DITERPENES FROM BACCHARIS SPECIES*

FERDINAND BOHLMANN, WOLFGANG KRAMP, MICHAEL GRENZ, HAROLD ROBINSON† and ROBERT M. KING†

Institute for Organic Chemistry, Technical University Berlin, D-1000 Berlin 12, West Germany; †Smithsonian Institution, Washington, DC 20560, U.S.A.

(Revised received 20 February 1981)

Key Word Index—Baccharis species; Compositae; diterpenes; ent-labdanes; clerodane; ent-kauranes; p-hydroxyacetophenone derivatives; acetylenes; flavone; 3'-methoxyxanthomicrol.

Abstract—The investigation of ten *Baccharis* species afforded in addition to known compounds eight new diterpenes, four *ent*-labdanes, three kaurenes and a clerodane derivative. Furthermore, two new *p*-hydroxyacetophenones, a flavone, 3'-methoxyxanthomicrol and two matricaria ester derivatives were isolated. The structures were elucidated by spectroscopic methods and a few chemical transformations. The chemotaxonomic situation of the large genus is discussed briefly.

INTRODUCTION

So far, chemical investigations of the large American genus *Baccharis* (Compositae, tribe Astereae) [1] have shown that the chemistry of this genus is not very uniform. The investigation of nine further species and the investigation of *B. ramosissima* roots again showed this diversity.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

While the roots of Baccharis oxydonta DC. afforded squalene, baccharis oxide (35) [2] and small amounts of further triterpenes, probably closely related to euphol, the aerial parts contained germacrene D, β -farnesene, squalene, squalene-2,3-epoxide, α-humulene, bicyclogermacrene, unidentified triterpenes, the flavanones pinocembrin 12 and pinobanksin 13, ent-manool (1) [3] and its derivatives 2, 3, 6 and 7. The structures followed from the ¹HNMR data and from those of some transformation products, the acetates 4 and 5 and the methyl ester 8 (Table 1). 2 and 3 are obviously epimeric at C-2, as could be deduced from the ¹H NMR data of the corresponding acetates 4 and 5 (Table 1). Furthermore, oxidation of 2 and 3 both afforded the ketone 9; its structure clearly followed from the ¹H NMR data too. Obviously the side chain was transformed by allylic rearrangement and subsequent oxidation to the conjugated aldehyde. The signals assigned to H-1 and H-3 required a carbonyl group at C-2.7 clearly was a succinate. Consequently lithium aluminium hydride reduction afforded 2. The ¹H NMR data of 6 (Table 1) showed that the keto group was at C-2. In the ¹H NMR spectra of 5-9 the assignment of H-1 and H-3 could not really be established; perhaps assignments may be interchangeable. Although the absolute configuration was not determined,

the proposed one is very probable since *ent*-manool (1) was isolated too.

The roots of *B. subdentata* DC. afforded baccharis oxide (35), matricaria ester (51) and the dilactone 10, already isolated from another *Baccharis* species, its structure being confirmed by X-ray analysis [4]. Furthermore, the corresponding 7β -angeloyloxy derivative 11 was present, its ¹H NMR data being very close to those of 10 (Table 1). The couplings of H-7 allowed the assignment of the proposed stereochemistry. The aerial parts gave germacrene D, bicyclogermacrene, *p*-methoxycinnamic acid, oleanolic acid and β -amyrin acetate.

The roots of *B. leptocephala* DC. afforded again 35 and the aerial parts germacrene D, bicyclogermacrene, benzyl benzoate and the flavanones naringenin 7-methyl ether 14 [5] and naringenin 4'-methyl ether 15 [5]. The roots of *B. latifolia* (R. et P.) Pers. also afforded 35 and the thymol derivative 29 as well as the *p*-hydroxyacetophenones 21 [6], 22 [7] and 23 [8].

The roots of B. concinna Barroso gave lupeol, lupenone, squalene, 28 [7], 36 [9] and 43 [10], while the aerial parts afforded germacrene D, bicyclogermacrene, a-humulene, lupeol, lupenone, squalene, 12, 36 and 41 [18]. The aerial parts of B. helichrysioides DC. gave the coumarin 30 only, while those of B. trinervis (Lam.) Pers. afforded phytene, carvophyllene, germacrene D, bicyclogermacrene, α - and y-humulene, squalene, lupeol and its $\Delta 12,13$ -isomer, lupenone, β -amyrin, lupeyl acetate and lachnophyllum ester (56). The roots gave lupeyl acetate only. A reinvestigation of the roots of B. ramoisissima Gardn. afforded, in addition to euphol-like triterpenes, entkaurenic acid (36), ent-kaurenal (37), 24 [11], 26 [11] and a further unusual substituted p-hydroxyacetophenone derivative, the ester 27. The structure clearly followed from the ¹HNMR data (see Experimental). The roots of B. truncata Gardn. afforded germacrene D, bicyclogermacrene, α - and γ -humulene, lupeol, 35, 36 and 50 [12] as well as 24, 26 and the corresponding alcohol 25, its structure following from the ¹H NMR data (see Experimental). The aerial parts gave germacrene D, bicyclogermacrene, lupeol, lupenone, 17 [13], 36, 37 [14], 40 [15] and 42 [10].

^{*}Part 359 in the series "Naturally Occurring Terpene Derivatives". For Part 358 see Bohlmann, F., Kramp, W., King, R. M. and Robinson, H. (1981) *Phytochemistry* 20, (in press).

Table 1. ¹H NMR spectral data of compounds 2, 4-9 and 11 (400 MHz, TMS as internal standard)

	2 (CDCl ₃)	4 (CDCl ₃)	4 (C ₆ D ₆)	5 (C ₆ D ₆)*	6 (C ₆ D ₆)*	7 (CDCl ₃)*	* 8 (CDCl ₃)*	Δ	9 (C ₆ D ₆)*	11 (C ₆ D ₆)
 H-1α				1.91 d (br)	2.54 dd	1.99 d (br)	2.00 d (br)	0.25	2.34 dd	
H- 1β				1.28 m	1.88 d	1.44 m	1.45 m	0.14	1.72 d	
H-2	4.15 dddd	5.16 dddd	5.29 dddd	5.31 dddd	<u> </u>	5.19 dddd	5.19 dddd	0.28		V-german
Η-3α				2.28 d (br)	2.14 dd	1.75 d (br)	1.75 d (br)	0.16	2.13 dd)	676 22
H-3β				1.20 m	2.01 d	1.44 m	1.45 m	0.07	2.01 d	0.20 <i>uu</i>
H-5	1.15 dd	1.20 dd		1.18 dd		1.18 dd	1.18 dd	0.06		
Η-7α	2.38 ddd	2.41 ddd	2.42 ddd	2.38 d (br)	2.33 ddd	2.39 ddd	2.40 ddd	0.03	2.25 ddd	5.53 ddd
Η-7β	1.94 ddd	2.00 m	1.96 ddd	1.91 m		1.97 m	1.97 m	0.04	1.80 ddd	
H-14	5.91 dd	5.91 dd	5.85 dd	5.83 dd	5.82 dd	5.89 dd	5.90 dd	0.15	5.82 dq	5.97 s (br)
H-15	5.06 dd	5.08 dd	5.02 dd	5.01 dd	5.01 dd	5.07 dd	5.08 dd	0.06		(00 11
H-15′	5.21 dd	5.22 dd	5.26 dd	5.25 dd	5.22 dd	5.21 dd	5.21 dd	0.13	9.98 a	6.99 aa
H-16	1.27 s	1.28 s	1.18 s	1.16 s	1.16 s	1.27 s	1.27 s	0.17	1.60 d	7.01 s (br)
H-17	4.84 s (br)	4.87 s (br)	5.03 s (br)	4.98 s (br)	4.92 s (br)	4.86 s (br)	4.86 s (br)	0.04	4.85 s (br)	0.96 1
H-17′	4.51 s (br)	4.53 s (br)	4.78 s (br)	4.74 s (br)	4.69 s (br)	4.54 s (br)	4.52 s (br)	0.09	4.40 s (br)	0.86 a
H-18	0.98 s	0.98 s	0.85 s	0.85 s	0.78 s	0.97 s	0.97 s	0.09	0.76 s 🕺	3.92 dd
H-19)	0.91 <i>s</i>	0.93 s }	1.04 s }	0.85 s	0.75 s	0.92 s	0.92 s	0.07 }	0.73 s	5.00 d
H-20 ∫		0.88 s	1.01 s	0.82 s	0.72 s	0.86 s	0.86 s	0.12	0.63 s	_
OCOR					_	2.58 t	2.61 m	0.27		5.78 qq
						2.67 t	3.69 s	0.17		1.99 dg
										1.86 dq
OAc		2.02 s		1.81 s		—	—			

Compound 11: H-6α 2.54 dd, H-6β 0.92 dd, H-10 1.25 d (br), H-11 1.69 dd, H-11' 1.74 dd, H-12 4.77 dd (br).

J (Hz): Compounds 2, 4–8: 5β , $6\alpha = 12$; 5β , $6\beta = 3$; 6α , $7\alpha = 4$; 6α , $7\beta = 12$; 6β , $7\alpha = 2$; 6β , $7\beta = 5$; 7α , $7\beta = 12$; 14, 15 = 10.5; 14, 15' = 17; 15, 15' = 1.5; compounds 2, 4–8: $1\alpha, 2\beta = 1\beta, 2\beta = 2\beta$, $3\alpha = 2\beta, 3\beta = 4$; compound 5: $1\alpha, 1\beta = 1\beta$, $2\alpha = 2\alpha, 3\beta = 12$; $1\alpha, 2\alpha = 2\alpha, 3\alpha = 4$; compounds 6 and 9: $1\alpha, 1\beta = 12.5$; $1\alpha, 3\alpha = 2$; $3\alpha, 3\beta = 13$; (9: 14, 15 = 8; 14, 16 = 1.5); compound 11: $1\alpha, 10 = 12$; 2, 3 = 7; 2', 3 = 2.5; $6\alpha, 6\beta = 12$; $6\alpha, 7\alpha = 4$; $6\beta, 7\alpha = 11$; $6\beta, 19 = 2$; $7\alpha, 8\beta = 11$; $8\beta, 17 = 7$; 11, 11' = 14; 11, 12 = 9.5; 11', 12 = 7.5; 14, 15 = 15, 16 = 1.5; 19, 19' = 9.5. *The assignments of H-1 and H-3 may be interchangeable.

The roots of B. quitensis HBK afforded germacrene D, euphol-like triterpenes, 21, 28, 51, 52 and in addition to 53 [16] the isomers 54 and 55, their structures following from the spectroscopic data (see Experimental). While the chromophoric system could be deduced from the UV spectra, the stereochemistry of the double bonds followed from the ¹HNMR data. Furthermore, the iso-kaurenic derivative 46 was present. Acetylation gave the diacetate 47. The ¹H NMR data (Table 2) were close to those of similar diterpenes, while the nature of the ester residue clearly followed from the corresponding ¹H NMR signals. The aerial parts gave germacrene D, α -humulene, lupeol and its $\Delta 9,11$ - and $\Delta 12,13$ -isomers, 31 [17] and 32, containing 33 and 34, as could be deduced from the mass spectrum. In addition to the ent-kaurane derivatives 36, 38 [14] and 46, two further p-hydroxyhydrocinnamic esters were present, 44 and 48. 44 on acetylation afforded acetate 45, while 48 on lithium aluminium hydride reduction gave 49 and p-hydroxyphenylpropanol. Again the structures followed from the ${}^{1}HNMR$ data (Table 2), especially if compared with those of similar kaurane derivatives. In addition to xanthomicrol (19) [19] the methoxy derivative 20 (6,7,8,3'-tetramethoxy-5,4'dihydroxyflavone) was isolated in minute amounts too. The ¹HNMR data (see Experimental) clearly showed that an additional O-function had to be placed at C-3'. The changes in the UV maxima after addition of sodium acetate showed that a free 4'-hydroxy group was present. As the ¹HNMR signal at 12.54 ppm required a free 5hydroxy group, the additional methoxy group must be at C-3'. The overall picture of the chemistry of *Baccharis* is still not very uniform. One group of species contain the typical triterpene 35, others kaurene, *ent*-labdane or clerodane derivatives, sometimes together with flavanoids or *p*-hydroxyacetophenone derivatives with an unusually placed acetyl group. The other triterpenes isolated so far are widespread in the family, except those related to euphol; their structures, however, could not be assigned with certainty. New taxonomic investigations are desirable to see whether this large genus should be separated or not.

EXPERIMENTAL

The air-dried plant material was extracted with Et_2O -petrol (1:2) and the resulting extracts were separated by column chromatography (Si gel) and further by TLC (Si gel). Known compounds were identified by comparing the IR and ¹H NMR (400 MHz) spectra with those of authentic material.

Baccharis oxydonta (voucher RMK 8331). The roots (120 g) afforded 3 mg of an unidentified triterpene alcohol, 3 mg of its acetate, 10 mg of its ketone, 4 mg squalene and 7 mg 35, while the aerial parts (260 g) gave 20 mg germacrene D, 5 mg β -farnesene, 16 mg squalene, 10 mg squalene-2,3-epoxide, 5 mg bicyclogermacrene, 5 mg α -humulene, 8 mg 1, (laevorotatory) 95 mg 2 (Et₂O-petrol, 1:1), 48 mg 3 (Et₂O-petrol, 1:1), 15 mg 6 (Et₂O-petrol, 1:3), 440 mg 7 (Et₂O), 20 mg 12 and 20 mg 13.

Baccharis subdentata (voucher RMK 8225). The roots (15 g) afforded 4 mg 10, 2 mg 11 (Et₂O), 9 mg 35 and 1 mg 51, while the

	44	45	46	47	48	49
H-13	2.64 s (br)	2.64 s (br)	2.67 s (br)	2.54 d (br)	2.56 s (br)	2.08 s(br)
H-15	$2.05 \ s \ (br)$	2.05 s (br)	5.34 s (br)	5.40 s (br)		
H-17	4.79 s (br)	4.80 s (br)	4.10 (1)	4.67 dd		a (a
H-17′	4.73 s (br)	4.74 s (br)	• 4.19 s (br)	4.68 dd }	9.65 a	3.42 m
H-18	1.01 s	1.02 s	1.02 s	1.03 s	0.99 s	1.01 s
H-19	4.22 d	4.24 d	4.21 d	4.22 d	4.21 d	3.75 d
H-19'	3.86 d (br)	3.87 d (br)	3.86 d (br)	3.86 d (br)	3.86 d (br)	3.45 d
H-20	0.90 s	0.90 s	0.90 s	0.90 s	0.90 s	0.90 s
OCOR	2.60 t	2.63 t	2.59 t	2.63 t	2.60 t	
	2.89 t	2.95 t	2.87 t	2.95 t	2.87 t	
	7.07 d	7.21 d	7.04 d	7.21 d	7.05 d	
	6.75 d	7.00 d	6.75 d	7.00 d	6.75 d	
OAc	-	2.29 s	-	2.29 s	_	
				2.08 s		

Table 2. ¹HNMR spectral data of compounds 44-49 (CDCl₃, 270 MHz, TMS as internal standard)

J (Hz): 19,19' = 11; 2',3' = 7.5; 5',6' = 8.5; compound 47: 15,17 = 1.3; 15,17' = 13; compound 48: 16,17 = 1.5.



1
$$X = H_2$$

2 $X = \alpha OH, H$
3 $X = \beta OH, H$
4 $X = \alpha OAc, H$
5 $X = \beta OAc, H$
6 $X = O$
7 $X = \alpha OCOCH_3CH_3CO_3H$

7 $X = \alpha OCOCH_2CH_2CO_2H$, H 8 $X = \alpha OCOCH_2CH_2CO_2Me$, H





 $\begin{array}{ll} 10 \quad R = H \\ 11 \quad R = OAng \end{array}$









aerial parts (645g) gave 48 mg germacrene D, 17 mg bicyclogermacrene, 30 mg *p*-methoxycinnamic acid, 15 mg: β amyrin acetate and 180 mg oleanolic acid.

Baccharis leptocephala (voucher RMK 8085). The roots (25 g) afforded 30 mg 35 and the aerial parts (100 g) 75 mg benzylbenzoate, 56 mg germacrene D, 29 mg bicyclogermacrene, 7 mg 14 and 7 mg 15.

Baccharis latifolia (voucher RMK 7851). The roots (200 g) afforded 6 mg 21, 21 mg 22, 7 mg 23, 8 mg 29 and 60 mg 35.

Baccharis concinna (voucher RMK 8365). The roots (50 g) afforded 4 mg lupeol, 5 mg lupenone, 4 mg squalene, 4 mg 28, 8 mg 36 and 3 mg 43, while the aerial parts (410 g) gave 86 mg germacrene D, 16 mg bicyclogermacrene, 94 mg α -humulene, 45 mg lupenone, 16 mg squalene, 273 mg lupeol, 13 mg 12, 42 mg 28, 8 mg 36 and 3 mg 41.

Baccharis helichrysoides (voucher RMK 8399). The aerial parts (120 g) afforded 1 mg 30.

Baccharis trinervis (voucher RMK 8028). The roots (45g) gave 4 mg lupeyl acetate and the aerial parts (540g) 2 mg phytene, 9 mg caryophyllene, 3 mg germacrene D, 5 mg bicyclogermacrene, 5 mg α - and 5 mg γ -humulene, 10 mg squalene, 140 mg lupeyl acetate, 6 mg lupeol and 8 mg of its Δ 12,13-isomer, 12 mg β -amyrin and 86 mg 56.

Baccharis ramosissima (voucher RMK 8293). The roots (250 g) afforded 4 mg of an unidentified triterpene alcohol and 5 mg of its acetate, 4 mg of its ketone, 1 mg 24, 1 mg 26, 1 mg 27 (Et₂O-petrol, 1:3), 12 mg 35, 52 mg 36 and 0.5 mg 37.

Baccharis quitensis (voucher RMK 7942). The roots (340 g) afforded 1 mg germacrene D, 10 mg of euphol-like triterpenes, 4 mg 21, 1 mg 28, 4 mg 46 (Et₂O-petrol, 3:1), 6 mg 51, 8 mg 52, 4 mg 53, 2 mg 54 and 55, while the aerial parts (630 g) gave 80 mg germacrene D, 5 mg α -humulene, 15 mg lupeol and its Δ 9,11- and Δ 12,13-isomer (*ca* 1:1:1), 6 mg 19, 1 mg 20, 5 mg 31, 15 mg 32–34 (*ca* 1:1:5) (Et₂O-petrol, 1:1), 8 mg 36, 5 mg 38, 15 mg 44 (Et₂O-petrol, 1:1), 45 mg 46 and 19 mg 48 (Et₂O-petrol, 1:1).

Baccharis truncata (voucher RMK 8175). The roots (60 g) afforded 12 mg germacrene D, α - and β -humulene and bicyclogermacrene (ca 2:1:1:1), 5 mg lupeol, 2 mg 24, 5 mg 25 (Et₂O-petrol, 1:1), 3 mg 26, 73 mg 35, 15 mg 36 and 6 mg 50, while the aerial parts (145 g) gave 70 mg germacrene D, 10 mg bicyclogermacrene, 83 mg lupeol 80 mg lupenone, 14 mg 17, 1 mg 36, 1 mg 37, 150 mg 40 and 150 mg 42.

Ent-2 β -hydroxymanool (2). Only isolated as its acetate (Ac₂O, 2 hr, 70°) (4), colourless oil; IR $\nu_{max}^{CCL_4}$ cm⁻¹: 3620 (OH), 1740, 1260 (OAc); MS m/z (rel. int.): 330.256 [M - H₂O]⁺ (2) (C₂₂H₃₄O₂), 288 [M - HOAc]⁺ (2), 270 [288 - H₂O]⁺ (10), 262 [330 - H₂C=C(Me)CH=CH₂]⁺ (15), 255 [270 - Me]⁺ (14), 202 [262 - HOAc]⁺ (24), 135 [C₁₀H₁₅]⁺ (100).

$$[\alpha]_{24}^{\lambda} = \frac{589}{-16} \frac{578}{-18} \frac{546}{-20} \frac{436}{-34} \text{ mm} (c = 0.7, \text{ CHCl}_3).$$

10 mg 2 in 1 ml CH₂Cl₂ was stirred for 6 hr with 20 mg pyridine chlorochromate. TLC (Et₂O-petrol, 1:1) afforded 5 mg 9, colourless gum; IR $v_{max}^{CCl_4}$ cm⁻¹: 1715 (C=O), 2730, 1675, 1640 (C=CCHO); MS *m*/z (rel. int.): 302 [M]⁺ (4), 287 [M - Me]⁺ (18), 269 [287 - H₂O]⁺ (6), 219 [M - MeC(Me)=CHO]⁺ (31), 151 [C₁₀H₁₅O]⁺ (100).

$$[\alpha]_{24}^{\lambda} = \frac{589}{-18} \frac{578}{-18} \frac{546}{-22} \frac{436}{-45} \text{ mm} (c = 0.5, \text{CHCl}_3)$$

Ent-2-hydroxymanool (3). Only isolated as its acetate (5), colourless gum; IR $v_{max}^{CC1_4}$ cm⁻¹: 3590 (OH), 1735, 1250 (OAc), 1640, 930 (CH=CH₂); MS *m*/*z* (rel. int.): 330 [M - H₂O]⁺(1), 288. 245 [M - HOAc]⁺(2) (C₂₀H₃₂O), 270 [288 - H₂O]⁺(8), 255 [270 - Me]⁺ (20), 202 (12), 135 [C₁₀H₁₅]⁺ (100). [α]_D

 -13° (c = 0.58, CHCl₃). 10 mg 3 (containing ca 2 mg 2) were oxidized as above. TLC (Et₂O-petrol, 1:1) afforded 5 mg 9, identical with the ketone from 2.

2-Oxo-ent-manool (6). Colourless gum: IR $v_{\text{max}}^{\text{CCl}_4}$ cm⁻¹: 3600 (OH), 1715 (C==O); MS m/z (rel. int.): 303 [M]⁺ (1), 286. 230 [M - H₂O]⁺ (10) (C₂₀H₃₀O), 271 [286 - Me]⁺ (19), 258 [286 - CO]⁺ (6), 151 [C₁₀H₁₅O]⁺ (51), 57 (100); $[\alpha]_D = -10^\circ$ (c = 0.3, CHCl₃).

Ent-2 β -Succinyloxymanool (7). Colourless gum; IR v_{max}^{CLa4} cm⁻¹: 3600 (OH), 3500–2600, 1710 (CO₂H), 1735 (CO₂R), 1635, 925 (CH=CH₂); MS m/z (rel. int.): 388 [M - H₂O]⁺ (4), 360 [388 - CO]⁺ (1), 270 [388 - HO₂CCH₂CH₂CO₂H]⁺ (11), 255 [270 - Me]⁺ (14), 135 [C₁₀H₁₅]⁺, (100).

$$[\alpha]_{24^{\circ}}^{\lambda} = \frac{589}{-25} \frac{578}{-26} \frac{546}{-30} \frac{436}{-50} \text{ mm} (c = 2.3, \text{ CHCl}_3).$$

Addition of CH₂N₂ in Et₂O afforded **8** (TLC: Et₂O-petrol, 1:1), colourless gum; IR $v_{max}^{Ccl_4}$ cm⁻¹: 3600 (OH), 1735 (CO₂R), 1640, 940 (CH=CH₂); MS m/z (rel. int.): 402.277 [M - H₂O]⁺ (2), 387 [402 - Me]⁺ (1), 288 [M - RCO₂H]⁺ (2), 270 [288 - H₂O]⁺ (12), 135 [C₁₀H₁₅]⁺ (100). LiAlH₄ reduction of **8** (Et₂O) afforded **2**, colourless gum; IR $v_{max}^{Ccl_4}$ cm⁻¹: 3600 (OH), 1640, 925 (CH=CH₂); MS m/z (rel. int.): 288.245 [M - H₂O]⁺ (9) (C₂₀H₃₂O), 220 [288 - isoprene]⁺ (31), 135 [C₁₀H₁₅]⁺ (100).

$$[\alpha]_{24^{\circ}}^{\lambda} = \frac{589}{-33} \frac{578}{-34} \frac{546}{-39} \frac{436}{-66} \text{ mm} (c = 0.8, \text{ CHCl}_3).$$

7β-Angeloyloxybacchotricunetin B (11). Colourless gum; IR $\nu_{max}^{CCl_4}$ cm⁻¹: 1770 (γ-lactone), 1725 (C=CCO₂R), 1505, 880 (furane); MS m/z (rel. int): 440 [M]⁺ (1), 410 [M - CH₂O]⁺ (56), 310 [410 - AngOH]⁺ (82), 83 [C₄H₇CO]⁺ (79), 55 [83 - CO]⁺ (100).

$$[\alpha]_{24^{\circ}}^{\lambda} = \frac{589}{-10} \frac{578}{-11} \frac{546}{-14} \frac{436}{-39} \text{ nm} (c = 0.1, \text{ CHCl}_3).$$

3'-Methoxyxanthomicrol (20). Inseparable from 19, UV (MeOH) λ_{max} nm: 332, 282; +NaOAc 415, 330; +AlCl₃ (414), 364, 409, 288; MS m/z (rel. int.): 374.100 [M]⁺ (91) (C₁₉H₁₈O₈), 359 [M - Me]⁺ (100); ¹H NMR (CDCl₃): H-3 6.61 s, H-2' 7.43 d, H-5' 7.07 d, H-6' 7.56 dd, OMe 4.12 s, 4.01 s, 3.98 s, 3.96 s, OH 12.54 s (J(Hz): 2',6' = 2; 5',6' = 8).

2-[1-Hydroxymethyl]-vinyl-6-acetyl-5-hydroxycumarane (25). Colourless gum; IR $v_{max}^{CCL_4}$ cm⁻¹: 3600 (OH), 3500–2700, 1650, 1635, 1595 (hydrogen-bonded PhCO); MS m/z (rel. int.): 234. 089 [M]⁺ (100) (C₁₃H₁₄O₄), 216 [M - H₂O]⁺ (10), 203 [M - CH₂OH]⁺ (91); ¹H NMR (CDCl₃): H-2 5.22 dd, H-3 3.41 d, H-3 3.18 ddd, H-4 6.83 t, H-7 7.08 s, H-9 2.58 s, H-11 5.17 s(br), H-12 4.30 d and 4.24 d (J(Hz): 2,3 = 9,2,3' = 8.5; 3,3' = 17; 3',6' = 1; 12, 12' = 12.5).

 $\begin{array}{l} (2-[1-Methoxycarbonyl]-vinyl-6-acetyl-5-hydroxycumarane)\\ \textbf{(27)}. Colourless gum; IR <math>\nu_{max}^{CC1_4}$ cm⁻¹: 3500–2700, 1660, 1635, 1595\\ (hydrogen-bonded PhCO), 1725 (C=CCO_2R); MS m/z (rel. int.): 262.084 [M]^+ (100) (C_{14}H_{14}O_5), 230 [M - MeOH]^+\\ \textbf{(21)}, 215 [230 - Me]^+ (11), 202 [230 - CO]^+ (42), 187 [202 - Me]^+ (62), 176 [M - C_4H_6O_2]^+ (10) (McLafferty), 161 [176 - Me]^+ (23). \end{array}

Tridecyl-, tetradecyl- and pentadecyl-resorcinol (32-34). Inseparable oily mixture; IR $v_{max}^{CCl_4}$ cm⁻¹: 3600 (OH), 1600 (aromate); MS m/z (rel. int.): 320.342 [M]⁺ (2) (C₂₁H₃₆O₂), 306.259 [M]⁺ (2) (C₂₀H₃₄O₂), 292.239 [M]⁺ (12), 124 (C₇H₈O₂, 100); ¹H NMR (CDCl₃): H-2 6.18 t, H-4,5 6.25 d (J = 2.5 Hz), H-1' 2.49 t (J = 8 Hz); CH₂ 1.59 m, 1.28 m, Me 0.89 t (J = 6 Hz). 19-[p-Hydroxyhydrocinnamoyloxy]-ent-kaurenic acid (44). Colourless gum; IR $v_{max}^{CCL_4}$ cm⁻¹: 3620 (OH), 1735 (CO₂R); acetylation (Ac₂O, 1 hr, 70°) afforded 45, colourless crystals, mp 93° (Et₂O-petrol); IR $v_{max}^{CCL_4}$ cm⁻¹: 1770 (OAc), 1740 (CO₂R), 1660, 890 (C=CH₂); MS m/z (rel. int): 478.308 [M]⁺ (38) (C₃₁H₄₂O₄), 463 [M - Me]⁺ (8), 436 [M - ketene]⁺ (7), 270 [M - RCO₂H]⁺ (31), 107 (C₇H₇O⁺, 100).

$$[\alpha]_{24^{\circ}}^{\lambda} = \frac{589}{-38} \frac{578}{-43} \frac{546}{-47} \frac{436}{-79} \text{ (} c = 0.15, \text{ CHCl}_3\text{)}.$$

17-Hydroxy-19-[p-hydroxyhydrocinnamoyloxy]-iso-kaurene (46). Colourless gum; IR $v_{max}^{CC1_4}$ cm⁻¹: 3630 (OH), 1740 (CO₂R); MS m/z (rel. int.): 452.293 [M]⁺ (C₂₉H₃₈O₄), 434 [M - H₂O]⁺ (6), 286 [M - RCO₂H]⁺ (6), 107 [C₇H₇O]⁺ (61), 55 [C₄H₇]⁺ (100). Acetylation (Ac₂O, 1 hr, 70°) afforded 47, colourless crystals, mp 55° (Et₂O-petrol); MS m/z (rel. int.): 536.314 [M]⁺ (21) (C₃₃H₄₄O₆), 476 [M - HOAc]⁺ (28), 461 [476 - Me]⁺ (10), 268 [476 - RCO₂H]⁺ (47), 107 [C₇H₇O]⁺ (100).

$$[\alpha]_{24^{\circ}}^{\lambda} = \frac{589}{-13} \frac{578}{-14} \frac{546}{-15} \frac{436}{-18} \text{ mm} (c = 0.84, \text{ CHCl}_3)$$

19-[p-Hydroxyhydrocinnamoyloxy]-ent-kauran-17-al (48). Colourless gum; IR $v_{max}^{CC1_4}$ cm⁻¹: 3600 (OH), 2700, 1725 (CHO), 1725 (CO₂R); MS m/z (rel. int.): 452.293 [M]⁺ (19) (C₂₉H₄₀O₄), 286 [M - RCO₂H]⁺ (20), 107 [C₇H₇O]⁺ (62), 55 [C₄H₇]⁺ (100). 10 mg 48 in 2 ml Et₂O was reduced with 20 mg LiAlH₄. TLC (Et₂O) afforded 5 mg 49; MS m/z (rel. int.): 306 [M]⁺ (7), 288 [M - H₂O]⁺ (10), 275 [M - CH₂OH]⁺ (100), 257 [275 - H₂O]⁺ (8) and 2 mg 3-[p-hydroxylphenyl]-propanol, ¹H NMR (CDCl₃): H-2,6 7.07 d, H-3,5 6.76 d, H-1' 2.65 t, H-2' 1.87 tt, H-3' 3.68 t (J (Hz): 2,3 = 8; 1',2' = 7.5; 2',3' = 6.5); MS m/z (rel. int.): 152 [M]⁺ (29), 133 [M - CHO]⁺ (13), 107 [C₇H₇O]⁺ (100).

10-Angeloyloxy-2c,8t-matricaria ester (54). Colourless oil; IR $v_{max}^{CCl_4}$ cm⁻¹: 2210 (C=C), 1715 (C=CCO_2R), 1615 (C=C); UV (Et₂O) nm: 330, 308, 290; MS m/z (rel. int.): 272.105 [M]⁺ (17), 83 [C₄H₇CO]⁺ (100), 55 [83 - CO]⁺ (83); ¹H NMR (CDCl₃): H-2,3 6.23 ABq, H-8 5.88 d(br), H-9 6.41 dt, H-10 4.73 dd, OAng: 6.12 qq, 2.00 dq, 1.92 dq (J (Hz): 8,9 = 15; 8,10 = 1.5; 9,10 = 6; 3',4' = 7; 3',5' = 4', 5' = 1.5).

10-Angeloyloxy-2t,8c-matricaria ester (55). Colourless oil, inseparable from 53; IR $v_{max}^{CCL_4}$ cm⁻¹: 2220 (C \equiv C), 1730 (C \equiv CCO₂R), 1620 (C \equiv C); UV (Et₂O) nm: 330, 308, 290; MS m/z (rel. int.): 272.105 [M]⁺ (28), 257 [M - Me]⁺ (10), 189 [M - C₄H₇CO]⁺ (22), 83 [C₄H₇CO]⁺ (100), 55 [83 - CO]⁺ (65); ¹H NMR (CDCl₃): H-2 6.35 d, H-3 6.82 dd, H-8 5.78 d(br), H-9 6.28 dt, H-10 4.92 dd, OAng 6.13 qq, 2.00 dq, 1.92 dq (J (Hz): 2,3 = 15; 3,8 = 1; 8,9 = 10; 8,10 = 1.5; 9,10 = 6.5).

Acknowledgements—We thank Drs. Scott A. Mori and P. Alvim, Herbario Centro de Pesquisos do Cacau at Itabuna, Bahia, Brazil, for their help during plant collection and the Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft for financial support.

REFERENCES

- Bohlmann, F., Zdero, C., Grenz, M., Dhar, A. K., Robinson, H. and King, R. M. (1981) Phytochemistry 20, 281.
- Anthonsen, T., Bruun, T., Hemmer, E., Holme, D., Lamwik, A., Sunde, E. and Sörensen, N. A. (1970) Acta Chem. Scand. 24, 8479.
- Bohlmann, F., Zdero, C., Hoffmann, E., Mahanta, P. K. and Dorner, W. (1978) *Phytochemistry* 17, 1917.
- Wagner, H., Seitz, R., Lotter, H. and Herz, W. (1978) J. Org. Chem. 43, 3339.
- 5. Bohlmann, F. and Zdero, C. (1976) Chem. Ber. 109, 1450.
- 6. Bonner, W. A. (1961) Tetrahedron Letters 417.
- 7. Bohlmann, F. and Grenz, M. (1970) Chem. Ber. 103, 90.
- 8. Kamthong, B. and Robertson, A. (1939) J. Chem. Soc. (London) 933.
- 9. Henrick, C. A. and Jefferies, P. R. (1964) Aust. J. Chem. 17, 915.
- Piozzi, F., Spiro, V., Passannanti, S. and Mondelli, R. (1968) Gazz. Chim. Ital. 98, 907.
- 11. Bohlmann, F. and Zdero, C. (1976) Chem. Ber. 109, 1450.
- 12. Bohlmann, F., Zdero, C., Gupta, R. K., King, R. M. and Robinson, H. (1980) Phytochemistry 19, 2695.
- 13. Geissman, T. A. (1940) J. Am. Chem. Soc. 62, 3258.
- 14. Piozzi, F., Passannanti, S., Paternostro, M. P. and Spiro, V. (1971) *Phytochemistry* **10**, 1164.
- 15. Bohlmann, F. and Jakupovic, J. (1978) Phytochemistry 17, 1677.
- Bohlmann, F., Zdero, C., Robinson, H. and King, R. M. (1979) Phytochemistry 18, 1519.
- 17. Karijone, T. and Matsumo, T. (1953) Pharm. Bull. (Tokyo) 1, 119.
- 18. Bohlmann, F. and Le Van, N. (1977) Phytochemistry 16, 579.
- 19. Stout, G. H. and Stout, V. F. (1961) Tetrahedron 14, 296.