15 (1H, m); MS (70 eV) m/z 221 (M<sup>+</sup>); Anal. (C<sub>9</sub>H<sub>16</sub>ClNO<sub>3</sub>) C, H, N.
- **4-Chloro-5-methoxymethyl-4-octene (21).** Colorless oil:  $^{1}$ H NMR  $\delta$ =0.60—1.00 (6H, m), 1.00—1.70 (4H, m), 1.70—2.50 (4H, m), 3.10 (3H, s), 3.85 (2H, s); MS (70 eV) m/z 190 (M<sup>+</sup>).
- 4-Dimethylcarbamoyloxy-1-methoxy-3-pentanone (22). To a stirred solution of mercury(II) trifluoroacetate (447 mg, 1.5 mmol) in trifluoroacetic acid (5 ml), 20 (221 mg, 1.0 mmol) was added at room temperature followed by stirring for 2 h. After removal of trifluoroacetic acid under reduced pressure, the residue was filtered with Celite and extracted with dichloromethane (20 ml×2). The organic phase was washed with water, brine, and dried (Na<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub>). Evaporation of dichloromethane followed by purification with silica-gel chromatography (hexane/ether=3:1) gave 22 (125 mg, 62%). Colorless oil; IR (film) 1720, 1650 cm<sup>-1</sup>; <sup>1</sup>H NMR  $\delta$ =1.60 (3H, d, J=7 Hz), 2.95 (6H, s), 3.20—3.80 (4H, m), 3.35 (3H, s), 5.20 (1H, q, J=7 Hz); MS (70 eV) m/z 203 (M<sup>+</sup>).

This work was partially supported by a Grant-in-Aid for Scientific Research from the Ministry of Education, Science and Culture.

## References

- 1) S. Kobayashi, *Chem. Lett.*, **1991**, 2187. References cited therein.
- 2) a) S. Kobayashi and I. Hachiya, *Tetrahedron Lett.*, **33**, 1625 (1992); b) S. Kobayashi, I. Hachiya, T. Takahori, M. Araki, and H. Ishitani, *Tetrahedron Lett.*, **33**, 6815 (1992).
- 3) Y. Tanabe and T. Mukaiyama, Chem. Lett., 1985, 673. In this reaction, we had attempted to isolate possible intermediates such as  $\beta$ -chloro-,  $\beta$ -acyloxy-, and  $\beta$ -(trifluoromethanesulfonato)- $\alpha$ , $\beta$ -unsaturated ketones before the prodction of 1,3-diketones, however several trials were unsuccessful. Another attempt to trap a plausible vinyl cation intermediate by thiophenol also resulted in the formation of the 1,3-diketone after work up. Judging from the facts, we postulate the generation of the intermediary acyl substituted vinyl cations.
- 4) a) Y. Tanabe and T. Mukaiyama, Chem. Lett., **1984**, 1867; b) Y. Tanabe and T. Mukaiyama, Chem. Lett., **1986**, 1813; c) Y. Tanabe, Bull. Chem. Soc. Jpn., **62**, 1917 (1989).
  - 5) I. Shiina and T. Mukaiyama, Chem. Lett., 1994, 667.
- 6) a) T. W. Green and P. G. M. Wuts, "Protective Groups in Organic Synthesis," 2nd ed, John Wiley and Sons, New York (1991), p. 235; b) Ref. 3; c) F. Dardoize, M. Gaudemar, and N. Goasdoue, Synthesis, 1977, 567.
- 7) a) L. Bindácz and A. Balog, *Chem. Ber.*, **93**, 1716 (1960); b) A. Z. Shikhmamedbekova, L. N. Vorob'ev, and F. B. Askerov, *Azerb. Khim. Zh.*, **1974**(4), 44; *Chem. Abstr.*, **82**, 124946a (1975).
- 8) D. J. Rodini and B. B. Snider, *Tetrahedron Lett.*, **21**, 3857 (1980).
- 9) C. Pasucal, J. Meiser, and W. Simon, *Helv. Chem. Acta*, **49**, 164 (1966); R. M. Silverstein, G. C. Bassler, and T. C. Morril, "Spectrometric Identification of Organic Compounds," 5th ed, John Wiley and Sons, New York (1991), Chap. **4**, Appendix D.
- 10) a) L. E. Fieser and M. Fieser, "Reagent for Organic Synthesis," John Wiley and Sons, New York (1967), Vol. I, p. 214; b) T. Mukaiyama, T. Imamoto, and S. Kobayashi, Chem. Lett., 1973, 261; c) F. S. Martin and T. Chou, Tetrahedron Lett., 1978, 1943.
- 11) a) M. Kumada, "Organotransition-Metal Chemistry," Plenum, New York (1975), p. 221; b) R. J. P. Corriu and J. P. Masse, J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun., 1972, 144; c) K. Tamao, S. Kodama, T. Nakatsuka, Y. Kiso, and M. Kumada, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 97, 4405 (1975); d) A. Minato, K. Suzuki, and K. Tamao, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 109, 1257 (1987).
- 12) C. J. Upton and P. Beak, J. Org. Chem., **40**, 1094 (1975).
- 13) F. E. Clark, S. F. Cox, and E. Mack, J. Am. Chem. Soc., **39**, 712 (1917).
- 14) P. Salomaa, Acta Chem. Scand., 19, 1263 (1965).