An Unexpected Rearrangement of 4-Alkylaminoindoles

Steven V. Ley* and Roderick A. Porter

Department of Chemistry, Imperial College, London SW7 2AY, U.K.

4-Alkylaminoindoles rearrange in good yield to the corresponding 1-alkyl-4-aminoindoles in the presence of 10 mol% hydrated toluene-*p*-sulphonic acid in boiling toluene.

During studies directed towards the total synthesis of teleocidin¹A (1) (lygnbyatoxin²) and related potent tumour promotors,³ we observed a novel and unexpected rearrangement of 4-alkylaminoindoles, the details of which we report here.

The starting 4-alkylaminoindoles (2) used in this work were prepared from 4-aminoindole which in turn can be prepared by the excellent Leingruber and Batcho method.⁴ Compound (2, R = Me) was obtained in 78 % yield by lithium aluminium hydride reduction of 4-*N*-formylaminoindole while (2, R = CH₂Ph) and (2, R = CH₂CO₂Et)[†] were derived by monoalklyation of 4-aminoindole using potassium carbonatepotassium iodide and the appropriate bromide, in 47 and 84% yields respectively.



† All new compounds were fully characterised by spectroscopic methods, and accurate mass and/or microanalytical techniques.

Upon heating the indoles (2) in toluene containing $10 \mod \%$ of monohydrated toluene-*p*-sulphonic acid smooth conversion into the corresponding l-alkyl-4-aminoindoles (3)† was achieved (Table 1). In the absence of water, or using anhydrous camphorsulphonic acid in a similar manner, no rearrangement was observed even after extended periods of time.

We suggest that the mechanism for the rearrangement reaction therefore involves initial ring opening of the 4alkylaminoindole to produce an intermediate species (4) which prefers to undergo ring closure to the more thermodynamically stable 1-alkyl-4-aminoindole system (Scheme 1). This re-



Scheme 1

Table 1		
Starting indole (2)	Product (3) % yield	Reaction time /h
R = Me $R = CH_2Ph$ $R = CH_3CO_2Et$	75 90 77ª	23 21 44
^a Plus 13% recovered sta	rting material.	

arrangement has potentially useful synthetic applications for the preparation of specifically substituted indoles.

We thank the S.E.R.C. and Pfizer Central Research, Sandwich, Kent, for a C.A.S.E. research studentship (to R. A. P.), the Royal Society of Chemistry for the Hickinbottom Research Award (to S. V. L.), and Dr. J. C. Ruddock (Pfizer, U.K.) for helpful discussions.

Received, 21st September 1982; Com. 1123

References

- M. Takashima and H. Sakai, Bull. Agric. Chem. Soc. Jpn., 1960, 24, 647, 652; M. Takashima, H. Sakai, and K. Arima, Agric. Biol. Chem., 1962, 26, 660.
- 2 J. H. Cardellina II, F-J. Marner, and R. E. Moore, Science, 1979, 204, 193.
- 3 T. Sugimura, F. Hirota, M. Mori, M. Nakayasu, M. Terada, K. Umezawa, and R. E. Moore, *Carcinog. Comp. Serv.*, 1982, 7, 69 (*Chem. Abs.*, 96, 211 864).
- 4 A. D. Batcho and W. Leimgruber, U.S. Patent 3,967,639 (1976);
 L. I. Kruse, *Heterocycles*, 1981, 16, 1119.