Conclusion

This Account describes how antibodies specific for nicotine and its metabolites and for various prostaglandins and their metabolites have been used to develop sensitive RIA's for these compounds. Thus far, antibodies have been prepared and RIA's developed for at least 100 other compounds of pharmacological importance.^{1,3–6} It has been calculated that an individual animal has the potential to produce antibodies that can recognize 10⁷ and perhaps up to 10⁸ diverse immunodominant moieties. Nature, therefore, has provided the pharmacologist and synthetic chemist with an analytical system of extraordinary specificity that can be adapted to the quantitative determination of a wide variety of pharmacologically active molecules. It is clear that RIA will be an important analytical tool in research as well as in the clinical laboratory.

Some of the work described in this account was supported by Research Contract NCI E-72-3243 from the National Cancer Institute (H.V.V.), Grant No. DA 00007 from the National Institute on Drug Abuse (H.V.V.), Grant HD-07966 from the National Institute of Child Health and Development (L.L.), and Grant IM-22M from the American Cancer Society (L.L.). H.V.V. holds a Research Career Award (Award KO6-AI02372) from the National Institute of Allergy and Infectious Diseases. L.L. is an American Cancer Society Professor (Award No. PRP-21).

Disodium Tetracarbonylferrate—a Transition-Metal Analog of a Grignard Reagent

James P. Collman

Department of Chemistry, Stanford University, Stanford, California 94305 Received February 19, 1975

With the expectation that significant synthetic methodologies will emerge from organotransitionmetal chemistry, organic chemists are becoming increasingly interested in this rapidly growing field. To date, few truly useful new organotransition reagents have been developed.¹ However as new reactions are discovered and the underlying reaction mechanisms are slowly clarified, practical applications become more likely. In this Account, I summarize our research on $Na_2Fe(CO)_4$ as a reagent for organic synthesis.

This work had its origin in 1970 when my postdoctoral associate, M. Cooke, was searching for a method of forming Ge–Ge bonds by coupling R_3GeCl with two electron reductants such as $Na_2Fe(CO)_4$. Being an organic chemist, Cooke tried methyl iodide in a model reaction. Treatment of CH₃I with $Na_2Fe(CO)_4$ followed by hydrolysis gave the characteristic odor of acetaldehyde. This lead was quickly developed into a general synthesis of homologous aldehydes.² Because of my past interest in oxidative addition,³ reductive elimination,^{3b} and migratory insertion,^{3b} the potential of $Na_2Fe(CO)_4$ as a reagent for organic synthesis was evident, and the matter became vigorously pursued by my other students.

Synthesis of the Reagent. Our early experiments employed Na₂Fe(CO)₄ derived from Fe(CO)₅ and sodium-mercury amalgam (eq 1).² Because of the expense, difficulty in scale-up, and the presence of mercury salts and of colored polynuclear iron carbonyl impurities inherent in this procedure, we sought a better method for preparing $Na_2Fe(CO)_4$. Eventually we developed a very practical method^{4,5} (eq 2)

$$\begin{array}{c} \operatorname{Fe}(\operatorname{CO})_{5} \xrightarrow{\operatorname{Na}(\operatorname{Hg})} & \operatorname{Na}_{2}\operatorname{Fe}(\operatorname{CO})_{4} & (1) \\ \\ \operatorname{orange} & \operatorname{red-vellow} \end{array}$$

$$\begin{array}{c} \operatorname{Fe(CO)}_{5} + \operatorname{Na} \xrightarrow[C_{6}H_{5} \subset OC_{6}H_{5}]{} \\ \operatorname{orange} \\ deep blue \\ \end{array} \xrightarrow{\operatorname{Ma}_{2}\operatorname{Fe}(CO)_{4} \cdot 1.5 \operatorname{dioxane}}{} (2)$$

employing $Fe(CO)_5$, the least expensive iron carbonyl,⁶ and metallic sodium, with an electron carrier (such as benzophenone ketyl) in an ethereal solvent under conditions where the sodium (mp 97.5°) is molten. At atmospheric pressure, boiling dioxane (bp 101°) is ideal, yielding a more soluble solvate (eq 2). This process is rapid, nearly quantitative, and easily scaled up. Present raw material costs in this preparation of Na₂Fe(CO)₄ depend substantially (~75%) on the current price of Fe(CO)₅. However, if a largescale application for Fe(CO)₅ were developed,⁷ raw material costs could drop below those of Grignard re-

(1) Perhaps the most versatile and useful transition-metal reagents developed thus far are organocopper compounds: H. O. House, *Proc. Robert A. Welch Found. Conf. Chem. Res.*, 17, 101 (1973).

(2) M. P. Cooke, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 92, 6080 (1970).

(3) (a) J. P. Collman and W. R. Roper, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 87, 4008 (1965); (b) J. P. Collman, Acc. Chem. Res., 1, 136 (1968); (c) J. P. Collman and W. R. Roper, Adv. Organometal. Chem., 7, 54 (1968).

(4) J. P. Collman and R. G. Komoto, U.S. Patent Application filed June 11, 1973.

(5) (a) J. P. Collman, R. G. Komoto, W. O. Siegl, S. R. Winter, and D. R. Clark, unpublished results; (b) S. R. Winter, Ph.D. Dissertation, Stanford University, 1973; (c) R. G. Komoto, Ph.D. Dissertation, Stanford University, 1974.

(6) In fact, $Fe(CO)_5$ is the least toxic and least expensive transition-metal carbonyl. The other iron carbonyls, $Fe_2(CO)_9$ and $Fe_3(CO)_{12}$, are derived from $Fe(CO)_5$ and thus are more expensive.

Professor Coliman's work covers a wide range of complex ion chemistry, from the classical coordination compounds to the newer organometallic combinations. He is a native of Nebraska, and studied at the University of Nebraska for his B.S. degree. Following receipt of the Ph.D. from the University of Illinois in 1958, he joined the faculty of University of North Carolina. In 1967, he moved to Stanford University, where he is professor of chemistry.

⁽⁷⁾ For example, commercialization of a carbon monoxide process converting ilmenite to rutile would produce $Fe(CO)_5$ as a by-product: A. Vasnapu, B. C. Marek, and J. W. Jensen, Report of Investigations 7719, U.S. Department of the Interior, Bureau of the Mines, 1973.



agents, making $Na_2Fe(CO)_4$ competitive in fine chemicals manufacture. However, the extreme oxygen sensitivity of $Na_2Fe(CO)_4$ (spontaneously inflammable in air) will severely hinder its development on both industrial and laboratory scales.

Scope of Synthetic Applications. Conversions of aliphatic halides and sulfonates into aldehydes,² unsymmetric ketones,⁸ carboxylic acids,⁹ esters,⁹ and amides⁹ by means of $Na_2Fe(CO)_4$ (1) are outlined in Figure 1.¹⁰ In a sense $Na_2Fe(CO)_4$ can be considered a transition-metal analog of a Grignard reagent. Principal advantages of these $Na_2Fe(CO)_4$ reactions are high yields, stereospecificity, and toleration of unmasked functional groups which would be attacked by the more reactive magnesium or lithium reagents. Limitations of Na₂Fe(CO)₄ derive from its basicity $(pK_b about that of OH)^{11}$ and the resulting tendency to cause eliminations. Thus for reaction a in Figure 1, tertiary substrates cannot be used, and secondary tosylates are preferred over secondary halides. Allylic halides cannot be employed since these afford stable 1,3-diene-Fe(CO)₃ complexes rather than the alkyliron(0) intermediate 2. The preparation of aldehvdes goes through the acvliron(0) intermediates 3. Since pathway b, migratory insertion of the alkyliron(0) 2 to the acyliron(0) 3, fails for alkylgroups bearing adjacent electronegative groups, the scope of aldehyde synthesis is limited to simple primary and secondary substrates. However, the acid chloride route (c) followed by (d) can also be employed.¹² Finally, alkylation of the alkyl or acyl intermediates 2 or 3 (steps e and f) affording ketones is restricted to reactive primary alkylating agents R'X, R''X (usually a primary iodide).

In spite of these restrictions a wide range of useful synthetic reactions can be carried out in high yield using $Na_2Fe(CO)_4$. Specific examples with yields are given in Figure 2. Reactions 3, 5, 6, and 9 illustrate

(8) J. P. Collman, S. R. Winter, and D. R. Clark, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 94, 1788 (1972).

(9) J. P. Collman, S. R. Winter, and R. G. Komoto, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 95, 249 (1973).

(10) The following abbreviations are used in this paper: L is a tertiary phosphine or CO, X_2 is Cl₂, Br, or I₂, THF is tetrahydrofuran, NMP is *N*-methylpyrrolidinone.

(11) P. Krumholtz and H. M. A. Stettiner, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 71, 3035 (1949).

(12) This route has been used for an aldehyde synthesis: Y. Watanabe, T. Mitsudo, M. Tanaka, K. Yamamoto, T. Okajima, and Y. Takegami, Bull. Chem. Soc. Jpn., 44, 2569 (1971).



Figure 2. A survey of organic syntheses involving Na₂Fe(CO)₄.

the toleration of other functional groups. Comparison of eq 3 and 8 shows how the reactivity of the alkylation step a in Figure 1 may be controlled by the choice of solvent. A special case, affording hemifluorinated ketones¹³ (eq 6), involves treating an alkyliron(0) intermediate (2) with a perfluoroacid chloride; however, this process is not effective with simple acid chlorides. The synthesis of cyclopentanone from 1bromo-3-butene (eq 10) is also a special case limited to five- and six-ring ketones^{5c,14} and is not illustrated in Figure 1. The mechanism of (10) seems to involve a variation of step b in which the olefin acts as an intramolecular ligand, L.

Perhaps the most important synthetic application of Na₂Fe(CO)₄ is the highly selective reduction of conjugated olefins illustrated in eq 11 and 12.¹⁵ These reactions may involve Na₂Fe₂(CO)₈, prepared by reaction of Na₂Fe(CO)₄ with Fe(CO)₅ (eq 13) or generated in situ. Two equivalents of a mild acid are required. In terms of yield, stereoselectivity, and toleration of other functional groups (aldehyde, ketone, nitrile, unconjugated olefin, halides, and epoxides) this reagent¹⁵ seems superior to other recently described reducing agents.¹⁶

$$Na_2Fe(CO)_4 + Fe(CO)_5 \longrightarrow Na_2Fe_2(CO)_8 + CO$$
 (13)

The reagent $Na_2Fe(CO)_4$ is also useful for the synthesis of unusual inorganic substances. Two examples are shown in eq 14¹⁷ and 15.¹⁸ The latter is a complex reaction in which a Ge–Ge bond is cleaved.



Mechanisms of Organic Syntheses Using $Na_2Fe(CO)_4$. Qualitative mechanistic patterns of organotransition-metal reactions^{3b} were used as a guide for developing the synthetic applications of Na_2Fe -(CO)₄. However, unexpected results such as striking solvent effects¹⁹ prompted us to explore the reaction mechanisms in depth.²⁰ These studies, although still

(13) J. P. Collman and N. W. Hoffman, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 95, 2689 (1973).

(14) (a) J. Y. Merom, J. L. Roustan, C. Charrier, and J. Organometal. Chem., 51, C24 (1973); (b) J. P. Collman, M. P. Coo, J. N. Cawse, and ke R. G. Komoto, unpublished results.

(15) J. P. Collman, R. G. Komoto, R. Wahren, and P. L. Matlock, unpublished results.

(16) (a) R. Noyori, I. Umeda, and T. Ishigami, J. Org. Chem., 37, 1542 (1972); (b) R. K. Boeckman and R. Michalak, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 96, 1623

(1972); (b) K. K. Boeckman and K. Michalak, J. Am. Chem. Soc., **50**, 1625 (1974); (c) S. Masamune, G. S. Bates, and P. E. Georghiou, *ibid.*, **96**, 3686

(1974).
(17) J. P. Collman, R. G. Homoto, and W. O. Siegl, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 94, 5905 (1972).

(18) J. P. Collman and J. K. Hoyano, unpublished results.

(19) J. P. Collman, J. N. Cawse, and J. I. Brauman, J. Am. Chem. Soc.,

94, 5905 (1972). (20) J. P. Collman, J. I. Brauman, R. G. Finke, and J. N. Cawse, unpublished results. incomplete, provide a substantial understanding of the underlying mechanisms.

In Figure 1 pentacoordinate anionic alkyl- and acyliron(0) complexes (2 and 3) are shown as intermediates. In synthetic practice 2 and/or 3 are generated and used in situ. Several examples of these airsensitive anionic iron(0) complexes have been isolated as air-stable crystalline $[(Ph_3P)_2N]^+$ salts,²¹ characterized by elemental analyses and NMR and ir spectra, and demonstrated to undergo the individual steps b, d, e, f, g, and h illustrated in Figure $1.^{5,22}$ The indicated trigonal-bipyramidal structures with bulky substituents in the apical position expected for fivecoordinate d⁸ complexes (C_{3v} symmetry) are consistent with the pattern of $\nu_{\rm CO}$ frequencies exhibited by the isolated complexes. Proton and ¹³C NMR spectra-especially for CO groups-suggest rapid equilibration of axial and equatorial groups, characteristic of many pentacoordinate complexes.

Let us next consider each reaction step and the evidence bearing on the corresponding mechanism. Reaction between an alkyl halide or sulfonate and Na₂Fe(CO)₄ forming a saturated d⁸ complex 2 (step a) can be considered an oxidative addition³ of a coordinatively saturated d¹⁰ complex, 1, or equivalently as an SN2 attack at carbon by the nucleophilic reagent 1. Substrate reactivities (CH₃ > RCH₂ > R-R'CH, and RI > RBr > ROTs > RCl) resemble classic SN2 reactions.²⁰ Furthermore, the observed stereochemistry (overall inversion⁸—eq 7, Figure 2) is consistent with inversion²³ in step a, Figure 1, followed by retention²⁴ in the migratory insertion, step b.

The form of the rate law for the oxidative-addition step a is also consistent with an SN2 reaction when account is taken of the dominant role which ion pairing plays in this step. The rate of step a is dramatically increased by employing more polar solvents.^{20,25} For example, addition of 10% NMP to a THF solution of Na₂Fe(CO)₄ increases the rate of step a 100fold. Synthetic applications for this solvent-dependent reactivity are illustrated in Figure 2. In THF primary chlorides are very slow (eq 3), whereas in NMP these are useful substrates (eq 8). Solvent effects on the rate of the alkylation step a have been examined quantitatively for THF and NMP. These results clearly indicate the more dissociated species are kinetically more active.

For NMP solutions of Na₂Fe(CO)₄ freezing-pointdepression studies indicate that the dominant species is a uni-unielectrolyte.²⁵ For reaction a under pseudo-first-order conditions in iron, the observed second-order rate constant increases with decreasing $[Fe]_T$ (total of Na₂Fe(CO)₄ concentration). The ob-

(24) All alkyl-acyl migratory insertions which have been studied with chiral centers proceed with retention at that center—see, for example, ref 23b.

(25) (a) J. N. Cawse, Ph.D. Dissertation, Stanford University, 1973. (b) In NMP the solvent-separated ion pair may be the kinetically dominant species.

⁽²¹⁾ This ion is often useful in forming kinetically stable crystalline salts of oxygen-sensitive anions: J. K. Ruff and W. J. S. Chlientz, *Inorg. Syn.*, in press.

⁽²²⁾ W. O. Siegl and J. P. Collman, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 94, 2516 (1972).

⁽²³⁾ Other oxidative additions found to go by inversion at carbon include: (a) P. K. Wong, K. S. Y. Lau, and J. K. Stille, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 96, 3956 (1974), and references therein; (b) G. M. Whitesides and D. J. Boschetto, *ibid.*, 91, 4313 (1969).

Table I					
Effect of Solvents on 2	Reaction Rates				

Cation in THF	Alkyl to acyl ^a (b), Ph ₂ PMe, 0°	Alkyl ketcne ^a reaction (e), CH ₃ I, 0°	Acyl ketone ^{<i>a</i>} reaction (f), CH ₃ I, 25°	HFe(CO) ₄ ^{-a-c} reaction, C_2H_5I , 35°	
 Li*	6 × 10 ⁻²	1 × 10 ⁻¹	1 × 10 ⁻³	1 × 10 ⁻³	
$(Ph_3P)_2N^+$	$< 2 imes 10^{-5}$	$2 imes 10^{-1}$	1 × 10 ⁻¹	2×10^{-3}	
Na [*]	1×10^{-2}	$1 imes 10^{-1}$	$1 imes 10^{-3}$	1×10^{-3}	
Na⁺, 1% NMP	$3 imes10^{-3}$	1×10^{-1}	$3 imes 10^{-3}$		
Na⁺, 10% NMP	$1 imes 10^{-4}$	$1 imes 10^{-1}$	$3 imes10^{-2}$		

^a Second-order rate constants, k_2 , in M^{-1} sec⁻¹ ^b The product of this reaction is ethane. ^c These values are imprecise because triple ions have not been taken into account. However the trends with respect to gegenion are probably correct.

served rate data can be accommodated by a single dissociative equilibrium (eq 16) with $K_{\rm D} \approx 0.2 M$. A

$$Na_{2}Fe(CO)_{4} \stackrel{\kappa_{D}}{\longleftarrow} Na^{*} + \{NaFe(CO)_{4}^{-}\}$$
(16)

conductometric titration of Na₂Fe(CO)₄ in NMP using cryptate as the titrant shows two equivalence points corresponding to the titration of the first and second sodium ion.²⁰ These conductivity data are consistent with the kinetic results. From the ratio of conductivities at the initial (no cryptate present) and the first equivalent point, $K_{\rm D}$ (eq 16) is calculated to be $K_{\rm D} \leq 0.3 M$.

An estimate of the dissociation constant for the second sodium ion is $\sim 10^{-3} M$, which is consistent with the notion that the free ion Fe(CO)₄²⁻ is not kinetically important—even in NMP. This conclusion is also supported by a small (50%) common ion rate depression for step a in the presence of an 8-fold excess of NaBPh₄ over Na₂Fe(CO)₄ in NMP.²⁰

In THF $Na_2Fe(CO)_4$ is much less dissociated and correspondingly less soluble than in NMP. Changes of conductivity of Na₂Fe(CO)₄ in THF as a function of concentration give an estimate of $K_{\rm D} \approx 10^{-5} M$ (eq 16).²⁰ This is consistent with the failure of dicyclohexyl-18-crown-6 crown ether to produce а breakpoint in the conductometric titration in THF since in this solvent the sodium binding constants of this crown ether and $[NaFe(CO)_4]^-$ seem to be of comparable magnitude. Addition of 1 equiv of crown ether to $Na_2Fe(CO)_4$ in THF increases the rate of reaction a with both alkyl chlorides and bromides by \sim 60-fold—again indicating a greater reactivity for the species $[NaFe(CO)_4]^-$. For such a small K_D the observed second-order rate constant should be proportional to $[Fe]_T^{-1/2}$. This relationship was found to be followed within experimental error. Activation energies were determined for reaction between Na₂-Fe(CO)₄ and an alkyl bromide in THF. The large negative entropy of activation and small enthalpy (ΔS^{\ddagger} = -40 ± 5 eu; $\Delta H^{\ddagger} = 7.2 \pm 0.3$ kcal mol⁻¹) are similar to those found for other bimolecular oxidative-addition reactions involving transition metals and alkyl halides.

Thus the 20,000-fold difference in reactivity of $Na_2Fe(CO)_4$ in NMP compared with THF^{20,25a} can be accounted for by differences in the extent of dissociation of the first Na⁺ in the two solvents with the assumption that $NaFe(CO)_4^-$ is the kinetically dominant species.^{25b} Comparison of the reactivity of $Na_2Fe(CO)_4$ with those for other transition-metal nucleophiles can be made by using the Pearson logarith-

mic nucleophilicity parameter.^{26a} The estimated value,^{26b} 16.7, for Na₂Fe(CO)₄ in NMP is as high as any other nucleophile on record.

Ion-pairing effects^{26c} are also important in the migratory insertion reaction converting alkyliron(0) complexes 2 into corresponding acyl complexes—step b, Figure 1. In our early studies we were surprised to find this reaction to be slower in better solvating media such as HMPA or NMP compared with THF.¹⁹ Thus step b is dramatically retarded by adding a few percent NMP to THF solutions of 2 (Table I). Furthermore, the rate of migratory insertion shows marked dependence on the nature of the gegencation: Li⁺ > Na⁺ \gg [(Ph₃P)₂N]⁺ (Table I).

Thus unusual behavior can be quantitatively accounted for by assuming that the tight ion pair, NaRFe(CO)₄, is the kinetically active species—more so even than a solvent-separated ion pair by a factor of >10². Further evidence for ion pairing comes from a crown ether conductometric titration of NaRFe- $(CO)_4$ (2) which shows a sharp break at 1:1 crown ether to NaRFe(CO)₄ in THF. One equivalent of crown ether results in a 150-fold decrease in the rate of reaction b in THF.

The rate law for these migratory insertions is first order with respect to the tight ion pair of the acyl complex of 2 and first order with respect to ligand $L.^{20,25}$ The concentration dependence for the conductivity of the acyl salt 2 shows the presence of triple ions.^{25b} The rate dependence of the migratory insertion reaction upon the total iron concentration has a nearly zero slope, but this can be qualitatively accommodated by taking into account ion-pairing equilibria which include the formation of triple ions.^{26c}

The observed second-order rate law for reaction b is consistent either with a concerted mechanism or one involving formation of a hypothetical four-coordinate, unsaturated intermediate, 4 (Figure 3), under conditions where $k_1 \gg [L]k_2$. Because of prior evi-

^{(26) (}a) R. G. Pearson, H. Sobel, and J. Songstad, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 90, 319 (1968). (b) This parameter was estimated from the rates of n-alkyl chloride in NMP, and then correcting for the reactivity ratios for methyl vs. n-alkyl and for chloride vs. iodide. We consider such parameters to have very limited value. (c) A general reference on ion-pairing effects is: "Ions and Ion Pairs in Organic Reactions", Vol. 2, M. Szwarc, Ed., Wiley-Interscience, New York, N.Y., 1974.

Figure 3. Rate = $k_1k_2[2][L]/(k_{-1} + [L]k_2)$. If $k_{-1} \gg [L]k_2$, rate = $(k_1/k_{-1})k_2[2][L]$. { } denotes tight ion pair.



Figure 4. ¹³C chemical shifts for acvl and carbone carbon atoms in ppm relative to TMS.

dence for a two-step path in alkyl-acyl migratory insertions.²⁷ we prefer this mechanism in the present case. Furthermore, the near-zero activation entropy $(\Delta S^{\ddagger} = -2 \text{ eu})$ found for reaction b is inconsistent with a concerted mechanism. Nevertheless we have so far not been able to find conditions under which the rate law for (b) deviates from second-order behavior.

In THF the acyliron(0) complex 3 also forms a strong ion pair with Na⁺ (or Li⁺), as shown by conductometric titration (Figure 3), and the cation dependence of the acyl ir stretching mode. By using this change in the infrared spectrum, we were able to show that the acyl complex forms a more stable ion pair, 6, than that of the alkyl complex, 5 (eq 18). The

$$[(Ph_{3}P)_{2}N]^{*}[C_{2}H_{5}CFe(CO)_{4}]^{-} + \{Na^{*}C_{2}H_{5}Fe(CO)_{4}^{-}\} \xrightarrow[100]{K}{} \\ ONa^{*}_{100} \\ \{C_{2}H_{5}CFe(CO)_{4}\} + [(Ph_{3}P)_{2}N]^{*}[C_{2}H_{5}Fe(CO)_{4}]^{-} (18)$$
6

formation of the sodium-acyliron tight ion pair 6 is also easily detected by ¹³C NMR spectroscopy. The acyl carbon exhibits an 18-ppm chemical shift going from the tight ion pair to the free anion (Figure 4). Such a chemical shift occurs upon successive addition of aliquots of NMP to THF solutions of the sodium ion pair 3 until the value of the free acyl ion is reached.²⁸ The ¹³C NMR chemical shift of the CO groups (a sharp singlet) is insensitive to ion pairing, suggesting that the cation is associated with the acyl oxygen in 6. The acyl tight ion pair 6 may be considered structurally related to carbene complexes such as 7 (Figure 4) which exhibit a characteristically lowfield ¹³C NMR signal for the carbon.²⁹

The reverse of step b which would involve conver-

breakpoint at 2.5 NMP per Na⁺.

(29) C. G. Kreiter and V. Formacek, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. Engl., 11, 141 (1972); G. M. Bodner, S. B. Kahl, K. Bork, B. N. Storhoff, J. E. Wuller, and L. J. Todd, Inorg. Chem., 12, 1071 (1973).



sion of 3 to 4 in Figure 3 is very slow, as shown by the failure of the acyl complex 3 to exchange with ¹³CO under ambient conditions. This kinetic stability of acyl complexes was employed to prepare the thermodynamically unstable formyl complex 8 (eq 19)³⁰ by a variation of step c (Figure 1). Hitherto no formyl complex had been characterized. Apparently the failure of the hydride NaHFe(CO)₄ to form the formyl complex under 1000 psi CO is due to an unfavorable equilibrium $(K_1/K_{-1}$ very small in Figure 3, R = H). A similar explanation can be advanced for the failure of electronegatively substituted alkyl groups (such as 11, eq 20) to migrate, thus limiting the scope of the sequence ((a), (b), (d)) forming aldehydes.



The transformation of acyl complexes into aldehydes (step d) is conceptually simple but mechanistically ill-resolved. Probably protonation of the iron, affording a six-coordinate iron(II) complex 9 (R = H), is followed by rapid reductive elimination (Figure 4.). The acyl ketone synthesis (step f) (Figure 1) follows a second-order rate law and probably goes by a similar path (Figure 4). The ketone synthesis brought about by alkylating the alkyliron complex 2 (step e, Figure 1) is also a second-order reaction (100 times faster than step f; see Table I).²⁰ This "alkyl ketone synthesis" is more complex and must involve at least two intermediates. Alkyl-alkyl hydrocarbon coupling has not been detected.³¹ That step e, Figure 1, does not involve the unsaturated acyl intermediate 4 (Figure 4) is certain because (e) does not show parallel kinetic responses due to the ion-pairing effects described above for the alkyl-acyl migration (see Table I). Intermediates such as 9 have not been detected. That alkylation at oxygen affording an oxycarbene intermediate, 10, is not involved in step f is clear since 10^{32} was found to be quite stable (Figure

(30) J. P. Collman and S. R. Winter, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 95, 4089 (1973).

(31) The reductive elimination of two saturated alkyl groups forming an alkane would be a most useful synthetic procedure; however, this seems to be an unfavorable process.

(32) (a) N. W. Hoffman, Ph.D. Dissertation, Stanford University, 1973;
(b) H. L. Conder and M. Y. Darensbourg, J. Organometal. Chem., 67, 93 (1974).

^{(27) (}a) I. S. Butler, F. Basolo, and R. G. Pearson, Inorg. Chem., 6, 2074 (1967); (b) R. W. Glyde and R. J. Mawby, Inorg. Chim. Acta, 4, 331 (1970); 5, 317 (1971); Inorg. Chem., 10, 854 (1971). (28) This ¹³C chemical shift vs. NMP "titration curve" exhibits a

5). Similar, stable acyloxy carbene complexes are formed by reaction of the anionic acyl complexes 3 with acid chlorides blocking a potential synthesis of α -diketones.³³

The mechanisms for paths g, h, i, and j (Figure 1) have not been studied. It seems probable that these involve oxidation of 2 or 3, affording very reactive iron(III) acyl complexes. Oxidative enhancement of migratory insertion and solvolysis of oxidized acyls have precedents.³⁴

Mechanisms for the reducing reactions (eq 11 and 12, Figure 2) are poorly understood. The coordinatively saturated hydride slowly adds to α,β -unsaturated esters, affording the kinetically determined product 11 (eq 20) which is reduced by protonation (step k, Figure 1),⁵ but the first step in eq 20 is too

(33) Low yields of α -diketone have been found after destructive distillation mixtures formed from acid chlorides and the acyl complex: Y. Sawa, M. Ryang, and S. Tsutsumi, J. Org. Chem., **35**, 4183 (1970).

(34) (a) K. M. Nicholas and M. Rosenblum, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 95, 4449 (1973); (b) M. Rosenblum, Acc. Chem. Res., 7, 122 (1974).

slow to account for the rate of reductions in reactions such as eq 11 and 12 (Figure 2).

$$CH_{2} \longrightarrow CHCO_{2}CH_{3} \xrightarrow{1. \operatorname{NaDFe(CO)_{4}}} 2. \iota(\operatorname{Ph_{3}P})_{2} \operatorname{N}^{+} DCH_{2}C[\operatorname{Fe}(CO)_{4}]HCO_{2}CH_{3}[(\operatorname{Ph_{3}P})_{2} \operatorname{N}^{+}] (20)$$
11

We are still investigating mechanisms for the reduction reactions (eq 11, 12) and oxidatively induced migration.³⁴ These may bring to a close our studies of this reagent.

This Account is based on the experimental and intellectual efforts of my students and associates: J. Cawse, D. R. Clark, M. P. Cooke, R. Finke, N. W. Hoffman, R. G. Komoto, P. L. Matlock, W. O. Siegl, R. Wahren, and S. R. Winter; support was provided by the National Science Foundation (MPS70-01722-A03) and the Center for Materials Research (N00014-67-A-0112-0056 and DAHC15-73-G15). I am also indebted to my colleague J. I. Brauman for collaboration in the mechanistic studies discussed herein.

Crystallographic Dependence in the Surface Chemistry of Tungsten

Robert R. Rye

Sandia Laboratories, Albuquerque, New Mexico 87115 Received January 3, 1975

One of the most intriguing aspects of modern studies of the chemistry of solid surfaces (especially metals) is that their chemistry can be strongly dependent on the specific crystal face exposed. Beginning with the work of Cunningham and Gwathmey on the interaction of ethylene with single-crystal surfaces of nickel¹ and the more recent work of Delchar and Ehrlich on the interaction of nitrogen with singlecrystal planes of tungsten,² it has become obvious that different planes of the same metal can have entirely different chemistry. In fact, the work of Schmidt and his coworkers^{3,4} suggests that different planes of the same metal can exhibit a greater difference in chemistry than the same planes of different but closely related metals.

The realization that such diversity can exist explains to some extent why areas such as catalysis are characterized by a *lack of understanding on a fundamental level*, an observation which becomes obvious on reading reviews such as the books by Bond⁵ and Thomas and Thomas.⁶ A normal polycrystalline material of the type used for catalytic studies will expose a distribution of crystal faces, as well as an array of

Robert Rye, who is currently a member of the Scientific Staff of Sandla Laboratories, was born in Memphis, Tenn., in 1935. Following military service, he received the B.S. degree from Memphis State University in 1963, and in 1968 the Ph.D. degree from lowa State University working with R. S. Hansen. From 1968 to 1974 he was a member of the Chemistry Department at Cornell University, where he carried out research on the details of the chemistry that occurs at metal surfaces.

high-energy sites such as grain boundaries and defects of various types. As a result, measurements obtained on such a sample could yield results which are composites of contributions from all such sources. For such materials one cannot, as has been the usual case, treat the surface as a uniform reactant.

Despite this intriguing diversity with crystal face, the majority of single crystal work in the past has been conducted on a single crystal face. Moreover, until recently this field has been dominated by studies on tungsten surfaces, mainly for experimental reasons. The ability to clean and characterize surfaces has been so central to the renaissance that has occurred in this area that tungsten, considered the easiest metal to clean, has occupied a central position. The ability to clean and characterize surfaces, and thus eliminate the problem of foreign species at the surface, stems directly from the development of techniques for the production and measurement of ultrahigh vacuum (<10⁻⁹ Torr).^{7,8} Pressures of this order

(1) A. T. Gwathmey and R. E. Cunningham, Adv. Catal., 10, 57 (1958).

(2) T. A. Delchar and G. Ehrlich, J. Chem. Phys., 42, 2686 (1965).

(3) H. R. Han and L. D. Schmidt, J. Phys. Chem., 75, 227 (1971).

(4) M. Mahnig and L. D. Schmidt, Z. Phys. Chem. (Frankfurt am Main), 80, 71 (1972).

(5) G. C. Bond, "Catalysis by Metals", Academic Press, New York, N.Y., 1962.

(6) J. M. Thomas and W. J. Thomas, "Introduction to the Principles of Heterogeneous Catalysis", Academic Press, New York, N.Y., 1967.
(7) G. Ehrlich, Adv. Catal., 14, 255 (1963).

(8) P. A. Redhead, J. P. Hobson, and E. V. Kornelson, "The Physical Basis of Ultrahigh Vacuum", Chapman and Hall, London, 1968.