Lewis-Base Behavior of Methyltellurium(IV) Bromides

Ming T. Chen and John W. George

Contribution from the Department of Chemistry, University of Massachusetts, Amherst, Massachusetts 01002. Received February 9, 1968

Abstract: To assess the Lewis-base properties of methyl-substituted tellurium(IV) bromides, infrared spectroscopic, electrical conductance, and colligative property measurements have been employed to characterize trimethyltellurium bromide, dimethyltellurium dibromide, methyltellurium tribromide, and the adducts formed by the first two of these substances with boron tribromide. Crystalline trimethyltellurium bromide is ionic, behaves as a strong electrolyte in dimethylformamide solution, and readily forms trimethyltellurium tetrabromoborate with BBr₃. Dimethyltellurium dibromide is a molecular substance and forms a 2:1 adduct, $[(CH_3)_2 TeBr_2]_2 \cdot BBr_3$, which is extensively dissociated in benzene and nitrobenzene solutions, the latter having a small electrical conductance. Methyltellurium tribromide displays a concentration-dependent association in benzene solution, with a limiting n value of approximately 2. The tribromide is a nonelectrolyte in nitrobenzene and fails to react with BBr₃.

 R^{ecently} the Lewis-base characteristics of the methyl-substituted selenium(IV) halides, trimethylselenium chloride,¹ dimethylselenium dichloride and dibromide,² and methylselenium trichloride,¹ have been described. With boron trichloride as the Lewis acid the trimethyl compound, which is, in fact, an ionic substance in the crystalline state, forms a 1:1 addition compound best formulated as trimethylselenium(IV) tetrachloroborate, (CH₃)₃Se+BCl₄-. The dihalides, although molecular in the solid state, also form 1:1 addition compounds. These were shown to be composed of halodimethylselenium(IV), $(CH_3)_2SeX^+$, and BX_4^- ions. The trichloride, however, does not react with BCl₃. Of particular interest is the failure of the molecular selenium compounds to employ in a classical donor manner the stereochemically active electron pair associated with the selenium atom. In the present paper the results of studies involving a series of substituted tellurium(IV) bromides are presented.

Experimental Section

Materials. Preparation of Tellurium Compounds. Trimethyltellurium iodide (4.3 g, 14.3 mmoles), prepared according to the Scott method,³ was ground with excess silver(I) oxide. The resulting mixture was extracted with 100 ml of water, concentrated hydrobromic acid (1.6 ml, 15 mmoles) was added to the extract, and the solution was evaporated to 10 ml. The addition of acetone produced a precipitate, and this was recrystallized from a wateracetone mixture to give 3.5 g of the colorless, crystalline product. This material decomposes above 250°. Anal. Calcd for C_3H_9 -TeBr: Te, 50.5; Br, 31.7. Found: Te, 49.6; Br, 31.8.

 α -Dimethyltellurium dibromide⁴ was prepared by reaction of $(CH_3)_2TeI_2$ with AgNO₃ and HBr. The colorless crystals melted in the 94–95° range (lit.⁴ mp 92°). *Anal.* Calcd for C₂H₆TeBr₂: Te, 40.3; Br, 50.5. Found: Te, 39.3; Br, 50.2.

(5) H. D. K. Drew, *ibid.*, 560 (1929).

Methyltellurium tribromide was prepared by reaction of bromine and dimethyl ditelluride.⁷ The greenish yellow crystalline material darkens and decomposes above 140° . *Anal.* Calcd for CH₃-TeBr₃: Te, 33.4; Br, 62.8. Found: Te, 33.4; Br, 62.1.

Adduct of Trimethyltellurium Bromide and Boron Tribromide. $(CH_{a})_{3}$ TeBr (1.69 g, 6.69 mmoles) and boron tribromide (15 g, 60 mmoles) were mixed in a vacuum line trap and the system magnetically stirred at room temperature. At certain times the excess BBr₃ was removed by standard vacuum-line means, and the weight gain of $(CH_{a})_{3}$ TeBr was determined. The BBr₃ which had been removed was then returned to the reaction vessel and the procedure repeated. After 8 hr the weight increase corresponded to a 1:1.01 mole ratio of $(CH_{3})_{3}$ TeBr to BBr₃, and this ratio remained effectively constant thereafter; experiments were run as long as 8 days. The colorless product, which darkens at 180° and decomposes rapidly at 215°, is slightly soluble, with reaction, in dimethylformamide but insoluble in benzene. Anal. Calcd for C₂H₃TeBBr₄: Te, 25.4; Br, 63.6. Found: Te, 24.9; Br, 63.6.

Adduct of Dimethyltellurium Dibromide and Boron Tribromide. (a) From Benzene Solution. $(CH_3)_2 \text{TeBr}_2$ (1.65 g, 5.2 mmoles) and BBr₃ (6.5 g, 26 mmoles) were dissolved in benzene (10.5 g) in a vacuum-line vessel. After standing overnight at 0° the solution contained a quantity of long, colorless, needle-like crystals. This material was recrystallized from 10 ml of a benzene solution of BBr₃ (19 mmoles), and the vessel containing the product at room temperature was subjected to evacuation until a vapor pressure of less than 1 mm was observed. Spectroscopic examination of the vapor above the solid showed it to be BBr₃ exclusively. The colorless solid (1.19 g) melts in the 57–58° range. Anal. Calcd for C₄H₁₂Te₂BBr₇: Te, 28.9; Br, 63.3. Found: Te, 27.4; Br, 62.1.

In a related experiment benzene and the major portion of excess BBr₃ were first removed from the reaction vessel by pumping. Thereafter, with continued pumping, the decrease in weight gained (compared to the original weight of $(CH_3)_2TeBr_2$) with time was followed by periodic weighing of the reaction vessel. Graphic representation of these data showed a sharp break in the plot of weight gain vs. time at a mole ratio of $(CH_3)_2TeBr_2$ to BBr₃ equal to 2.04:1. Continued evacuation of the system for 4 days removes all BBr₃, and the $(CH_3)_2TeBr_2$ starting material is recovered.

(b) Via Direct Reaction. This experiment, similar to that described above for preparing the adduct of $(CH_3)_3TeBr$, employed 1.39 g of $(CH_3)_2TeBr_2$ (4.4 mmoles) and 19.0 g of BBr₃ (76 mmoles). A plot of weight gain vs. reaction time showed a rapid weight increase during the first 8 hr, but a relatively constant value of total weight gain thereafter. The constant value corresponded to a mole ratio of $(CH_3)_2TeBr_2$ to BBr₃ equal to 1.98:1. Anal. Calcd for $C_4H_{12}Te_2BBr_1$: Te, 28.9. Found: Te, 29.4.

Attempted Reaction of Methyltellurium Tribromide and Boron Tribromide. CH_3TeBr_3 was mixed with excess BBr_3 , both in benzene solution and in the absence of solvent. In no case was any evidence of reaction observed; unchanged CH_3TeBr_3 was quan-

K. J. Wynne and J. W. George, 154th National Meeting of the American Chemical Society, Chicago, Ill., Sept 15, 1967.
 K. J. Wynne and J. W. George, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 87, 4750

⁽²⁾ K. J. Wynne and J. W. George, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 87, 4750 (1965).

⁽³⁾ A. Scott, Proc. Chem. Soc., 20, 156 (1904).

⁽⁴⁾ R. H. Vernon, J. Chem. Soc., 117, 86 (1920), first reported this compound and found that it exists in two forms. These are the α form, which is used in the work described in this paper, and the β form, which is a bright orange powder melting at 142° and later predicted⁸ to be a salt-like material, $(CH_3)_3$ Te⁺CH₃TeBr₄-. Recently, X-ray crystallographic investigation⁶ has shown the corresponding β -diiodide to be the ionic substance $(CH_3)_3$ Te⁺CH₃TeI₄-.

⁽⁶⁾ F. Einstein, J. Trotter, and C. Williston, ibid., A, 2018 (1967).

⁽⁷⁾ M. T. Chen and J. W. George, J. Organometal. Chem. (Amsterdam), 12, 401 (1968).

 Table I.
 Electrical Conductance Data for Solutions of Methyl-Substituted Tellurium(IV) Bromides, the Boron Tribromide Adduct of Dimethyltellurium Dibromide, and Comparison Solutes

Solute	Solvent	Temp, °C	Concn, mM	Molar conduct- ance, cm ² ohm ⁻¹ mole ⁻¹
$\overline{(C_2H_5)_4NBr}$	$C_6H_5NO_2$	$24.0~\pm~0.5$	5.75	26.5
			1.70	31.7
A X X X	oo		0.55	33.4
CH ₃ TeBr ₃	$C_6H_5NO_2$	24.0 ± 0.5	7.37	0.38
			1.74	0.60
			0.56	1.43
$(CH_3)_2 TeBr_2$	$C_6H_5NO_2$	26.0 ± 0.5	15.0	0.015
			3.0	0.029
			0.12	0.48
$[(CH_3)_2 TeBr_2]_2 BBr_3$	$C_6H_5NO_2$	24.0 ± 0.5	8.95	0.81
			2.24	2.14
			0.56	5.12
			0.14	6.50
KBr	DMF	26.0 ± 0.5	1.56	70
			0.39	77
			0.10	84
(CH ₃) ₃ TeBr	DMF	26.0 ± 0.5	6.6	44
			1.65	59
			0.41	72

ployed to obtain proton resonance data for CCl₄ solutions of each of the following (chemical shift values in parts per million vs. tetramethylsilane internal standard): $(CH_3)_2$ Te, 1.88; $(CH_3)_2$ TeBr₂ 3.33; CH₃TeBr₈, 7.36. In each case a single, sharp peak is found. $(CH_3)_3$ TeBr was insufficiently soluble in CCl₄ to obtain a spectrum.

Analyses. Bromine was determined using standard gravimetric technique.⁸ Tellurium analyses were initiated by a 3-hr sodium peroxide fusion at 240° in a sealed glass tube. After cooling and opening the tube the contents was dissolved in water, the excess peroxide destroyed by evaporation, and the solution acidified with concentrated hydrochloric acid. Saturation with sulfur dioxide and treatment with hydrazonium hydrochloride gave elementary tellurium which, after washing and drying, was weighed.

Discussion

Characterization of Methyl-Substituted Tellurium(IV) Bromides. The general nature of these compounds is readily discernible from the data given in Tables I–III. The 1:1 electrolyte behavior of $(CH_3)_3$ TeBr in DMF is demonstrated by the similarity of molar conductance values for this solution with those of KBr in the same solvent. That an ionic formulation is appropriate to crystalline $(CH_3)_3$ TeBr is established by the lack of infrared absorption in the Te–Br stretching frequency region.⁹⁻¹¹ Further, the weak absorption at 534 cm⁻¹ and the broad, strong absorption at 78 cm⁻¹ are readily

Table II. Infrared Absorption Frequencies (cm⁻¹) and Proposed Assignments for Dimethyl Telluride, Trimethyltellurium Bromide, Dimethyltellurium Dibromide, Methyltellurium Tribromide, and Boron Tribromide Adducts^a

(CH ₃) ₂ Te ^b	(CH₃)₃TeBr	(CH₃)₃TeBr · BBr₃	(CH ₃) ₂ TeBr ₂	$[(CH_3)_2 TeBr_2]_2 - BBr_3$	CH₃TeBr₃	CH3TeBr3 in C6H6	Proposed assignment
1227 m	1233 w	1262 w	1239 w	1234 w		с	-CH ₃
1208 m	1212 w	1237 w	1219 w	1211 w			deformation
	967 wm	971 w	976 w	977 w			
875 s	905 m	912 s	908 m	912 w			-CH ₃ rocking
837 s	829 m	833 w	855 m	857, w, sh	858 s		• •
				848 vs			Free BBr ₃ ?
				812 vs			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
		606 vs		608 m			BBr₄⁻
528 s	534 w	538 m	538 w	535 w	524 vw		Te-CH ₂ stretch
			185 s	188 s	226 vs	220 s	Te-Br stretch
			148 s	149 s	202 s	200 s	
					133 s, br	120 s, br	Te-Br bridge stretch?
			103 s	102 s	105 m, br	104 vw	Br-Te-Br
			98 s	98 s	,		deformation
	78 s, br	40 m, br					Lattice mode

^a vs, very strong; s, strong; m, medum; w, weak; br, broad; sh, shoulder. ^b Data for liquid sample taken from ref 12. ^c 500-1200-cm⁻¹ region not examined.

titatively recovered, and infrared examination of the volatile material showed only absorptions for BBr₃ and, when used, solvent.

Miscellaneous Chemicals. Standard methods were employed to purify boron tribromide (K and K Laboratories) and reagent grade solvents prior to use. Nitrobenzene used in conductance measurements had specific conductances in the $0.5-2.5 \times 10^{-7}$ ohm⁻¹ cm⁻¹ range; the corresponding value for dimethylformamide was 5.2×10^{-7} ohm⁻¹ cm⁻¹.

Physical Measurements. Electrical conductance measurements, the results of which are presented in Table I, were carried out on nitrobenzene and dimethylformamide solutions using glass cells with platinum electrodes and a General Radio Co. 1650A impedance bridge. Infrared spectroscopic data, Table II, were obtained using Perkin-Elmer Model 21 and Infracord and Beckman IR 10 and IR 11 spectrometers. Solid samples were examined as mineral oil mulls between KBr or polyethylene disks. The solution spectrum was obtained using a polyethylene cell of 1-mm path length. Molecular weights or, where association of the species of interest occurred, average degree of association, \bar{n} , were determined cryoscopically in benzene and nitrobenzene, and in benzene using a Mechrolab Model 301A vapor-pressure osmometer. These results are presented in Table III. A Varian A-60 spectrometer was em-

assigned to the Te-CH₃ stretching frequency¹² and crystal lattice mode, respectively. The ionic character of $(CH_3)_3$ TeBr is parallel to that known for $(CH_3)_3$ SeCl,¹ $(CH_3)_3$ Sel,¹³ and $(CH_3)_3$ Sl.¹⁴

Dimethyltellurium dibromide is clearly monomeric in either benzene or nitrobenzene solution. Since the conductance data indicate it to be a nonelectrolyte, the infrared spectrum is interpreted within the framework of a trigonal bipyramidal molecule having an electron

(8) I. M. Kolthoff and E. B. Sandell, "Textbook of Quantitative Inorganic Analysis," The Macmillan Co., New York, N. Y., 1952, p 307.

- (9) N. N. Greenwood and B. P. Straughan, J. Chem. Soc., A, 962 (1966).
- (10) N. N. Greenwood and B. P. Straughan, *ibid.*, 1479 (1966).
 (11) J. W. George, N. Katsaros, and K. J. Wynne, *Inorg. Chem.*, 6,
- 903 (1967).

(12) J. R. Alkins and P. J. Hendra, Spectrochim. Acta, 22, 2075 (1966).
(13) H. Hope, Acta Cryst., 20, 610 (1966).

(14) D. E. Zuccaro and J. D. McCullough, Z. Krist., 112, 401 (1959).

Table III. Colligative Property Data for Methyltellurium Tribromide, Dimethyltellurium Dibromide, and the Boron Tribromide Adduct of Dimethyltellurium Dibromide in Benzene and Nitrobenzene Solution

Substance ^a	Method	Solvent	Concn, M	Exptl mol wt	Av deg of association, <i>i</i>
(CH ₃) ₂ TeBr ₂ (317)	Osmometry	C ₆ H ₆	0.054	316	
	Cryoscopy	C ₆ H ₅ NO ₂	0.196	331	
$[(CH_3)_2 TeBr_2]_2 BBr_3 (886)$	Osmometry	C_6H_6	0.0184	308	
	-		0.0288	331	
	Cryoscopy	C_6H_6	0.0446	320	
		$C_6H_5NO_2$	0.0562	345	
CH3TeBr3 (383)	Osmometry	C ₆ H ₆	0.0087		1.45
			0.0154		1.70
			0.0295		1.84
			0.0526		1.94
			0.0705		1.98

^a Formula weight in parentheses.

pair in one of the equatorial positions. The molecule is thus similar in general form to related selenium compounds whose infrared spectra have been discussed in some detail.² The two infrared-active Te-Br stretching frequencies occur at 185 and 148 cm⁻¹, and Br-Te-Br bending motions are associated with the well-resolved doublet at 100 cm⁻¹. The configuration of the dibromide thus corresponds to that of the analogous dichloride, the structure of which has been determined by X-ray crystallographic means.¹⁵

The colligative properties of methyltellurium tribromide solutions evidence association increasing to a limiting n value of 2 with increasing concentration. The infrared spectroscopic results, although very similar in the low-frequency range for crystalline CH3TeBr3 and for benzene solutions of the substance, are indecisive in distinguishing between various models for the molecule. In each instance a minimum of three absorptions which may be associated with Te-Br stretching frequencies appear; two of these, at 226 and 202 cm⁻¹ for the crystalline material, are higher in frequency than the Te-Br modes in $(CH_3)_2$ TeBr₂, and the other, at 133 cm⁻¹, is lower. This latter frequency could be due to a Te-Br bridging mode in an associated species. No significant differences in absorption frequency or relative intensity were observed for 10 and 60 mM benzene solutions of CH₃TeBr₃.

Comparing the methyl proton resonance values of 3.33 and 7.36 ppm for the di- and tribromide, respectively, with the value of 1.88 ppm found for dimethyl telluride demonstrates the expected downfield shift with increasing bromine content of the molecule.

Adduct Formation with Boron Tribromide. Two of the above compounds, $(CH_3)_3$ TeBr and $(CH_3)_2$ TeBr₂, form addition compounds with BBr₃. The 1:1 adduct of the former is too insoluble in benzene or nitrobenzene to permit either molecular weight or electrical conductance measurements to be carried out, and when the adduct was added to DMF a white precipitate, identified as $(CH_3)_3$ TeBr, was formed. This observation may be correlated with the strong Lewis-base character of DMF; thus

 $(CH_3)_3$ TeBr · BBr₃ + DMF = $(CH_3)_3$ TeBr + DMF · BBr₃

The infrared spectrum of $(CH_3)_3$ TeBr \cdot BBr₃, however, provides strong evidence for an ionic formulation, $(CH_3)_3$ Te⁺BBr₄⁻. The strong band at 606 cm⁻¹ is in a

(15) G. D. Christofferson, R. A. Sparks, and J. D. McCullough, Acta Cryst., 11, 782 (1958).

position characteristic of the antisymmetric stretching frequency, ν_3 , of the BBr₄⁻ ion.¹⁶ Frequencies of BBr₃ in "-XBBr₃" species are found in the 670-700-cm⁻¹ range,^{17, 18} and the possibility of a tellurium-to-boron bond is therefore unlikely. The absorption at 40 cm⁻¹, assigned to a lattice mode, is significantly lower than the corresponding vibration of (CH₃)₃TeBr, as would be expected.

The BBr₃ adduct of dimethyltellurium dibromide is of lower thermal stability than that of trimethyltellurium bromide and exhibits a small vapor pressure of BBr₃ at room temperature. It is soluble in benzene and nitrobenzene, but cryoscopic and osmometric measurements are in accord with extensive dissociation. This conclusion is supported by the spectroscopic detection of BBr_3 in the vapor phase over the solution. The low conductance values for nitrobenzene solutions of the adduct suggest that the tendency to form ions in solution is less favored than the decomposition to $(CH_3)_2$ TeBr₂ and BBr₃. The infrared spectrum of the solid adduct differs from a composite spectrum of a mixture of $(CH_3)_2$ TeBr₂ and free BBr₃ only in the presence of the absorption at 608 cm⁻¹. Considering the low thermal stability of the adduct it appears probable that at least partial decomposition occurs in the infrared beam. In view of the interesting 2:1 composition of this adduct it is unfortunate that decomposition and the possible overlapping of absorptions results in a spectrum not useful in structural characterization.¹⁹

Finally, the possibility that the 2:1 composition may be the result of transformation⁴ of α -(CH₃)₂TeBr₂ to the β form, and subsequent reaction of BBr₃ with either (CH₃)₃Te⁺ or CH₃TeBr₄⁻ ion, is considered unlikely in view of the colorless nature of the adduct. As noted earlier, CH₃TeBr₄⁻ displays a bright orange color, and the presence in the adduct of any significant amount of this ion, whether free or complexed, should be readily observable.

Lewis-Base Characteristics of Methyltellurium Tribromide. The failure of CH_3TeBr_3 to react with BBr_3

(16) J. A. Creighton, J. Chem. Soc., 6589 (1965).

(17) W. Gerrard, M. F. Lappert, H. Pyszora, and J. W. Wallis, *ibid.*, 2144 (1960).

(18) A. H. Cowley and S. T. Cohen, Inorg. Chem., 4, 1200 (1965).

(19) A referee has suggested ¹H and ¹¹B nmr examination of solutions of the adduct and KBr disk sampling for the solid adduct as a means of obtaining useful structural data. However, the extensive dissociation of the adduct in solution could, at the very least, severely complicate interpretation of the former type of data, and thermal effects inherent in the disk sampling procedure could adversely affect the solid adduct. It is probable that unambiguous structural information can be derived only through low-temperature, single-crystal X-ray examination. finds a parallel in a similar lack of reaction between CH₃SeCl₃ and BCl₃.¹ The presence of three electronwithdrawing halogen atoms bonded to the chalcogen atom in each instance should reduce the possibility of the removal of a halogen as an ion. This electron-withdrawing effect is amply evident in the large downfield shift of the proton resonance of CH₃TeBr₃ in CCl₄ as compared to $(CH_3)_2$ TeBr₂. In addition, the pronounced intermolecular association observed for solutions of CH₃TeBr₃ and of CH₃SeCl₃ may also be significant in preventing interaction with BX₃.

Acknowledgment. We are grateful to Professor R. R. Holmes for the opportunity to use the far-infrared instrumentation in his laboratory and thank N. Katsaros and K. Gucwa for assistance in obtaining spectroscopic data. The National Science Foundation provided financial support of this work through Grant GP-6189.

A Scale of Relative Lewis Acidities from Proton Magnetic Resonance Data¹

John F. Deters, Patrick A. McCusker, and Richard C. Pilger, Jr.

Contribution from the Department of Chemistry and the Radiation Laboratory of the University of Notre Dame,² Notre Dame, Indiana 46556. Received November 21, 1967

Abstract: A scale of relative Lewis acidities has been set up for a number of inorganic halides and substituted inorganic halides based on the ratios of changes in the pmr chemical shifts of the α protons of ethers on complex formation. The sterically most favorable ether, tetrahydrofuran, was chosen as the reference base. Rapid exchange of Lewis acids among excess Lewis base sites occurs with all but the strongest acid-strongest base system studied. The pmr data on the complexes of boron fluoride and boron chloride indicate that back bonding persists in the complexes and that the bonding is dominantly electrostatic in character. When steric factors are not differentiating in either acid or base, relative Lewis acidities are unaffected by changes in the reference ether base. Steric inaccessibility in either acid or base causes pronounced changes in relative Lewis acidities toward ethers. For the chlorides of group IIIB elements, acidities increase with the electronegativity of the element. For the halides of group IVB elements steric rather than electronic factors appear to be controlling.

The relative strengths of Lewis acids have been compared using a variety of methods, and the results have been reviewed in the literature.^{3,4} Although it has been reported by Diehl⁵ that the interaction of a typical Lewis acid, boron fluoride, with ethers causes a marked downfield shift of the α -proton magnetic resonance, presumably due to electron withdrawal by the Lewis acid, this type of measurement has not been systematically used for the measurement of relative Lewis acidities. In the present paper are presented the results of some such measurements on a variety of inorganic halide- and substituted inorganic halide-ether systems.

To minimize steric effects, tetrahydrofuran was selected as a standard reference base for a scale of relative Lewis acidities. A value of 100 has been assigned to boron chloride, the strongest Lewis acid studied. The relative acidities of other Lewis acids are expressed as a percentage of the effect observed for boron chloride. Additional reference bases have been used to evaluate steric factors in Lewis acid-Lewis base interactions.

(2) The Radiation Laboratory of the University of Notre Dame is operated under contract with the U. S. Atomic Energy Commission. This is AEC Document COO-38-581.

(3) F. G. A. Stone, *Chem. Rev.*, 58, 101 (1958).
(4) C. T. Mortimer, "Reaction Heats and Bond Strengths," Pergamon Press, New York, N. Y., 1962, Chapter 6.

(5) P. Diehl, Helv. Phys. Acta, 31, 685 (1958).

Experimental Section

The ethers used in this work were commercial products and were purified by fractional distillation. The inorganic halides were reagent grade chemicals and except for titanium tetrachloride were used as received. The titanium tetrachloride was fractionally distilled before use. The alkyl-substituted boron halides were products previously prepared in this laboratory.6

The boron fluoride complexes were prepared by direct synthesis and purified by vacuum distillation. The remaining Lewis acid-Lewis base systems were prepared by direct weighing of the components. No solvents were used other than excess of Lewis acid or base and approximately 5% tetramethylsilane. Samples for measurements were prepared and handled in an atmosphere of dry nitrogen.

Proton magnetic resonance spectra were obtained using a Varian Associates Model HR-60 high-resolution nmr spectrometer. Chemical shifts were obtained using the side-band technique with tetramethylsilane as internal standard. A Hewlett-Packard Model-521C electronic counter was used to measure side bands to 0.1 cps. The chemical shifts so obtained showed an average deviation of less than 0.4 cps. Measurements were made at ambient temperature $(28 \pm 1^{\circ})$. Liquids for measurement were kept at Dry Ice temperature until the spectra were run. None of the pmr spectra gave evidence of the presence of cleavage products.

Results and Discussion

The downfield shifts of the α -proton resonances of ethers on complexing with Lewis acids were determined, when solubility and melting point factors permitted, both for the pure complex and for solutions con-

(6) P. A. McCusker and J. H. Bright, J. Inorg. Nucl. Chem., 28, 2261 (1966).

⁽¹⁾ J. F. Deters, P. A. McCusker, and R. C. Pilger, Jr., Abstracts, 148th National Meeting of the American Chemical Society, Chicago, Ill., Sept 1964, p 6-V.