for 1,3-dinitronaphthalene, possibly due to its very low concentration or its fast conversion to the long-lived 4MC⁻. There are kinetic and spectroscopic evidence for the existence of short-lived 3MC⁻ in reactions of 1-chloro(bromo)-2,4-dinitronaphthalene with OH⁻,^{2e} 1-methoxy-2,4-dinitronaphthalene with MeO^{-,41a} or 1-(dialkylamino)-2,4-dinitronaphthalene with MeO^{-,41b} or NR₂H.^{41c}

The relative stabilities of the Meisenheimer complexes are shown in Table II, and comparison with data in Figure 6 shows that the most stable MC⁻ forms at the carbon atom that has the highest spin-orbital density opposite to the oxygen of OH[•]. If this carbon atom is bonded to a H, a long-lived MC⁻ is formed (compounds **3**, **6**-**8**, Figure 6), but if there is a nitro or cyano group at this position, there is overall nucleophilic substitution with a short-lived MC⁻ as intermediate (compounds **4**, **5** Figure 6), and no long-lived MC⁻ is observed. In 2,4-dinitrobenzonitrile, positions 1 and 5 have almost the same spin-orbital densities, and the energies of the corresponding MC⁻ are very similar. The CTC⁻ collapses to the two MC⁻, and 1MC⁻ loses the cyano group to form the corresponding phenol.³⁷

Conclusions

Molecular-orbital calculations on nitroarenes support the hypothesis that compounds with more than one nitro group react with OH^- to form charge-transfer complexes of finite life and that these complexes have considerable radical character. They collapse initially to Meisenheimer complexes at the carbon atom with the lowest charge densities, but these complexes may isomerize via the charge-transfer complexes to more stable Meisenheimer complexes. The situation is different if addition is at a carbon that carries a good leaving group which can be eliminated, to give overall substitution.²

The AM1 method predicts geometries of nitroarenes^{2f} and their Meisenheimer complexes in good agreement with experiment. There are large systematic errors in predicted reaction enthalpies, but relative values for related compounds are in reasonable agreement with experiment. The key point is that the AM1 method predicts the position of overall nucleophilic addition and substitution to a variety of nitroarenes. Qualitative theories of organic reactivity, based on inductive, mesomeric, and steric effects⁴, are only partially successful in these predictions and fail to account for the observed single-electron transfer from basic or nucleophilic anions to a variety of electrophiles, including polynitroarenes and nitrogen heterocycles. There is now considerable experimental evidence that many reactions regarded as two-electron transfers actually occur by single-electron transfer via short-lived charge-transfer complexes.⁴²

Acknowledgment. Support by the National Science Foundation (Organic Chemical Dynamics Program) and the donors of the Petroleum Research Found, administered by American Chemical Society, is gratefully acknowledged. A National Science Foundation Grant for the use of the Cray X-MP/48 at the University of Illinois Urbana–Champaign is gratefully acknowledged. We are especially grateful to Professor M. J. S. Dewar for unpublished results and his interest in the research.

Supplementary Material Available: Tables giving geometries, net atomic charges, dipole moments, spin-orbital densities, and orbital energies for 30 compounds discussed in the text (32 pages). Ordering information is on any current masthead page.

Selective Reaction of Glycine Residues in Hydrogen Atom Transfer from Amino Acid Derivatives

Vicky A. Burgess,^{1a} Christopher J. Easton,^{*,1a} and Michael P. Hay^{1b}

Contribution from the Organic Chemistry Department, University of Adelaide, Adelaide, South Australia 5001, and the Department of Chemistry, University of Canterbury, Christchurch 1, New Zealand. Received July 11, 1988

Abstract: Relative rates of reaction of the N-benzoylamino acid methyl esters 1a-4a with N-bromosuccinimide and of 1a-4a with di-*tert*-butyl peroxide are reported. The selective reaction of glycine derivatives in these and other reactions of N-acylamino acid derivatives is attributed to the relative stability of intermediate radicals produced by hydrogen atom transfer. Radicals formed by hydrogen abstraction from N-acylglycine derivatives may adopt planar conformations which are relatively free of nonbonding interactions and in which there is maximum delocalization of the unpaired electron, whereas radicals produced by similar reactions of derivatives of other amino acids are relatively unstable because of nonbonding interactions. In accord with this hypothesis, methyl pyroglutamate (5a) reacts at a faster rate than N-benzoylglycine methyl ester (1a) in reactions with either N-bromosuccinimide or di-*tert*-butyl peroxide. Anomalous rates of reaction of N-benzoylproline methyl ester (6a) are rationalized in terms of the regioselectivity of hydrogen atom transfer. Evidence for the mechanisms of reactions of 1a-6a is derived from product studies and by comparison of the relative rates of reactions of 1a-6a with those of the deuteriated amino acid derivatives 1b, 2b, 3b, c, 5b, and 6b, c.

The preferential reactivity of glycine residues observed in the photoalkylation of peptides and proteins has been attributed to the formation of α -centered radicals by selective hydrogen atom transfer from glycine derivatives.² Irradiation experiments with

polycrystalline and single crystal samples of amino acid derivatives have also displayed selective reaction of glycine residues.³ Two main types of radicals are produced by irradiation, as shown by EPR spectroscopy. One of these gives EPR spectra that are broad and anisotropic. These radicals are thought to be sulfur-centered, mainly because similar spectra have been observed for a number of thiols and disulfides. The other type of radical, which displays

^{(41) (}a) Millot, F.; Terrier, F. Bull. Soc. Chim. Fr. 1971, 3897. (b) Sekiguchi, S.; Takei, T.; Matsui, K.; Tone, N.; Tomoto, N. Bull. Chem. Soc. Jpn. 1981, 54, 3009. (c) Sekiguchi, S.; Hikage, R.; Obana, K.; Matsui, K.; Ando, Y.; Tomoto, N. Ibid. 1980, 53, 2921.

^{(42) (}a) Perrin, C. L. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1977, 99, 5516. (b) Eberson,
L.; Radner, F. Acc. Chem. Res. 1987, 20, 53. (c) Sankararaman, S.; Haney,
A. W.; Kochi, J. K. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1987, 109, 5235. (d) Pross, A. Acc.
Chem. Res. 1985, 18, 212. (e) Russell, G. A. Pure Appl. Chem. 1971, 4, 67.
(f) Kornblum, N. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. Engl. 1975, 14, 734. (g) Bordwell,
F. G.; Wilson, C. A. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1987, 109, 5470. (h) Kochi, J. K.
Organometallic Mechanism and Catalysis; Academic Press: New York, 1978.

 ^{(1) (}a) University of Adelaide. (b) University of Canterbury.
 (2) Elad, D.; Sperling, J. J. Chem. Soc. C 1969, 1579. Elad, D.;
 Schwarzberg, M.; Sperling, J. J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun. 1970, 617.
 Sperling, J.; Elad, D. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1971, 93, 967. Sperling, J.; Elad,
 D. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1971, 93, 3839. Schwarzberg, M.; Sperling, J.; Elad,
 D. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1973, 95, 6418.

⁽³⁾ Henrikson, T.; Melo, T. B.; Saxebol, G. Free Radicals in Biology; Pryor, W. A., Ed.; Academic Press: New York, 1976; Vol. 2, p 213.



Figure 1. Distribution of the unpaired spin density in the radical formed by hydrogen atom abstraction from the α -position of N-acetylglycine.

a doublet resonance in EPR spectra, is derived by hydrogen atom abstraction from the α -position of glycine derivatives. While the reactivity of amino acid residues is affected by the tertiary structure and the location of the amino acid in peptides and proteins, glycine residues are intrinsically more reactive than other amino acid derivatives.2,3

Radicals formed by hydrogen transfer from the α -position of N-acylglycine derivatives are stabilized by extensive delocalization of the unpaired electron. Molecular orbital calculations of the distribution of unpaired spin density in the radical formed by abstraction of an α -hydrogen from N-acetylglycine have shown it to be distributed in p orbitals perpendicular to the plane of the molecule (Figure 1).⁴ While the regioselective formation of α -centered radicals by hydrogen atom transfer from N-acylamino acid derivatives is consistent with the degree of delocalization of the unpaired electron in the product radicals, the selective hydrogen atom abstraction from N-acylglycine derivatives is contrary to the expectation that tertiary radicals should form in preference to secondary ones.⁵ Glycine residues afford secondary radicals by α -C-H bond homolysis, whereas analogous reactions of derivatives of other amino acids such as alanine and valine produce tertiary radicals.

In our preliminary investigation of this anomaly⁶ we studied reactions of the amino acid derivatives 1a-3a and the deuteriated analogues 1b-3b with N-bromosuccinimide (NBS). NBS was chosen as the reagent because the initial reaction of reactive substrates in brominations with NBS involves hydrogen atom abstraction by bromine atom, a reaction in which there is relatively extensive C-H bond homolysis in the transition state and which is, therefore, relatively sensitive to the stability of the product radical.^{5,7} We proposed that the particular reactivity of glycine residues in free-radical reactions could be attributed to the stability of the radicals produced by atom-transfer reactions. Thus, radicals formed by hydrogen abstraction from glycine derivatives may adopt conformations which are relatively free of nonbonding interactions and in which there is maximum delocalization of the unpaired electron, whereas radicals derived by analogous reactions of derivatives of other amino acids such as alanine and valine are destabilized by nonbonding interactions. To examine this hypothesis, we have now studied reactions of N-benzoylsarcosine methyl ester (4a), methyl pyroglutamate (5a), N-benzoylproline methyl ester (6a), and the deuteriated analogues 5b, 6b, and 6c with NBS. For comparative purposes and in order to check for major deviations from proposed mechanistic schemes, reactions of 1a-6a and 6b,c with di-tert-butyl peroxide (DTBP) have also been studied. Reactions with DTBP involve hydrogen atom transfer from substrate to tert-butoxy radical. There is comparatively less C-H bond homolysis in the transition state of reactions involving hydrogen atom abstraction by tert-butoxy radical than in those involving hydrogen transfer to bromine atom. Reactions with DTBP are, therefore, less susceptible to radical-stability effects and more susceptible to polar and steric effects.5



Methods

Relative rates of reaction of the amino acid derivatives 1a,b, 2a.b. 3a.b. 4a. 5a.b. and 6a-c with NBS and of 1a-6a and 6b.c. with DTBP were determined by measuring the relative rates of their consumption from mixtures. For any two substrates X and Y, the ratio of their rates of reaction can be measured by comparing the concentration of each substrate after reaction (t = 1)relative to the original (t = 0) concentration of that substrate.⁸⁻¹⁰

$$k_{\rm X}/k_{\rm Y} = \ln \left([{\rm X}]_{t=1}/[{\rm X}]_{t=0} \right) / \ln \left([{\rm Y}]_{t=1}/[{\rm Y}]_{t=0} \right)$$

This method applies only when the reactions of X and Y are irreversible and provided neither X nor Y is produced during the reaction. In the present work, single enantiomers of the amino acid derivatives 2a,b and 3a,b were used, and reaction mixtures were analyzed on a Chrompack XE-60-S-VAL-S-A-PEA GLC column or a Regis Pirkle Covalent L-Phenylglycine HPLC column, each of which resolved the enantiomers of 2a,b and 3a,b. The fact that no epimerization of 2a,b or 3a,b was observed in these reactions indicates that the reactions are irreversible. Although the glycine derivative 1a reacted with DTBP to produce the racemic alanine derivative 2a,¹¹ the relative rates of consumption of the glycine derivative **1a** and the alanine derivative **2a** could be determined by using the (2S)-alanine derivative 2a and measuring the enantiomeric excess of 2a after reaction to determine the quantity of unreacted substrate. In all of the systems studied, no reaction occurred in the absence of ultraviolet irradiation. This observation is consistent with the expectation that the reactions are free-radical processes.

Where it was feasible, products of reactions were examined to gain insight into the reaction mechanisms. Reactions of 1a, 3a, and 4a with NBS to give the α -bromoglycine derivative 1c,¹² the dibromovaline derivative 3d,¹³ and the α -bromosarcosine derivative

⁽⁴⁾ Augenstein, J. A.; Ray, B. R. J. Phys. Chem. 1957, 61, 1385.

⁽⁵⁾ Russell, G. A. Free Radicals; Kochi, J. K., Ed.; Wiley: New York, 1973; Vol. 1, p 275. (6) Easton, C. J.; Hay, M. P. J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun. 1986, 55.

⁽⁷⁾ Poutsma, M. L. Free Radicals; Kochi, J. K., Ed.; Wiley: New York, 1973; Vol. 2, p 211.

⁽⁸⁾ Hayday, K.; McKelvey, R. D. J. Org. Chem. 1976, 41, 2222.

⁽⁹⁾ Walling, C.; Gibion, M. J. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1965, 87, 3361.
(10) Beckwith, A. L. J.; Easton, C. J. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1981, 103, 615.
(11) Burgess, V. A.; Easton, C. J.; Hay, M. P.; Steel, P. J. Aust. J. Chem. 1988, 41, 701.

⁽¹²⁾ Kober, R.; Papadopoulos, K.; Miltz, W.; Enders, D.; Steglich, W.; Reuter, H.; Puff, H. Tetrahedron 1985, 41, 1693.

Selective Reaction of Glycine Residues

4b, ¹³ respectively, have been reported. Treatment of the glycine derivative **1a** with DTBP is known to produce diastereoisomers of the dimer **7** in addition to the alanine derivative **2a**.¹¹ Reaction of methyl pyroglutamate (**5a**) with DTBP has been reported to produce diastereoisomers of the dimer **8**.¹⁴

Reaction of the sarcosine derivative 4a with *tert*-butoxy radical was studied directly by irradiating a mixture of 4a and DTBP in the cavity of an EPR spectrometer. A range of solvents was examined for preparing mixtures of 1a-3a, 5a, or 6a with DTBP; however, solutions suitable for study by EPR spectroscopy could not be obtained due to the low solubility of the amino acid derivatives in solutions containing DTBP.

Results

Reaction of the alanine derivative 2a and of methyl pyroglutamate (5a) with NBS afforded, in each case, a red oil, which could not be resolved with numerous chromatographic systems. Treatment of the proline derivative 6a with NBS (3 molar equiv) gave *N*-benzoyl-3-bromo-2-(methoxycarbonyl)pyrrole (9) and the 4-bromopyrrole 10. When less than 3 equiv of NBS was used, the starting material 6a and *N*-benzoyl-2-(methoxycarbonyl)pyrrole (11) were detected in product mixtures. The assignment of structures of the bromopyrroles 9 and 10 rests heavily on the correlation of observed ¹³C NMR shifts with those predicted by the use of additivity factors and the data available for a variety of pyrazoles.¹⁵



Treatment of the alanine derivative 2a with DTBP afforded the α -methylalanine derivative 12 and diastereoisomers of the dimer 13. Mixtures of products were obtained from reactions of the derivatives of valine 3a, sarcosine 4a, and proline 6a with DTBP, from which discrete compounds could not be isolated.

Irradiation of a mixture of the sarcosine derivative **4a** and DTBP in the cavity of an EPR spectrometer gave rise to two signals of approximately equal intensity. One signal appeared as a triplet,

Table I. Relative Rates of Reaction of the Amino Acid Derivatives 1a-6a, 1b-3b, 5b, and 6b,c with NBS and of 1a-6a and 6b,c with DTBP

	NBS	DTBP
1a	1.04	1.0ª
1b	0.32 ± 0.03	
2a	0.33 ± 0.05	0.24 ± 0.02
2b	0.18 ± 0.02	
3a	0.04 ± 0.01	0.19 ± 0.03
3b	0.01 ± 0.002	
4 a	0.37 ± 0.05	0.40 ± 0.03
5a	3.1 ± 0.7	2.4 ± 0.2
5b	2.1 ± 0.3	
6a	1.4 ± 0.1	1.9 ± 0.4
6b	1.2 ± 0.1	2.2 • 0.2
6c	0.42 ± 0.04	0.7 ± 0.2

^aAssigned as unity for each reagent.

consistent with formation of the radical 14 $[a(H_{\alpha}) = 17.5 \text{ G}, g = 2.0030]$.¹⁶ The other signal is consistent with formation of the radical 15, appearing as a doublet $[a(H_{\alpha}) = 16.5 \text{ G}, g = 2.0030]$.¹⁶ The same doublet signals was observed when a mixture of the bromosarcosine derivative 4b, hexabutylditin, and DTBP was irradiated. Under these conditions the expected product radical is 15, produced by bromine atom transfer from 4b.¹⁷

Relative rates of reaction of the amino acid derivatives 1a,b, 2a,b, 3a,b, 4a, 5a,b, and 6a-c with NBS, and of 1a-6a and 6b,c with DTBP are presented in Table I. The error limits represent the standard deviation of the sample population. The relative rates of reaction of the valine derivatives 3a,b compared to those of the glycine derivative 1a were determined indirectly from competitive experiments using the glycine derivative 1a and the alanine derivative 2a, and 2a and the valine derivatives 3a,b. The errors shown for the relative rates of reaction of **3a**,**b** are the cumulative errors. No allowance was made for incomplete deuterium incorporation in the amino acid derivatives 1b-3b, 5b, and 6b,c. The most significant effect of residual hydrogen on the rate of reaction would be expected with the deuteriated methyl pyroglutamate (5b), where the extent of deuterium incorporation was only 62%. The general correlation between the relative rates of reaction of 1a-6a with NBS and with DTBP indicates a similarity in reaction pathways for these two reagents, and major deviations from the pathways discussed below would appear to be unlikely.

Discussion

The deuterium isotope effects reflected in the relative rates of reaction of the amino acid derivatives 1a-6a, 1b-3b, 5b, and 6b,c (Table I) and the fact that no epimerization of enantiomers of 2a or 3a was observed in reactions with either NBS or DTBP indicate that hydrogen transfer to bromine atom and *tert*-butoxy radical is the irreversible rate-determining step in reactions of the amino acid derivatives 1a-6a with NBS and DTBP, respectively. Subsequent reactions of product radicals are unlikely to affect the relative reactivities of 1a-6a.5 The isotope effects reflected in the relative rates of reaction of 1a-3a and the deuteriated analogues 1b-3b with NBS indicate that each of the amino acid derivatives 1a-3a reacts by α -C-H bond homolysis. Thus the relative rates of reaction of 1a-3a with NBS indicate the ease of formation of the corresponding α -centered radicals 16–18. The production of 1c in the reaction of 1a may be attributed to the reaction of the radical 16 by bromine-atom incorporation. A mechanism of formation of the dibromovaline derivative 3d from **3a** via the α -centered radical **18** has been proposed.¹³

The formation of 2a and 7 in the reaction of 1a with DTBP¹¹ indicates that this reaction of 1a involves hydrogen atom transfer to *tert*-butoxy radical to give the radical 16. Similarly, the production of 12 and 13 in the reaction of 2a with DTBP can be

⁽¹³⁾ Easton, C. J.; Hay, M. P.; Love, S. G. J. Chem. Soc., Perkin Trans 1 1988, 265.

 ⁽¹⁴⁾ Obata, N.; Niimura, K. J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun. 1977, 238.
 (15) Cabildo, P.; Claramunt, R. M.; Elguero, J. Org. Magn. Reson. 1984, 22, 607.

⁽¹⁶⁾ Other hyperfine splittings were not resolved under the conditions used to record the EPR spectra. Resolution of the hyperfine coupling with nitrogen could not be achieved because the spectra were complicated by extensive long-range hyperfine couplings.

⁽¹⁷⁾ Ingold, K. U.; Lusztyk, J.; Scaiano, J. C. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1984, 106, 343.

attributed to the reaction of 2a with *tert*-butoxy radical to give 17. Subsequent reaction of 17 by dimerization affords 13, while coupling of 17 with methyl radical produced by β -scission of *tert*-butoxy radical leads to the formation of 12. Although discrete products could not be isolated from the reaction of 3a with DTBP, a previous study of the relative rates of reaction of 3a and the deuteriated analogues 3b and 3c with DTBP has indicated that 3a reacts only in part by hydrogen atom transfer to *tert*-butoxy radical to give the α -centered radical 18.¹³ It follows that while the relative rates of reaction of 1a and 2a with DTBP reflect the ease of formation of 18 by reaction of 3a with *tert*-butoxy radical is slower than the overall relative rate of reaction of 3a with DTBP given in Table I.

On this basis there is a good correlation between the relative rates of formation of the α -centered radicals 16-18 through reaction with bromine atom and with *tert*-butoxy radical. With each species the rate of formation of the α -centered radical 16 by hydrogen atom transfer from the glycine derivative 1a is faster than the rate of reaction of the alanine derivative 2a to give 17, which is in turn faster than the rate of production of the α -centered radical 18 by hydrogen transfer from the valine derivative 3a. Even on a per hydrogen basis 1a is more reactive than either 2a or 3a. When regarded in the context that the selectivity for the formation of tertiary alkyl radicals in preference to secondary radicals is typically a factor of 20 in reactions involving hydrogen transfer to bromine atom and a factor of 4 in reactions with tert-butoxy radical,^{5,7} the relative rates of formation of 16-18 in these reactions are peculiar. To the extent that thermodynamic criteria control the pathways and rates of free-radical reactions, these results indicate that, in direct contrast to expectation, the secondary radical 16 is marginally more stable than the tertiary radical 17, and both 16 and 17 are considerably more stable than 18.

We attribute this peculiar stability of the radical 16 to a particularly favorable geometry. Stabilization of the captodative¹⁸ radicals 16–18 will result from overlap of the semioccupied p orbital with the π orbitals of the amido and methoxycarbonyl substituents. There will be maximum overlap of these orbitals in planar conformations of the radicals 16–18 (Figure 2). The radical 17 will be destabilized compared to 16 by nonbonding interactions associated with planar conformations of 17, and 18 will be even less stable owing to more severe nonbonding interactions. These destabilizing influences outweigh the normal thermodynamic preference for the production of tertiary radicals. A recent EPR study has also indicated that relatively small deviations from planarity can significantly diminish the importance of the captodative effect.¹⁹

The formation of the monobromide 1c in high yield in the reaction of the glycine derivative 1a with NBS and the lack of subsequent reaction of 1c under these conditions¹² is consistent with our rationale for the reactivity of 1a. The radical 19 produced by hydrogen atom abstraction from 1c would be less stable than 14 because of nonbonding interactions (Figure 2).

From the production of the α -bromosarcosine derivative **4b** in the reaction of **4a** with NBS, it appears that this reaction involves hydrogen transfer from **4a** to bromine atom to give the α -centered radical **15**. The EPR study of the reaction of **4a** with *tert*-butoxy radical indicates that in this reaction hydrogen transfer from **4a** occurs to give either radical **14** or **15**. This variation in selectivity can be attributed to the susceptibility of reactions involving *tert*-butoxy radical to polar effects.¹³ The EPR spectrum showed



Figure 2. Nonbonding interactions associated with planar conformations of the amido- and methoxycarbonyl-substituted radicals 15-21.

that the steady-state concentrations of 14 and 15 were approximately equal, indicating that their rates of formation were comparable.²⁰ The relative rate of reaction of 4a with NBS (Table I) is a measurement, therefore, of the relative rate of production of 15, whereas the relative rate of production of 15 by reaction of 4a with DTBP is approximately half of the overall relative rate of reaction.

The relative rates of production of the α -centered radicals 15 and 17 in reactions with bromine atom and with *tert*-butoxy radical are very similar. This supports the hypothesis that the rate of hydrogen atom transfer from amino acid derivatives is affected by the extent of nonbonding interactions in the product radicals, since the degree of nonbonding interactions in planar conformations of 15 and 17 is also very similar (Figure 2).

The deuterium isotope effect reflected in the relative rates of reaction of methyl pyroglutamate (5a) and the deuteriated analogue 5b with NBS and the production of the dimer 8 in the reaction of 5a with DTBP indicate that with each reagent 5a reacts by hydrogen transfer from the α -position to give the radical 20. That the rates of reaction of 5a with bromine atom and with *tert*-butoxy radical are faster than the corresponding rates of reaction of the glycine derivative 1a is consistent with the rationale proposed above. The radical 20 can adopt planar conformations which are relatively free of nonbonding interactions (Figure 2). Formation of the radical 20 is favored by the relief of ring strain and by the release of steric interactions between the methoxy-carbonyl substituent and the β -hydrogens in 5a.^{20,21} It is possible

⁽¹⁸⁾ Radicals stabilized by the combined action of an electron-releasing amido substituent and an electron-withdrawing carboxy substituent belong to the class of captodative, a merostabilized, b or "push-pull" stabilized radicals. (a) Viehe, H. G.; Merenyi, R.; Stella, L.; Janousek, Z. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. Engl. 1979, 18, 917. Viehe, H. G.; Janousek, Z.; Merenyi, R. Acc. Chem. Res. 1985, 18, 148. (b) Baldock, R. W.; Hudson, P.; Katritzky, A. R.; Soti, F. Heterocycles 1973, 1, 67. Baldock, R. W.; Hudson, P.; Katritzky, A. R.; Soti, F. J. Chem. Soc., Perkin Trans. 1 1974, 1422. (c) Balaban, A. T. Rev. Roum. Chim. 1971, 16, 725.

⁽¹⁹⁾ Beckwith, A. L. J.; Brumby, S. J. Chem. Soc., Perkin Trans. 2, 1987, 1801.

Selective Reaction of Glycine Residues

that formation of the radical **20** is also favored entropically by the inflexibility of the ring in 5a, holding the amido group in the planar orientation as required for stabilization of the product. Thus the radical 20 is more stable than the glycyl radical 16 and this is reflected in the relative rates of reaction of 1a and 5a with bromine atom and with tert-butoxy radical.

On the basis of the hypothesis presented above, reaction of N-benzoylproline methyl ester (6a) to give the α -centered radical 21 would be expected to be much slower than the rate of reaction of the glycine derivative 1a to give 16, because the nonbonding interactions are much more severe in 21 than in 16 (Figure 2). The anomalous relative rates of reaction of 6a compared to 1a with bromine atom and with tert-butoxy radical are due to the regioselectivity of reaction. With each species, the relative rates of reaction of 6a and the deuteriated analogues 6b,c show that the major reaction of **6a** occurs at the δ -position to form the radical 22 in preference to the radical 21. The production of 9 and 10 in the reaction of 6a with NBS provides little information on the regioselectivity of reaction, but it is not inconsistent with reaction via the radical 22. While the rate of reaction of 6a is faster than the rate of reaction of 1a, the rate of formation of 21 is considerably slower than the rate of formation of 16. In fact, steric interactions associated with planar conformations of the radical 21 are so severe that the predominant reaction of 6a is to produce the radical 22, instead of 21. Analogous regioselectivity has been observed in an electrochemical reaction of N-(methoxycarbonyl)proline methyl ester.²²

Conclusion

In summary, the relative rates of reaction of 1a-6a and the deuteriated analogues 1b-3b, 5b, and 6b,c with bromine atom and of 1a-6a and 6b,c with tert-butoxy radical indicate that the selective reaction of glycine residues in these and other free radical reactions of amino acid derivatives is due to the stability of radicals produced by atom-transfer reactions. Radicals produced by hydrogen transfer from N-acylglycine derivatives may adopt planar conformations which are relatively free of nonbonding interactions and in which there is maximum delocalization of the unpaired electron, whereas radicals produced by similar reactions of derivatives of other amino acids are relatively unstable because of nonbonding interactions. Presumably selective reactions of derivatives of pyroglutamic acid and proline have not been observed in biological systems due to the relatively rare natural occurrence of these amino acids when compared to that of glycine.²³ In view of the numerous methods that have been reported for the synthesis of amino acids through the elaboration of glycine derivatives, particularly α -halogenated glycine derivatives,^{12,24} the selective bromination of glycine derivatives has considerable potential as a method for the selective modification of glycine residues in small peptides.25

Experimental Section

GLC analyses were carried out on either a Varian 3700, a Perkin-Elmer 990, or a Pye 104 gas chromatograph using a Chrompack XE-60-S-VAL-S-A-PEA column (50 m \times 0.22 mm) or a 5% OV-17 on VarAport column (1.0 m \times 3 mm). HPLC analyses were performed on either a Shimadzu (LC-4A) HPLC with a Rheodyne (7125) injector and a Shimadzu ultraviolet detector (SPD-2AS) or with a Waters Model 501

solvent delivery system and a U6K injector with a Waters Model 481 absorbance detector using a Regis Pirkle Covalent L-Phenylglycine column (25 cm × 4.6 mm), a DuPont Zorbax cyanopropyl column (25 cm \times 9.4 mm), or a Waters Z-module with a μ -Porasil Radial-Pak cartridge (10 cm \times 8 mm). Column eluates were monitored at 254 nm. EPR spectra were recorded on a Varian E9 EPR spectrometer. Radicals were generated directly in the spectrometer cavity by irradiating solutions with an Oriel 1000-W high-pressure mercury lamp. Mixtures of 4a and DTBP (1:1, w/w) and of 4b, DTBP, and hexabutylditin (1:1:1, w/w/w) were prepared and degassed by bubbling with nitrogen for 10-15 min before irradiation.

Glycine, (2R)-, (2S)-, and (2R,S)-alanine, (2R)- and (2R,S)-valine, sarcosine, (2R,S)-pyroglutamic acid, (2R,S)-proline, and (2R,S)-glutamic acid were purchased from Sigma Chemical Co. a-Deuteriated glycine, alanine, valine, proline, and glutamic acid were prepared by treatment of the corresponding nondeuteriated amino acids with acetic anhydride/D₂O.²⁶ Deuteriated alanine and valine were resolved by treatment of the respective N-acetylamino acid derivatives with Hog Renal Acylase 1.²⁷ Deuteriated pyroglutamic acid was prepared by cyclization of deuteriated glutamic acid.²⁸ 2,5,5-Trideuterioproline was prepared by the method of Leitch.²⁹ The amino acid derivatives **1a**,**b**,³⁰ (2*R*)-, (2*S*)-, and (2*R*,*S*)-2**a**,³¹ (2*S*)-2**b**,³¹ (2*R*)- and (2*R*,*S*)-3**a**,³² (2*S*)-3**b**,³² 4**a**,³³ (2*R*,*S*)-5**a**,**b**,³⁴ and 6**a**- c^{35} were all prepared from the corresponding amino acids by using standard procedures. They were characterized by ¹H NMR, ¹³C NMR, and IR spectroscopy and had physical constants in agreement with those reported. Deuterium content of the amino acid derivatives was determined by mass spectrometry to be the following: 1b, 90% D₂; 2b, 83% D₁; 3b, 85% D₁; 5b, 62% D₁; 6b, 83% D₁; and 6c, 92% D₃.

Competitive Reactions of 1a-6a and the Deuteriated Analogues 1b-3b, 5b, and 6b,c with NBS. Mixtures of two amino acid derivatives, tertbutylbenzamide (internal standard), and NBS in carbon tetrachloride were irradiated with a 250-W mercury lamp at reflux under nitrogen. Aliquots were removed at intervals and analyzed by GLC and HPLC. Various mixtures of amino acid derivatives were studied, including 1a and (2R,S)-2a, 1b and (2R,S)-2a, 1a and (2S)-2b, (2R)-2a and (2S)-2b, (2R,S)-2a and (2R,S)-3a, (2R)-3a and (2S)-3b, 1a and 4a, 1a and (2R,S)-5a, 1a and (2R,S)-5b, 1a and (2R,S)-6a, 1a and (2R,S)-6b, and 1a and (2R,S)-6c. All experiments were carried out at least in triplicate and analyses were performed at least in triplicate. Results of different experiments were consistent, as were results obtained from aliquots taken from the same experiment at different times.

Competitive Reactions of 1a-6a and 6b,c with DTBP. Mixtures of two amino acid derivatives, tert-butylbenzamide, and DTBP in tert-butyl alcohol were irradiated in a Rayonet photochemical reactor equipped with 12 RPR 3500 lamps. Reaction mixtures were analyzed as described above for the reactions with NBS. Mixtures of amino acid derivatives that were studied include 1a and (2S)-2a, (2R,S)-2a and (2R,S)-3a, 1a and 4a, 1a and (2R,S)-5a, 1a and (2R,S)-6a, 1a and (2R,S)-6b, and 1a and (2R,S)-6c.

Reaction of N-Benzoyl-(2R,S)-proline Methyl Ester 6a with NBS. A mixture of N-benzoyl-(2R,S)-proline methyl ester 6a (0.5 g, 2.1 mmol) and NBS (1.14 g, 6.4 mmol) in carbon tetrachloride (80 ml) was heated at reflux while being irradiated with a 250-W mercury lamp, under nitrogen, for 1 h. The suspension was chilled in an ice/salt bath and then filtered and concentrated in vacuo. The residue was chromatographed on silica with ethyl acetate-hexane as eluent to give N-benzoyl-4bromo-2-(methoxycarbonyl)pyrrole (10) and N-benzoyl-3-bromo-2-(methoxycarbonyl)pyrrole (9). 10 (96 mg, 14%): mp 88-90 °C; ¹H NMR ($CDCl_3$) δ 3.60 (s, 3 H), 7.03 (d, J = 2 Hz, 1 H), 7.22 (d, J =2 Hz, 1 H), 7.40-7.90 (m, 5 H); ¹³C NMR (CDCl₃) δ 51.9, 99.3, 122.5, 126.4, 126.6, 128.9, 130.0, 132.6, 134.0, 159.7, 167.1; MS, m/z (relative intensity) 309 (92), 307 (96), 278 (5), 276 (6), 228 (10), 205 (10), 203 (9), 176 (100). (Anal. Calcd for C₁₃H₁₀BrNO₃: C, 50.86; H, 3.26; N,

(26) Birnbaum, S. M.; Levintow, L.; Kingsley, R. B.; Greenstein, J. P. J. Biol. Chem. 1952, 194, 455.

(28) Coleman, D. J. Chem. Soc. 1951, 2294. Beecham, A. F. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1954, 76, 4615.

- (29) Lompa-Krzymien, L.; Boczon, W.; Leitch, L. C. Proc. Int. Conf. Stable Isot., 2nd, 1975 1976, 574. Leitch, L. C., private communication. (30) Huang, H. T.; Niemann, C. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1952, 74, 4634.
 (31) Hein, G. E.; Niemann, C. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1962, 84, 4487.

 - (32) Jones, J. B.; Niemann, C.; Hein, G. E. Biochemistry 1965, 4, 1735.
- (33) Cook, A. H.; Heilbron, I. M. The Chemistry of Penicillins; Clarke,
- H. T., Johnson, J. R., Robinson, R., Eds., Princeton University Press: Princeton, 1949, p 921.
- (34) Papoport, H.; Castagnoli, N., Jr.; Holden, K. G. J. Org. Chem. 1964, 29, 883.
- (35) Johnson, L. F.; Robertson, A. V.; Simpson, W. R. J.; Witkop, B. Aust. J. Chem. 1966, 19, 4615.

⁽²¹⁾ Applequist, D. E.; Klug, J. H. J. Org. Chem. 1978, 43, 1729. Beckhaus, H.-D.; Schoch, J.; Ruchardt, Ch. Chem. Ber. 1976, 109, 1369. Hinz, J.; Ruchardt, Ch. Liebigs Ann. Chem. 1972, 765, 94.
 (22) Shono, T.; Matsumura, Y.; Tsubata, K.; Sugihara, Y.; Yamane, S.;

Kanazawa, T.; Aoki, T. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1982, 104, 6697.

⁽²³⁾ The selectivity for reaction of glycine residues in peptides is also

affected by the percentage glycine content of the peptide.² (24) Zoller, U.; Ben-Ishai, D. *Tetrahedron* 1975, 31, 863. Bernstein, Z.; Ben-Ishai, D. *Tetrahedron* 1977, 33, 881. Sinclair, P. J.; Zhai, D.; Reibenspies, J.; Williams, R. M. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1986, 108, 1103. Williams, R. M.; Zhai, D.; Sinclair, P. J. J. Org. Chem. 1986, 51, 5021. Lidert, Z.; Gronowitz, S. Synthesis 1980, 322. Shiono, S.; Harada, K. Bull. Chem. Soc. Jpn. 1985, 58, 1061. Castelhano, A. L.; Horne, S.; Billedeau, R.; Krantz, A. Tetrahedron Lett. 1986, 27, 2435. Rich, D. H.; Dhaon, M. K. Tetrahedron Lett. 1983, 24, 1671

⁽²⁵⁾ Easton, C. J.; Scharfbillig, I. M.; Tan, E. W. Tetrahedron Lett. 1988, 29, 1565.

⁽²⁷⁾ Baldwin, J. E.; Wan, T. S. Tetrahedron 1981, 37, 1589.

4.56. Found: C, 50.83; H, 3.05; N, 4.31.) **9** (190 mg, 29%): oil; ¹H NMR (CDCl₃) δ 3.57 (s, 3 H), 6.39 (d, J = 4 Hz, 1 H), 7.14 (d, J = 4 Hz, 1 H), 7.45–7.53 (m, 3 H), 7.73–7.77 (m, 2 H); ¹³C NMR (CDCl₃) δ 51.9, 109.4, 114.9, 123.8, 126.3, 128.9, 129.8, 132.5, 133.8, 160.0, 167.1; MS, m/z (relative intensity) 309 (35), 307 (36), 278 (3), 276 (3), 251 (3), 249 (3), 230 (100); precise mass calcd for C₁₃H₁₀BrNO₃ 306.9845, found 306.9840.

Reaction of N-Benzoyl-(2R,S)-alanine Methyl Ester (2a) with DTBP. A mixture of N-benzoyl-(2R,S)-alanine methyl ester (2a) (0.3 g, 1.5 mmol) and DTBP (4 mL, 19 mmol) in *tert*-butyl alcohol (30 mL), contained in a quartz tube under nitrogen, was irradiated in the Rayonet photochemical reactor. After 4 days the reaction mixture was concentrated and chromatographed on silica with ethyl acetate–hexane as eluent to give dimethyl 2,3-dibenzamido-2,3-dimethylbutanedioate (13) and N-benzoyl-2,2-dimethylglycine methyl ester (12). 13 (60 mg, 20%): mp 170–177 °C; ¹H NMR (DMSO-d₆) δ 2.00 (s, 6 H), 3.80 (s, 6 H), 6.80 (br, 2 H), 7.53–7.93 (m, 10 H); MS, m/z (relative intensity) 413 (0.4), 381 (2), 353 (7), 231 (22), 207 (38), 175 (8), 105 (100), 77 (50). (Anal. Calcd for C₂₂H₂₄N₂O₆: C, 64.1; H, 5.9; N, 6.8. Found: C, 63.9; H, 5.9; N, 6.6.) 12 (32 mg, 10%) was identical in all respects with an authentic sample obtained by derivatization of the corresponding amino acid.³⁶

Acknowledgment. We thank Dr. P. J. Steel for helpful discussion and comment and we gratefully acknowledge the assistance of Professor L. C. Leitch in providing us with details of the preparation of 2,5,5-trideuterioproline. This work was supported by the Australian Research Grants Scheme.

Registry No. 1a, 1205-08-9; 1b, 102770-12-7; (2S)-2a, 38767-73-6; (2R)-2a, 7260-27-7; (2RS)-2a, 38767-73-6; (2S)-2b, 118013-54-0; (2R)-3a, 1492-13-3; (2RS)-3a, 14599-03-2; (2S)-3b, 116297-93-9; 4a, 71533-21-6; (2RS)-5a, 54571-66-3; (2RS)-5b, 117918-31-7; (2RS)-6a, 114051-14-8; (2RS)-6b, 117918-32-8; (2RS)-6c, 117918-33-9; 9, 117918-26-0; 10, 117918-27-1; 11, 117918-28-2; 12, 65563-98-6; (\pm) -13 (diastereomer-1), 117918-29-3; (\pm) -13 (diastereomer-2), 117918-34-0; 14, 117918-30-6; 15, 116453-15-7.

(36) Hoefle, G.; Hoehne, G.; Respondek, J.; Schwarz, H. Org. Magn. Reson. 1977, 12, 477.

Steric and Electrochemical Effects on Rates of Electron Transfer and $S_N 2$ Reactions of 9-(Dialkylamino)fluorenide Ions with Alkyl Halides

F. G. Bordwell* and John A. Harrelson, Jr.

Contribution from the Department of Chemistry, Northwestern University, Evanston, Illinois 60208. Received June 9, 1988

Abstract: Rate ratios for reactions of PhCH₂Cl vs Ph₂CHCl with seven 9-(dialkylamino)fluorenide (9-R₂NFl⁻) ions were measured in Me₂SO solution. Although the reaction sites in these ions are known to be highly congested and Ph₂CHCl is more sterically hindered than is PhCH₂Cl, the $k^{PhCH_2Cl}/k^{Ph_2CHCl}$ rate ratios for reactions with 9-R₂NFl⁻ ions in Me₂SO were all much lower (0.20–4.9) than for the less hindered 9-MeFl⁻ or *p*-MeOC₆H₄O⁻ ions (81 and 138, respectively). This suggested that the Ph₂CHCl reactions with 9-R₂NFl⁻ ions were occurring by single electron transfer (SET) mechanisms, despite the formation of high yields of S_N2-type products. This conclusion was supported by the observation of a close correspondence between SET rates (log k_{SET}), calculated by using the Marcus equation, and log k_{obsd} for reactions of 9-R₂NFl⁻ ions with both a known single electron acceptor, F₃CCH₂I, and with Ph₂CHCl and (*p*-ClC₆H₄)₂CHCl. Similar log k_{obsd} comparisons for reactions of the 9-R₂NFl⁻ ions with PhCH₂Cl, c-C₆H₁₁Br, and *n*-BuBr revealed greater disparity.

The idea that, in principle, a concerted ("polar") $S_N 2$ reaction can merge with a single electron transfer (SET) mechanism, wherein the product is formed by coupling of a geminate radical pair, has been recognized for many years.¹ In his recent definitive book on electron transfer reactions Eberson concludes, however, that it takes a very strong electron donor anion to effect a bimolecular aliphatic substitution reaction on an alkyl halide by an outer-sphere SET mechanism.² Nevertheless, he points out that this has been achieved for certain alkyl halides and that there is good reason to believe that this SET mechanism will merge with the concerted single electron shift $S_N 2$ reaction, as has been suggested by several investigators.³ Outer-sphere SET substi-

(2) Eberson, L. Electron Transfer Reactions in Organic Chemistry; Springer-Verlag: New York, 1987. tutions have been observed by Lund and Lund for reactions of t-BuBr with radical anions, ArH⁻, and with carbanion 1, which



was generated electrochemically from 4-(methoxycarbonyl)-Nmethylpyridinium iodide. Similar substitutions were also observed for reactions of 1 with 1-adamantyl and neopentyl bromides, but the less hindered ethyl, *n*-butyl, and *sec*-butyl bromides appeared to react by borderline mechanisms.³

In earlier papers⁴ we have shown that reactions of 9-substituted fluorenide ions, 9-GFI⁻, with PhCH₂Cl are subject to rate-retarding steric effects, as G becomes more bulky along the series, Me, Et,

^{(1) (}a) Dessy, R. E.; Pohl, R. L.; King, R. B. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1966, 88 5121-5124. (b) Dessy, R. E.; Weisman, P. H. Ibid. 5124-5129. (c) Bilevitch, K. A.; Pubnov, N. N.; Okhlobystin, O. Yu. Tetrahedron Lett. 1968, 3465-3468. (d) Kormer, G. S.; Hall, M. L.; Traylor, T. J. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1972, 94, 7205-7206. (e) Bank, S.; Noyd, D. A. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1973, 95, 8203-8205. (f) Garst, J. F.; Barbas, J. T. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1974, 96 3239-3249. (g) Zieger, H. E.; Angres, I.; Mathisen, D. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1976, 98, 2580-2585. (h) Russell, G. C.; Jawdosiuk, M.; Makosza, M. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1979, 101, 2355-2359. (i) Smith, G. F.; Kuivila, H. G.; Simon, R.; Sultan, L. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1981, 103, 833-839. Kuivila, H. G.; Alnajjah, M. S. Ibid. 1982, 104, 6144-6147. (j) Ashby, E. C.; DePriest, R. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1982, 104, 6144-6146.

 ^{(3) (}a) Lund, H.; Kristensen, L. H. Acta Chem. Scand., Ser. B 1979, B33, 495-498.
 (b) Pross, A. Acc. Chem. Res. 1985, 18, 212-219.
 (c) Lund, T.; Lund H. Acta Chem. Scand. Ser. B 1986, B40, 470-485.

^{(4) (}a) Bordwell, F. G.; Hughes, D. L. J. Org. Chem. 1983, 48, 2206-2215.
(b) Bordwell, F. G.; Cheng, J. P.; Seyedrezai, S. E.; Wilson, C. A. J. Am. Chem. Soc., in press.