A Short Total Synthesis of the Alkaloids Piperolactam C, Goniopedaline, and Stigmalactam

Véronique Rys,^[a] Axel Couture,^{*[a]} Eric Deniau,^[a] and Pierre Grandclaudon^[a]

Keywords: Alkaloids / Carbanions / Cyclization / Lactams / Total synthesis

The total synthesis of the polyalkoxylated alkaloids piperolactam C, goniopedaline and stigmalactam by combinatorial metalation/cyclization strategies has been achieved. The synthetic route involved the preliminary construction of the polyalkoxylated isoindolinone template by Parham's technique. Benzylic lactam deprotonation allowed connection of

Introduction

Aristolactams are a minor group of fused phenanthrene lactam alkaloids structurally and biogenetically related to aporphines.^[1-4] The richest source of this class of alkaloids is undoubtedly plants of the family *Aristolochiaceae*.^[5,6] Extracts of these plants have been used since antiquity and still find some applications in traditional medicine in Turkey,^[7] India,^[8] Argentina^[9] and southern China.^[10] These phenanthrene lactams are also considered to be the principal detoxification metabolites of aristolochic acids,^[11] which have been implicated in an endemic renal fibrosis known as Chinese herbs nephropathy.^[12] They have also been detected in urine and faeces from mammals, including humans, but their exact mode of action has not yet been elucidated.^[13]

As a part of a program aiming to synthesize a range of aristolactamic products with oxygenated functions at the 2and 3-positions of the phenanthrene nucleus for subsequent pharmacological evaluation, we were initially interested in the synthesis of the representative naturally occurring alkaloids piperolactam C (1), goniopedaline (2) and stigmalactam (3). Piperolactam C (1) has been isolated from the stem bark of Piper argyrophylum,^[14] Piper wightii,^[15] Piper acutisleginum^[16] and from other Indian Piper species, namely P. boehimerifolium and P. longum.^[17] Recent investigations of extracts from Fissistigma balansae and F. oldanii have also resulted in the isolation of this trimethoxylactam.^[18] It is worth noting that a short and skilful synthesis of this alkaloid has recently been reported by V. Snieckus et al.^[19] Goniopedaline (2) has been isolated from the leaves and twigs of Goniothalamus sesquipedalis^[20] and very recently

a hydroxybenzyl appendage, and the synthesis of the target natural products was completed by subsequent E1cB elimination, radical cyclization and final deprotection.

(© Wiley-VCH Verlag GmbH & Co. KGaA, 69451 Weinheim, Germany, 2003)

Uvaria hamiltonii,^[21] whilst stigmalactam (3) is a new aristolactamic compound recently extracted from *Fissistigma* species found in a climbing shrub indigenous to the broadleafed tree zone of China and Taiwan.^[18] As far as we are aware, no total syntheses of natural products 2 or 3 have appeared in print.

Results and Discussion

The main general synthetic approaches to these phenanthrene lactam compounds involve: (i) oxidation of dehydroaporphines,^[22] (ii) metalation/carbonylation of bromophenanthrylamines,^[23] (iii) metalation/carbonation of aminophenanthrenes, and (iv) catalytic hydrogenation of the corresponding aristolochic acids,^[24] However, these methods are inadequate for the synthesis of models with diverse and dense functionality on their compact framework, particularly with hydrophenolic functions in specific positions on the basic phenanthrene nucleus.

For the synthesis of the target natural products 1-3 we initially envisaged the adoption of a strategy hinging on the preliminary synthesis of the (arylmethylene)isoindolinones 4-6 (retrosynthetic Scheme 1). Cyclization of these stilbenic intermediates followed by sequential or concomitant deprotection of the primarily annulated compounds should then complete the synthesis of the desired alkaloids. A contentious issue in the construction of the diversely functionalized isoindolinones 4-6, each with a pendant (haloaryl)methylene unit, was the initial judgement of the proper strategy for the stereoselective synthesis of the required models with the mandatory (E) stereochemistry. To reach this goal we first opted for the synthetic route depicted in the retrosynthetic Scheme 1 (path a), which has been successfully applied to the synthesis of other members of the aristolactamic series.^[25-27] This synthetic approach

 [[]a] Laboratoire de Chimie Organique Physique, ESA CNRS 8009, Université des Sciences et Technologies de Lille, Bâtiment C3(2), USTL, 59655 Villeneuve d'Ascq Cédex, France Fax: (internat.) + 33-3/20336309 E-mail: axel.couture@univ-lille1.fr

FULL PAPER



Scheme 1

relies upon the construction of the (arylmethylene)isoindolinones 4-6 by the Horner process, which is precisely governed by the bulkiness of the substituent connected to the lactam nitrogen atom.^[25-28] It was assumed that the first facet of this synthesis, the elaboration of the parent isoindolinones 7 and 8, each with the phosphoryl appendage and the bulky *p*-methoxybenzyl protective group, should be accomplishable through aryne-mediated cyclization of the halo-*N*-[(diphenylphosphoryl)methyl]benzamide derivatives 9 and 10.^[28,29]

To test the feasibility of such a process on polyalkoxylated models we first set out to prepare the trimethoxy parent model **9** (Scheme 2). The synthesis started with 2,3,4trimethoxybenzaldehyde, which was converted into the imidazolidine derivative **11** in order to retain the formyl functionality and to direct lithiation to the *ortho* ring position.^[30–32] Metalation with *tert*-butyllithium and subsequent quenching with 1,2-dibromotetrachloroethane resulted, as anticipated, in bromination exclusively adjacent to the imidazolidine group. Regeneration of the formyl V. Rys, A. Couture, E. Deniau, P. Grandclaudon

functionality followed by classical oxidation of the resulting bromobenzaldehyde 12 provided a 45% yield (over three steps) of the bromobenzoic acid 13. Coupling of this carboxylic acid with the secondary phosphorylated amine 14 - obtained beforehand by treatment of the appropriate hexahydrotriazine with diphenylphosphane oxide^[15,25,33] delivered the required parent bromobenzamide 9. Somewhat disappointingly, compound 9 was completely unamenable to the aryne-mediated cyclization conditions likely to give access to the required phosphorylated isoindolinone 7 even after considerable experimentation with various solvents, bases and temperatures, with or without crown ethers, instead giving an inextricable mixture of compounds. One can reasonably assume that this failure may be attributable partly to intramolecular nucleophilic aromatic substitution competing with the generation of the aryne functionality, a phenomenon for which there is precedent.^[34]



Scheme 2

Consequently, we decided to change our plans and to adopt the alternative synthetic tactics depicted in the retrosynthetic Scheme 1 (path b) to achieve the stereoselective preparation of (*E*)-(arylmethylene)isoindolinones 4-6. This work was based on the following premises: (i) the installation of the hydroxyalkyl appendage should be easily achievable by metalation of the parent isoindolinones 18 and 19 and subsequent quenching with the appropriate halobenzaldehyde derivative,^[35] and (ii) dehydration of *erythro* and/or *threo* adducts 15–17 through an E1cB mechanism would give rise selectively to the desired arylideneisoindolinones 4-6 with the (*E*) configuration.^[36]

Critical to the success of this strategy then was the construction of the parent isoindolinones 18 and 19 with methyl- or benzyl-protected hydroxy groups. Syntheses of these lactam compounds have been widely investigated, but the application of traditional methods is quite limited and unsatisfactory because of restrictions in the choice of substituents: namely in their nature, their number and their position on the aromatic nucleus.^[29] We thus chose to adopt a new conceptual approach in which the lactam ring would be assembled by lithium/halogen exchange in the bromoaryl derivative 24 and 25, followed by Parham-type cyclization of the resulting lithiated intermediate 26 ($R^1 = Me$, Bn), with the carbamate group acting as the internal electrophile (Scheme 3). The synthesis started with the elaboration of the dibenzylamine derivatives 22 and 23, which were readily obtained by reductive amination of the appropriate bromobenzaldehydes 20 and 21 with *p*-methoxybenzylamine. Treatment of amines 22 and 23 with methyl chloroformate delivered the carbamates 24 and 25 in excellent yields (73 and 69% for 24 and 25, respectively, over two steps). To ensure the formation of the lithiated intermediate 26, a THF solution of the aryl bromides 24 and 25 was treated with tert-butyllithium. The intramolecular ring-closure was instantaneous, as demonstrated by the isolation solely of the annulated compounds 18 and 19 upon immediate aqueous workup. This annulation reaction releases lithium methoxide, and we assumed that this species might be of sufficient kinetic basicity to induce the formation of the highly stabilized transient carbanion 27. To test this hypothesis, compounds 24 and 25 were treated with tert-butyllithium and subsequently with the appropriate halobenzaldehydes 28 and 29. To our delight, this approach delivered single compounds, unambiguously identified as alcohols 15-17 with erythro configurations.^[37] As an example, the ¹H NMR spectrum of 15 showed a coupling constant of 3.2 Hz between the C-3 and C- α protons, which is in agreement with the erythro configuration.^[38,39] E1cB elimination was performed by prior O-silylation of the hydroxyalkyl adducts 15-17 followed by benzylic lactam deprotonation. Gratifyingly, this technique exclusively delivered the (E)-configured arylideneisoindolinones 4-6,^[36] suitable candidates for the ultimate cyclization step. Oxidative radical cyclization of these stilbenic intermediates proceeded uneventfully to furnish the primarily annulated compounds 30-32 in fairly good yields (Scheme 3). Removal of the benzyl protection of the phenolic hydroxy groups of 31 and 32 and of the nitrogen lactams of 30-32 was achieved simultaneously by treatment with trifluoroacetic acid, and this single operation delivered the target natural products piperolactam C (1), goniopedaline (2) and stigmalactam (3) in 44, 31 and 26% yields, respectively (over four steps).

Conclusion

In conclusion, we have completed a new conceptual approach to the synthesis of the contiguously polyalkoxylated aristolactam alkaloids. This new route, illustrated by the synthesis of three representative examples, hinges upon the initial construction of the polyalkoxylated isoindolinone template by the Parham procedure. Subsequent hydroxyal-kylation of the lactam unit, dehydration of the adducts through an E1cB mechanism and final cyclization complete the total synthesis of the target natural products. The advantages of this synthesis, which lie mainly in the small



Scheme 3

number of steps, their procedural simplicity and high efficiency and the mildness of reaction conditions, provide a strong incentive for the elaboration of similar structurally modified naturally occurring alkaloids.

Experimental Section

General: Tetrahydrofuran (THF) and diethyl ether (Et₂O) were predried with anhydrous Na₂SO₄ and distilled from sodium benzophenone ketyl under Ar before use. Dichloromethane (CH₂Cl₂), 1,2-dichloroethane, triethylamine (NEt₃), toluene and benzene were distilled from CaH₂. Dry glassware was obtained by oven-drying and assembly under Ar. The glassware was fitted with rubber septa and reagent transfer was performed by syringe techniques. For flash chromatography, Merck silica gel 60 (230-400 mesh ASTM) was used. The melting points were taken with a Reichert-Thermopan apparatus and are not corrected. NMR: Bruker AM 300 (300 MHz, 75 MHz and 121 MHz, for ¹H, ¹³C and ³¹P, respectively); for ¹H and ¹³C NMR, CDCl₃ as solvent, TMS as internal standard; for ³¹P NMR, CDCl₃ as solvent, H₃PO₄ as external standard. Microanalyses were performed by the CNRS microanalvsis centre. Bromobenzaldehvde derivatives 20,^[40] 21,^[41] 28^[42] and 29^[43] were prepared by literature methods. The phosphorylated amine 14^[25] was also synthesized by a reported procedure. The petroleum ether used had a boiling range of 40-60 °C. Abbreviations that have been used in the descriptions that follow: HOBt = 1-hydroxybenzotriazole, EDCl = 1-[3-(dimethylamino)propyl]-3ethylcarbodiimide hydrochloride.

Preparation of the Phosphorylated Benzamide Derivative 9

6-Bromo-2,3,4-trimethoxybenzaldehyde (12): A solution of 2,3,4-trimethoxybenzaldehyde (9.81 g, 50 mmol) and N,N'-dimethylethylenediamine (5.43 g, 63 mmol) in toluene (100 mL) was heated at reflux for 3 h in a Dean-Stark apparatus. Toluene was removed in vacuo and the crude product was distilled to afford the 1,3-dimethyl-2-(2,3,4-trimethoxyphenyl)imidazolidine (11) (oil, 11.05 g, 83%), b.p. 105–108 °C (0.2 Torr). ¹H NMR: $\delta = 2.16$ (s, 3 H, NCH₃), 2.17 (s, 3 H, NCH₃), 2.53-257 (m, 2 H, NCH₂), 3.32-3.36 (m, 2 H, NCH₂), 3.76 (s, 1 H, NCHN), 3.83 (s, 3 H, OCH₃), 3.84 (s, 3 H, OCH₃), 3.85 (s, 3 H, OCH₃), 7.30 (d, J = 8.8 Hz, 1 H, aromatic H), 6.71 (d, J = 8.8 Hz, 1 H, aromatic H) ppm. ¹³C NMR: $\delta = 39.7, 53.3, 55.9, 60.7, 61.2, 83.9, 108.0, 123.8, 125.0,$ 141.3, 153.1, 153.7 ppm. C₁₄H₂₂N₂O₃ (266.3): calcd. C 63.14, H 8.33, N 10.52; found C 62.86, H 8.53, N 10.21. tBuLi (9.8 mL, 1.7 м in pentane, 16.7 mmol) was added dropwise by syringe over 30 min to a stirred solution of freshly distilled imidazolidine 11 (2 g, 7.5 mmol) in Et₂O (50 mL). The mixture was stirred under Ar at room temperature for 6 h. A solution of dibromotetrachloroethane (5.40 g, 16.6 mmol) in Et₂O was then slowly added, and the mixture was stirred at room temperature overnight. Hydrolysis of the aminal was effected with aqueous HCl (ca. 150 mL, 2 M, 30 min, room temp.). The organic layer was separated and the aqueous layer was extracted with $CHCl_3$ (2 \times 50 mL). The combined organic extracts were washed with saturated aqueous NH₄Cl (100 mL). The organic layers were again combined, dried (MgSO₄), filtered and concentrated in vacuo. The crude product was purified by flash column chromatography with Et₂O/hexanes (3:7) as eluent to afford the title compound 12, which was recrystallized from hexane: (1.32 g, 64%), m.p. 51-52 °C (ref.^[44] oil, b.p. 195 °C, 0.8 Torr). 157.8, 189.4 (CH=O) ppm.

6-Bromo-2,3,4-trimethoxybenzoic Acid (13): A suspension of 12 (1 g, 3.6 mmol) in water (30 mL) was stirred at 75 °C, and a solution of KMnO₄ (0.86 g, 5.5 mmol) in water (20 mL) was added dropwise over a period of 20 min. After the mixture had been stirred at 75 °C for a further 2 h and then allowed to cool to room temperature, aqueous KOH (20%) was added until pH = 12, and the reaction mixture was filtered through Celite. The filtrate was then acidified (HCl, 10%) until pH = 2, and the mixture was then extracted with Et₂O (3 × 50 mL). The dried (Na₂SO₄) extract was concentrated and the residue was recrystallized from hexane/CH₂Cl₂ to give the acid **13** (0.89 g, 85%), m.p. 112–114 °C (ref.^[44] 113–114.5 °C). ¹³C NMR: δ = 56.4, 60.5, 61.9, 111.3, 112.0, 125.3, 141.1, 150.3, 154.3, 166.3 (COOH) ppm.

6-Bromo-N-[(diphenylphosphoryl)methyl]-2,3,4-trimethoxy-N-(4methoxybenzyl)benzamide (9): A solution of acid 13 (882 mg, 3.0 mmol), HOBt (0.45 g, 3.35 mmol), EDCI (645 mg, 3.35 mmol) and Et₃N (460 mg, 4.6 mmol) in freshly distilled CH₂Cl₂ (50 mL) was stirred at 0 °C under Ar for 1 h. A solution of the phosphorylated amine 14 (1.06 g, 3.0 mmol) in CH₂Cl₂ (20 mL) was added dropwise, and the mixture was allowed to warm to room temperature overnight. Aqueous HCl (5%, 10 mL) was added and the separated organic layer was subsequently washed with aqueous KOH (5%, 10 mL), water (20 mL) and brine (20 mL). Concentration of the dried extract left a solid residue, which was purified by flash column chromatography with acetone/petroleum ether (2:3) as eluent to afford the phosphorylated benzamide derivative 9, which was finally recrystallized from hexane/toluene (1.27 g, 68%), m.p. 155-156 °C. ¹H NMR: $\delta = 3.66$ (s, 3 H, OCH₃), 3.78 (s, 3 H, OCH_3), 3.80 (s, 3 H, OCH_3), 3.82 (s, 3 H, OCH_3), 4.19 (dd, J =6.4, 15.5 Hz, 1 H, NCH₂P), 4.63 (d, J = 14.9 Hz, 1 H, NCH₂), 4.70 (dd, J = 4.3, 15.5 Hz, 1 H, NCH₂P), 4.80 (d, J = 14.9 Hz, 1 H, NCH₂), 6.75 (s, 1 H, aromatic H), 6.84 (d, J = 8.6 Hz, 2 H, aromatic H), 7.35 (d, J = 8.6 Hz, 2 H, aromatic H), 7.40–7.49 (m, 6 H, aromatic H), 7.84–7.97 (m, 4 H, aromatic H) ppm. ¹³C NMR: $\delta = 41.4$ (d, $J_{CP} = 77$ Hz, NCH₂P), 52.4, 55.2, 56.3, 60.9, 61.8, 111.9, 113.2, 114.0, 124.7, 127.1, 128.6 (d, $J_{CP} = 12$ Hz, 4 C), 130.5, 131.1 (d, $J_{C,P} = 10$ Hz), 131.6 (d, $J_{C,P} = 10$ Hz), 131.9 (d, $J_{CP} = 95$ Hz), 132.0 (d, $J_{CP} = 3$ Hz), 132.2 (d, $J_{CP} = 3$ Hz), 141.9, 151.0, 154.6, 159.2, 166.3 (NC=O) ppm. ³¹P NMR: δ = 30.9 ppm. C₃₁H₃₁BrNO₆P (624.5): calcd. C 59.63, H 5.00, N 2.24; found C 59.84, H 5.21, N 2.01.

Preparation of the Carbamates 24, 25

General Procedure for the Synthesis of the Dibenzylamine Derivatives 22 and 23: *p*-Methoxybenzylamine (3.4 g, 25 mmol) and bromobenzaldehyde derivative 20 or 21 (25 mmol) were mixed in dry 1,2-dichloroethane (100 mL) and treated with NaBH(OAc)₃ (7.5 g, 35 mmol). The mixture was stirred at room temperature under Ar for 12 h. The reaction mixture was quenched by addition of aqueous NaOH (1 M, 10 mL) and the product was extracted with Et₂O (3 × 50 mL). The organic extract was dried (Na₂SO₄) and the solvents were evaporated to leave an oily residue. The ¹H NMR spectrum clearly indicated the exclusive presence of the dibenzylamine 22 or 23, which was then used directly in the next step without further purification.

N-(2-Bromo-3,4,5-trimethoxybenzyl)-*N*-(4-methoxybenzyl)amine (22): 9.5 g, 96%, oil. ¹H NMR: δ = 3.73 (s, 2 H, NCH₂), 3.78 (s, 3 H, OCH₃), 3.81 (s, 2 H, NCH₂), 3.84 (s, 3 H, OCH₃), 3.85 (s, 3 H, OCH₃), 3.87 (s, 3 H, OCH₃), 5.27 (s, 1 H, NH), 6.80 (s, 1 H, aromatic H), 6.86 (d, *J* = 8.5 Hz, 2 H, aromatic H), 7.26 (d, *J* = 8.5 Hz, 2 H, aromatic H), 7.26 (d, *J* = 8.5 Hz, 2 H, aromatic H) ppm. ¹³C NMR: δ = 52.6, 53.3, 55.3, 56.1, 61.0, 61.1, 109.1, 109.9, 113.8, 129.4, 132.2, 134.9, 142.1, 150.9, 152.6, 158.7 ppm. *N*-(4-Benzyloxy-2-bromo-3,5-dimethoxy)-*N*-(4-methoxybenzyl)amine (23): 11.1 g, 94%, oil. ¹H NMR: δ = 3.77 (s, 2 H, NCH₂), 3.80 (s, 3 H, OCH₃), 3.84 (s, 3 H, OCH₃), 3.85 (s, 2 H, NCH₂), 3.91 (s, 3 H, OCH₃), 5.04 (s, 2 H, OCH₂), 5.30 (s, 1 H, NH), 6.84 (s, 1 H, aromatic H), 6.89 (d, *J* = 8.6 Hz, 2 H, aromatic H), 7.30 (d, *J* = 8.6 Hz, 2 H, aromatic H), 7.32–7.41 (m, 3 H, aromatic H), 7.50–7.54 (m, 2 H, aromatic H) ppm. ¹³C NMR: δ = 52.7, 53.3, 55.3, 56.2, 61.1, 75.5, 109.2, 110.0, 113.8, 128.1, 128.4 (four peaks overlapping), 129.4, 132.0, 135.2, 137.5, 141.0, 151.3, 152.9, 158.3 ppm.

General Procedure for the Synthesis of the Carbamates 24 and 25: A solution of methyl chloroformate (1.7 g, 18 mmol) in anhydrous CH_2Cl_2 (5 mL) was added dropwise at 0 °C to a solution of dibenzylamine 22 or 23 (12 mmol) and NEt₃ (2.42 g, 24 mmol) in CH_2Cl_2 (100 mL). The mixture was allowed to warm to room temperature and was stirred for an additional 3 h. Water (25 mL) was added, and the organic layer was dried with MgSO₄. Evaporation of the solvent left a residue, which was purified by flash column chromatography with acetone/petroleum ether (3:7) as eluent. Compound 24 was finally recrystallized from hexane/toluene.

Methyl *N*-(2-Bromo-3,4,5-trimethoxybenzyl)-*N*-(4-methoxybenzyl)carbamate (24): 4.0 g, 73%, m.p. 66–67 °C. ¹H NMR: δ = 3.77 (s, 3 H, OCH₃), 3.78 (s, 3 H, OCH₃), 3.80 (s, 3 H, OCH₃), 3.85 (s, 3 H, OCH₃), 3.87 (s, 3 H, OCH₃), 4.36–4.53 (m, 4 H, NCH₂Ar), 6.43 (s, 1 H, aromatic H), 6.83 (d, *J* = 8.6 Hz, 2 H, aromatic H), 7.11–7.20 (m, 2 H, aromatic H) ppm. ¹³C NMR: δ = 49.4, 49.9, 53.0, 55.3, 56.1, 61.0, 61.1, 106.6, 108.1, 113.9, 128.9, 129.3, 129.7, 132.2, 150.8, 152.9, 157.4, 159.0 ppm. C₂₀H₂₄BrNO₆ (454.3): calcd. C 52.88, H 5.32, N 3.08; found C 53.01, H 5.39, N 3.13.

Methyl *N*-(4-Benzyloxy-2-bromo-3,5-dimethoxy)-*N*-(4-methoxybenzyl)carbamate (25): 4.4 g, 69%, oil. ¹H NMR: δ = 3.76 (s, 3 H, OCH₃), 3.78 (s, 6 H, 2 × OCH₃), 3.88 (s, 3 H, OCH₃), 4.38–4.56 (m, 4 H, NCH₂Ar), 5.02 (s, 2 H, NCH₂), 6.46 (s, 1 H, aromatic H), 6.85 (d, *J* = 8.6 Hz, 2 H, aromatic H), 7.10–7.22 (m, 2 H, aromatic H), 7.30–7.41 (m, 3 H, aromatic H), 7.49–7.56 (m, 2 H, aromatic H) ppm. ¹³C NMR: δ = 49.4, 50.0, 53.0, 55.3, 56.2, 61.0, 75.4, 106.9, 108.3, 113.9, 128.1, 128.3, 128.9, 129.4, 129.7, 132.3, 137.4, 141.3, 151.2, 152.3, 157.4, 159.0 ppm. C₂₆H₂₈BrNO₆ (530.4): calcd. C 58.88, H 5.32, N 2.64; found C 60.07, H 5.11, N 2.58.

General Procedure for the Synthesis of the Hydroxyalkylated Isoindolinones 15–17: A solution of carbamate 24 or 25 (2.2 mmol) in dry THF (50 mL) was cooled to -100 °C under Ar, and *t*BuLi (1.4 mL, 1.7 M in pentane, 2.4 mmol) was added dropwise by syringe. The reaction mixture was allowed to warm to -50 °C over a period of 30 min, and a solution of halobenzaldehyde 28 or 29 (2.4 mmol) in THF (5 mL) was then added dropwise. The mixture was allowed to warm to 0 °C, followed by addition of saturated aqueous NH₄Cl and extraction with CH₂Cl₂ (3 × 30 mL). The combined organic layers were dried (Na₂SO₄) and the solvents were evaporated in a rotary evaporator to afford 15–17 as single diastereomers, which were finally purified by recrystallization from EtOH.

3-[(4-Benzyloxy-2-iodophenyl)(hydroxy)methyl]-5,6,7-trimethoxy-2-(4-methoxybenzyl)-2,3-dihydro-1*H*-isoindol-1-one (15): 960 mg, 76%, m.p. 209–210 °C. ¹H NMR ([D₆]DMSO): δ = 3.50 (s, 3 H, OCH₃), 3.69 (s, 3 H, OCH₃), 3.70 (s, 3 H, OCH₃), 3.96 (s, 3 H, OCH₃), 4.15 (d, *J* = 15.5 Hz, 1 H, NCH₂), 4.58 (d, *J* = 3.2 Hz, 1 H, CH), 5.16 (d, *J* = 15.5 Hz, 1 H, NCH₂Ar), 5.22–5.24 (m, 1 H, CHO), 5.65 (s, 1 H, aromatic H), 5.90 (d, *J* = 4.8 Hz, 1 H, OH), 6.87 (d, *J* = 8.6 Hz, 2 H, aromatic H), 7.11 (dt, *J* = 1.5, 7.5 Hz, 1 H, aromatic H), 7.20 (d, *J* = 8.6 Hz, 2 H, aromatic H), 7.33 (dd, J = 1.4, 7.6 Hz, 1 H, aromatic H), 7.46 (t, J = 7.4 Hz, 1 H, aromatic H), 7.87 (d, J = 7.4 Hz, 1 H, aromatic H) ppm. ¹³C NMR ([D₆]DMSO): $\delta = 42.8, 55.0, 55.5, 60.8, 60.9, 62.2, 73.6, 97.2$ (C–I), 103.6, 113.9, 117.5, 127.7, 129.0, 129.7, 130.0, 130.2, 138.9, 139.2, 141.0, 142.3, 150.4, 155.0, 158.4, 166.5 (C=O) ppm. C₂₆H₂₆INO₆ (575.4): calcd. C 54.27, H 4.55, N 2.43; found C 54.49, H 4.29, N 2.17.

6-Benzyloxy-3-[(hydroxy)(2-iodophenyl)methyl]-5,7-dimethoxy-2-(**4-methoxybenzyl)-2,3-dihydro-1***H***-isoindol-1-one (16):** 1.03 g, 72%, m.p. 193–194 °C. ¹H NMR ([D₆]DMSO): δ = 3.52 (s, 3 H, OCH₃), 3.71 (s, 3 H, OCH₃), 3.96 (s, 3 H, OCH₃), 4.19 (d, *J* = 15.4 Hz, 1 H, NCH₂), 4.63 (d, *J* = 2.7 Hz, 1 H, CH), 4.91 (s, 2 H, OCH₂), 5.19 (d, *J* = 15.4 Hz, 1 H, NCH₂Ar), 5.27 (br. s, 1 H, CHO), 5.70 (s, 1 H, aromatic H), 5.93 (d, *J* = 4.6 Hz, 1 H, OH), 6.89 (d, *J* = 8.5 Hz, 2 H, aromatic H), 7.12 (t, *J* = 6.9 Hz, 1 H, aromatic H), 7.28 (d, *J* = 7.8 Hz, 1 H, aromatic H) ppm. ¹³C NMR ([D₆]DMSO): δ = 42.9, 55.1, 55.6, 60.9, 62.3, 73.0, 97.3 (C–I), 103.7, 114.0, 117.6, 127.7, 127.9, 128.0, 128.2, 129.1, 129.8, 130.0, 130.2, 137.6, 139.1, 140.0, 142.4, 150.6, 155.2, 158.5, 166.5 (C=O) ppm. C₃₂H₃₀INO₆ (651.5): calcd. C 59.00, H 4.64, N 2.15; found C 58.78, H 4.44, N 2.30.

3-[(4-Benzyloxy-2-bromophenyl)(hydroxy)methyl]-5,6,7-trimethoxy-2-(4-methoxybenzyl)-2,3-dihydro-1*H***-isoindol-1-one** (17): (1.03 g, 74%), m.p. 154–155 °C. ¹H NMR ([D₆]DMSO): δ = 3.45 (s, 3 H, OCH₃), 3.69 (s, 3 H, OCH₃), 3.71 (s, 3 H, OCH₃), 3.96 (s, 3 H, OCH₃), 4.18 (d, *J* = 15.4 Hz, 1 H, NCH₂), 4.48 (br. s, 1 H, CH), 5.14 (s, 2 H, OCH₂), 5.20 (d, *J* = 15.4 Hz, 1 H, NCH₂), 5.33 (br. s, 1 H, CHO), 5.67 (s, 1 H, aromatic H), 5.80 (d, *J* = 4.4 Hz, 1 H, OH), 6.88 (d, *J* = 8.3 Hz, 2 H, aromatic H), 7.11 (t, *J* = 8.5 Hz, 1 H, aromatic H), 7.18 (d, *J* = 8.3 Hz, 2 H, aromatic H), 7.28–7.44 (m, 7 H, aromatic H) ppm. ¹³C NMR ([D₆]DMSO): δ = 42.8, 55.1, 55.5, 60.8 (two peaks overlapping), 62.2, 68.4, 69.5, 103.3, 113.8, 113.9, 117.5, 118.6, 121.0, 127.6, 127.9, 128.5, 129.1, 129.6, 130.8, 131.5, 136.6, 138.9, 140.9, 150.4, 155.1, 158.4, 158.5, 166.4 (C=O) ppm. C₃₃H₃₂BrNO₇ (634.5): calcd. C 62.47, H 5.08, N 2.21; found C 62.71, H 4.92, N 2.46.

Preparation of Isoindolinones 18 and 19: Aqueous NH_4Cl addition to the crude reaction mixture resulting from treatment of **24** or **25** with *t*BuLi as described above allowed the isolation of the isoindolinones **18** and **19**, which were obtained in very good yield by this method.

5,6,7-Trimethoxy-2-(4-methoxybenzyl)-2,3-dihydro-1*H***-isoindol-1-one (18):** (640 mg, 85%), m.p. 94–95 °C (from hexane/toluene). ¹H NMR: $\delta = 3.75$ (s, 3 H, OCH₃), 3.84 (s, 6 H, 2 × OCH₃), 4.09 (s, 2 H, NCH₂), 4.12 (s, 3 H, OCH₃), 4.62 (s, 2 H, NCH₂), 6.60 (s, 1 H, aromatic H), 6.81 (d, J = 8.7 Hz, 2 H, aromatic H), 7.19 (d, J = 8.7 Hz, 2 H, aromatic H). ¹³C NMR: $\delta = 45.5$, 48.8, 55.3, 56.2, 61.4, 62.6, 101.3, 114.0, 117.4, 129.4, 129.5, 138.7, 141.0, 151.5, 157.0, 159.0, 166.8 (C=O). C₁₉H₂₁NO₅ (343.4): calcd. C 66.46, H 6.16, N 4.08; found C 66.36, H 6.07, N 3.95.

6-Benzyloxy-5,7-dimethoxy-2-(4-methoxybenzyl)-2,3-dihydro-1*H***isoindol-1-one (19):** 755 mg, 82%, oil. ¹H NMR: $\delta = 3.76$ (s, 3 H, OCH₃), 3.81 (s, 3 H, OCH₃), 4.10 (s, 5 H, OCH₃ + NCH₂), 4.64 (s, 2 H, NCH₂), 5.01 (s, 2 H, OCH₂), 6.60 (s, 1 H, aromatic H), 6.84 (d, J = 8.0 Hz, 2 H, aromatic H), 7.22 (d, J = 8.0 Hz, 2 H, aromatic H), 7.25–7.37 (m, 3 H, aromatic H), 7.48–7.50 (m, 2 H, aromatic H) ppm. ¹³C NMR: $\delta = 45.6$, 48.9, 55.3, 56.2, 62.6, 75.7, 101.4, 114.1, 117.5, 128.0, 128.3, 128.5, 129.4, 129.5, 137.5, 138.9, 140.6, 151.8, 157.3, 159.1, 166.8 (C=O) ppm. C₂₅H₂₅NO₅ (419.3): calcd. C 71.58, H 6.01, N 3.34; found C 71.71, H 6.22, N 3.53.

General Procedure for the Synthesis of the (Arylmethylene)isoindolinones 4-6: Potassium bis(trimethylsilyl)amide (KHMDS, 3.3 mL, 0.5 M in toluene, 1.65 mmol) was added dropwise at -78 °C to a stirred solution of 15-17 (1.5 mmol) in THF (50 mL). Freshly distilled Me₃SiCl (180 mg, 1.65 mmol) was added, and the reaction mixture was allowed to warm to room temperature over a period of 1 h. The mixture was recooled to -78 °C, treated with KHMDS (3.3 mL, 0.5 M in toluene, 1.65 mmol), again allowed to warm to room temperature and finally quenched with saturated aqueous NH₄Cl before extraction with Et₂O (3 \times 20 mL) and CH₂Cl₂ (3 \times 25 mL). The organic extracts were combined, washed with water (10 mL) and brine, and dried (Na₂SO₄). The solvent was removed under reduced pressure to afford the (arylmethylene)isoindolinones 4-6, which were purified by flash column chromatography with EtOAc/petroleum ether (2:3) as eluent and finally recrystallized from hexane/toluene to obtain the pure products (E)-4-6.

(*E*)-3-(2-Iodobenzylidene)-5,6,7-trimethoxy-2-(4-methoxybenzyl)-2,3-dihydro-1*H*-isoindolin-1-one (4): 700 mg, 84%, m.p. 133–134 °C. ¹H NMR: δ = 3.44 (s, 3 H, OCH₃), 3.77 (s, 3 H, OCH₃), 3.85 (s, 3 H, OCH₃), 4.13 (s, 3 H, OCH₃), 5.00 (s, 2 H, NCH₂), 6.19 (s, 1 H, aromatic H), 6.24 (s, 1 H, CH=), 6.85 (d, *J* = 8.6 Hz, 2 H, aromatic H), 7.03 (t, *J* = 8.0 Hz, 1 H, aromatic H), 7.29 (d, *J* = 8.6 Hz, 2 H, aromatic H), 7.35 (t, *J* = 7.9 Hz, 1 H, aromatic H), 7.44 (d, *J* = 7.8 Hz, 1 H, aromatic H), 7.93 (d, *J* = 8.0 Hz, 1 H, aromatic H) ppm. ¹³C NMR: δ = 42.6, 55.3, 55.7, 61.4, 62.5, 101.1 (C–I), 102.1, 113.4, 114.1, 115.1, 127.9, 128.7, 129.1, 129.4, 129.5, 130.9, 132.0, 136.0, 139.8, 142.9, 151.1, 156.7, 158.8, 164.9 (C=O) ppm. C₂₆H₂₄INO₅ (557.4): calcd. C 56.03, H 4.34, N 2.51; found C 56.31, H 4.08, N 2.32.

(*E*)-6-Benzyloxy-3-(2-iodobenzylidene)-5,7-dimethoxy-2-(4methoxybenzyl)-2,3-dihydro-1*H*-isoindolin-1-one (5): 780 mg, 82%, m.p. 118–119 °C. ¹H NMR: δ = 3.43 (s, 3 H, OCH₃), 3.77 (s, 3 H, OCH₃), 4.09 (s, 3 H, OCH₃), 5.01 (s, 4 H, OCH₂ + NCH₂), 6.21 (s, 1 H, aromatic H), 6.28 (s, 1 H, CH=), 6.86 (d, *J* = 8.7 Hz, 2 H, aromatic H), 7.03 (t, *J* = 7.4 Hz, 1 H, aromatic H), 7.25–7.38 (m, 6 H, aromatic H), 7.45–7.49 (m, 3 H, aromatic H), 7.93 (d, *J* = 8.0 Hz, 1 H, aromatic H) ppm. ¹³C NMR: δ = 42.6, 55.3, 55.8, 62.6, 75.7, 101.1 (C–I), 102.2, 113.4, 114.1, 115.2, 127.9, 128.1, 128.3, 128.4, 128.8, 129.2, 129.4, 130.9, 132.2, 136.1, 137.3, 139.3, 139.9, 142.0, 151.5, 157.0, 158.9, 165.0 (C=O) ppm. C₃₂H₂₈INO₅ (633.5): calcd. C 60.67, H 4.46, N 2.21; found C 60.93, H 4.49, N 2.46.

(*E*)-3-(4-Benzyloxyl-2-bromobenzylidene)-5,6,7-trimethoxy-2-(4-methoxybenzyl)-2,3-dihydro-1*H*-isoindolin-1-one (6): 720 mg, 78%, m.p. 107–108 °C. ¹H NMR: δ = 3.44 (s, 3 H, OCH₃), 3.76 (s, 3 H, OCH₃), 3.85 (s, 3 H, OCH₃), 4.12 (s, 3 H, OCH₃), 4.98 (s, 2 H, OCH₂), 5.08 (s, 2 H, NCH₂), 6.21 (s, 1 H, aromatic H), 6.43 (s, 1 H, CH=), 6.84 (d, *J* = 8.6 Hz, 2 H, aromatic H), 6.90 (dd, *J* = 2.5, 8.5 Hz, 1 H, aromatic H), 7.26–7.39 (m, 9 H, aromatic H) ppm. ¹³C NMR: δ = 42.5, 55.3, 55.8, 61.4, 62.5, 70.2, 102.0, 109.2, 114.0, 115.2, 119.1, 125.4, 127.3, 128.2, 128.3, 128.6, 128.7, 129.2, 132.1, 132.2, 135.9, 136.1, 142.9, 151.1, 156.6, 158.8, 164.8 (C=O) ppm. C₃₃H₃₀BrNO₆ (616.5): calcd. C 64.29, H 4.90, N 2.27; found C 64.05, H 5.11, N 2.04.

General Procedure for the Synthesis of the Annulated Compounds 30-32: A solution of nBu_3SnH (378 mg, 1.3 mmol) and AIBN (164 mg, 1 mmol) in dry degassed benzene (50 mL) was added by syringe over a period of 30 min to a solution of (*E*)-4-6 (1 mmol) in dry degassed benzene (500 mL) at reflux under Ar. Once addition was complete, heating at reflux was continued for a further 3 h. The benzene was evaporated under reduced pressure, and the

residue was dissolved in CH₃CN (100 mL). The solution was washed with hexane (3×50 mL) and concentrated in vacuo to a solid residue, which was recrystallized from EtOH to afford **30–32**.

1,2,3-Trimethoxy-5-(4-methoxybenzyl)-4,5-dihydrodibenzo[*cdf*]indol-**4-one (30):** 195 mg, 78%, m.p. 108–109 °C. ¹H NMR: δ = 3.75 (s, 3 H, OCH₃), 3.99 (s, 3 H, OCH₃), 4.17 (s, 3 H, OCH₃), 4.56 (s, 3 H, OCH₃), 5.11 (s, 2 H, NCH₂Ar), 6.83 (d, *J* = 6.7 Hz, 2 H, aromatic H), 7.03 (s, 1 H, aromatic H), 7.31 (d, *J* = 6.7 Hz, 2 H, aromatic H), 7.47–7.56 (m, 2 H, aromatic H), 7.74–7.78 (m, 1 H, aromatic H), 9.15–9.19 (m, 1 H, aromatic H) ppm. ¹³C NMR: δ = 43.4, 55.3, 60.8, 61.6, 63.1, 105.5, 108.6, 114.1, 116.2, 124.7, 125.7, 126.4, 126.5, 126.8, 128.7, 128.8, 129.0, 133.2, 135.5, 146.3, 154.0, 156.9, 159.0, 165.5 (C=O) ppm. C₂₆H₂₃NO₅ (249.5): calcd. C 72.71, H 5.40, N 3.26; found C 72.59, H 5.55, N 3.30.

2-Benzyloxy-1,3-trimethoxy-5-(4-methoxybenzyl)-4,5-dihydrodibenzo[*cdf***[indol-4-(5***H***)-one (31):** 380 mg, 75%, m.p. 96–97 °C. ¹H NMR: $\delta = 3.84$ (s, 3 H, OCH₃), 4.18 (s, 3 H, OCH₃), 4.50 (s, 3 H, OCH₃), 5.10 (s, 2 H, NCH₂Ar), 5.14 (s, 2 H, OCH₂), 6.85 (d, J = 8.7 Hz, 2 H, aromatic H), 7.03 (s, 1 H, aromatic H), 7.34 (d, J = 8.7 Hz, 2 H, aromatic H), 7.37–7.46 (m, 3 H, aromatic H), 7.50–7.60 (m, 4 H, aromatic H), 7.76–7.79 (m, 1 H, aromatic H), 9.17–9.23 (m, 1 H, aromatic H) ppm. ¹³C NMR: $\delta = 43.4$, 55.3, 61.0, 63.0, 76.1, 105.5, 108.7, 114.1, 116.3, 124.9, 125.7, 126.4, 126.8, 128.3, 128.5, 128.6, 128.8, 129.1, 133.3, 135.6, 137.2, 145.3, 154.2, 157.2, 159.0, 165.5 (C=O) ppm. C₃₂H₂₇NO₅ (505.6): calcd. C 76.02, H 5.38, N 2.77; found C 76.04, H 5.47, N 2.87.

9-Benzyloxy-1,2,3-trimethoxy-5-(4-methoxybenzyl)-4,5-dihydrodibenzo[*cdf***]indol-4-(5***H***)-one (32):** (370 mg, 69%), m.p. 98–99 °C. ¹H NMR: δ = 3.75 (s, 3 H, OCH₃), 3.99 (s, 3 H, OCH₃), 4.03 (s, 3 H, OCH₃), 4.57 (s, 3 H, OCH₃), 5.09 (s, 2 H, NCH₂), 5.27 (s, 2 H, OCH₂), 6.83 (d, *J* = 8.5 Hz, 2 H, aromatic H), 6.97 (s, 1 H, aromatic H), 7.24 (dd, *J* = 2.5, 8.8 Hz, 1 H, aromatic H), 7.30–7.43 (m, 5 H, aromatic H), 7.50–7.52 (m, 2 H, aromatic H), 7.68 (d, *J* = 8.8 Hz, 1 H, aromatic H), 8.76 (d, *J* = 2.5 Hz, 1 H, aromatic H) ppm. ¹³C NMR: δ = 43.4, 55.2, 60.8, 61.6, 63.1, 70.1, 105.5, 108.7, 109.6, 114.1, 115.8, 116.8, 124.9, 127.3, 127.7, 127.9, 128.0, 128.5, 128.6, 128.7, 129.1, 129.8, 133.8, 137.1, 146.0, 154.1, 156.8, 156.9, 159.0, 165.3 (C=O) ppm. C₃₃H₂₉NO₆ (535.6): calcd. C 74.00, H 5.46, N 2.62; found C 74.12, H 5.61, N 2.51.

General Procedure for the Synthesis of the Target Products 1–3: A solution of 30-32 (0.5 mmol) and anisole (1.07 g, 10 mmol) in trifluoroacetic acid (30 mL) was heated at reflux under Ar for 12 h (for 30) or for 60 h (for 31 and 32). The solvent and excess anisole were removed under vacuum. The residue was dissolved in CH₂Cl₂ (20 mL), and NEt₃ (1 mL) was added with stirring. Water (2 mL) was then added, and the organic layer was washed with brine, dried (MgSO₄) and concentrated to yield a solid residue, which was recrystallized from EtOH. The analytical data of synthetic 1–3 matched those reported for the natural products.

Acknowledgments

This research was supported by the Centre National de la Recherche Scientifique and MENESR (grant to V. R.). We also acknowledge helpful discussions and advice from Dr. T. G. C. Bird (Astra-Zeneca Pharma).

^[1] Z. L. Chen, D.-Y. Zhu, in *The Alkaloids* (Ed.: A. Brossi), Academic Press, New York, **1987**, vol. 31, p. 29–65.

^[2] M. Shamma, J.-L. Moniot, *Isoquinoline Alkaloids Research*, Plenum Press, New York, **1978**.

- ^[3] L. Castedo, G. Tojo, in *The Alkaloids* (Ed.: A. Brossi), Academic Press, New York, **1990**, vol. 39, p. 99–138.
- [4] T. Kametani, in *The Total Synthesis of Natural Products* (Ed.: J. Apsimon), Wiley Intersciences, New York, **1977**.
- [5] D. S. Han, B. S. Chung, H. J. Chi, H. S. Lee, *Korean J. Pharmacogn.* **1989**, 20, 1–5.
- [6] P. H. Daves, Flora of Turkey and East Aegean Islands, Edinburgh University Press, Edinburg, 1965, vol. 7, p. 551.
- [7] P. J. Houghton, M. Ogutveren, *Phytochemistry* 1991, 30, 253-254.
- ^[8] B. S. Vishwanath, T. V. Gowda, *Toxicon* 1987, 25, 929-937.
- ^[9] H. A. Priestap, *Phytochemistry* 1987, 26, 519-529.
- ^[10] W. S. Kan, *Pharmaceutical Botany*, National Research Institute of Chinese Medicine, Academic, Taiwan, **1979**, p. 247.
- [^{11]} M. Stiborova, E. Frei, A. Breuer, C. A. Bieler, H. H. Schmeiser, *Exp. Toxic. Pathol.* **1999**, *51*, 421–427.
- [12] H. H. Schmeiser, C. A. Bieler, M. Wiessler, C. van Ypersele de Strihou, J. P. Cosyns, *Cancer Res.* 1996, 56, 2025–2028.
- ^[13] G. Krumbiegel, J. Hallensleben, W. H. Mennicke, N. Rittmann, H. J. Roth, *Xenobiotica* **1987**, *17*, 981–991.
- ^[14] S. K. Singh, A. K. Prasad, C. E. Olsen, A. Jha, S. C. Jain, V. S. Parmar, J. Wengel, *Phytochemistry* **1996**, *43*, 1355–1360.
- ^[15] P. M. Boll, A. K. Prasad, O. D. Tyagi, J. Wengel, C. E. Olsen, N. Kumar, K. S. Bisht, V. S. Parmar, *Recl. Trav. Chim. Pays-Bas* **1996**, *115*, 9–12.
- ^[16] C. E. Olsen, O. D. Tyagi, P. M. Boll, F. A. Hussaini, V. S. Parmar, N. K. Sharma, P. Taneja, S. C. Jain, *Phytochemistry* 1993, 33, 518-520.
- ^[17] S. J. Desai, R. N. Chaturvedi, L. P. Badheka, N. B. Mulchandani, *Indian J. Chem. Sect. B* **1989**, 28B, 775-777.
- ^[18] Y.-C. Chia, F.-R. Chang, C.-M. Ten, Y. C. Wu, J. Nat. Prod. **2000**, 63, 1160–1163.
- ^[19] L. Benesch, P. Bury, D. Guillaneux, S. Houldworth, Y. Wang, V. Snieckus, *Tetrahedron Lett.* **1998**, *39*, 961–964.
- ^[20] S. K. Talapatra, D. Basu, P. Chattopadhyay, B. Talapatra, *Phytochemistry* 1988, 27, 903–906.
- ^[21] C. M. Hasan, K. N. Asha, M. A. Rashid, *Biochem. Syst. Ecol.* 2001, 29, 207–208.
- [22] J. Kunitomo, Y. Murakami, M. Oshikata, T. Shingu, M. Akazu, S.-T. Lu, I.-S. Chen, *Phytochemistry* **1980**, *19*, 2735–2739.
- [^{23]} R. Crohare, H. A. Priestap, M. Farina, M. Cedola, E. A. Ruveda, *Phytochemistry* **1974**, *13*, 1957–1962.

- ^[24] P. Gorecki, H. Otta, *Pharmazie* **1975**, *30*, 337–338.
- ^[25] A. Couture, E. Deniau, P. Grandclaudon, C. Hoarau, J. Org. Chem. **1998**, 63, 3128–3132.
- ^[26] A. Couture, E. Deniau, P. Grandclaudon, S. Lebrun, *Synlett* 1997, 1475–1477.
- [27] C. Hoarau, A. Couture, H. Cornet, E. Deniau, P. Grandclaudon, J. Org. Chem. 2001, 66, 8064-8069.
- ^[28] A. Couture, E. Deniau, P. Grandclaudon, *Tetrahedron* **1997**, *53*, 10313–10330.
- ^[29] C. Hoarau, A. Couture, E. Deniau, P. Grandclaudon, *Synthesis* 2000, 655–660 and references cited therein.
- ^[30] V. Snieckus, Chem. Rev. 1990, 90, 879-933.
- ^[31] E. Tamura, K. I. Kawasaki, D. Mikame, T. Katsuki, *Synlett* 1994, 609-610.
- [^{32]} A. Couture, E. Deniau, P. Grandclaudon, C. Hoarau, J. Org. Chem. **1998**, 63, 3128–3132.
- [^{33]} A. Couture, E. Deniau, P. Grandclaudon, P. Woisel, *Tetrahedron* **1996**, *52*, 4433–4448.
- ^[34] A. Couture, E. Deniau, P. Grandclaudon, C. Hoarau, *Tetrahedron* **2000**, *56*, 1491–1499.
- ^[35] A. Couture, E. Deniau, D. Ionescu, P. Grandclaudon, *Tetrahedron Lett.* **1998**, *39*, 2319–2320.
- ^[36] A. Couture, E. Deniau, P. Grandclaudon, C. Hoarau, V. Rys, *Tetrahedron Lett.* 2002, 43, 2207–2210.
- ^[37] V. Rys, A. Couture, E. Deniau, P. Grandclaudon, G. Nowog-rocki, J. Chem. Res. (S) 2002, 9–10.
- ^[38] S. V. Kessar, R. Vohra, N. P. Kaur, *Tetrahedron Lett.* **1991**, 32, 3221–3224.
- ^[39] K. S. Rein, R. E. Gawley, *Tetrahedron Lett.* **1990**, *31*, 3711–3714.
- ^[40] M. Z. Cherkaoui, G. Scherowski, New J. Chem. 1997, 21, 1203-1210.
- ^[41] T. Iwasaki, K. Takashima, Japan Kokai Tokkyo Koho JP 03157351, **1991**; *Chem. Abstr.* **1991**, *115*, 255838.
- [42] J. M. Berry, C. Y. Watson, W. J. D. Whish, M. D. Threadgill, J. Chem. Soc., Perkin Trans. 1 1997, 1147–1156.
- [43] A. Couture, E. Deniau, S. Lebrun, C. Hoarau, P. Grandclaudon, Nat. Prod. Lett. 1999, 13, 33-40.
- ^[44] F. R. Hewgill, R. Slamet, J. M. Stewart, J. Chem. Soc., Perkin Trans. 1 1991, 3033-3042.

Received November 8, 2002 [O02623]