

PII: S0957-4166(97)00462-X

# Highly enantioselective reduction of symmetrical diacetylaromatics with baker's yeast

Masahiko Uchiyama, Nobuo Katoh, Rio Mimura, Naoko Yokota, Yuki Shimogaichi, Makoto Shimazaki and Akihiro Ohta \*

Tokyo University of Pharmacy and Life Science, Horinouchi, Hachioji, Tokyo 192-03, Japan

Abstract: Asymmetric reduction of symmetrical diacetylaromatics (1a, 1b, and 1d-g) with baker's yeast (*Saccharomyces cerevisiae*) provided the corresponding alcohols of high enantiomeric purity. By choosing appropriate reaction conditions, the products were preferentially the monoalcohols over the diols. © 1997 Elsevier Science Ltd

## Introduction

Asymmetric reduction of ketones provides efficient access to the synthesis of optically active secondary alcohols which are versatile and convenient building blocks in the synthesis of biologically important compounds;<sup>1</sup> the area has been quite extensively investigated by using chemical and biological methods. Compared with the usual chemical methods<sup>1c,2</sup> such as chiral metal hydride reduction and chiral organoborane reduction, the advantages of biological methods are the milder reaction conditions and higher enantio- and chemoselectivity, especially in the reduction of saturated ketones. Among the microorganisms employed for this purpose, baker's yeast (Saccharomyces cerevisiae) is the most promising and popular, because it is inexpensive and its use does not require microbiological experience or special equipment.<sup>3</sup> Therefore the list of carbonyl compounds which can be enantioselectively reduced by baker's yeast is continuously growing. The baker's yeast reduction of monoacetylaromatics was previously reported by three groups,<sup>4</sup> but to our knowledge only one application of this reduction to symmetrical diacetylaromatics has been reported to date.<sup>4c,5</sup> This situation prompted us to investigate the baker's yeast reduction of these types of compounds. In this paper we report on the reduction of 2,6-diacetylpyridine 1a, 2,6-diacetylpyridine 1-oxide 1b, 1,2-diacetylbenzene 1c, 1,3-diacetylbenzene 1d, 1,4-diacetylbenzene 1e, 2,5-diacetylfuran 1f, 2,5diacetylthiophene 1g, and 2,5-diacetylpyrrole 1h with baker's yeast, and the stereochemical outcome of this reaction.



#### **Results and discussion**

The substrates for reduction, 1a, 1d, and 1e were commercially available, 1c,  $^{6}$  1g,  $^{7}$  and  $1h^{8}$  were prepared according to the procedures reported in literature, and the other substrates 1b and 1f were synthesized in good yields as shown in Schemes 1 and 2, respectively.

<sup>\*</sup> Corresponding author. Email: uchiyama@ps.toyaku.ac.jp



Reaction Conditions : (a) *i*-Pr<sub>2</sub>NEt, MOMCl, CHCl<sub>3</sub>, rt ; (b) PMA<sup>10</sup>, CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>, rt ; (c) 5N-HCl, 50°C; (d) DMSO, (COCl)<sub>2</sub>, NEt<sub>3</sub>, CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>, -78°C.

Scheme 1.



Reaction Conditions : (a) n-BuLi, THF, -78°C then AcN(OMe)Me, 0°C; (b) AcOH, H<sub>2</sub>O, 50°C.

#### Scheme 2.

At first, reaction conditions were optimized by using 1a as a substrate. When the baker's yeast reduction of 1a was carried out by method A as depicted in the experimental section, the mono-reduced product 2a was obtained exclusively in excellent yield with an enantiomeric purity of 95% ee. The doubly reduced product 3a could not be detected in the reaction mixture. In order to obtain the diol 3a, the monoalcohol 2a was treated again under the same reaction conditions but no reaction took place. We then attempted an alternative procedure (method B) which was a modification of Bailey's one.<sup>4c</sup> The reduction using this method gave 28% (99% ee) of the diol 3a along with 70% (99% ee) of 2a. The enantiomeric purities of the reduction products 2a and 3a were determined by chiral HPLC analysis. The absolute configurations of 2a and 3a were assigned to S and S,S, respectively, by comparison of their specific rotations with the reported values.<sup>4c</sup> The results for other substrates 1b-h are summarized in Table 1.

The reduction of **1a**, **1b** and **1d–g** proceeded successfully by using either method A or B, however the reduction of 1c did not give any reduced product: it gave a purple complex mixture which was assumed to be formed by reaction with amino compounds contained in baker's yeast.<sup>12</sup> **1h** was reduced by method B to give a trace amount of monoalcohol 2h, which was detected only by <sup>1</sup>H-NMR analysis. The determination of its stereochemistry was not attempted because the amount of the isolated **2h** was too small for the analysis. Different results were realized in the reduction of **1b**. It was successfully reduced by method A to give monoalcohol 2b in 76% yield and diol 3b in 10% yield. Moreover, this reduction using method B afforded considerable amount of diol 3b (25%) along with 2b (38%). The chiral HPLC analysis of 2b and 3b by methods A and B revealed that all of them were essentially enantiomerically pure (>99% ee). The absolute configurations of 2b and 3b were elucidated by the chemical transformations as shown in Schemes 3 and 4, respectively. Briefly, the hydroxy group of (-)-2a from baker's yeast reduction was protected as TBDPS ether to give 9 in 86% yield. The ketalization of the acetyl group of 9 followed by oxidation with permaleic  $acid(PMA)^{10}$ afforded 11, which was treated with 5 N HCl to give (+)-2b. By the comparison of the specific rotation of 2b obtained by baker's yeast reduction (entry 3, 4), with that of (+)-2b (Scheme 3), the absolute configuration of 2b from the present reduction was shown to be S. The stereochemistry of 3b was ascertained to be S,S, since the specific rotations of 5 and 5' which were independently prepared from (-)-3a and 3b showed the same value (Scheme 4).

In contrast to 1a and 1b, the reduction of 1d-g by method A proceeded incompletely to give low

$ \begin{array}{c} \begin{array}{c} \begin{array}{c} \\ \end{array} \end{array} \xrightarrow{At} \\ \end{array} \\ \begin{array}{c} \\ \end{array} \\ \begin{array}{c} \\ \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \begin{array}{c} \\ \\ \end{array} \\ \begin{array}{c} \\ \\ \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \begin{array}{c} \\ \\ \end{array} \\ \begin{array}{c} \\ \\ \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \begin{array}{c} \\ \\ \end{array} \\ \begin{array}{c} \\ \\ \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \begin{array}{c} \\ \\ \end{array} \\ \begin{array}{c} \\ \\ \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \begin{array}{c} \\ \\ \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \begin{array}{c} \\ \\ \end{array} \\ \begin{array}{c} \\ \\ \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \begin{array}{c} \\ \\ \end{array} \\ \begin{array}{c} \\ \\ \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \begin{array}{c} \\ \\ \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \begin{array}{c} \\ \\ \end{array} $								
	0 0			c	D OH	0	н он	
	la-h				2 <b>a</b> -h		3a-h	
	substrate	method <sup>a</sup>	monoalcohol			diol		
entry			yield(%) <sup>b</sup>	cc(%)	config.	yield(%) <sup>b</sup>	<b>cc(%</b> )	config.
1	<b>1a</b>	Α	91	95°	S	0		
2	1a	В	70	99 <sup>c</sup>	S	28	99 <sup>c</sup>	<i>S,S</i>
3	1b	Α	76	>99 <sup>d</sup>	S	10	>99°	<i>S,S</i>
4	1b	В	38	>99 <sup>d</sup>	S	25	>99 <sup>e</sup>	<i>S,S</i>
5	1c	Α	0			0		
6	1c	В	0			0		
7	1d	Α	12	85 <sup>c</sup>	S	0		
8	1d	В	81	94 <sup>c</sup>	S	2 <sup>g</sup>	>99 <sup>d,i</sup>	<i>S,S</i>
9	1e	Α	24	98 <sup>c</sup>	S	1	>99 <sup>d</sup>	<i>S,S</i>
10	1e	В	86	98 <sup>c</sup>	S	9 <sup>h</sup>	>99 <sup>d,i</sup>	<i>S,S</i>
11	1f	Α	17	86 <sup>f</sup>	S	0		
12	1f	В	73	84 <sup>f</sup>	S	0		
13	1g	Α	6	94 <sup>f</sup>	S	0		
14	1g	В	76	95 <sup>f</sup>	S	0		
15	1h	Α	0			0		
16	1 <b>h</b>	В	trace			0		

Table 1. Asymmetric reduction of diacetylaromatics with baker's yeast

<sup>a</sup>See experimental section. <sup>b</sup>Isolated yield. <sup>c</sup>Determined by HPLC analysis using Daicel Chiralcel OB. <sup>d</sup>Determined by HPLC analysis using Daicel Chiralcel OD. <sup>e</sup>Determined by HPLC analysis using Daicel Chiralpak AD. <sup>f</sup>Determined by <sup>l</sup>H-NMR analysis of the corresponding (*R*)-MTPA ester. <sup>g</sup>Mixture of DL- and *meso*-compounds (94 : 6). <sup>h</sup>Mixture of DL- and *meso*-compounds (99 : 1). <sup>i</sup>Enantiomeric purity of DL- portion.



Reaction Conditions : (a) TBDPSCl, imidazole, DMF,  $\pi$ ; (b) (CH<sub>2</sub>OH)<sub>2</sub>, HC(OMe)<sub>3</sub>, TsOH, 70°C; (c) PMA, CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>,  $\pi$ ; (d) 5N HCl, acetone, 70°C.

#### Scheme 3.

yields of the corresponding monoalcohols 2d-g. But this reduction was influenced by the choice of reaction conditions. The reduction using method B provided monoalcohols 2d-g selectively in good yields and in a highly enantioselective manner. The reduction of 1f and 1g exclusively gave the monoalcohols 2f and 2g, of which absolute configurations and enantiomeric purities were determined by <sup>1</sup>H-NMR analysis of the corresponding (R)-MTPA esters (Mosher's method).<sup>13</sup>

Moreover, the absolute configuration of 2f was also confirmed by X-ray analysis of the (R)-MTPA ester (Figure 1). On the other hand, 1d and 1e were reduced by using method B to give 2d and 2e accompanied with diols 3d and 3e, which were contaminated with the *meso*-diols in the ratios as indicated in Table 1. The stereochemistry of 2d was determined to be S by <sup>1</sup>H-NMR analysis of the corresponding (R)-MTPA ester, and that of 2e to be S by the comparison of its specific rotation with that of (R)-2e reported in the literature.<sup>14</sup> The enantiomeric purities of 2d and 2e were revealed



Reaction Conditions : (a) i-Pr2NEt, MOMCl, CH2Cl2, rt ; (b) PMA, CH2Cl2, rt.

Scheme 4.



Figure 1. ORTEP drawing of (R)-MTPA ester of 2f.

by chiral HPLC analysis. The DL-portions of 3d and 3e were shown to be enantiomerically pure (>99% ee) by chiral HPLC analysis. The absolute configuration of 3d was considered to be S,S on the basis of the reduction of 1a and 1b, and that of 3e was revealed to be S,S by the comparison of its specific rotation with the reported value.<sup>9,15</sup> From the facts presented above, it can be seen that the enantioselectivity of the second reductions of 1d and 1e are similar to that of the first.

In conclusion, baker's yeast reduction of diacetylaromatics 1a, 1b, and 1d-g gave highly enantiomerically pure monoalcohols 2a, 2b, and 2d-g selectively by using appropriate reaction conditions (method A or B). The absolute configurations of all alcohols obtained in this study were S (or S,S) in accordance with Prelog's rule.<sup>16</sup> This selective mono-reduction in a highly enantioselective manner is extremely interesting and valuable because such a reduction is generally considered to be difficult for chemical methods.

#### **Experimental**

#### General

Melting points were determined on a Yanagimoto micro melting point apparatus and are uncorrected. Infrared spectra were measured with a JASCO A-100 spectrophotometer, mass spectra with a Hitachi M-80 mass spectrometer, optical rotations with a JASCO DIP-360 automatic polarimeter, <sup>1</sup>H-NMR spectra with a Varian Gemini 300 or a Brucker AM-400 spectrometer in CDCl<sub>3</sub> with tetramethylsilane as an internal standard. HPLC was carried out with a JASCO flow system PU-980 and JASCO UV detector UV-970 and JASCO integrator 807-IT using Daicel Chiralcel OB or OD, and Chiralpak AD (0.46 cm $\phi \times 25$  cm). CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub> was freshly distilled over P<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub>, and THF from sodium diphenylketyl prior to use. Silica gel (Kieselgel 60, 230–400 mesh, Merck) was used for chromatography. Organic extracts were dried over anhydrous Na<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub>. The substrate for baker's yeast reduction, **1c**, <sup>6</sup> **1g**, <sup>7</sup> and 1h<sup>8</sup> were prepared according to the procedures reported in literature. Fresh baker's yeast (Type II, Sigma Chem. Co.) and commercially available sucrose were used for baker's yeast reductions.

# 2,6-Bis(1-methoxymethoxyethyl)pyridine, DL-and meso-4

Methoxymethyl chloride (2.9 ml, 38.2 mmol) was added to a mixture of **3a** (synthesized according to literature procedure,<sup>9</sup> 1.27 g, 7.60 mmol, a mixture of DL and *meso*-compounds) and *N*-ethyldiisopropylamine (6.7 ml, 38.5 mmol) in CHCl<sub>3</sub> (10 ml) at 0°C. After being stirred at rt for 2 days, the reaction mixture was diluted with water and extracted with ethyl acetate. The extracts were washed with brine, dried and evaporated under reduced pressure. The residue was purified by silica gel column chromatography (hexane:ethyl acetate=5:1) to give DL- and *meso*-4 (1.91 g, 98%) as a colorless oil. MS m/z 254 (M<sup>+</sup>-1); <sup>1</sup>H-NMR  $\delta$  1.51 (6H, dd, J=6.6, 2.1 Hz, Me×2), 3.38 (6H, s, OMe×2), 4.64 (2H, dd, J=1.6, 6.6, OCH<sub>2</sub>O), 4.71 (2H, d, J=6.6 Hz, OCH<sub>2</sub>O), 4.85 (2H, dq, J=1.3, 6.6 Hz, CHCH<sub>3</sub>×2), 7.32 (2H, d, J=7.7 Hz, pyridine-H), 7.69 (1H, t, J=7.7 Hz, pyridine-H); Anal. Calcd for C<sub>13</sub>H<sub>21</sub>NO<sub>4</sub>: C, 61.16; H, 8.29; N, 5.49. Found: C, 61.06; H, 8.27; N, 5.18.

# 2,6-Bis(methoxymethoxyethyl)pyridine 1-oxide, DL-and meso-5

A solution of 4 (1.91 g, 7.48 mmol) in CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub> (50 ml) was added to a solution of maleic acid (1.19 g, 12.1 mmol) and 60% H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> (0.68 g, 12 mmol) in CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub> (50 ml) at rt. After being stirred for 12 h, the reaction mixture was diluted with water and extracted with ethyl acetate. The extracts were washed with brine, dried and evaporated under reduced pressure. The residue was purified by silica gel column chromatography (hexane:ethyl acetate=1:1) to give 5 (1.96 g, 97%) as a colorless oil. MS m/z 272 (M<sup>+</sup>+1); <sup>1</sup>H-NMR  $\delta$  1.54 (6H, d, J=6.4 Hz, CHCH<sub>3</sub>×2), 3.39 (6H, s, OMe×2), 4.68 (4H, dd, J=6.6, 17 Hz, OCH<sub>2</sub>O×2), 5.41 (2H, q, J=6.3 Hz, CHCH<sub>3</sub>×2), 7.31 (1H, t, J=8.3 Hz, pyridine-H), 7.46 (2H, d, J=7.7 Hz, pyridine-H); Anal. Calcd for C<sub>13</sub>H<sub>21</sub>NO<sub>5</sub>: C, 57.55; H, 7.80; N, 5.16. Found: C, 57.71; H, 7.69; N, 4.92.

# 2,6-Bis(1-hydroxyethyl)pyridine 1-oxide, DL-and meso-3b

A mixture of 5 (0.27 g, 1.0 mmol) and 5 N HCl (10 ml) was heated to 50°C. After being stirred for 30 min, the reaction mixture was diluted with water and neutralized with  $K_2CO_3$ . The resulting mixture was fixed on ion exchange resin (DIAION HP-20), washed with water and eluted with MeOH. The MeOH fraction was concentrated, and the residue was dissolved in ethyl acetate, dried and evaporated under reduced pressure. The residue was purified by silica gel column chromatography (ethyl acetate  $\rightarrow$  MeOH:ethyl acetate=1:10) to give DL- and meso-3b (0.18 g, 99%) as a colorless oil. IR and <sup>1</sup>H-NMR were in complete agreement with those reported in the literature.<sup>16</sup>

#### 2,6-Diacetylpyridine 1-oxide 1b

To a solution of oxalyl chloride (1.5 ml, 15 mmol) was added dimethyl sulfoxide (2.4 ml, 35 mmol) at  $-78^{\circ}$ C. After being stirred for 10 min, a solution of **3b** (1.29 g, 7.0 mmol) in CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub> (10 ml) was added and the reaction mixture was stirred at the same temperature for 15 min. Triethylamine (9.0 ml, 70 mmol) was added to the reaction mixture, which was stirred for 5 min at that temperature then gradually warmed to rt. The reaction mixture was diluted with water and extracted with CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>. The extracts were washed with brine, dried and evaporated under reduced pressure. The residue was purified by silica gel column chromatography (hexane:ethyl acetate=1:1) to give **1b** (0.94 g, 75%) as colorless needles. mp 92–94°C (hexane); MS *m*/z 179 (M<sup>+</sup>); IR (KBr) 1700 (CO) cm<sup>-1</sup>; <sup>1</sup>H-NMR  $\delta$  2.78 (6H, s, acetyl×2), 7.35 (1H, t, *J*=7.6 Hz, pyridine-H), 7.74 (2H, d, *J*=7.8 Hz, pyridine-H); Anal. Calcd for C<sub>9</sub>H<sub>9</sub>NO<sub>3</sub>: C, 60.33; H, 5.06; N, 7.82. Found: C, 60.43; H, 4.88; N, 7.82.

# 2-Methyl-2-(5-acetyl-2-furyl)dioxolane 8

To a solution of  $7^{11}$  (310 mg, 2.0 mmol) in THF (15 ml) was added dropwise a solution of *n*-BuLi 1.4 ml, 2.2 mmol, 1.6 M in hexane) at  $-78^{\circ}$ C. After being stirred for 1 h, *N*-methoxy-*N*-methylacetamide (230 mg, 2.2 mmol) was added dropwise to the reaction mixture at 0°C. The mixture was stirred

at rt for additional 1 h. The reaction was quenched with sat. NH<sub>4</sub>Cl aqueous solution. The aqueous layer was extracted with ethyl acetate. The extracts were washed with brine, dried and evaporated under reduced pressure. The residue was purified by silica gel column chromatography (hexane:ethyl acetate=4:1) to give 8 (330 mg, 83%) as a colorless oil. MS m/z 196 (M<sup>+</sup>); IR (neat) 1680, 1580, 1518 cm<sup>-1</sup>; <sup>1</sup>H-NMR  $\delta$  1.77 (3H, s, Me), 2.48 (3H, s, acetyl), 3.98–4.12 (4H, br-m, OCH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>2</sub>O), 6.46 (1H, d, J=3.5 Hz, furan-H); 7.11 (1H, d, J=3.5 Hz, furan-H); Anal. Calcd for C<sub>10</sub>H<sub>12</sub>O<sub>4</sub>: C, 61.12; H, 6.16. Found: C, 61.31; H, 6.19.

### 2,5-Diacetylfuran If

A mixture of 8 (155 mg, 0.79 mmol) and 75% AcOH (8 ml) was heated to 50°C. After being stirred for 2 h, the reaction mixture was diluted with water, neutralized with  $K_2CO_3$  and extracted with  $CH_2Cl_2$ . The extracts were washed with brine, dried and evaporated under reduced pressure. The residue was purified by silica gel column chromatography (hexane:ethyl acetate=3:1) to give 1f (114 mg, 95%) as colorless plates. mp 137–138°C (ethyl acetate); MS m/z 152(M<sup>+</sup>); IR (KBr) 1668 (CO) cm<sup>-1</sup>; <sup>1</sup>H-NMR  $\delta$  2.57 (6H, s, acetyl×2), 7.21 (2H, s, furan-H); Anal. Calcd for C<sub>8</sub>H<sub>8</sub>O<sub>3</sub>: C, 63.15; H, 5.30. Found: C, 62.83; H, 5.31.

## General procedure for baker's yeast reduction

Method A: A mixture of sucrose (3 g), baker's yeast (0.1 g), phosphate buffer (0.1 M, pH 6.5, 20 ml) was stirred at 30°C for 2 h, at which time the diacetylaromatic compound (1a, 1c-h: 0.6 mmol, 1b: 1.0 mmol) was added. The resulting mixture was stirred at 30°C for 6 days with addition of sucrose (3 g) each day. Celite and acetone were then added, and the resulting mixture was filtered. The Celite cake was washed sequentially with water and acetone, and the filtrate was evaporated under reduced pressure. The residue was extracted with ethyl acetate. (The residue was successively extracted for 30 h in the reduction of 1b.) The extracts were washed with brine, dried and evaporated under reduced pressure. The residue was purified by silica gel column chromatography to give the corresponding alcohols. In the case of the reduction of 1a, however, 180 g of sucrose, 6 g of baker's yeast, 1200 ml of phosphate buffer, and 37 mmol of 1a were used. It should be noted that 1a could not be successively reduced in the same scale as described for 1c-h or 1b.

Method B: To an aqueous solution of sucrose (25 g/40 ml) and diacetylaromatic compound (1a, 1c-h: 0.6 mmol, 1b: 1.0 mmol) was added baker's yeast (12.5 g). The resulting mixture was stirred at 30°C for 2 h, at which time an aqueous solution of sucrose (25 g/40 ml) was added. After stirring for 2 h, an additional aqueous solution of sucrose (25 g/40 ml) was added and stirred for 6 days under the same conditions. The subsequent procedure was the same as that described for method A. The yields, the enantiomeric purity and the absolute configuration of the products are listed in Table 1.

# (S)-(-)-2-Acetyl-6-(1-hydroxyethyl)pyridine 2a

Colorless oil; 99% ee;  $[\alpha]_{D}^{25}$  -4.1 (c 1.0, CHCl<sub>3</sub>) {lit.<sup>4c</sup>  $[\alpha]_{D}^{20}$  -7.5 (c 1.5, CHCl<sub>3</sub>) for 99.8% ee (S)}; MS *m*/z 165 (M<sup>+</sup>); IR (neat) 3400, 1700 cm<sup>-1</sup>; <sup>1</sup>H-NMR  $\delta$  1.55 (3H, d, J=6.6 Hz, Me), 2.75 (3H, s, acetyl), 4.16 (1H, br-s, OH), 4.97 (1H, q, J=6.6 Hz, CHOH), 7.48 (1H, d, J=7.7 Hz, pyridine-H), 7.86 (1H, t, J=7.7 Hz, pyridine-H), 7.97 (1H, d, J=7.1 Hz, pyridine-H); Anal. Calcd for C<sub>9</sub>H<sub>11</sub>NO<sub>2</sub>: C, 65.44; H, 6.71; N, 8.42. Found: C, 65.32; H, 6.79; N, 8.29. The enantiomeric purity (ee) was determined by HPLC analysis using Daicel Chiralcel OB (eluent, hexane:2-propanol=9:1).

# (S,S)-(-)-2,6-Bis(hydroxyethyl)pyridine 3a

Colorless oil; 99% ee;  $[\alpha]_{D}^{25} - 26.6$  (c 0.51, CHCl<sub>3</sub>) {lit.<sup>4c</sup>  $[\alpha]_{D}^{20} - 26.84$  (c 2.98, CHCl<sub>3</sub>) for >99.92% ee (S,S)}. IR and <sup>1</sup>H-NMR spectra were in complete agreement with those reported in the literature.<sup>9</sup>

# (S)-(+)-2-Acetyl-6-(1-hydroxyethyl)pyridine 1-oxide 2b

Colorless oil; >99% ee;  $[\alpha]_D^{25}$  +18.5 (c 0.3, CHCl<sub>3</sub>); MS *m/z* 181 (M<sup>+</sup>); IR (neat) 3375, 1695 cm<sup>-1</sup>; <sup>1</sup>H-NMR  $\delta$  1.65 (3H, d, *J*=6.7 Hz, Me), 2.78 (3H, s, acetyl), 5.15 (1H, q, *J*=6.7 Hz, CHOH), 5.31 (1H,

br-s, OH), 7.38 (1H, t, J=7.7 Hz, pyridine-H), 7.47 (1H, dd, J=2.2, 7.8 Hz, pyridine-H), 7.59 (1H, dd, J=2.2, 7.7 Hz, pyridine-H); Anal. Calcd for C<sub>9</sub>H<sub>11</sub>NO<sub>3</sub>: C, 59.66; H, 6.12; N, 7.73. Found: C, 59.85; H, 5.96; N, 7.65. The absolute configuration of **2b** was determined by the chemical transformation shown in Scheme 3. The enantiomeric purity (ee) was determined by HPLC analysis using Daicel Chiralcel OD (eluent, hexane:2-propanol=9:1).

# (S,S)-(+)-2,6-Bis(1-hydroxyethyl)pyridine 1-oxide 3b

Colorless oil; >99% ee;  $[\alpha]_D^{25}$  +74.2 (c 0.3, CHCl<sub>3</sub>). The absolute configuration of **3b** was determined by chemical transformation as shown in Scheme 4. The enantiomeric purity (ee) was determined by HPLC analysis using Daicel Chiralpak AD (eluent, hexane:2-propanol=9:1). IR and <sup>1</sup>H-NMR spectra were identical with those for the mixture of DL- and *meso-3b*.

## (S)-(-)-1-Acetyl-3-(1-hydroxyethyl)benzene 2d

Colorless oil; 94% ee;  $[\alpha]_D^{25}$  -39.1 (c 1.2, CHCl<sub>3</sub>); MS *m*/z 164 (M<sup>+</sup>); IR (neat) 3420, 1760, 1680 cm<sup>-1</sup>; <sup>1</sup>H-NMR  $\delta$  1.53 (3H, d, *J*=6.8 Hz, Me), 2.62 (3H, s, acetyl), 4.99 (1H, q, *J*=6.3 Hz, CHOH), 7.46 (1H, t, *J*=7.7 Hz, benzene-H), 7.61 (1H, d, *J*=7.7 Hz, benzene-H), 7.87 (1H, d, *J*=7.7 Hz, benzene-H), 7.97 (1H, s, benzene-H); *Anal*. Calcd for C<sub>10</sub>H<sub>12</sub>O<sub>2</sub>: C, 73.14; H, 7.37. Found: C, 72.93; H, 7.33. The enantiomeric purity (ee) was determined by HPLC analysis using Daicel Chiralcel OB (eluent, hexane:2-propanol=9:1). The absolute configuration was determined by the correlation of the corresponding (*R*)-MTPA ester by means of <sup>1</sup>H-NMR spectroscopy (Mosher's method).<sup>13</sup> The enantiomeric purity (ee) was determined by HPLC analysis using Daicel Chiralcel OB (eluent, hexane:2-propanol=9:1).

#### (S,S)-(-)-1,3-Bis(1-hydroxyethyl)benzene 3d

3d by method B (Table 1, entry 8) was a 94:6 mixture of DL- and *meso*-compounds. The DLcomponent was of >99% ee. The DL/*meso* ratio and the enantiomeric purity (ee) were determined by HPLC analysis using Daicel Chiralcel OD (eluent, hexane:2-propanol=9:1). The absolute configuration was considered to be S,S on the basis of the reduction of 1a and 1b. IR and <sup>1</sup>H-NMR spectra were in complete agreement with those reported in the literature.<sup>9</sup>

## (S)-(-)-1-Acetyl-4-(1-hydroxyethyl)benzene 2e

Colorless solid; 98% ee;  $[\alpha]_D^{25}$  -44.9 (c 1.2, CHCl<sub>3</sub>) {lit.<sup>14</sup>  $[\alpha]_D^{21}$  +37.4 (c not given, ethanol or CHCl<sub>3</sub>) for 97% ee (R)}. The enantiomeric purity (ee) was determined by HPLC analysis using Daicel Chiralcel OB (eluent, hexane:2-propanol=9:1). IR and <sup>1</sup>H-NMR spectra were in complete agreement with those reported in the literature.<sup>14</sup>

# (S,S)-(-)-1,4-Bis(1-hydroxyethyl)benzene 3e

Colorless needles; mp 127–130°C (benzene). **3e** by method B (Table 1, entry 10) was a 99:1 mixture of DL- and *meso*-compounds. The DL-component was of >99% ee. The DL/*meso* ratio and the enantiomeric purity (ee) were determined by HPLC analysis using Daicel Chiralcel OD (eluent, hexane:2-propanol=9:1).  $[\alpha]_D^{25}$  -82.1 (c 0.56, acetone) {lit.<sup>9</sup>  $[\alpha]_D^{25}$  -79.9 (c 2, acetone) for >97% ee (S,S)} {lit.<sup>15</sup>  $[\alpha]_D^{25}$  -86.3 (c 1.7, acetone) for ≥99% ee (S,S)}. IR and <sup>1</sup>H-NMR spectra were in complete agreement with those reported in the literature.<sup>9</sup>

# (S)-(-)-2-Acetyl-5-(1-hydroxyethyl)furan 2f

Colorless oil; 86% ee;  $[\alpha]_{D}^{25} - 7.0$  (c 0.4, CHCl<sub>3</sub>); MS m/z 154 (M<sup>+</sup>); IR (neat) 3500, 1780, 1660 cm<sup>-1</sup>; <sup>1</sup>H-NMR  $\delta$  1.58 (3H, d, J=6.6 Hz, Me), 2.46 (3H, s, acetyl), 4.94 (1H, q, J=6.9 Hz, CHOH), 6.41 (1H, d, J=3.5 Hz, furan-H), 7.13 (1H, d, J=3.6 Hz, furan-H); Anal. Calcd for C<sub>8</sub>H<sub>10</sub>O<sub>3</sub>: C, 62.32; H, 6.54. Found: C, 62.18; H, 6.58. The absolute configuration of **2f** was established by X-ray analysis of the corresponding (*R*)-MTPA ester. The enantiomeric purity was determined by <sup>1</sup>H-NMR analysis of the (*R*)-MTPA ester.<sup>13</sup>

(S)-(-)-2-Acetyl-5-(1-hydroxyethyl)thiophene 2g

Orange oil; 95% ee;  $[\alpha]_{D}^{25}$  -13.9 (c 1.1, CHCl<sub>3</sub>); MS m/z 170(M<sup>+</sup>); IR (neat) 3400, 1640 cm<sup>-1</sup>; <sup>1</sup>H-NMR  $\delta$  1.61 (3H, d, J=6.5 Hz, Me), 2.54 (3H, s, acetyl), 5.13 (1H, q, J=6.5 Hz, CHOH), 7.00 (1H, dd, J=0.8, 3.8 Hz, thiophene-H), 7.58 (1H, d, J=3.8 Hz, thiophene-H); Anal. Calcd for C<sub>8</sub>H<sub>10</sub>O<sub>2</sub>S: C, 56.45; H, 5.92. Found: C, 56.48; H, 6.02. The absolute configuration and enantiomeric purity of **2g** were determined by <sup>1</sup>H-NMR analysis of the corresponding (*R*)-MTPA ester (Mosher's method).<sup>13</sup>

# X-ray crystallographic analysis of the (R)-MTPA ester of 2f

Crystal data:  $C_{18}H_{17}O_5F_3$ , M=370.32, orthorhombic, space group  $P2_12_12_1(\#19)$ , a=10.336(1), b=20.587(2), c=8.379(2) Å, V=1783.1(5) Å^3, Z=8,  $D_{calc}=1.38$  g/cm<sup>3</sup>, m(Cu-K\alpha)=10.10 cm<sup>-1</sup>, number of observation 1267 (I>3.00 $\sigma$ (I)), R=0.039, Rw=0.050. Intensity data were collected on a Rigaku AFC5R diffractometer using Cu-K $\alpha$  radiation ( $\lambda$ =1.54178 Å).

# References

- For reviews see; (a) Morrison, J. D.; Mosher, H. S. Asymmetric Organic Reactions; Prentice-Hall: London, 1971; (b) Morrison, J. D. Asymmetric Synthesis; Academic Press: New York, 1983; Vol 2, Chapters 2-5; (c) Midland, M. M. Chem. Rev. 1989, 89, 1553-1561.
- (a) Brown, H. C.; Ramachandran, P. V. Advances in Asymmetric Synthesis; Hassner, A., Ed.; JAI Press: Greenwich, Conn., 1995; Vol 1, pp. 147-210; (b) Brown, H. C.; Park, W. S.; Cho, B. T.; Ramachandran, P. V. J. Org. Chem. 1987, 52, 5406-5412; (c) Nógrádi, M., Ed. Stereoselective Synthesis; VCH Publishers: Weinheim/New York, 1995; 2nd Edn, Chapters 2-3.
- 3. (a) Servi, S. Synthesis 1990, 1-25; (b) Csuk, R.; Glanzer, B. I. Chem. Rev. 1991, 91, 49-97; (c) Santaniello, E.; Ferraboschi, P.; Grisenti, P.; Manzocchi, A. Chem. Rev. 1992, 92, 1071-1140.
- 4. (a) Imuta, M.; Ziffer, H. J. Org. Chem. 1978, 43, 3530–3532; (b) Takeshita, M.; Terada, K.; Akutsu, N.; Yoshida, S.; Sato, T. Heterocycles 1987, 26, 3051–3054; (c) Bailey, D.; O'Hagan, D.; Dyer, U.; Lamont, R. B. Tetrahedron: Asymmetry 1993, 4, 1255–1258.
- 5. Recently the asymmetric reduction of diacetylaromatics with *B*-chlorodiisopinocamphenylborane (Ipc<sub>2</sub>BCl, Aldlich: DIP-chloride) has been reported by Brown and co-workers.<sup>15</sup>
- 6. Katritzky, A. R.; Harris, P. A.; Kotali, A. J. Org. Chem. 1991, 56, 5049-5051.
- 7. Miyahara, Y. J. Heterocyclic Chem. 1979, 16, 1147-1151.
- 8. Anderson, A. G., Jr.; Exner, M. M. J. Org. Chem. 1977, 42, 3952-3955.
- 9. Wallace, J. S.; Baldwin, B. W.; Morrow, C. J. J. Org. Chem. 1992, 57, 5231-5239.
- 10. Ohta, A.; Akita, Y.; Hara, M. Chem. Pharm. Bull. 1979, 27, 2027-2041.
- 11. White, J. D.; Fukuyama, Y.; J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1979, 101, 226-228.
- 12. Weygand, F.; Weber, H.; Maekawa, E.; Eberhardt, G. Chem. Ber. 1956, 89, 1994-1999.
- (a) Ward, D. E.; Rhee, C. K. Tetrahedron Lett. 1991, 32, 7165–7166; (b) Dale, J. A.; Mosher, H. S. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1973, 95, 512–519; (c) Takano, S.; Takahashi, M.; Yanase, M.; Sekiguchi, Y.; Iwabuchi, Y.; Ogasawara, K. Chem. Lett. 1988, 1827–1828; (d) Ohtani, I.; Kusumi, T.; Kashman, Y.; Kakisawa, H. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1991, 113, 4092–4096.
- Holland, H. L.; Bergen, E. J.; Chenchaiah, P. C.; Khan, S. H.; Munoz, B.; Ninniss, R. W.; Richards, D. Can. J. Chem. 1987, 65, 502–507.
- 15. Ramachandran, P. V.; Chen, G.-M.; Lu, Z.-H.; Brown, H. C. Tetrahedron Lett. 1996, 37, 3795-3798.
- 16. Abramovitch, R. A.; Smith, E. M.; Knaus, E. E.; Saha, M. J. Org. Chem. 1972, 37, 1690-1696.

(Received in Japan 26 August 1997)

3474