THIOL ESTERS IN ORGANIC SYNTHESIS. XI. A FACILE APPROACH TO β -Hydroxypropionitriles and acrylonitriles. Cyanothiolacetate as masked β -Hydroxypropionitrile carbanion^{1,2}

> Hsing-Jang Liu* and Hla Wynn Department of Chemistry, University of Alberta Edmonton, Alberta, Canada T6G 2G2

Summary: The preparation of β -hydroxypropionitriles and acrylonitriles is facilitated by the use of cyanothiolacetate as a formal equivalent of β -hydroxypropionitrile carbanion.

The ease of reduction of thiol ester group with sodium borohydride^{3,4} suggests a number of interesting possibilities for its use as a latent hydroxymethyl unit in synthesis, especially when such a unit with or without protection can not be directly involved in a desired transformation. In this communication, we wish to report a general approach to the synthesis of β -hydroxypropionitriles and acrylonitriles (Scheme 1), which is greatly facilitated due to the ability of the thiol ester group present in the starting material to serve both as an activating group for the carbon-carbon bond formation and a convenient source of hydroxymethyl moiety.

NCCH₂COSR \longrightarrow NCCCOSR $\xrightarrow{\text{NaBH}_4}$ NCCCH₂OH $\xrightarrow{\text{R''=H}}_{-H_20}$ NCC=CH₂

Cyanothiolacetates which are available directly from cyanoacetic acid⁵ undergo dialkylation readily with a variety of alkyl halides (Table 1). Treatment of benzyl cyanothiolacetate (1) with 2.2 equiv. each of benzyl bromide and sodium hydride in 1,2-dimethoxyethane at room temperature for 20 hr gave dibenzyl derivative 2 in 89% yield. Similar alkylation of <u>t</u>-butyl cyanothiolacetate (3) with <u>n</u>-butyl iodide and allyl bromide gave products 4 and 5 respectively. Ring formation can be induced using a suitable alkyl dihalide as shown by the reaction of 3 with 1,5-diiodopentane (1.2 equiv.) which gave rise to a 77% yield of compound 6. On exposure to sodium borohydride (4 mol. equiv.) at room temperature using anhydrous isopropanol (for 2) or ethanol (for 4-6) as a solvent,

Cyanothiolacetate	Reagents (equiv.)	Time ^a hr	Product NCCR'R"COSR	Yield %
NCCH2COSCH2Ph 1	PhCH ₂ Br(2.2);NaH(2.2)	20	2 R=R'=R"=CH ₂ Ph	89
NCCH ₂ COSC(CH ₃) ₃ 3 2	<u>n</u> -BuI(2.5);NaH(2.5) CH ₂ =CHCH ₂ Br(2.5);NaH(2.5) I(CH ₂) ₅ I(1.2);NaH(2.2) <u>i</u> -PrBr(1); <u>t</u> -BuOLi(1) <u>n</u> -BuCl(1); <u>t</u> -BuOLi(1) CH ₃ (CH ₂) ₇ Br(1); <u>t</u> -BuOLi(1)	18 3 17 15 ^b 36 ^b ,c 40 ^{b,d}	$\begin{array}{c} 4 \\ R = \underline{t} - Bu; R' = R'' = \underline{n} - Bu \\ 5 \\ R = \underline{t} - Bu; R' = R'' = CH_2CH = CH_2 \\ 6 \\ R = \underline{t} - Bu; R', R'' = (CH_2)_5 \\ 11 \\ R = \underline{t} - Bu; R' = H; R'' = \underline{1} - Pr \\ 12 \\ R = \underline{t} - Bu; R' = H; R'' = \underline{n} - Bu \\ 13 \\ R = \underline{t} - Bu; R' = H; R'' = \underline{n} - octy \\ \end{array}$	67 70 77 80 ^e 92 ^e 61 ^e
19	PhCH ₂ Br(1);NaH(1)	9	29 R=t-Bu;R'=i-Bu;R"=CH2Ph	85
20 ~~	EtI(1);NaH(1)	22	30_{22} R=t-Bu;R'=CH ₂ CH=CHPh;R"=Et	70

Table 1. Direct Alkylation of Cyanothiolacetates

^aUnless otherwise specified, all reactions were performed in DME at ambient temperature. ^bPerformed in THF in the presence of 1 equiv. of HMPA. ^CRun at refluxing temperature. ^dRun at -5°C. ^eBased on consumed starting material.

Substrate	Time ^a hr	Product NCCRR'CH ₂ OH	Yield %	Substrate	Time ^a hr	Product NCCRR'CH ₂ OH	Yield %
2	24 ^b	7 R=R'=CH ₂ Ph	96	17	0.5 ^C	25 R=H;R'=cyclohexyl	98
4	19	8 R=R'= <u>n</u> -Bu	90	22	0.25 ^C	26 R=H;R'=i-Bu	84
5	11	9 R=R'=CH ₂ CH=CH ₂	80	23	۱ ^c	27 R≈H;R'=CH₂CH=CHPh	78
õ	18	10 R,R'=(CH ₂)5	94	24	1 ^c	28 R=H;R'= <u>s</u> -octy1	95
ĩĩ	15	14 R=H;R'= <u>i</u> -Pr	91	29	13	~~ 31 R≈ <u>i</u> -Bu;R'=CH ₂ Ph	90
ĩĩ	20	15 R=H;R'= <u>n</u> -Bu	98	3õ	19	32 R≈CH ₂ CH=CHPh;R'=Et	90
13	1]6 R=H;R'= <u>n</u> -octyl	99	~~		~~ E	

Table 2. Selective Reduction of Cyanothiolacetates to B-Hydroxypropionitriles

^aUnless otherwise specified, all reactions were performed in ethanol at ambient temperature using 4 mol. equiv. of sodium borohydride. ^bPerformed in isopropanol. ^CRun at 0°C. cyanothiolesters 2 and 4-6 were smoothly reduced to give the corresponding β -hydroxypropionitrile derivatives 7-10 (Table 2). In all cases, the reduction was found to be completely selective and the product was isolated in high yield.

Two procedures were examined for the monoalkylation of cyanothiolacetates. Direct alkylation requires carefully controlled conditions in order to suppress the highly competitive dialkylation process. The best results were obtained when 3 was used as the starting material with tetrahydrofuran as a solvent in the presence of 1 equiv. each of hexamethylphosphoramide, lithium <u>t</u>-butoxide, and an alkyl bromide or chloride and when the reaction was stopped on appearance of dialkylation product (<u>ca</u>. 60% completion). Under these conditions, the reaction of 3 and isopropyl bromide at room temperature for 15 hr gave 52% yield of the desired product 11 along with 4% yield of the dialkylation product and 35% recovery of the starting material. Similar results were obtained for the alkylation of 3 with <u>n</u>-butyl chloride and <u>n</u>-octyl bromide giving 12 and 13 respectively (Table 1). Sodium borohydride reduction of 11, 12 and 13 in ethanol resulted in the formation of the corresponding hydroxy nitriles 14-16 in nearly quantitative yields (Table 2).

The second and the preferred method for monoalkylation involves a Knoevenagle-type condensation⁶ of cyanothiolacetate 3 and a carbonyl compound followed by the selective reduction of the resulting carbon-carbon double bond. Thus, treatment of 3 with cyclohexanone and 1,4-diazabicyclo[2.2.2]octane (1.5 equiv. each) in tetrahydrofuran at room temperature for 20 hr afforded unsaturated cyanothiolacetate 17 (87% yield) which underwent 1,4-reduction on exposure to 1 mol. equiv. of sodium borohydride in ethanol at 0°C for 45 min to give the saturated compound 18 in 97% yield. This procedure affecting the overall monoalkylation is apparently general. Three additional compounds 19-21 were readily prepared in comparable yields from 3 and appropriate carbonyl compounds via the intermediacy of 22-24 respectively. For the preparation of β -hydroxypropionitriles, the condensation products could be conveniently reduced to the alcohol level using an excess of sodium borohydride in ethanol (Table 2). For example, when unsaturated thiolester 17 was treated with 4 mol. equiv. of the reagent at 0°C for 30 min, hydroxy nitrile 25 was formed in 98% yield. The reduction of 22-24 was shown to be equally facile leading directly to the formation of hydroxy nitriles 26-28.

To demonstrate the applicability of the method to the synthesis of β -hydroxypropionitriles possessing two nonidentical substituents at the α -carbon, we have also investigated the alkylation of cyanothiolacetates 19 and 20 with benzyl bromide and ethyl iodide. As expected the alkylation reactions proceeded readily at room temperature in 1,2-dimethoxyethane using sodium hydride as base (Table 1). Sodium borohydride reduction of the products 29 and 30 gave hydroxy nitriles 31 and 32 respectively (Table 2). Two methods were successfully explored for the dehydration of monosubstituted β -hydroxypropionitriles. Indirectly, mesylation of <u>n</u>-octyl derivative 16 (methanesulfonyl chloride and triethylamine in chloroform; 6 hr at room temperature) followed by elimination (1,8-diazabicyclo[5.4.0]undec-7-ene in benzene; 4 'hr at 80°C) gave a 94% yield of acrylonitrile 33. The same transformation could also be directly effected in 85% yield using dicyclohexylcarbodiimide (1.5 equiv.) and cuprous chloride (trace)⁷ in refluxing ether for 24 hr. By the latter procedure, the following dehydration reactions were also carried out: 25+34(80% yield), 27+35 (69% yield) and 28+36 (100% yield).

cn rr'c=ccosc(ch ₃) ₃		R NCC	HCOSC(CH ₃) ₃	сн ₂	CH2=CCN	
17 R,R'=(C	1 ₂) ₅	18	R=cyclohexyl	33	R≈ <u>n</u> -octyl	
22 R=H; R'=	<u>i</u> -Pr	19	R= <u>i</u> -Bu	34	R≈cyclohexyl	
23 R=H; R'=	CH≃CHPh	20	R=CH ₂ CH≈CHPh	35	R≈CH ₂ CH=CHPh	
24 R=Me; R	= <u>n</u> -hexyl	21	R= <u>s</u> -octy1	36	R≈ <u>s</u> -octyl	

<u>Acknowledgment</u>: We are grateful to the Natural Sciences and Engineering Research Council of Canada and the University of Alberta for financial support.

References and Notes

 This paper is dedicated to Professor Gilbert Stork on the occasion of his 60th birthday.
Part X: H.J. Liu and I.V. Oppong, Can. J. Chem., <u>60</u>, 94 (1982).
Y. Nagao, K. Kawabata, and E. Fujita, Chem. Commun., 330 (1978).
H.J. Liu, R.R. Bukownik, and P.R. Pednekar, Synth. Commun., <u>11</u>, 599 (1981).
H.J. Liu and S.I. Sabesan, Can. J. Chem., <u>58</u>, 2645 (1980).
J.R. Johnson, Org. Reactions, <u>1</u>, 210 (1942).
C. Alexandre and F. Rouessac, Bull. Soc. Chim. Fr., 1837 (1971).

(Received in USA 25 March 1982)