here show that the analyst must keep in mind the possibility that with a low exothermicity the sensitivity may be relatively low. Beyond that, to achieve best sensitivity he is advised to operate the mass spectrometer ion source at the lowest temperature that is compatible with the other experimental requirements of the system being investigated.

Acknowledgment. This research was supported in part by a grant from the National Science Foundation.

#### **References and Notes**

- (1) M. S. B. Munson and F. H. Field, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 88, 2621 (1966).
- (2) F. H. Field, Acc. Chem. Res., 1, 42 (1968).
  (3) F. H. Field, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 91, 2827, 6334 (1969).
  (4) W. A. Laurie and F. H. Field, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 94, 3359 (1972).

- (5) W. A. Laurie and F. H. Field, J. Phys. Chem., 76, 3917 (1972).
  (6) W. A. Laurie and F. H. Field, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 94, 2913 (1972).
- J. J. Solomon, M. Meot-Ner, and F. H. Field, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 96, 3727 (7)
- (1974).
- (8) M. Meot-Ner, Ph.D. Thesis, The Rockefeller University, New York, N.Y., 1975.
- (9) J. L. M. Abboud, W. H. Hehre, and R. W. Taft, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 98, 6072 (1976).
- (1976).
  (10) M. Meot-Ner and F. H. Field, J. Chem. Phys., 64, 277 (1976).
  (11) M. Meot-Ner and F. H. Field, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 97, 2014 (1975).
  (12) N. M. Rodiguin and E. N. Rodiguina, "Consecutive Chemical Reactions", Van Nostrand, Princeton, N.J., 1964, p 87.
  (13) E. P. Grimsrud and P. Kebarle, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 95, 7939 (1973).
  (14) M. Meot-Ner and F. H. Field, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 97, 5339 (1975).
  (15) M. Meot-Ner and F. H. Field, "Correlations between Rate, Temperature Exotencements, Society of Correlations Society (1976).
  (15) M. Meot-Ner and F. H. Field, "Correlations between Rate, Temperature Exotencements, Society (1976).

- Dependence, Exothermicity, and Reactant Structure in Slow Ion-Molecule Reactions", Paper presented at Seventh International Conference on Mass Spectrometry, Florence, Italy, Sept 1976. (16) R. Yamdagni and P. Kebarle, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 98, 1320 (1976).

# Phenylium $(C_6H_5^+)$ Ion-Molecule Reactions Studied by Ion Cyclotron Resonance Spectroscopy

## Maurizio Speranza, Michael D. Sefcik, Jay M. S. Henis, and Peter P. Gaspar\*

Contribution from the Department of Chemistry, Washington University, Saint Louis, Missouri 63130, the Corporate Research Department, Monsanto Company, Saint Louis, Missouri 63166, and the Laboratorio di Chimica Nucleare del Consiglio Nazionale delle Ricerche (CNR), Roma, Italy. Received December 21, 1976

Abstract: Ion-assisted dehalogenation reactions of halobenzenes provide a convenient source of phenylium ions. Gas-phase reactions of phenylium ions with hydrogen, alkanes, alkyl halides, alkenes, and arenes have been studied by ion cyclotron resonance spectroscopy. Phenylium ions are strong electrophiles, attacking  $\sigma$  as well as  $\pi$  and nonbonding electrons. The reactivity of phenylium ions has carbenoid characteristics.

While relatively little is known about the gas-phase chemistry of phenylium ions  $C_6H_5^+$ , the literature indicates a renewal of interest in the electronic structure and reactions of this elusive species.

The formation and reactions of phenylium ions in the liquid phase have been reviewed by Richey and Richey.<sup>1</sup> Such reactions as addition of Lewis bases, addition to  $\pi$ -electron systems, and hydride transfer have been reported for aryl cations in solution. However, a mechanistic ambiguity has plagued the solution experiments; alternative pathways which include as reactive intermediates species other than aryl cations, e.g., free radicals and adducts of nucleophiles to diazonium ions, have proven difficult to exclude. The claim has recently been revived that dediazotization of phenyl diazonium ion produces phenyl cations in solution.<sup>2</sup>

Semiempirical SCF calculations of the INDO type have been carried out for the phenylium and 4-aminophenylium ions.<sup>3,4</sup> A singlet ground state with an empty  $\sigma$  orbital has been predicted on the basis of these calculations for the unsubstituted system and nearly degenerate triplet and singlet lowest states for the 4-aminophenylium ion, with vacancies in  $\pi$  and  $\sigma$  orbitals, respectively. Recent ab initio calculations have been interpreted as supporting the assignment of a singlet ground state for the phenylium ion.<sup>5</sup> Stabilization of an empty  $\sigma$  orbital of substituted phenylium ions via "through-bond" interactions has been studied by extended-Hückel molecular orbital calculations.6

In order to explain the discrepancy between the ionization potential of phenyl radicals deduced from appearance potentials of  $C_6H_5^+$  (8.80 eV) and the value obtained from direct ionization of the radical  $(9.2 \text{ eV})^7$  it has been suggested that the  $C_6H_5^+$  ion obtained from halobenzenes by electron impact may not possess the phenylium ion structure. Recently, however, collision activation spectra of substituted toluenes have been interpreted as being due to o-, m-, and p-CH<sub>3</sub>C<sub>6</sub>H<sub>4</sub><sup>+.8</sup> The phenylium ion structure for the  $C_6H_5^+$  ion formed by loss of H<sub>2</sub> from protonated benzene has been supported by an elegant argument based on the conservation of orbital symmetry.9

We have not, however, found any data describing the gasphase reactions of the phenylium ion. The report which follows contains several such reactions. In the course of ion-molecule reaction studies designed to shed light on the mechanism of radiation-induced protodehalogenation of halobenzenes,<sup>10</sup> a convenient source of phenylium ions was discovered which prompted this study of their ion-molecule reactions. The reactions of C<sub>6</sub>H<sub>5</sub><sup>+</sup>, with D<sub>2</sub>, CH<sub>4</sub>, CD<sub>4</sub>, CH<sub>3</sub>F, CH<sub>3</sub>Cl, CH<sub>3</sub>Br, CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>, C<sub>2</sub>H<sub>6</sub>, C<sub>2</sub>H<sub>4</sub>, propene, cyclopropane, C<sub>6</sub>H<sub>5</sub>Cl, and  $C_6H_5F$ , are reported here.

#### **Experimental Section**

The ion cyclotron resonance spectroscopic techniques employed in this study have been described in detail.<sup>11</sup> The experiments were performed with an ionizing electron energy just sufficient to produce the ions of interest (typically 16 to 20 eV). The total pressure in the reaction cell was 10<sup>-5</sup> Torr. Double resonance experiments were carried out under strong field conditions<sup>12</sup> with an accelerating field of 0.7 V/cm. All gaseous reagents were purchased from Matheson Co. and used without further purification. Liquid reagents were purchased from standard commercial suppliers and purified by

Gaspar et al. / Phenylium  $(C_6H_5^+)$  Ion-Molecule Reactions

<sup>\*</sup> Address correspondence to this author at Washington University.



Figure 1. Double-resonance spectrum of m/e 77 in CD<sub>4</sub>-C<sub>6</sub>H<sub>5</sub>F mixtures.

chromatographic and distillation techniques. In all reaction mixtures a 1:10 ratio of halobenzene to the other reagent was employed.

### Formation of Phenylium Ions in Ion-Molecule Reactions

When mixtures of hydrogen o. methane with halobenzenes are subjected to electron impact in an ion cyclotron resonance spectrometer,  $C_6H_5^+$  is a major secondary ion as well as a minor primary ion. Table I gives the single resonance ICR spectra of deuterium-halobenzene mixtures. The large relative magnitude of the m/e 77  $C_6H_5^+$  ion intensity for fluorobenzene in the presence of  $D_2$  is particularly dramatic. The differences in the relative ion abundances for the four halobenzenes will be discussed below in the context of both the formation and reactions of phenylium ions.

In mixtures containing hydrogen or methane, the following well-known ion-molecule reactions and their deuterium counterparts give rise to ions capable of converting halobenzenes into  $C_6H_5^+$ :

$$H_{2} + e \rightarrow H_{2}^{+} + 2e$$

$$H_{2}^{+} + H_{2} \rightarrow H_{3}^{+} + H$$

$$CH_{4} + e \rightarrow CH_{3}^{+} + H + 2e$$

$$CH_{4} + e \rightarrow CH_{4}^{+} + 2e$$

$$CH_{3}^{+} + CH_{4} \rightarrow C_{2}H_{5}^{+} + H_{2}$$

$$CH_{4}^{+} + CH_{4} \rightarrow CH_{5}^{+} + CH_{3}$$

In their recently published report of the chemical ionization spectra of halobenzenes Harrison and co-workers observed that the phenylium ion was formed from fluoro-, chloro-, and bromobenzene when hydrogen was employed as the reagent gas but *not* with methane.<sup>13</sup> These authors estimated that the formation of phenylium ions from  $CH_5^+$  and fluorobenzene is endothermic. Our experiments (see below) indicate that this reaction may be nearly thermoneutral. The failure to observed  $C_6H_5^+$  in the methane chemical ionization experiments of Harrison may be due to the rapid consumption of the phenylium ion by reaction with methane. (The rate constant for  $C_6H_5^+ + CH_4$  is ca.  $0.6 \times 10^{-10}$  cm<sup>3</sup> molecule<sup>-1</sup> s<sup>-1</sup>, i.e. ca. 0.6 times the rate constant for  $CH_4^+ + CH_4 \rightarrow CH_5^+ +$  $CH_{3.}$ )

Table II summarizes the ion-molecule reactions we have observed which give rise to phenylium ions. The phenylium ion structure is assigned to the  $C_6H_5^+$  produced in these experiments on the basis of the following considerations:

(a) The relatively mild protonating agents  $CD_3^+$ ,  $CD_4^+$ , and



150 -

Figure 2. Thermochemistry of proton-assisted dehalogenation and protodehalogenation of halobenzenes by  $H_3^+$ . Thermochemical data employed are given in Table VI. The proton affinities of bromo- and iodobenzene are assumed equal to those of fluoro- and chlorobenzene.

**Table I.** Single Resonance Spectra of 10:1 D<sub>2</sub>-Halobenzene Mixtures<sup>*a*</sup>

Ion <sup>b</sup>	m/e	C <sub>6</sub> H <sub>5</sub> F	C <sub>6</sub> H <sub>5</sub> Cl	C <sub>6</sub> H₅Br	C <sub>6</sub> H <sub>5</sub> I
$C_6H_5+c$	77	21.0	20.7	7.8	5.5
$C_6H_4D^+$	78	14.5	18.1	16.8	6.3
C <sub>6</sub> H <sub>5</sub> D <sup>+</sup>	79	8.4	11.9	33.9	72.9
$C_6H_4D_2^+$	80	5.5	9.0	19.1	13.6
$C_6H_5D_2^+$	81	34.8	24.9	8.5	1.9
$C_6H_4D_3^+$	82	5.8	15.4	13.8	0

<sup>*a*</sup> Entries are relative ion abundances, the sum of the ion intensities from m/e 77 to 82 taken as 100. <sup>*b*</sup> Formulas for the major contributor to each m/e. The entries have not been corrected for contributions from <sup>13</sup>C. <sup>*c*</sup> When formed directly by electron impact at 18 eV on the halobenzenes, the relative phenylium ion intensities C<sub>6</sub>H<sub>5</sub>+/C<sub>6</sub>H<sub>5</sub>X<sup>+</sup> are 0 (X = F), 0.16 (X = Cl), 0.36 (X = Br), and 0.43 (X = 1); these data are taken from I. Howe and D. H. Williams, J. Am. Chem. Soc., **91**, 7137 (1969).

 $CD_5^+$  react with fluorobenzene to produce  $C_6H_5^+$  with less than 5%  $C_6H_4D^+$ . In mixtures of  $CD_4$  with chlorobenzene only  $CD_3^+$  converts  $C_6H_5Cl$  in  $C_6H_5^+$  via an exothermic reaction,<sup>14</sup> again with only a very small amount of  $C_6H_4D^+$  formed. (Note that  $CD_4^+$  and  $CD_5^+$  do not appear to react with  $C_6H_5Cl$  to produce  $C_6H_5^+$ .) These observations suggest that in these reactions  $C_6H_5^+$  is formed via attack at the halogen atom. The observation of a double resonance signal indicating an endothermic step  $C_6H_6X^+ \rightarrow C_6H_5^+ + HX$  is compatible with a two-step mechanism, exothermic proton transfer to the halobenzene followed by dissociation of the  $C_6H_5XH^+$ . The double-resonance spectrum is shown in Figure 1. Supporting this mechanism are results of ion-molecule reaction studies in the methane-fluorobenzene system. The major product from methane ions and fluorobenzene is protonated fluorobenzene, also observed by Harrison and Lin in the methane chemical ionization mass spectrum of fluorobenzene.13 Participation by a direct or stripping reaction has not, however, been excluded. The thermochemistry of proton-transfer reactions from  $CH_5^+$  and  $H_3^+$  to halobenzenes is indicated in Figures 2 and 3.

(b) With protonating agents such as  $D_2^+$  and  $D_3^+$ , whose reactions with halobenzenes are strongly exothermic, some

Table II. Ion-	-Molecule	Reactions	which	Produce	Phenylium	Ions <sup>a</sup>
----------------	-----------	-----------	-------	---------	-----------	-------------------

	Reactant ions detected in double resonance experiments <sup>b</sup>									
	H <sub>2</sub> +	H3+	$D_2^+$	D <sub>3</sub> +	CH <sub>3</sub> +	CH4+	CH5+	CD <sub>3</sub> +	CD <sub>4</sub> +	CD <sub>5</sub> +
Neutral substrates converted to $C_6H_5^+$										
C <sub>6</sub> H <sub>5</sub> F	Х	Х	X	X	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х
C <sub>6</sub> H <sub>5</sub> Cl				Х	Х			Х		
C <sub>6</sub> H <sub>5</sub> Br				Х						
Neutral substrates converted to $C_6H_4D^+$										
C <sub>6</sub> H <sub>5</sub> F			Х	Х						
C <sub>6</sub> H <sub>5</sub> Cl			Х	Х				Х		
Neutral substrates converted to $C_6H_4F^+$										
$p-C_6H_4F_2$			Х	Х	X	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х
p-C <sub>6</sub> H <sub>4</sub> ClF				Х						
$m-C_6H_4F_2$			Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х
$m-C_6H_4ClF$				Х	Х			Х		
$o-C_6H_4F_2$				Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х
o-C <sub>6</sub> H <sub>4</sub> ClF				Х	Х			Х	Х	
Neutral substrates converted to C <sub>6</sub> H <sub>3</sub> DF <sup>+</sup>										
$p-C_6H_4F_2$			Х	Х						
p-C <sub>6</sub> H <sub>4</sub> ClF				Х						
$m-C_6H_4F_2$			Х	Х						
$m-C_6H_4ClF$				Х						
$o-C_6H_4F_2$				Х						
o-C <sub>6</sub> H <sub>4</sub> ClF				Х						
Neutral substrates converted to $C_6H_4Cl^+$										
<i>p</i> -C <sub>6</sub> H <sub>4</sub> ClF				Х	Х	Х	Х		Х	Х
m-C <sub>6</sub> H <sub>4</sub> ClF				Х	X	Х	Х	Х	Х	X
o-C <sub>6</sub> H <sub>4</sub> ClF				X		X	X	X	X	X

<sup>a</sup> An X in the table indicates that the reaction was observed in a double resonance experiment. Absence of an X does not necessarily mean that the reaction does not occur. <sup>b</sup> The double resonance spectra were recorded at a pressure of  $1 \times 10^{-5}$  Torr with a double resonance field of 0.7 V/cm.

exchange accompanies dehalogenation, and  $C_6H_4D^+$  is formed as well as  $C_6H_5^+$  (cf. Table I). The ratio of phenylium ion formation to simple protonation of the halobenzene is much greater with  $D_n^+$  ( $H_n^+$ ) protonating agents than with  $CD_n^+$ ( $CH_n^+$ ), although proton and deuteron transfer to the halobenzenes may occur without fragmentation, as indicated by Table III. Therefore it seems plausible that protonation of the halobenzene at the halogen can lead to phenylium ion formation without exchange while attack *at the ring* leads to phenylium ion formation only when there is sufficient internal energy to permit rearrangement and cleavage of the ring-protonated or deuterated halobenzene.<sup>15</sup> Without implying detailed reaction mechanisms, these reactions may be illustrated as follows:

Direct attack on halogen:



In favor of the unrearranged structure for the phenylium ion formed in proton-assisted dehalogenation of halobenzenes is the fact that ions whose reactions with halobenzenes do *not* lead to exchange of hydrogen accompanying dehalogenation are observed to cause dehalogenation.



Figure 3. Thermochemistry of proton-assisted dehalogenation and protodehalogenation of halobenzenes by  $CH_5^+$ . Thermochemical data employed are given in Table VI. The proton affinities of bromo- and iodobenzene are assumed equal to those of fluoro- and chlorobenzene.

It is interesting to compare the  $C_6H_n^+$  relative ion abundances obtained from mixtures of  $D_2$  with each of the four halobenzenes. The data are given in Table I. The *decrease* in the relative abundance of  $C_6H_5^+$  in the series  $C_6H_5F > C_6H_5Cl > C_6H_5Br > C_6H_5I$  is evidence for the importance of ion-assisted dehalogenation in its formation, since production of  $C_6H_5^+$  as a primary ion fragment from electron impact on the halobenzenes *increases* in relative importance in this series.

Gaspar et al. / Phenylium  $(C_6H_5^+)$  Ion-Molecule Reactions

					+ 110	+ 11 0	+ 40	+ U.J	+-U.J	$C_{0}D_{c}+$
с	$D_2^+$	$D_{3^+}$	CH3T	CH4 <sup>T</sup>	CH5'	C2H5	CD3	CU4	202	(nZA
		;	;		;	2	>	>	~	A
T.F.	×	×	×	×	~	×	<	<	<	<
5	X	×	X	×	×	×	×	×	×	×
	<	;;	: >	: >	: >	>	X	X	Х	×
KH4F2		<	~	<	<	<	<	<	<	•
, H.CIF			×	×	×	×	×	×	×	×
		>	: >	: >	. >	>	X	×	×	×
-6H4F2		<	<	<	<	< ;	;;		: ;	: >
C, H, CIF			×	×	×	×	×	×	×	×
		٨	X	X	×	×	×	×	×	×
61141 2		<	;;	:;	; ;		>	>	>	>
<b>ZH</b>			×	×	~	<	<	<	<	<

<sup>a</sup> An X in the table indicates that the reaction was observed in a double-resonance experiment. <sup>a</sup> The double-resonance spectra were recorded at a pressure of  $1 \times 10^{-5}$  Torr with a double-resonance field of 0.7 V/cm. <sup>c</sup> Neutral substrate to which proton or deuteron transfer was observed.

		TOTO TANDA TANDA T									
Neutral substrate	C <sub>6</sub> H <sub>5</sub> <sup>+</sup> from C <sub>6</sub> H <sub>5</sub> F	C <sub>6</sub> H <sub>5</sub> <sup>+</sup> from C <sub>6</sub> H <sub>5</sub> Cl	C <sub>6</sub> H <sub>5</sub> <sup>+</sup> from C <sub>6</sub> H <sub>5</sub> Br	C <sub>6</sub> H <sub>5</sub> <sup>+</sup> from C <sub>6</sub> H <sub>6</sub>	$C_6H_4F^4$ from $C_6H_5F$	$C_6H_4F^+$ from $p$ - $C_6H_4F_2$	C <sub>6</sub> H <sub>4</sub> F <sup>+</sup> from <i>m</i> -C <sub>6</sub> H <sub>4</sub> F <sub>2</sub>	C <sub>6</sub> H <sub>4</sub> F <sup>+</sup> from o-C <sub>6</sub> H <sub>4</sub> F <sub>2</sub> <sup>+</sup>	C <sub>6</sub> H <sub>4</sub> F <sup>+</sup> from <i>p</i> -C <sub>6</sub> H <sub>4</sub> CIF	C <sub>6</sub> H <sub>4</sub> F <sup>+</sup> from <i>m</i> -C <sub>6</sub> H <sub>4</sub> ClF	C <sub>6</sub> H <sub>4</sub> <sup>+</sup> from <i>o</i> -C <sub>6</sub> H <sub>4</sub> CIF
CH, F C, H, C, H, C, C, H, F C, H, C, H, C, C, H, F C,	$C_{11}^{A} + C_{11}^{A} + D_{11}^{A} + C_{11}^{A} + D_{11}^{A} + C_{11}^{A} + D_{11}^{A} + D_{$	$\begin{array}{c} C_{e}H_{s}D_{s}^{+}\\ C_{j}H_{s}^{+}(1.00)\\ C_{j}H_{s}D_{s}^{+}(1.00)\\ C_{j}H_{s}D_{s}^{+}(0.20)\\ C_{j}H_{s}D_{s}^{+}(0.43)\\ C_{j}H_{s}D_{s}^{+}(0.43)\\ C_{s}H_{s}^{+}(1.00)\\ C_{s}H_{s}^{+}(0.85)\\ C_{s}H_{s}^{+}(0.85)\\ C_{j}H_{s}^{+}\\ C_{j}H_{s}^{+}\\ C_{j}H_{s}^{-}\\ C_{j}H_{s}^{+}\end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} C_{s}H_{s}D_{s}^{+}\\ C_{s}H_{s}^{+}(1.00)\\ C_{s}H_{s}^{+}(0.04)\\ C_{s}H_{s}D_{s}^{+}d\\ C_{s}H_{s}D_{s}^{+}d\\ C_{s}H_{s}D_{s}^{+}(0.33)\\ C_{s}H_{s}D_{s}^{+}e\end{array}$	C <sub>7</sub> H <sub>7</sub> <sup>+</sup> (1.00) C <sub>7</sub> H <sub>6</sub> H <sup>+</sup> (0.01) C <sub>7</sub> H <sub>6</sub> H <sup>+</sup> (0.01) C <sub>7</sub> H <sub>6</sub> C <sup>1/e</sup> C <sub>7</sub> H <sub>6</sub> Br <sup>+</sup> i	C <sub>6</sub> H <sub>4</sub> D <sub>2</sub> F <sup>4</sup> j C <sub>7</sub> H <sub>6</sub> I <sup>2</sup> C <sub>7</sub> H <sub>4</sub> D <sub>2</sub> F <sup>4</sup> C <sub>7</sub> H <sub>3</sub> D <sub>3</sub> F <sup>4</sup> d	$\begin{array}{c} C_{a}H_{a}D_{a}L^{a}\\ C_{p}H_{b}F^{a}\left(1.00\right)\\ C_{p}H_{a}F^{a}\left(0.28\right)\\ C_{p}H_{a}D_{a}F^{a}\left(0.35\right)\\ C_{p}H_{a}D_{a}F^{a}\left(1.00\right)\\ C_{p}H_{a}D_{a}F^{b}K\\ C_{p}H_{a}D_{a}F^{b}K\end{array}$	$C_{a}H_{a}D_{a}F^{\dagger}$ $C_{7}H_{a}F^{\dagger}$ (1.00) $C_{7}H_{a}F^{\dagger}$ (1.00) $C_{7}H_{a}D_{2}F^{\dagger}F^{\dagger}$ $C_{7}H_{2}D_{4}F^{\dagger}F^{\dagger}$ $C_{7}H_{4}D_{4}F^{\dagger}F^{\dagger}$	$C_{6}H_{4}D_{2}F^{\dagger}$ $C_{7}H_{6}F^{*}d$ $C_{7}H_{4}D_{2}F^{*}d$ $C_{7}H_{4}D_{4}F^{*}k$ $C_{7}H_{2}D_{6}F^{*}k$	$C_{4}H_{D_{4}}F^{+}$ $C_{7}H_{6}F^{+}$ (1.00) $C_{7}H_{4}D_{2}F^{+}$ (0.42) $C_{7}H_{4}D_{2}F^{+}$ (0.42) $C_{7}H_{2}D_{4}F^{+}$ (1.00) $C_{7}H_{4}D_{4}F^{+}$ (0.14)	$\begin{array}{c} C_{e}H_{4}D_{2}F^{+}\\ C_{r}H_{e}F^{+}\left( 1.00\right)\\ C_{r}H_{2}F_{F}\left( 0.10\right)\\ C_{r}H_{3}D_{5}F^{+}\left( 0.33\right)\\ C_{r}H_{4}D_{4}F^{+}\left( 0.18\right)\\ C_{r}H_{5}F^{+}\left( 0.18\right)\\ \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} C_{e}H_{3}D_{3}F^{+1}\\ C_{e}H_{a}F^{+}\left(1.00\right)\\ C_{e}H_{a}F^{+}\left(0.12\right)\\ C_{e}H_{a}D_{2}F^{+}\left(0.3\right)\\ C_{e}H_{a}D_{a}F^{+d}\\ C_{e}H_{a}D_{a}F^{+d}\end{array}$

occur. b The double resonance spectra were recorded at a pressure of  $1 \times 10^{-6}$  Torr with a double-resonance read of 0.1 V/cm.<sup>2</sup> Numbers in parentness are readed yrear or product on the determined because of other same reactant ion. <sup>d</sup> Relative yield not determined because of other same reactant ion.<sup>d</sup> Relative yield could not be determined because of other probable product ions too heavy to be determined because of other probable product ions too heavy to be determined because of other  $C_{\theta}H_{F}F$ . <sup>d</sup> Relative yield could not be determined because of other same reactant ion. <sup>d</sup> Relative yield could not be determined because of other same reactant ions too heavy to be determined because of the probable product ions too heavy to be determined because it is too heavy. <sup>j</sup> Interference from deuteration products of  $C_{\theta}H_{s}F$ . <sup>k</sup> Relative yield also obtained.

The exothermicity of the reaction  $H_3^+ + C_6H_5X \rightarrow H_2 + HX + C_6H_5^+$  decreases in the series X = F > Cl > Br > I as shown in Figure 2. The reaction probability would be expected to decrease with decreasing exothermicity, particularly if there is a contribution from a direct or stripping reaction.

Beauchamp has determined the proton affinities of CH<sub>3</sub>X (X = F, Cl, Br, and I) and has found a *decrease* in basicity in the order CH<sub>3</sub>I > CH<sub>3</sub>Br > CH<sub>3</sub>Cl > CH<sub>3</sub>F.<sup>16</sup> Therefore an order of halogen basicities for the halobenzenes *inverted* from that found for the halomethanes would be required *if* halogen basicity were the dominant factor determining the ease of proton-assisted dehalogenation of the halobenzenes. (A different order of basicities for the halobenzenes might be due to electron release from the  $\pi$ -electron system of the phenyl ring, decreasing in importance with decreasing electron release from the halogen to the ring, increasing in the order F < Cl < Br < I.)

Table I indicates an increase in the relative abundance of m/e 78 (C<sub>6</sub>H<sub>4</sub>D<sup>+</sup>) and 79 (C<sub>6</sub>H<sub>5</sub>D<sup>+</sup>) in the order C<sub>6</sub>H<sub>5</sub>F < C<sub>6</sub>H<sub>5</sub>Cl < C<sub>6</sub>H<sub>5</sub>Br < C<sub>6</sub>H<sub>5</sub>I which reflects the increasing basicity of the  $\pi$ -electron system. There is increasing loss of X· relative to the loss of HX with decreasing C-X bond strength, D(C-F) > D(C-Cl) > D(C-Br) > D(C-I).



The similarity of the reactivity of  $C_6H_5^+$  from proton-assisted dehalogenation of fluorobenzene and  $C_6H_5^+$  from electron impact on chlorobenzene provides further evidence that both "primary" and "secondary"  $C_6H_5^+$  ions possess the same structure.

#### **Reactions of Phenylium Ions**

The observed reactions of phenylium ions, as deduced from double-resonance spectra, are summarized in Table IV. The entries in Table I under m/e 81 and 82 are also products of phenylium ion reactions,  $C_6H_5^+$  and  $C_6H_4D^+$  react with  $D_2$ to give  $C_6H_5D_2^+$  and  $C_6H_4D_3^+$ , respectively. Table I indicates that the formation of  $C_6H_5D_2^+$  correlates well with the formation of  $C_6H_5^+$ , as expected if our picture of these reactions is correct.

As a simple addition process, the reaction of phenylium ions with deuterium is a rather unusual low-pressure ion-molecule reaction.



That this addition is symmetry allowed in the Woodward-Hoffmann sense<sup>17</sup> as a concerted process has been deduced from a molecular orbital correlation diagram by Williams and Hvistendahl.<sup>9</sup> These workers observed no release of kinetic energy in the reversal of addition and deduced that 1,1-elimination occurred:  $C_6H_7^+ \rightarrow C_6H_5^+ + H_2$ . We may thus infer that there is no activation energy barrier to the addition of the phenylium ion to hydrogen. Since addition to form the ground state of protonated benzene is exothermic by an estimated 60 to 80 kcal/mol,<sup>18</sup> a product ion  $C_6H_7^+$  of this structure would have to absorb this exothermicity within its internal degrees of freedom in order to survive. If, however, an excited electronic state of product ion  $C_6H_7^+$  is formed, or if the product ion has a ring-opened structure, the exothermicity of addition may be much less.<sup>19</sup>

In chemical ionization experiments Harrison and Lin have

proposed that  $C_6H_5D_2^+$  is formed by a direct fluorine displacement reaction:<sup>13</sup>

$$D_3^+ + C_6H_5F \rightarrow C_6H_5D_2^+ + DF$$

In our ICR experiments a two-step process is clearly indicated by double-resonance spectra, but a contribution from the direct reaction is not excluded. The two-step mechanism is:

$$X_{3}^{+} + C_{6}H_{5}F \rightarrow XF + X_{2} + C_{6}H_{5}^{+}$$
 (X = H or D)  
 $C_{6}H_{5}^{+} + H_{2} \rightarrow C_{6}H_{7}^{+}$   
 $C_{6}H_{5}^{+} + D_{2} \rightarrow C_{6}H_{5}D_{2}^{+}$ 

The addition of phenylium ions to deuterium displays an apparent substituent effect. Table V indicates that the ratio of  $C_6H_4D_2F^+$  adduct ion to  $C_6H_4F^+$  reactant fluorophenylium ion differs for the three neutral precursor molecules *o*-, *m*-, and *p*-chlorofluorobenzene, increasing in the order ortho < meta < para. Our inference is that the differences in product ratios are due to differences in reactivity for the structurally distinct *o*-, *m*-, and *p*-fluorophenylium ions. Differences in the internal energy of the fluorophenylium ions from different precursors may also contribute to the observed product ratios. While the fluorophenylium ions differ in apparent reactivity by less than a factor of 2 from that of the unsubstituted ion, no adduct was observed from the reaction of chlorophenylium ions  $C_6H_4Cl^+$ .

From reactions of  $C_6H_5^+$  with  $CH_4$ , both  $C_7H_9^+$  and  $C_7H_7^+$  product ions are observed. Thus, even when a fragmentation channel is available, simple addition can be observed. Bond rupture without fragmentation may also occur. Williams and Hvistendahl, who found a kinetic energy release of 20 kcal/mol in the loss of  $H_2$  from  $C_7H_9^+$ , formulated this as a symmetry-forbidden concerted 1,2- or 1,3-hydrogen elimination from a dihydrotropylium ion.<sup>9</sup> This implies an activation energy for hydrogen loss from C7H9<sup>+</sup> larger than the overall endothermicity, if the methane adduct of the phenylium ion rearranges to the dihydrotropylium ion. It does not necessarily imply an activation energy for the addition of phenylium ion to methane, since the initial adduct need not possess the dihydrotropylium structure. In the attack of  $C_6H_5^+$ on CD<sub>4</sub>, the product ion  $C_7H_4D_3^+$  predominates over  $C_7H_5D_2^+$ . Also in reactions of  $C_6H_4F^+$  with CD<sub>4</sub> loss of both HD and  $D_2$  is observed. Since the lost hydrogen molecule does not come exclusively from the methane molecule, rearrangement of a long-lived intermediate prior to hydrogen loss seems to be indicated.

In the reaction of phenylium ion with ethane, the major product ion is  $C_6H_7^+$  which could arise from either 1,1- or 1,2-hydrogen transfer. Both processes, if concerted, have small symmetry imposed barriers in the ground singlet electronic state, as indicated by Figures 4 and 5.



There have, however, been recent reports of ion-molecule reactions occurring by symmetry-forbidden concerted paths,<sup>9,20</sup> and the reactions are symmetry allowed in the lowest triplet electronic states. On thermochemical grounds the 1,2 transfer

Gaspar et al. / Phenylium  $(C_6H_5^+)$  Ion-Molecule Reactions



**Figure 4.** (a) Molecular orbital correlation diagram for 1,1-hydrogen transfer to a phenylium ion. (b) Electronic state correlation diagram for 1,1-hydrogen transfer to a phenylium ion.

Table V. Substituent Effects on Phenylium Ion Reactivity<sup>a</sup>

Phenylium ion precursor	Phenylium	Product ion	Ion intensity ratio product ion:phenylium ion
o-C <sub>6</sub> H₄ClF	C₄H₄F+	C₄H₄D₂F <sup>+</sup>	1.0 <sup>b</sup>
m-C <sub>6</sub> H <sub>4</sub> ClF	C <sub>6</sub> H <sub>4</sub> F <sup>+</sup>	$C_6H_4D_2F^+$	1.2 <sup>b</sup>
			0.4 <sup>c</sup>
p-C <sub>6</sub> H <sub>4</sub> ClF	$C_6H_4F^+$	$C_6H_4D_2F^+$	1.5 <sup>b</sup>
C <sub>6</sub> H <sub>5</sub> F	$C_6H_5^+$	$C_6H_5D_2^+$	1.1 <sup>b</sup>
C <sub>6</sub> H <sub>5</sub> Cl	$C_6H_5^+$	$C_6H_5D_2^+$	$1.2^{b}$
m-C <sub>6</sub> H <sub>5</sub> ClF	$C_6H_4Cl^+$	$C_6H_4D_2Cl^+$	0 <i>°</i>

<sup>*a*</sup> All reactions are additions to deuterium:  $XC_6H_4^+ + D_2 \rightarrow XC_6H_4D_2^+$ . <sup>*b*</sup> Pressure ca.  $5 \times 10^{-5}$  Torr. <sup>*c*</sup> Pressure ca.  $2 \times 10^{-5}$  Torr.

is favored. The 1,1-hydrogen transfer is estimated to be endothermic, but the reaction observed is shown to be exothermic by the shape of the double-resonance signal<sup>12</sup> for the process  $C_6H_5^+ + C_2H_6 \rightarrow C_6H_7^+ + C_2H_4$ . In further support of the occurrence of 1,2-hydrogen transfer in the reactions of phenylium ions with ethane, it should be noted that  $C_6H_7^+$  ions are not produced in the reactions of phenylium ions with methane, as might have been expected if 1,1-hydrogen transfer were a facile reaction.

The reactions of phenylium ions with ethylene also produce  $C_6H_7^+$  product ions, and again 1,2-hydrogen transfer is believed to occur. A further reaction takes place for whose product it would be premature to assign a structure.

$$C_6H_5^+ + C_2H_4 \rightarrow C_8H_7^+ + H_2$$

With cyclopropane the following ion-molecule reaction is



**Figure 5.** (a) Molecular orbital correlation diagram for 1,2-hydrogen transfer from an alkane to a phenylium ion. (b) Electronic state correlation diagram for 1,2-hydrogen transfer from an alkane to a phenylium ion.

Table	VI.	Thermo	chemical	Data	for	the	Dehalogenation	ı of
Halot	enz	enes						

Species	Δ <i>H</i> <sub>f</sub> (298°), kcal/mol	Species	$\Delta H_{\rm f} (298^{\circ})$ kcal/mol	Proton affinity, kcal/mol
Н+	366 <i>ª</i>	$I(^{2}P_{3/2})$	47.27 <i>ª</i>	
H <sub>3</sub> +	264 <i><sup>b</sup></i>	HF	-64.8 <sup>a</sup>	
CH̃₅+	221 °	HCl	$-22.062^{a}$	
C <sub>6</sub> H <sub>5</sub> +	275 <sup>d</sup>	HBr	$-8.70^{a}$	
$C_6H_6^+$	233 <i>ª</i>	HI	6.33 <i>ª</i>	
CH₄	$-17.88^{a}$	C <sub>6</sub> H <sub>5</sub> F	$-26.48^{a}$	182.5 <sup>e</sup>
F	18.88 <i>ª</i>	C <sub>6</sub> H <sub>5</sub> Cl	12.7 ª	182.4 <sup>e</sup>
Cl	29.082 <i>ª</i>	C <sub>6</sub> H <sub>5</sub> Br	24 <i>ª</i>	
Br	26.741 <i>ª</i>	C <sub>6</sub> H <sub>5</sub> I	37 <i>ª</i>	
$I(^{2}P_{1/2})$	25.535 <i>ª</i>	C <sub>6</sub> H <sub>6</sub>	19.8 <i>ª</i>	183.4e

<sup>a</sup> Reference 19. <sup>b</sup> M. E. Schwartz and L. J. Schaad, *J. Chem. Phys.*, **47**, 5325 (1970). <sup>c</sup> W. A. Chupka and J. A. Berkowitz, *ibid.*, **54**, 4256 (1971). <sup>d</sup> Reference 7. <sup>e</sup> R. Yamdagni and P. Kebarle, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, **98**, 1320 (1976).

observed:

$$C_6H_5^+ + C_3H_6 \rightarrow C_7H_7^+ + C_2H_4$$

Since a similar reaction is observed between phenylium ions and propene, with nearly the same rate, the temptation to formulate the reaction with cyclopropane as a concerted transfer of a methylene group should be avoided.

Phenylium ions also attack aromatic rings. Reaction of phenylium ions with chloro- and fluorobenzene gives rise to

Journal of the American Chemical Society / 99:17 / August 17, 1977

a  $C_{12}H_9^+$  product ion which we formulate as a phenylphenylium ion:

$$C_6H_5^+ + C_6H_5X \rightarrow C_6H_5 - C_6H_4^+ + HX$$
$$(X = Cl, F)$$

## Conclusions

From the reactions reported above it can be seen that phenylium ions appear to be strong electrophiles, attacking  $\sigma$ as well as  $\pi$ -electron systems. The occurrence of addition without fragmentation as reaction channels for  $C_6H_5^+$  +  $H_2(D_2)$  and  $C_6H_5^+ + CH_4(CD_4)$  suggests strongly a concerted insertion of the formally divalent carbon atom of the phenylium ion into H-H and C-H bonds. This is a process similar to carbene reactions and suggests the inclusion of an appropriate canonical form in the resonance description of the singlet state of the phenylium ion.

$$( ) + \leftrightarrow ( + ) :$$

The addition of phenylium ions to hydrogen molecules has some precedent in the formation of a collision complex between methyl cations  $CH_3^+$  and  $D_2$  that is sufficiently long lived for the hydrogens and deuteriums to become equivalent.<sup>21</sup>

In our experiments C<sub>6</sub>H<sub>5</sub><sup>+</sup> ions are produced both by electron impact and by dehalogenation of halobenzenes by gaseous Bronsted acids. The reactivity of  $C_6H_5^+$  does not seem to depend on its mode of formation, suggesting that the same electronic state is formed in both processes, and with similar internal energies.

As a species exhibiting both carbonium ion-like and carbene-like reactivity, the phenyl cation is quite interesting and merits the further study required to elucidate the detailed course of its reactions.

Acknowledgment. We thank Professor Fulvio Cacace for stimulating these studies and for helpful comments on the work. This is ERDA technical report No. COO-1713-52. This work has been carried out under the joint auspices of the Monsanto Company, Washington University, the United States Energy Research and Development Administration, and the National Research Council of Italy.

#### **References and Notes**

- H. G. Richey and J. M. Richey, "Carbonium Ions", Vol. II, G. A. Olah and P. v. R. Schleyer, Ed., Wiley-Interscience, New York, N.Y., 1970, p 899.
   (a) H. Zollinger, Acc. Chem. Res., **6**, 335 (1973); (b) C. G. Swain, J. E. Sheats, and K. C. Harbison, J. Am. Chem. Soc., **97**, 796 (1975); (c) C. G. Swain, J. E. Sheats, D. G. Gorenstein, and K. G. Harbison, ibid., 97, 791 (1975); (d) R. G. Bergstrom, R. G. M. Landells, G. H. Wahl, Jr., and H. Zol-linger, *ibid.*, **98**, 3301 (1976).
- (3) E. M. Evleth and P. M. Horowitz, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 93, 5636 (1971).
- H. Evien and P. M. Horowitz, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 93, 658 (1971).
   H. H. Jaffé and G. F. Koser, J. Org. Chem., 40, 3082 (1975).
   J. D. Dill, P. v. R. Schleyer, J. S. Binkley, R. Seeger, J. A. Pople, and E. Haselbach, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 98, 5428 (1976). The calculated energy difference, triplet 7.5 kcal/mol lower than singlet, was empirically corrected by employing the 27.6 kcal/mol difference between the theoretical (37.3) and "experimental" (9.7) singlet-triplet splitting in methylene. The latter quantity has recently been determined to be 19.5 kcal/mol (P. F. 2ittel, G. B. Ellison, S. V. O'Nell, E. Herbst, W. C. Lineberger, and W. P. Beinhardt, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 98, 3731 (1976)). This reduces the estimated energy difference in the phenylium ion to ca. 10 kcal/mol, singlet lower than triplet.
- (6)R. Gleiter, R. Hoffmann, and W.-D. Stohrer, Chem. Ber., 105, 8 (1972) (7) R. A. W. Johnstone and F. A. Mellon, J. Chem. Soc., Faraday Trans. 2, 68, 1209 (1972).
- (8) F. W. McLafferty and J. Winkler, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 96, 5182 (1974).
- (9) D. H. Williams and G. Hvistendahl, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 96, 6755 (1974).
- (10) (a) F. Cacace and G. Perez, J. Chem. Soc. B, 2086 (1971); (b) F. Cacace and R. Cipollini, *Radiochem. Radioanal. Lett.*, **16**, 343 (1974); (c) F. Cacace and M. Speranza, J. Am. Chem. Soc., **98**, 7299, 7305 (1976).
- (11) J. M. S. Henis, G. W. Stewart, M. K. Tripodi, and P. P. Gaspar, J. Chem.
- (11) 51 M. S. Henis, "Ion Molecule Reactions", Vol. 2, J. L. Franklin, Ed., Plenum Press, New York, N.Y. 1972, Chapter 9.
   (13) A. G. Harrison and P.-H. Lin, *Can. J. Chem.*, **53**, 1314 (1975); H. W. Leung
- (10) A. G. Harrison and F.-n. Lin, *can. J. Chem.*, **53**, 1314 (1975); H. W. Leung and A. G. Harrison, *ibid.*, **54**, 3439 (1976).
  (14) The reaction CH<sub>3</sub><sup>+</sup> + C<sub>6</sub>H<sub>5</sub>Cl → CH<sub>3</sub>Cl + C<sub>6</sub>H<sub>5</sub><sup>+</sup> is estimated to be exothermic by ca. 7 kcal/mol, while CH<sub>5</sub><sup>+</sup> + C<sub>6</sub>H<sub>5</sub>Cl → CH<sub>4</sub> + C<sub>6</sub>H<sub>5</sub><sup>+</sup> is estimated to be endothermic by ca. 1 kcal/mol.
  (15) Idea birb endothermic by ca. 1 kcal/mol.
- (15) Under high-pressure conditions, as in the experiments of Cacace and Speranza (ref 10c), collisional stabilization of the ring-protonated halob enzene may compete effectively with rearrangement followed by loss of HX. Collisional stabilization of a halogen-protonated halobenzene may not be as effective at suppressing dehalogenation, which can occur without rearrangement. This would explain the much smaller extent of tritiodehalogenation found by Cacace and Speranza for D<sub>2</sub>T<sup>+</sup> + C<sub>6</sub>H<sub>5</sub>Cl compared with D<sub>2</sub>T<sup>+</sup> + C<sub>6</sub>H<sub>5</sub>F. In the chlorobenzene case the extent of halogen vs. ring attack is smaller than for fluorobenzene and the collisionally deactivated intermediate from ring attack on chlorobenzene loses a proton to become the dominant tritiodeprotonation product in this case.
- (16) J. L. Beauchamp, D. Holtz, S. D. Woodgate, and S. L. Patt, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 94, 2798 (1972).
- (17) R. B. Woodward and R. H. Hoffmann, "The Conservation of Orbital Symmetry", Verlag Chemie, Weinheim, Germany, 1971
- (18) An estimate of 72 kcal/mol can be deduced from the thermochemical data included in Table VI.
- (19) The heat of formation of open-chain C<sub>6</sub>H<sub>7</sub><sup>+</sup> is ca. 70 kcal/mol higher than that of cyclic C<sub>6</sub>H<sub>7</sub><sup>+</sup>. See J. G. Dillard, K. Draxl, J. L. Franklin, F. H. Field, H. T. Herron, and H. H. Rosenstock, Natl. Stand. Ref. Data Ser., Natl. Bur. Stand., No. 26 (1969).
- (20) D. H. Williams and G. Hvistendahl, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 96, 6753 (1974).
- (21) A. G. Harrison and B. G. Keyes, Can. J. Chem., 51, 1265 (1973).