Cycloruthenated tertiary amines and ethylene: further insight to the Ru-mediated olefin-aryl coupling reaction

Vincent Ritleng, Jean Pascal Sutter,† Michel Pfeffer* and Claude Sirlin

Laboratoire de Synthèses Métallo-Induites, UMR CNRS 7513 Université Louis Pasteur, 4 rue Blaise Pascal, 67070 Strasbourg, France. E-mail: pfeffer@chimie.u-strasbg.fr

Received (in Basel, Switzerland) 29th October 1999, Accepted 6th December 1999

The reaction between cycloruthenated *N*,*N*-dimethylbenzylamine and ethylene under very mild conditions afforded 2-vinyl-*N*,*N*-dimethylbenzylamine and an organometallic Ru derivative resulting from the overall insertion of one carbon atom in the Ru–C bond of the starting material.

The insertion of an olefin in a transition metal–carbon σ -bond is a classical reaction in organometallic chemistry. With palladium, this process is followed by β -hydrogen elimination and is widely known as the Heck reaction. Recently, functionalisation reactions of aryl C–H bonds with terminal olefins catalysed by ruthenium hydride complexes were reported. In these reactions the products are alkyl substituted compounds resulting from the 'formal' insertion of the olefinic double bond into a C–H bond. We now report that air stable cycloruthenated N,N-dimethylbenzylamine derivatives react at low pressure (1.5 atm) and room temperature (RT) with ethylene to afford vinylbenzylamines, as in the Heck reaction, and an organometallic compound resulting from the insertion of ethylene into the Ru–C bond.

In a typical experiment, an orange suspension of $(\eta^6-C_6H_6)Ru(C_6H_4CH_2NMe_2)Cl$ **1a** in methanol was stirred at room temperature under 1.5 bar of ethylene over 1.5 h [eqn. (1)]. 2-Vinyl-*N*,*N*-dimethylbenzylamine **2a**‡ and the new organoruthenium compound **3a**‡ were isolated. The ¹H and ¹³C NMR spectra and combustion analyses of **3a** are consistent with the structure depicted in eqn. (1).

We found that it was possible to influence the ratio of 2a to 3a by removing the chloride ligand of the starting material. Thus, the yellow cationic derivative $1a'^{4c}$ led to a much higher yield of the red organometallic species 3a' when it was treated with ethylene [eqn. (2)].

These reactions led to the formation of a chiral C atom σ-bonded to Ru, the Ru atom being itself a stereogenic center.⁴

Interestingly, only one enantiomeric pair was observed by $^1\mathrm{H}$ NMR in both cases, indicating that these reactions occur with a high level of diastereoselectivity. The stereochemistry of 3a has been investigated by a $^1\mathrm{H}$ NOE experiment. The η^6 -benzene ring was found to interact strongly with one of the *N*-methyl and with the *C*-methyl which also interacts with the proton of the aryl ligand. The proton on the carbon α to Ru (C') interacts neither with the latter nor with the η^6 -benzene ring. Consequently, 3a displays a boat conformation, with the C-methyl and the η^6 -benzene ring in the equatorial and the axial positions respectively. The absolute configuration of the enantiomers are thus ($R_{\mathrm{Ru}}R_{\mathrm{C'}}$) and ($S_{\mathrm{Ru}}S_{\mathrm{C'}}$).

In order to confirm the chiral control of the reaction, the optically active complex $(\eta^6\text{-}C_6H_6)\text{Ru}(C_6H_4\text{-}2\text{-}(R)\text{-}CH(Me)\text{N-}Me_2)\text{Cl }1b$ (de = 90%)⁴ was treated with ethylene under the same conditions. Surprisingly in addition to 2b and 3b,‡ the respective analogues of 2a and 3a, a third product 4b‡ was observed in trace amounts [eqn. (3)]. Its structure is that of a new cyclometallated benzylamine derivative displaying an ethyl substituent in position 3.

For complexes 3b and 4b, one diastereoisomer only was observed by ¹H NMR indicating that these compounds are the result of highly diastereoselective processes. Unfortunately owing to its instability, **3b** could not be isolated as a pure solid. Nevertheless its stereochemistry was investigated through a ¹H ROESY experiment of the crude reaction mixture. The methyl substituent of the carbon atom σ -bonded to Ru (C') interacts with the proton of the aryl ligand and with the η6-C₆H₆ ring whereas the corresponding proton interacts with none of these. These results indicate an equatorial position for the methyl group and axial position for the η^6 -benzene ring as in 3a. The methyl group α to N interacts equally with both N-methyls and with the proton of the aryl ligand but not with the η^6 -benzene indicating an equatorial position as well. Moreover, the absence of any interaction between the η^6 -benzene ring and the benzylic proton attached to N, together with the deshielding of the latter signal, indicate that this proton should be close to the chlorine atom as in the starting material 1b. Consequently, 3b should display a boat conformation with the protons α to Ru and N on bridgehead positions and its absolute configuration should therefore be $(R_{\rm C}S_{\rm Ru}S_{\rm C'})$.

When **3b** was left in solution it isomerised slowly into **4b** together with decomposition but no conversion into **2b** was detected. Thus **2b** and **3b** are independent products which, however, are likely to come from the same intermediate (see below). The important instability of **3b** as compared to that of **3a** might be due to steric congestion around the Ru atom. The

[†] Present address: Institut de Chimie de la Matière Condensée de Bordeaux

⁻ UPR CNRS 9048-Avenue du Dr. Schweitzer 33608 Pessac, France.

rearrangement process $3b \rightarrow 4b$ remains unclear yet. Running the reaction in CD_3OD did not result in deuterium incorporation in the new ethyl group. Moreover, when a sample of the crude reaction mixture was left in an aprotic solvent such as $CDCl_3$ this demetallation—remetallation process also occured. These observations tend to indicate that 4b may be formed through an intramolecular rearrangement as demonstrated by Steenwinkel *et al.* for a related ruthenocyclic molecule.⁵

To the best of our knowledge the only precedent of a Rumediated functionalization of a C–H bond to afford a vinyl derivative such as 2a,b was reported by Murai and coworkers when reacting aromatic imines or imidates with monosubstituted olefins in the presence of catalytic amounts of $Ru_3(CO)_{12}$. It is generally assumed that this type of product is formed by β -H elimination from a carbometallation intermediate. Consequently the formation of 2a,b and 3a,b can be rationalised according to the reaction path depicted in Scheme 1. The first step involves the insertion of ethylene into the C–Ru bond followed by a β -H elimination leading to an olefinhydride complex. This leads on one hand to the metal free substituted olefin 2a,b as in the Heck reaction and on the other hand to the one carbon-atom insertion complex 3a,b by anti-Markovnikov hydrometallation of the olefinic unit (Scheme 1).

Studies are currently under way to determine the conditions that would allow us to direct the reaction toward the exclusive formation of one of these products. We thank INTAS (INTAS-97-166) for financial support of this work

Notes and references

‡ Selected data (J/Hz): **2a**: δ_{H} (200 MHz, CDCl₃) 7.55 (m, 1H, C₆H₄), 7.26 (m, 3H, C₆H₄), 7.17 (dd, 1H, CH=CH₂, ³J 17.5, ³J 11.0), 5.68 (dd, 1H, $CH=CH_EH_Z$, 3J 17.5, 2J 1.4), 5.30 (dd, 1H, $CH=CH_EH_Z$, 3J 11.0, 2J 1.4), 3.44 (s, 2H, CH₂N), 2.24 (s, 6H, NMe₂). **2b**: δ_{H} (200 MHz, CDCl₃) 7.44 (m, 2H, C_6H_4), 7.24 (m, 2H, C_6H_4), 7.23 (dd, 1H, $CH=CH_2$, 3J 17.4, 3J 11.0), 5.57 (dd, 1H, CH=CH_EH_Z, ³J 17.4, ²J 1.6), 5.29 (dd, 1H, CH=CH_EH_Z, ³J 11.0, ²J 1.6), 3.53 (q, 1H, CHCH₃, ³J 6.6), 2.21 (s, 6H, NMe₂), 1.31 (d, 3H, CHCH₃). **3a**: Anal. Calc. (found) for C₁₇H₂₂NClRu·0.25CH₂Cl₂: C, 52.04 (52.27); H, 5.70 (5.72); N, 3.52 (3.66)%. δ_{H} (300 MHz, CDCl₃) 7.58 (d, 1H, C_6H_4 , 3J 7.7), 7.33 (m, 2H, C_6H_4), 6.88 (d, 1H, C_6H_4 , 3J 4.0), 4.90 (s, 6H, C_6H_6), 3.53 (q, 1H, CHCH₃, 3J 7.1), 3.39 and 2.29 (AB, 2H, CH₂N, 2J 11.4), 3.24 and 2.28 (2s, 6H, NMe₂), 2.14 (d, 3H, CHC H_3). δ_C (75 MHz, CDCl₃) 153.8, 133.3, 129.4, 129.0, 121.6 and 120.4 (C₆H₄), 83.0 (C₆H₆), 64.7 (CH₂N), 56.5 and 56.3 (NMe), 36.8 (CHRu), 24.5 (CHCH₃). **3a'**: $\delta_{\rm H}(200$ $MHz, CD_{3}CN) \ 7.62 \ (d, 1H, C_{6}H_{4}, \, ^{3}J \ 7.4), \ 7.34 \ (m, 2H, C_{6}H_{4}), \ 6.99 \ (d, 1H, C_{6}H_{4}), \ 6.$ C_6H_4 , 3J 6.6), 5.14 (s, 6H, C_6H_6), 3.24 and 2.63 (AB, 2H, CH_2N , 2J 11.8), 3.09 (q, 1H, CHCH₃, ³J 7.4), 3.01 and 2.38 (2s, 6H, NMe₂), 2.14 (s, 3H, CH₃CN), 2.08 (d, 3H, CHC H_3). **3b**: δ_H (500 MHz, CD₂Cl₂) 7.57 (d, 1H, C_6H_4 , 3J 7.7), 7.29 (t, 1H, C_6H_4), 7.02 (d, 1H, C_6H_4). 6.90 (t, 1H, C_6H_4). 4.88 (s, 6H, C_6H_6), 3.57 (2q, 2H, CHCH₃Ru and CHCH₃N), 3.32 and 2.07 (2s, 6H, NMe₂), 2.11 (d, 3H, CHCH₃Ru, ³J 7.1), 1.29 (d, 3H, CHCH₃N, ³J 6.9). **4b**: $\delta_{H}(500 \text{ MHz}, \text{CD}_{2}\text{Cl}_{2})$ 7.51 (d, 1H, C₆H₃, ³J 7.4), 6.94 (t, 1H, C_6H_3). 6.68 (d, 1H, C_6H_3), 5.32 (s, 6H, C_6H_6), 3.45 (q, 1H, $CHCH_3$, 3J 6.6), 3.10 and 2.33 (2s, 6H, NMe₂), 2.44 (q, 2H, CH₂CH₃, ³J 7.6), 1.16 (d, 3H, CHCH₃), 1.14 (t, 3H, CH₂CH₃).

- 1 R. F. Heck, Org. React. (New York), 1982, 27, 345.
- 2 S. Murai, F. Kakiuchi, S. Sekine, Y. Tanaka, A. Kamatani, M. Sonoda and N. Chatani, *Nature*, 1993, 366, 529; F. Kakiuchi, S. Sekine, Y. Tanaka, A. Kamatani, M. Sonoda, N. Chatani and S. Murai, *Bull. Chem. Soc. Jpn.*, 1995, 68, 62.
- 3 Y. Guari, S. Sabo-Etienne and B. Chaudret, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 1998, 120, 4228
- 4 (a) H. C. L. Abbenhuis, M. Pfeffer, J. P. Sutter, A. de Cian, J. Fischer, H. Li Ji and J. H. Nelson, *Organometallics*, 1993, **12**, 4464; (b) S. Attar, J. H. Nelson, J. Fischer, A. de Cian, J. P. Sutter and M. Pfeffer, *Organometallics*, 1995, **14**, 4559; (c) S. Fernandez, M. Pfeffer, V. Ritleng and C. Sirlin, *Organometallics*, 1999, **18**, 2390.
- 5 P. Steenwinkel, S. L. James, R. A. Gossage, D. M. Grove, H. Kooijman, W. J. J. Smeets, A. L. Spek and G. van Koten, *Organometallics*, 1998, 17, 4680.
- 6 F. Kakiuchi, M. Yamauchi, N. Chatani and S. Murai, *Chem. Lett.*, 1996, 111; F. Kakiuchi, T. Sato, M. Yamauchi, N. Chatani and S. Murai, *Chem. Lett.*, 1999, 19.
- 7 For a leading reference concerning olefin–hydride complexes, see: J. W. Faller and K. J. Chase, *Organometallics*, 1995, **14**, 1592.

Communication a908703f