

## THE SELECTIVE DISTINCTION OF DIETHYLISOPROPYLSILYL ETHER IN HYDROGENOLYSIS

Kazunobu Toshima, Koji Yanagawa, Satsuki Mukaiyama, and Kuniaki Tatsuta\*

Department of Applied Chemistry, Keio University  
3-14-1 Hiyoshi, Kohoku-Ku, Yokohama 223, JAPAN

**Summary:** The diethylisopropylsilyl ether was distinguished from *t*-butyldimethylsilyl, *t*-butyldiphenylsilyl and benzyl ethers with high selectivity in hydrogenolysis by use of  $\text{Pd}(\text{OH})_2$  as a catalyst.

Selection of the suitable protecting groups sometimes becomes key problem in the synthesis of complex natural products and silyl ethers are amongst the most useful protective groups<sup>1</sup>). Recently, we have reported the some advantages of the diethylisopropylsilyl (DEIPS) group which was a novel silyl group for hydroxyl function in organic synthesis<sup>2,3</sup>). In this communication, we wish to demonstrate that DEIPS ether was highly distinguished from *t*-butyldimethylsilyl (TBS)<sup>4</sup>), *t*-butyldiphenylsilyl (TBDPS)<sup>5</sup>) and benzyl ethers in hydrogenolysis using  $\text{Pd}(\text{OH})_2$  as a catalyst under neutral condition.

The benzyl ether was cleaved cleanly without deprotection of DEIPS group in hydrogenolysis in the presence of catalytic amounts of  $\text{Pd}(\text{OH})_2$ <sup>6</sup>) in *dioxane* 3a)(entries 1 in Table 1 and 2). In contrast to this fact, DEIPS group was removed smoothly with deprotection of benzyl ether in *MeOH* (entries 2 in Table 1 and 2). The change of the solvent made it possible to control the high selective distinction of DEIPS ether from benzyl ether.

Our next attention was turned to the selective deprotection of DEIPS group in the presence of TBDPS and TBS groups, which were widely used silyl protecting groups, in hydrogenolysis. The results summarized as entries 3 and 4 in Table 1 and 2 showed that DEIPS group was distinguished from these protecting groups with high selectivity and deprotected in *MeOH* in both primary and secondary positions. Although reaction times were not constant, the selectivities of the reactions were not significantly influenced by the reaction times.

These results indicated that DEIPS group was highly distinguished from TBS, TBDPS and benzyl ethers under neutral condition.

**Acknowledgement:** We are grateful to the Institute of Microbial Chemistry for the generous support of our program. Financial support by the Ministry of Education, Science and Culture (Grant-in-Aid Scientific Research) is gratefully acknowledged.

### References and Notes:

- 1) T. W. Green, "Protective Groups in Organic Synthesis", John Wiley and Sons, New York, 1981.
- 2) (a) K. Toshima, K. Tatsuta, and M. Kinoshita, *Tetrahedron Lett.*, **27**, 4741 (1986); (b) K. Toshima, K. Tatsuta, and M. Kinoshita, *Bull. Chem. Soc. Jpn.*, **61**, 2369 (1988).
- 3) (a) K. Toshima, S. Mukaiyama, M. Kinoshita, and K. Tatsuta, *Tetrahedron Lett.*, **30**, 6413 (1989); (b) K. Toshima, M. Misawa, K. Ohta, K. Tatsuta, M. Kinoshita, *Tetrahedron Lett.*, **30**, 6417 (1989).

Table 1.<sup>7,8)</sup>

Entries	1	2	3	4
$R^1O\text{---}CH_2CH_2CH_2CH_2CH_2CH_2OR^2$	$R^1=Bn$ $R^2=DEIPS$	$R^1=Bn$ $R^2=DEIPS$	$R^1=TBDPS$ $R^2=DEIPS$	$R^1=TBS$ $R^2=DEIPS$
Reaction Conditions	<i>dioxane</i> 26°C, 4.5h	<i>MeOH</i> 26°C, 6h	<i>MeOH</i> 26°C, 1h	<i>MeOH</i> 40°C, 1h
Products				
$R^1O\text{---}CH_2CH_2CH_2CH_2CH_2CH_2OR^2$	0%	0%	0%	0%
$R^1O\text{---}CH_2CH_2CH_2CH_2CH_2CH_2OH$ (Type A)	0%	0%	99%	71%
$HO\text{---}CH_2CH_2CH_2CH_2CH_2CH_2OR^2$ (Type B)	98%	0%	0%	12%
$HO\text{---}CH_2CH_2CH_2CH_2CH_2CH_2OH$	0%	93%	0%	15%

Table 2.<sup>7,8)</sup>

Entries	1	2	3	4
$R^1O\text{---}CH(CH_3)CH_2CH_2CH_2CH_2CH_2OR^2$	$R^1=Bn$ $R^2=DEIPS$	$R^1=Bn$ $R^2=DEIPS$	$R^1=TBDPS$ $R^2=DEIPS$	$R^1=TBS$ $R^2=DEIPS$
Reaction Conditions	<i>dioxane</i> 26°C, 3h	<i>MeOH</i> 26°C, 3h	<i>MeOH</i> 26°C, 1h	<i>MeOH</i> 26°C, 1h
Products				
$R^1O\text{---}CH(CH_3)CH_2CH_2CH_2CH_2CH_2OR^2$	0%	0%	0%	0.5%
$R^1O\text{---}CH(CH_3)CH_2CH_2CH_2CH_2CH_2OH$ (Type A)	0%	0%	98%	75%
$HO\text{---}CH(CH_3)CH_2CH_2CH_2CH_2CH_2OR^2$ (Type B)	96%	0%	0%	3%
$HO\text{---}CH(CH_3)CH_2CH_2CH_2CH_2CH_2OH$	0%	91%	0%	13%

- 4) E. J. Corey and A. Venkateswarlu, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, **94**, 6190 (1972).  
 5) S. Hanessian and P. Lavalley, *Can. J. Chem.*, **53**, 2975 (1975).  
 6) Purchased from Aldrich Chemical Company, Inc..  
 7) All new products were purified by silica gel column chromatography and were fully characterized by spectroscopic means.  
 8) Isolated yield after column chromatography on silica gel. The ratio of type A and type B was determined by <sup>1</sup>H-NMR analysis.