Synthesis of (±)-Pantolactone and (\pm) -Dihydro-4-ethyl-3-hydroxy-4-methyl-2(3H)-furanone

Takamasa Kinoshita*, Madoka Hirano and Hirovuki Miyake

Department of Chemistry, Faculty of Science, Osaka City University, Sumiyoshiku, Osaka 558, Japan Received October 2, 1990

The title compounds were synthesized from 3-furgic acid via the Birch reduction.

J. Heterocyclic Chem., 28, 619 (1991).

The Birch reduction [1] of 3-furoic acid provides a useful entry into the total synthesis of the branched-chain sugars, for example, apiose [2] and dihydrostreptose [3].

Birch and Slobbe have reported that the reduction of 2furoic acid with a metal in ammonia by addition of an alkyl halide instead of a proton source gave the reductive alkylation product [4], while the reduction of 3-furoic acid proceeded with β -elimination and ring opening to give the hydroxy lactone in place of the alkylation product [5].

Since the introduction of an alkyl group into the 3position of 2,3-dihydrofuroic acid is very useful as a synthetic intermediate of 3,3-dialkyl butanolides, we tried alkylation [6] on the 3-position of methyl 2,3-dihydro-3furoate (la).

Several of the alkylated compounds were successfully converted into natural products. (±)-Pantolactone (7b₁), a degradation product of pantothenic acid and (±)-dihydro-4-ethyl-3-hydroxy-4-methyl-2(3H)-furanone (7 c_1) [7], a pantolactone homologue isolated from Marshallia tenuifolia, were synthesized from 1b and 1c, respectively.

Scheme A

CH2CH2

Oxidation of 1 with m-chloroperbenzoic acid in methanol gave a mixture of erythro-2 and threo-3 in an approximate ratio of 2:1. However, the p-nitrobenzoate derivatives were separable by silica gel column chromatography. The peroxy acid oxidation of 1, via the production of unstable 4.5-epoxide, proceeded with ring opening of the epoxide by the attack of methanol as solvent to give a 4-hydroxy-5-methoxy compound. No nmr coupling was observed between H-4 and H-5, indicating that the methoxy group is anti with respect to the hydroxy group. As epoxidation of β, γ -unsaturated ester produces predominantly cisepoxide, it is not unreasonable to assume hydrogen bonding [8] of the peroxy acid molecule to the carbonyl oxygen (Scheme A).

The structures of 2 and 3 were elucidated by 'H nmr (Tables 1, 2 and 3). The larger coupling (J = 5.5 Hz)between H-3 and H-4 in erythro-p-nitrobenzoate of methyl 4-hydroxy-5-methoxy-3-tetrahydrofuran-3-carboxylate (2a₂) than in threo-p-nitrobenzoate of methyl 4-hydroxy-5methoxy-3-tetrahydrofuran-3-carboxylate (3a₂) (J = 2.9

Scheme B

 $\label{thm:local} Table~1$ 1H NMR (400 MHz) Chemical Shifts (ppm) and Coupling Constants (Hz) of Compounds 2 and 3

Compound No.	H-2/H-2´	H-3	H-4	H-5	ОМе	CO ₂ Me	CH ₃	CH ₂ CH ₃	CH2CH3	arom
2a ₂	4.21 t 4.51 t	3.66 dt	5.54 d	5.01 s	3.37	3.62				8.12 d 8.27 d
2b ₂	3.87 d 4.72 d		5.28 s	4.98 s	3.39	3.57	1.59			8.11 d 8.26 d
$2c_2$	3.94 d 4.67 d		5.29 s	4.93 s	3.35	3.55		0.83 t	1.77 dq 2.12 dq	8.09 d 8.25 d
3a ₂	4.24 t 4.35 t	3.28 dddd	5.67 d	5.07 s	3.36	3.76				8.21 d 8.30 d
3b ₂	3.81 d 4.55 d		5.73 s	5.00 s	3.34	3.75	1.36			8.19 d 8.28 d
3c ₂	3.90 d 4.70 d		5.78 s	4.93 s	3.31	3.76		0.84 t	1.77 dq 1.93 dq	8.23 d 8.30 d
No.	J (2,2´)	J (2,3))	J (3,4)	J (arom)	J (CH ₂ CH ₃)		J(gem CH ₂)		
2a ₂	8.6	8.6		5.5	8.5					
2b ₂	9.1				8.5	7.0		140		
2c ₂	8.6				8.6	7.3		14.0		
3a ₂	7.3	7.3		2.9	8.6					
3b ₂	8.6				8.6					
3c ₂	8.5				8.5	7.3		14.0		

 $\label{eq:Table 2} Table \ 2$ $^{13}C\ NMR\ (25MHz)$ Chemical Shifts (ppm) of Compounds 2 and 3

Compound No.	C-2	C-3	C-4	C-5	OMe	CO ₂ Me	CH ₃	CH ₂ CH ₃	CO ₂ Me
2a ₂	67.5 t	45.6 d	78.0 d	106.4 d	54.8	52.4			169.4
2b ₂	75.1 t	52.7 s	83.9 d	108.2 d	55.2	52.4	22.9 q		172.3
2c ₂	72.4 t	58.0 s	83.6 d	107.8 d	55.0	52.1	9.8 q	28.6 t	171.4
3a ₂	67.7 t	49.4 d	81.7 d	106.8 d	54.7	52.7			170.4
3b ₂	74.8 t	52.3 s	82.2 d	107.2 d	54.7	52.6	17.2 q		173.4
3c ₂	73.0 t	56.7 s	80.8 d	106.6 d	54.2	52.3	9.9 q	24.8 t	172.4

Table 3

Physical Data for Compounds 2 and 3

Compound No.	TLC Rf [a]	℃	Molecular Formula	Analyses % Calcd./Found			IR (cm ⁻¹) nujol
				С	H	N	
2a ₂	0.26	98-99	C ₁₄ H ₁₅ NO ₈	51.70	4.65	4.31	1740, 1720, 1610, 1380, 1220, 1105, 1070, 720
2			2. 25	51.80	463	4.27	
2b ₂	0.35	106-107	$C_{15}H_{17}NO_{8}$	53.10	5.05	4.13	1745, 1725, 1608, 1355, 1320, 1280, 1105, 1060, 720
2			15 17 0	53.12	5.04	4.09	
$2c_2$	0.48	99-100	$C_{16}H_{19}NO_{8}$	54.39	5.42	3.96	1740, 1720, 1610, 1355, 1270, 1220, 1110, 1070, 720
2			10 17 0	54.47	5.43	3.95	
3a ₂	0.20	103-104	$C_{14}H_{15}NO_{8}$	51.70	4.65	4.31	1740, 1725, 1605, 1350, 1300, 1280, 1110, 1070, 730
22			1, 15	51.76	4.60	4.26	
3b ₂	0.30	75-76	$C_{15}H_{17}NO_8$	53.10	5.05	4.13	1740, 1730, 1610, 1355, 1290, 1115, 1105, 1055, 720
			15 1, 0	53.17	5.02	4.08	
3c ₂	0.40	84-85	$C_{16}H_{19}NO_8$	54.39	5.42	3.96	1740, 1730, 1605, 1380, 1350, 1220, 1105, 1065, 720
2			10 17 0	54.49	5.30	3.85	

[[]a] 4:1 Hexame-ethyl acetate.

Table 4 $^{1}{\rm H~NMR}$ (100 MHz) Chemical Shifts (ppm) and Coupling Constants (Hz) of Pantolactone Series (R = CH₃)

Compound No.	H-2/H-2′	H-3	H-4	H-5	ОМе	CH ₃	ОН	arom	J (2,2)	J (4,5)
4b [a]	3.56 d 3.79 d		3.82 s	4.75 s	3.27	1.06			8.5	0
6b ₁	3.67 d 3.72 d		3.70dd	4.79 d	3.40	1.07 1.08	3.19d [b]		8.5	2.9
6b ₂	3.77 d 3.84 d		5.09 d	4.99 d	3.39	1.10 1.26		8.24 q [c]	8.5	1.3
7 b ₁	5.04 4	4.10 s		3.89 s		0.97				
7b ₂		5.60 s		4.11 s		1.11 1.21 1.27	4.05 s	8.26 s		

[a] 3.59 (s, CH_2OH). [b] $J_{4,OH} = 5.2$ Hz. [c] $J_{arom} = 8.5$ Hz.

Table 5 13 C NMR (25MHz) Chemical Shifts (ppm) of Pantolactone Series (R = CH₃)

Compound No.	C-2	C-3	C-4	C-5	OCH ₃	CH ₃			arom		
4b [a] 6b ₁	75.2 t 78.7 t	46.0 s 41.7 s	84.5 d 83.7 d	111.3 d 110.9 d	55.1 55.7	20.6 19.9 23.7		100.0	105.5	150.0	1640
6b ₂ 7b ₁	79.5 t 178.1 s	42.0 s 75.4 d	86.2 d 40.6 s	109.1 đ 76.4 t	55.4	21.4 25.6 18.8 22.6	123.7	130.9	135.7	150.8	164.0
7b ₂	172.0 s	78.4 d	40.6 s	76.4 t		20.1 23.1	123.8	131.2	135.2	150.8	164.0

[a] 66.9 (t, CH₂OH).

Table 6 1 H NMR (100 MHz) Chemical Shifts (ppm) and Coupling Constants (Hz) of Erythro 6 and 7 (R = CH₂CH₃)

Compound No.	H-2/H-2′	H-3	H-4	H-5	ОМе	CH ₃	CH₂CH₃	CH₂CH₃	arom	others
6c ₁	3.63 d 3.81 d		3.78	4.80 d	3.39	1.08	0.91 t	1.45 m		2.78 [a]
6c ₂	3.78 d 3.94 d		4.97 s	5.14 s	3.41	1.23	0.90 t	1.55 q	8.15 d 8.28 d	
6c ₃	3.56 d 3.74 d		4.45 d	4.72 d	3.19	1.08	0.82 t	1.44 q	7.35 d 7.87 d	2.45 [b]
7c ₁	5.74 4	4.21 s		3.87 [c] 4.20		1.18	0.91 t	1.47 m		
7c ₂		5.69 s		4.06 [c] 4.32		1.27	1.00 t	1.59 q	8.29 s	
No.	J (2,2′)	J (4,5)	J CH ₂ CH ₃)	J (arom)						
6c ₁	9.0	2.2	7.8							
6c ₂	8.5	0	7.1	9.0						
6c ₃	8.7	1.2	7.8	8.2						
7c ₁			7.3							
7c ₂			7.3							

[a] OH (d, $J_{4,OH} = 5.5$ Hz). [b] arom-CH₃. [c] d, $J_{5,5'} = 9.3$ Hz.

Hz), indicates that the acyloxy group is syn to the methoxycarbonyl substituent in 2a₂, and anti in 3a₂. Comparison of the high-field ¹H nmr spectra of 2 and 3 revealed similarities in structure. The upfield shift of the

carbomethoxyl methyl in 2 and the downfield shift of H-4 in 3 are presumably the result of the anisotropic effect of both the nearby phenyl ring and the methoxycarbonyl group, respectively. The major product was always less

Table 7 13 C NMR (25 MHz) Chemical Shifts (ppm) of Erythro 6 and 7 (R = CH₂CH₃)

Compound No.	C-2	C-3	C-4	C-5	ОМе	CH ₃	CH ₂ CH ₃	CH₂CH₃		arom	
6c ₁	76.9 t	44.8 s	83.6 d	111.3 d	55.6	9.0 q	20.8 q	25.0 t			
6c ₂	78.1 t	44.9 s	85.7 d	109.4 d	55.4	9.2 q	21.8 q	26.6 t	123.7	130.9	135.2
-									150.8	164.0	
6c3 [a]	77.0 t	44.8 s	90.7 d	108.6 d	55.5	8.7 q	21.0 q	25.7 t	128.0	129.8	133.6
3									145.0		
7c ₁	178.5 s	75.7 d	43.5 s	73.8 t		8.3 q	20.8 q	24.1 t			
$7c_2$	170.6 s	76.3 d	43.4 s	73.6 t		8.3 q	21.2 q	25.7 t	123.7	131.1	134.1
2						-	_		150.9	163.5	

[a] 21.6 (s, arom-CH₃).

Table 8 1 H NMR (100 MHz) Chemical Shifts (ppm) and Coupling Constants (Hz) of Threo 8 and 9 (R = CH₂CH₃)

Compound No.	H-2/H-2′	H-3	H-4	H-5	ОМе	CH ₃	CH ₂ CH ₃	CH₂CH₃	arom	others
8c ₁	3.62 d 3.72 d		3.78 s	4.78 [a]	3.38	1.03	0.88 t	1.47 m		4.08 [b]
8c ₂	3.75 d 3.91 d		5.00 s	5.19 s	3.40	1.12	0.93 t	1.65 q	8.21 8.33	
8c ₃	3.58 d 3.71 d		4.45 s	4.72 s	3.16	1.02	0.79 t	1.41 m	7.35 7.82	2.45 [c]
9c ₁		4.21 s		3.99 s		1.07	0.96 t	1.55 m		
9c ₂		5.71 S		4.15 s		1.26	0.96 t	1.68 q	8.25 8.34	
No.	J (2,27)	J (CH ₂ CH ₃)	J (arom)							
8c ₁	9.0	7.3								
8c ₂	8.6	7.5	8.9							
8c3	8.5	7.8	8.1							
9c ₁		7.7								
9c ₂		7.6	9.5							

[a] $J_{4,5} = 3.2 \text{ Hz}$ [b] OH(d, $J_{4,OH} = 5.5 \text{ Hz}$). [c] arom-CH₃(s)

Table 9 13 C NMR (25 MHz) Chemical Shifts (ppm) of Threo 8 and 9 (R = CH₂CH₃)

Compound No.	C-2	C-3	C-4	C-5	OMe	CH ₃	CH ₂ CH ₃	CH ₂ CH ₃		arom	
8c ₁	77.1 t	44.8 s	82.4 d	110.7 d	55.3	8.8 q	16.4 q	29.9 t			
8c ₂	78.1 t	45.8 s	84.8 d	108.9 d	55.2	9.1 q	18.2 q	30.6 t	123.7	130.6	135.2
-									150.7	163.9	
8c3 [a]	77.2 t	45.5 s	89.9 d	108.2 d	55.3	8.8 d	17.8 q	29.9 t	128.1	129.7	133.5
3									145.0		
9c ₁	178.4 s	75.1 d	44.1 s	75.7 t		8.7 q	16.0 q	30.1 t			
9c ₂	171.9 s	75.3 d	43.8 s	75.4 t		8.6 q	17.5 q	30.0 t	123.8	131.2	134.3
2						•	-		150.2	163.6	

[a] 21.6 (q, arom-CH₃).

polar than the minor isomer. Thus, the less polar component is assigned an *erythro*-configuration 2, while the polar product should be in a *threo*-configuration 3.

The 3,3-dimethyltetrahydrofuran derivative $6b_1$ was derived from *threo-p-*nitrobenzoate of methyl 4-hydroxy-5-methoxy-3-methyltetrahydrofuran-3-carboxylate $(3b_2)$ in

an overall yield of 55% via the following sequence of reactions: lithium aluminum hydride (LAH) reduction of $3b_2$ in ether, ditosylate formation of 4b, and LAH reduction of 5b in THF. Attempted oxidation [9] of $6b_1$ with m-chloroperbenzoic acid and catalytic amounts of boron trifluoride etherate in dry dichloromethane were unsuccessful. How-

Table 10
Physical Data for Compounds 6,7,8,9

Compound No.	mp °C	Molecular Formula	Analyses % Calcd./Foun C H		IR (cm ⁻¹) nujol [a]
			C II	14	
6b ₂	92-93	$C_{14}H_{17}NO_{6}$	56.95 5.80	4.74	3100, 1720, 1605, 1380, 1355, 1290, 1105, 1060, 720
			57.00 5.80	4.74	
6c ₂	93-94	$C_{15}H_{19}NO_6$	58.25 6.19	4.53	3100, 1715, 1605, 1375, 1345, 1280, 1105, 1050, 720
			58.30 6.21	4.53	
7b ₂	137-138	$C_{13}H_{13}NO_6$	55.92 4.69	5.02	3105, 1790, 1725, 1605, 1375, 1340, 1275, 1125, 1000, 720
			56.01 4.66	5.04	
7c ₂	112-113	$C_{14}H_{15}NO_6$	57.34 5.16	4.78	3100, 1790, 1720, 1600, 1375, 1345, 1280, 1120, 1105, 720
_			57.23 5.13	4.79	
8c ₁	oil				3430, 1190, 1105, 1020, 1000
8c ₂	87-88	$C_{15}H_{19}NO_{6}$	58.25 6.19	4.53	3100, 1720, 1600, 1350, 1280, 1105, 1060, 720
			58.29 6.21	4.54	
8c3	viscous oil				1600, 1365, 1190, 1180, 1100, 1060, 1000, 850, 665
9c ₁	viscous oil				3440, 1770, 1460, 1365, 1280, 1210, 1195, 1160, 1110, 1060
9c ₂	143-144	$C_{14}H_{15}NO_{6}$	57.34 5.16	4.78	3100, 1790, 1720, 1605, 1370, 1350, 1280, 1125, 1000, 720
-		· -3 ·	57.30 5.14	4.76	

[a] Neat for compounds $8c_1$, $8c_3$ and $9c_1$.

ever, treatment of the p-nitrobenzoate ($\mathbf{6b_2}$) under the same reaction conditions gave the desired γ -lactone ($\mathbf{7b_2}$) in 92% yield. Removal of the p-nitrobenzoyl group in $\mathbf{7b_2}$ by hydrolysis with lithium hydroxide in aqueous methanol at room temperature gave (\pm)-pantolactone $\mathbf{7b_1}$ in 90% yield. The tlc, ir, ¹H nmr and ¹³C nmr spectral data all were identical with natural pantolactone.

By using the same method for the synthesis of $7b_1$ from $3b_2$, threo-p-nitrobenzoate of methyl 4-hydroxy-5-methoxy-3-ethyltetrahydrofuran-3-carboxylate ($3c_2$) and erythro-p-nitrobenzoate of methyl 4-hydroxy-5-methoxy-3-ethyltetrahydrofuran-3-carboxylate ($2c_2$) were transformed into (\pm)- $7c_1$ and its threo isomer, respectively. The LAH reduction of ditosylate (5c) provided $6c_1$ in somewhat poor yield despite prolonged heating; monotosylate ($6c_3$) was obtained in 92% yield. Treatment of $6c_3$ with sodium in methanol (Bouveault-Blanc reduction) produced $6c_1$ in good yield. The ir, ¹H nmr and ¹³C nmr spectral data of synthetic $7c_1$ matched those [7] [10] for the natural compound.

EXPERIMENTAL

Melting points were determined on a micro hot-stage and are uncorrected. Column chromatography was performed with silica gel (Merck NO. 7734; 63-200 μm), and thin-layer chromatography (tlc) was performed on a glass plate coated with Kieselgel 60 GF₂₅₄ (Merck), followed by heating with anisaldehyde-acetic acid-sulfuric acid (1:100:2). The ir spectra were taken on a JASCO A-102 IR spectrophotometer and were calibrated against the 1600 cm⁻¹ band of polystyrene. The ¹H nmr spectra (400 MHz) were recorded with a JEOL JMN-GX 400 FT NMR spectrometer, and ¹H (100 MHz) and ¹³C (25 MHz) nmr spectra were recorded with a JEOL FX-100 spectrometer for deuteriochloroform solution.

General Procedure for Oxidation with m-Chloroperbenzoic Acid of Methyl 2,3-Dihydro-3(H or alkyl)furoates 1.

The methyl 2,3-dihydro-3-furoate (2 mmoles) was dissolved in methanol (2 ml) and treated with m-chloroperbenzoic acid (2.2 mmoles) at 0° for 1 hour. The mixture was diluted with 50 ml of dichloromethane and washed successively with 10% aqueous sodium thiosulfate, saturated sodium bicarbonate, and brine. The crude product obtained after drying was acylated with p-nitrobenzoyl chloride (2.2 mmoles) in dry pyridine (2 ml) at room temperature overnight. The crude p-nitrobenzoate was chromatographed on a column of silica gel (100 g) with a hexane -ethyl acetate (4:1) as eluant.

Threo-4-Hydroxy-5-methoxy-3-methyltetrahydrofuran-3-methanol (4b).

To a stirred suspension of lithium aluminum hydride (640 mg, 16.8 mmoles) in dry ether (60 ml) was added a solution of $3b_2$ (1.45 g, 4.3 mmoles) in dry ether (5 ml). After refluxing for 3 hours, a small amount of water was added to decompose excess lithium aluminum hydride and the precipitate was filtered off. The residue was chromatographed to give syrupy diol 4b (610 mg, 88%); ir (neat): 3400, 1100, 1040 cm⁻¹ (Tables 4 and 5).

Ditosylate (5b) of threo-4-Hydroxy-5-methoxy-3-methyltetrahydrofuran-3-methanol.

Treatment of **4b** (610 mg, 3.8 mmoles) with *p*-toluenesulfonyl chloride (1.5 g, 7.9 mmoles) in dry pyridine (15 ml) at room temperature overnight, gave a syrupy ditosylate **5b** (1.56 g, 89%); ir (neat): 1600, 1365, 1190, 1180, 965, 840 cm⁻¹.

4-Hydroxy-5-methoxy-3,3-dimethyltetrahydrofuran (6b₁).

Reduction of the ditosylate **5b** (1.3 g, 2.8 mmoles) with lithium aluminum hydride (600 mg, 15.8 mmoles) in dry tetrahydrofuran (100 ml) and refluxing for 5 hours afforded oily alcohol **6b**₁ (280 mg, 69%) after chromatography; ir (neat): 3450, 1200, 1110, 1030, 900 cm⁻¹ (Tables 4 and 5).

p-Nitrobenzoate (**6b**₂) of 4-Hydroxy-5-methoxy-3,3-dimethyltetrahydrofuran (Tables 4, 5 and 10). This compound was obtained as colorless prisms (hexane-ethyl acetate) in 90% yield from 6b₁.

Pantolactone p-Nitrobenzoate (7b₂).

A solution of $6b_2$ (150 mg, 0.5 mmole) in 1.5 ml of dry dichloromethane containing catalytic amount (5 drops) of boron trifluoride etherate was treated at room temperature with m-chloroperbenzoic acid (100 mg, 0.6 mmole). After 3 hours the reaction mixture was diluted with 50 ml of dichloromethane and washed successively with 10% aqueous sodium thiosulfate, saturated sodium bicarbonate, and brine. The crude product obtained after drying (sodium sulfate) was chromatographed using (2:1) hexane-ethyl acetate giving 130 mg (92%) of pure lactone $7b_2$ (Tables 4, 5 and 10).

Pantolactone $(7b_1)$.

To a stirred suspension of $7b_2$ (100 mg, 0.77 mmole) in methanol (2 ml) was added a solution of lithium hydroxide (45 mg, 1.2 mmoles) in water (2 ml). After stirring for 10 minutes at room temperature, the clear solution of the reaction mixture was acidified with 3N-hydrochloric acid, and the precipitate was filtered off and washed with water. The filtrate was evaporated to dryness and the residue was chromatographed using (1:1) hexane-ethyl acetate giving 42 mg (90%) of pure lactone $7b_1$; tlc (Rf 0.48, 1:1 hexane-ethyl acetate); ir (neat): 3450, 1780, 1205, 1120, 1010, 990 cm⁻¹.

The tlc, ir, ¹H nmr and ¹³C nmr spectral data (Tables 4 and 5) all were identical with those of authentic sample of pantolactone.

Threo-4-Hydroxy-5-methoxy-3-ethyltetrahydrofuran-3-methanol (4c).

This compound was obtained as a viscous oil in 95% yield from 3c₂; ir (neat): 3400, 1110, 1040 cm⁻¹.

Ditosylate (5c) of threo-4-Hydroxy-5-methoxy-3-ethyltetrahydro-furan-3-methanol.

This compound was obtained in 61% yield from 4c as a viscous oil; ir (neat): 1600, 1365, 1190, 1180, 965, 840 cm⁻¹; ¹H nmr: δ 0.68 (t, 3H, J = 7.8 Hz, CH₂CH₃), 1.42-1.55 (m, 2H, CH₂CH₃), 2.46 (s, 6H, arom-CH₃), 3.11 (s, 3H, OCH₃), 3.67 (d, 1H, J = 9.5 Hz, H-2), 3.73 (d, 1H, J = 9.5 Hz, H-2), 3.99 (s, 2H, CH₂OTs), 4.49 (s, 1H, H-4), 4.76 (s, 1H, H-5), 7.72 (d, 2H, J = 8.5 Hz, arom), 7.81 (d, 2H, J = 8.5 Hz, arom); ¹³C nmr: δ 8.3 (q, CH₂CH₃), 10.1 (t, CH₂CH₃), 21.6 (q, arom-CH₃), 48.8 (s, C-3), 55.1 (q, OCH₃), 69.2 (t, CH₂OTs), 73.0 (t, C-2), 85.2 (d, C-4), 108.2 (d, C-5), 128.0 (d), 129.9 (d), 130.0 (d), 132.3 (s), 132.8 (s), 145.1 (s), 145.5 (s).

erythro-3-Ethyl-4-hydroxy-5-methoxy-3-methyltetrahydrofuran (6c₁) and its Tosylate 6c₃ (Tables 6 and 7).

Ditosylate **5c** (830 mg, 1.42 mmoles) was treated as in the preparation of $\bf 6b_1$ to give, after chromatography, $\bf 6c_1$ (70 mg, 31%); ir (neat): 3450, 1105, 1040, 1000 cm⁻¹; and $\bf 6c_3$ (410 mg, 92%); ir (neat): 1595, 1365, 1190, 1180, 1100, 1060, 850, 665 cm⁻¹.

This compound $6c_1$ was also obtained from the tosylate $6c_3$ on treatment with sodium in methanol in 82% yield.

p-Nitrobenzoate (**6c**₂) of *erythro-*3-Ethyl-4-hydroxy-5-methoxy-3-methyltetrahydrofuran (Tables 6, 7 and 10).

This compound was obtained as colorless prisms (hexane-ethyl acetate) after chromatography in 97% yield from 6c₁.

p-Nitrobenzoate (7c₂) of erythro-Dihydro-4-ethyl-3-hydroxy-4-methyl-2(3H)-furanone (7c₁) (Tables 6, 7 and 10).

p-Nitrobenzoate 6c₂ (40 mg, 0.13 mmole) was treated as in the preparation of 7b₂ to give, after chromatography, crystalline 7c₂ (35 mg, 90%), mp 112-113°.

erythro-Dihydro-4-ethyl-3-hydroxy-4-methyl-2(3H)-furanone (7c₁).

This compound was obtained as a viscous oil in 94% yield from 7c₂; tlc Rf (0.32, 2:1 hexane-ethyl acetate); ir (neat): 3430, 2950, 1770, 1450, 1380, 1320, 1210, 1190, 1170, 1110, 1000 cm⁻¹.

The tlc, ir, ¹H nmr and ¹³C nmr spectral data (Tables 6 and 7) matched those [7] [10] for the natural compound.

Acknowledgement.

The authors are greatly indebted to Mr. Junichi Goda for the elemental analyses.

REFERENCES AND NOTES

- [1] T. Kinoshita, K. Miyano and T. Miwa, Bull. Chem. Soc. Japan, 48, 1865 (1975)
 - [2] T. Kinoshita and T. Miwa, Carbohydr Res., 28, 175 (1973).
 - [3] T. Kinoshita and T. Miwa, Bull. Chem. Soc. Japan, 51, 225 (1978).
 - [4] A. J. Birch and J. Slobbe, Tetrahedron Letters, 627 (1975).
 - [5] J. Slobbe, Aust. J. Chem., 29, 2553 (1976).
- [6] T. Kinoshita, M. Hirano and N. Yoshida, Synthesis, in press (1991).
 - [7] W. Herz and M. Bruno, Phytochemistry, 26, 1175 (1987).
- [8a] A. Henbest and R. A. Wilson, J. Chem. Soc., 1957 (1958); [b] P. Kocovsky and I. Stary, J. Org. Chem., 55, 3236 (1990).
- [9] P. A. Grieco, T. Oguri and Y. Yokoyama, Tetrahedron Letters, 419 (1978).
- [10] K. Tadano, S. Kanazawa and S. Ogawa, J. Org. Chem., 53, 3868 (1988).