

Me, 15%), and 139 mg of 26 (54%).

Photolysis of 7 in *tert*-Butyl Alcohol through a Filter Solution. A solution of 7 (300 mg, 0.681 mmol), *tert*-butyl alcohol (1 mL), and benzene (5 mL) was irradiated through a methanol solution of phenanthrene with a high-pressure mercury lamp for 13 h. Separation by HPLC followed by silica gel chromatography gave 33 mg of 23 (*R* = *t*-Bu, 13%), 33 mg of 25 (*R* = *t*-Bu, 13%), and 157 mg of 26 (68%).

Photolysis of 26 in *tert*-Butyl Alcohol. A solution of 26 (236 mg, 0.536 mmol), *tert*-butyl alcohol (1 mL), and benzene (5 mL) was irradiated through a Pyrex tube with a high-pressure mercury lamp for 12 h. Separation by HPLC followed by silica gel chromatography gave 33 mg of 23 (*R* = *t*-Bu, 13%), 49 mg of 25 (*R* = *t*-Bu, 19%), and recovered 26 (76 mg, 32%).

Thermolysis of 26 in *tert*-Butyl Alcohol. A solution of 26 (321 mg, 0.730 mmol), *tert*-butyl alcohol (2 mL), and benzene (4 mL) was heated in a sealed tube at 150 °C for 10 min. Separation by HPLC followed by silica gel chromatography gave 127 mg of 23 (*R* = *t*-Bu, 49%) and 81 mg of 25 (*R* = *t*-Bu, 31%).

Thermolysis of 26 in Methanol. A solution of 26 (235 mg, 0.534 mmol), methanol (2 mL), and benzene (4 mL) was heated in a sealed tube at 150 °C for 10 min. Separation by HPLC followed by silica gel chromatography gave 73 mg of 23 (*R* = Me, 22%), 70 mg of 24 (*R* = Me, 22%), and 135 mg of 25 (*R* = Me, 42%).

Thermolysis of 26 in 2,3-Dimethyl-1,3-butadiene. A solution of 26 (440 mg, 1.00 mmol) in 2,3-dimethyl-1,3-butadiene (5 mL) was heated in a sealed tube at 150 °C for 10 min. Separation by HPLC followed by silica gel chromatography (eluent carbon tetrachloride) gave 30 (70 mg, 14%): NMR (CCl_4 , δ) 0.52 (s, 3 H, SiMe), 1.21 (s, 2 H, SiCH_2C), 1.64 (s, 3 H, $\text{C}=\text{CMe}$), 1.80 (s, 3 H, $\text{C}=\text{CMe}$), 2.01 (br t, 1 H, SiCH), 2.92 (br d, 2 H, SiCCH_2), 6.85-7.27 (m, 20 H, ArH); ^{13}C NMR (CDCl_3) -4.2 (q), 17.0 (t), 21.5 (q), 23.4 (q), 29.2 (d), 37.4 (t), 124.7, 124.9, 125.2, 125.5, 126.2, 126.6, 127.5, 127.6, 127.9, 128.1, 128.3, 128.4, 129.1, 129.8, 130.1 (s), 131.3 (s), 137.5 (s), 138.0 (s), 141.0 (s), 142.0 (s), 142.8 (s), 143.0 (s), 146.2 (s), 150.8 (s); IR (CCl_4) 1250 cm^{-1} (SiMe); mass spectrum, m/e 494 (M^+); high-resolution mass calcd for $\text{C}_{36}\text{H}_{34}\text{Si}$ 494.2427, found 494.2424.

Thermolysis of 26 in Benzophenone. A mixture of 26 (250 mg, 0.568 mmol) and benzophenone (750 mg, 4.12 mmol) was heated in a sealed tube at 150 °C for 10 min. Separation by HPLC followed by silica gel chromatography (eluent benzene) gave

1,1-diphenylpropene (15 mg, 14%) which was identified by comparison of its NMR and IR spectra with those of an authentic sample.

Photolysis of 8 in *tert*-Butyl Alcohol. A solution of 8 (300 mg, 0.660 mmol), *tert*-butyl alcohol (2 mL), and benzene (8 mL) was irradiated through a Pyrex tube with a high-pressure mercury lamp for 7 h. Separation by HPLC gave 156 mg of 31 (52%) and 75 mg of diazine 32 (27%). Products 31 and 32 were identified by their NMR, IR, mass spectra, and elemental analyses.

Compound 31, recrystallized from hexane: yellowish green crystals; mp 170-170.5 °C (lit.²⁰ mp 177-178 °C); NMR (CCl_4 , δ) 0.58 (s, 3 H, SiMe), 5.76-6.60 (m, 3 H, $\text{SiCH}=\text{CH}_2$), 6.81-7.30 (m, 20 H, ArH); IR (KBr) 1250 cm^{-1} (SiMe); mass spectrum, m/e 426 (M^+). Anal. Calcd for $\text{C}_{31}\text{H}_{26}\text{Si}$: C, 87.27; H, 6.14. Found: C, 87.17; H, 6.14.

Compound 32, recrystallized from pentane: yellowish green crystals; mp 142 °C dec; NMR (CCl_4 , δ) 0.21 (s, 3 H, SiMe), 0.91 (s, 3 H, CN_2Me), 6.76-7.36 (m, 20 H, ArH); IR (KBr) 1610 cm^{-1} ($\text{N}=\text{N}$); mass spectrum, m/e 426 ($\text{M}^+ - 28$); ^{13}C NMR (CDCl_3) 7.5 (q), 15.6 (s), 18.4 (q), 125.6 (d), 126.1 (d), 126.3 (d), 126.6 (d), 127.6 (d), 127.9 (d), 128.2 (d), 129.1 (d), 129.8 (d), 130.0 (d), 137.3 (s), 138.4 (s), 138.7 (s), 156.6 (s) ppm. Anal. Calcd for $\text{C}_{31}\text{H}_{26}\text{N}_2\text{Si}$: C, 81.89; H, 5.76; N, 6.16. Found: C, 82.08; H, 5.80; N, 5.95.

Acknowledgment. We are grateful to the Shinetsu Chemical Co. for partial support of this work. This research is supported by Grant-in-Aid for Scientific Research (No. 59740240).

Registry No. 4, 77999-12-3; 5, 77999-16-7; 6, 82644-70-0; 7, 89175-80-4; 7 (lithium salt), 94671-27-9; 8, 94671-28-0; 12, 77999-13-4; 14, 15570-45-3; 14-*d*₂, 77999-14-5; 15a, 77999-15-6; 15b, 6937-59-3; 16, 77999-17-8; 21, 21993-93-1; 22, 94671-29-1; 23 (*R* = Me), 89175-85-9; 23 (*R* = *t*-Bu), 89175-82-6; 23-*d*₁ (*R* = Me), 94671-30-4; 24 (*R* = Me), 89175-83-7; 24-*d*₁ (*R* = Me), 94671-31-5; 25 (*R* = Me), 89175-86-0; 25 (*R* = *t*-Bu), 89175-84-8; 25-*d*₁ (*R* = Me), 94671-32-6; 26, 89175-81-5; 30, 89175-87-1; 31, 51528-39-3; 32, 94671-33-7; $\text{Ph}_2\text{P}(\text{O})\text{N}_3$, 26386-88-9; 1-methyl-1-(chloromethyl)-2,3,4,5-tetraphenyl-1-silacyclopentadiene, 94671-34-8; 1,1-diphenylpropene, 778-66-5; 2,3-dimethyl-1,3-butadiene, 513-81-5.

(20) Balasubramanian, R.; George, M. V. *Tetrahedron* 1973, 29, 2395.

Carbon Monoxide Activation by Iridium(III) Dicationic Carbonyl Complexes

Michael A. Lilga and James A. Ibers*

Department of Chemistry, Northwestern University, Evanston, Illinois 60201

Received June 8, 1984

The cationic *cis*- and *trans*- $\text{IrX}(\text{CO})(\text{dppe})_2^{2+}$ (*X* = H, Cl) species react with nucleophiles, such as H_2O , OH^- , and H^+ , to afford hydroxycarbonyl and formyl cations of Ir(III) typified by the species *trans*- $\text{IrH}(\text{COOH})(\text{dppe})_2^+$ and *trans*- $\text{IrX}(\text{CHO})(\text{dppe})_2^+$. The formyl complexes are protonated by strong acids to afford the electrophilic dicationic hydroxycarbene complexes *trans*- $\text{IrX}(\text{CHOH})(\text{dppe})_2^{2+}$. Reactivities and stabilities of these species are discussed.

Introduction

The reactions of coordinated carbon monoxide have long been a topic of interest because of the involvement of carbon monoxide in a variety of organotransition-metal-catalyzed reactions in which useful organic molecules are synthesized.^{1,2} Catalysis of carbon monoxide hydrogenation³ and the water gas shift reaction⁴⁻¹⁰ are two areas that

have received considerable attention. Modeling of species thought to be intermediates in these processes¹¹⁻¹³ has been

(1) (a) Wender, I.; Pino, P., Eds. "Organic Syntheses via Metal Carbonyls"; Wiley: New York, 1977; Vol. 2. (b) Parshall, G. W. "Homogeneous Catalysis"; Wiley-Interscience: New York, 1980.

(2) Eisenberg, R.; Hendriksen, D. E. *Adv. Catal.* 1979, 28, 79-172.

(3) (a) Muetterties, E. L.; Stein, J. *Chem. Rev.* 1979, 79, 479-490. (b) Masters, C. *Adv. Organomet. Chem.* 1979, 17, 61-103. (c) Henrici-Olive, G.; Olive, S. *Angew. Chem.* 1976, 88, 144-150. (d) Henrici-Olive, G.; Olive, S. *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. Engl.* 1976, 15, 136-141.

(4) (a) Ford, P. C., Ed. "Catalytic Activation of Carbon Monoxide"; American Chemical Society: Washington, DC, 1981; *ACS Symp. Ser. No. 152*. (b) Ford, P. C.; Ungermann, C.; Landis, V.; Moya, S. A.; Rinker, R. G.; Laine, R. M. *Adv. Chem. Ser.* 1979, No. 173, 81-93. (c) Ford, P. C. *Acc. Chem. Res.* 1981, 14, 31-37. (d) Laine, R. M.; Rinker, R. G.; Ford, P. C. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 1977, 99, 252-253.

Table I. Infrared Spectral Data for Selected Compounds^a

	compound	$\nu(\text{C=O})$	$\nu(\text{Ir-H})$ or $\nu(\text{Ir-Cl})$	other
II	<i>trans</i> -[IrCl(CO ₂ Me)(dppe) ₂][BF ₄]	1640	270	
VII	<i>trans</i> -[IrH(CO ₂ H)(dppe) ₂][BF ₄]	1610	2080	$\nu(\text{OH})$ 3435 ^b
VIIIa	<i>trans</i> -[IrCl(CHO)(dppe) ₂][BF ₄]	1625	220	$\nu(\text{CH})$ 2660 ^c
IXa	<i>trans</i> -[IrH(CHO)(dppe) ₂][BF ₄]	1590	1940	$\nu(\text{CH})$ 2560 ^d
X	<i>trans</i> -[IrH(CHOH)(dppe) ₂][BF ₄]		2040	

^a Nujol mulls; all values in cm⁻¹. ^b CH₂Cl₂ solution. ^c *trans*-[IrCl(CDO)(dppe)₂][BF₄] (VIIIb): $\nu(\text{CD})$ 2015 cm⁻¹ ($\nu(\text{CH})/\nu(\text{CD})$ 1.32). ^d *trans*-[IrH(CDO)(dppe)₂][BF₄] (IXb): $\nu(\text{CD})$ 1920 cm⁻¹ ($\nu(\text{CH})/\nu(\text{CD})$ = 1.33).

vigorously pursued in order to delineate basic modes of reactivity.¹⁴⁻³²

In a previous report we described the oxidation of Ir(CO)(dppe)₂⁺ to afford a series of Ir(III) dicationic carbonyl complexes of the type *cis*- and *trans*-IrX(CO)(dppe)₂²⁺ (X = H, Cl).^{33,34} Here we describe the reactivity of these

complexes with nucleophiles such as H₂O, OH⁻, and H⁻. In addition to the hydroxycarbonyl complex IrH(COOH)(dppe)₂⁺ and the cationic formyl complexes IrX(CHO)(dppe)₂⁺ (X = H, Cl), we describe the preparation and characterization of the electrophilic hydroxycarbene complex IrH(CHOH)(dppe)₂²⁺, the second such species to be isolated. Conclusions are drawn regarding the steric and electronic factors involved in the stabilization of hydroxycarbene, formyl, and hydroxycarbonyl species.

Experimental Section

All manipulations were carried out under prepurified dinitrogen with the use of standard Schlenk-line procedures. Solvents were purified by standard methods. LiBEt₃H, LiBEt₃D, and LiB(sec-Bu)₃H were obtained from Aldrich Chemical Co. and used as received.

Infrared spectra were recorded on a Perkin-Elmer 283 spectrometer for samples prepared as Nujol mulls, unless otherwise noted. Spectral results are presented in Table I. ¹H and ³¹P{¹H} NMR spectra were obtained on a JEOL FX90Q spectrometer. Positive ³¹P chemical shifts are downfield of 85% H₃PO₄ external standard. Elemental analyses were conducted by Micro-Tech Laboratories, Inc., Skokie, IL, and by Schwarzkopf Laboratories, Woodside, NY.

Syntheses. The complexes *cis*-[IrCl(CO)(dppe)₂][BF₄]₂³³ (I), *trans*-[IrCl(CO)(dppe)₂][BF₄]₂³³ (IIIa), [IrHCl(dppe)₂][BF₄]₂³⁶ (IV), *cis*-[IrH(CO)(dppe)₂][BF₄]₂³³ (V), and *trans*-[IrH(CO)(dppe)₂][BF₄]₂³³ (VI) were prepared as previously described.

***trans*-[IrCl(CO₂Me)(dppe)₂][BF₄]₂ (II).** The complex *cis*-[IrCl(CO)(dppe)₂][BF₄]₂ (I) (0.200 g, 0.163 mmol) was dissolved in 20 mL of CH₂Cl₂. Three milliliters of a freshly prepared NaOMe solution in MeOH (0.0631 M, 0.189 mmol) was added, and the solution was stirred for 10 min. The solvent was removed under vacuum and 15 mL of CH₂Cl₂ was added to the residue. A white solid was filtered, washed, and discarded. The solvent was again removed, and the product was recrystallized from THF/Et₂O to afford colorless crystals: ¹H NMR (CDCl₃) δ 2.54 (methyl, s), 2.99 (methylene, br), 7.17 (phenyl); ³¹P{¹H} NMR (CDCl₃) δ 1.9 (s). Anal. Calcd for C₅₈H₅₉BClF₄IrO₃P₄ (sample shown to contain ca. 1 equiv of Et₂O by ¹H NMR spectroscopy): C, 55.98; H, 4.94. Found: C, 55.89; H, 4.75.

***trans*-[IrCl(CO)(dppe)₂][SO₃CF₃]₂ (IIIb).** The complex *trans*-[IrCl(CO)(dppe)₂][SO₃CF₃]₂ (IIIb) was prepared in a manner analogous to that used to prepare the BF₄⁻ salt IIIa³³ described previously. Metathesis of *trans*-[IrCl(CO)(dppe)₂Cl₂] was carried out in CH₂Cl₂ by addition of 2 equiv of Ag[SO₃CF₃] dissolved in acetone. The solution was filtered and a residue obtained by removal of the solvents from the filtrate. The residue was recrystallized from CH₂Cl₂/THF to afford the desired product.

***trans*-[IrH(CO₂H)(dppe)₂][BF₄]₂ (VII).** A solution of *trans*-[IrH(CO)(dppe)₂][BF₄]₂ (VI) (0.184 g, 0.169 mmol) in CH₃CN was treated with 1 equiv of an aqueous 0.197 M NaOH solution (0.87 mL, 0.17 mmol). The solvent was removed after ca. 0.5 h, and 5 mL of CH₂Cl₂ was added. A solid was filtered, washed, and discarded. The volume of the filtrate was reduced to ca. 3 mL, and Et₂O was added slowly. A white precipitate that formed was

(5) (a) Pettit, R.; Cann, K.; Cole, T.; Mauldin, C. H.; Slegeir, W. *Adv. Chem. Ser.* 1979, No. 173, 121-130. (b) Kang, H. C.; Mauldin, C. H.; Cole, T.; Slegeir, W.; Cann, K.; Pettit, R. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 1977, 99, 8323-8325.

(6) (a) Frazier, C. C.; Hanes, R. M.; King, A. D., Jr.; King, R. B. *Adv. Chem. Ser.* 1979, No. 173, 94-105. (b) King, R. B.; Frazier, C. C.; Hanes, R. M.; King, A. D., Jr. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 1978, 100, 2925-2927.

(7) (a) Cheng, C. H.; Hendriksen, D. E.; Eisenberg, R. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 1977, 99, 2791-2792. (b) Cheng, C. H.; Eisenberg, R. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 1978, 100, 5968-5970.

(8) Halpern, J. *Comments Inorg. Chem.* 1981, 1, 3-15.

(9) Yoshida, T.; Ueda, Y.; Otsuka, S. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 1978, 100, 3941-3942.

(10) Darensbourg, D. J.; Darensbourg, M. Y.; Burch, R. R., Jr.; Froelich, J. A.; Incorvia, M. J. *Adv. Chem. Ser.* 1979, No. 173, 106-120.

(11) (a) Fischer, F.; Tropisch, H. *Chem. Ber.* 1923, 56, 2428-2443. (b) Fischer, F.; Tropisch, H. *Ibid.* 1926, 59, 830-836. (c) Brady, R. C.; Pettit, R. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 1981, 103, 1287-1289. (d) Biloen, P.; Helle, J. N.; Sachtler, W. M. H. *J. Catal.* 1979, 58, 95-107.

(12) (a) Storch, H. H.; Golumbic, H.; Anderson, R. B. "The Fischer-Tropsch and Related Syntheses"; Wiley: New York, 1951. (b) Kummer, J. T.; Emmett, P. H. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 1953, 75, 5177-5183. (c) Nijs, H. H.; Jacobs, P. A. *J. Catal.* 1980, 66, 401-411.

(13) Pichler, H.; Schulz, H. *Chem.-Ing.-Tech.* 1970, 42, 1162-1174.

(14) Collman, J. P.; Winter, S. R. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 1973, 95, 4089-4090.

(15) Gladysz, J. A. *Adv. Organomet. Chem.* 1982, 20, 1-38.

(16) (a) Fagan, P. J.; Moloy, K. G.; Marks, T. J. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 1981, 103, 6959-6962. (b) Katahira, D. A.; Moloy, K. G.; Marks, T. J. *Organometallics* 1982, 1, 1723-1726.

(17) Wayland, B. B.; Woods, B. A. *J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun.* 1981, 700-701.

(18) Brown, K. L.; Clark, G. R.; Headford, C. E. L.; Marsden, K.; Roper, W. R. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 1979, 101, 503-505.

(19) Thorn, D. L. *Organometallics* 1982, 1, 197-204.

(20) (a) Casey, C. P.; Neumann, S. M. *Adv. Chem. Ser.* 1979, No. 173, 131-139. (b) Casey, C. P.; Neumann, S. M. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 1977, 99, 1651-1652. (c) Casey, C. P.; Neumann, S. M. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 1976, 98, 5395-5396.

(21) (a) Gladysz, J. A.; Williams, G. M.; Tam, W.; Johnson, D. L. *J. Organomet. Chem.* 1977, 140, C1-C6. (b) Gladysz, J. A.; Tam, W. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 1978, 100, 2545-2547. (c) Selover, J. C.; Marsi, M.; Parker, D. W.; Gladysz, J. A. *J. Organomet. Chem.* 1981, 206, 317-329.

(22) Winter, S. R.; Cornett, G. W.; Thompson, E. A. *J. Organomet. Chem.* 1977, 133, 339-346.

(23) (a) Smith, G.; Cole-Hamilton, D. J. *J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun.* 1982, 490-491. (b) Smith, G.; Cole-Hamilton, D. J.; Thornton-Pett, M.; Hursthouse, M. B. *J. Chem. Soc., Dalton Trans.* 1983, 2501-2507. (c) Smith, G.; Cole-Hamilton, D. J.; Thornton-Pett, M.; Hursthouse, M. B. *Polyhedron* 1983, 2, 1241-1242.

(24) (a) Tam, W.; Lin, G. Y.; Wong, W. K.; Kiel, W. A.; Wong, V. K.; Gladysz, J. A. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 1982, 104, 141-152. (b) Wong, W. K.; Tam, W.; Gladysz, J. A. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 1979, 101, 5440-5442.

(25) Casey, C. P.; Andrews, M. A.; McAlister, D. R. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 1979, 101, 3371-3373.

(26) Casey, C. P.; Andrews, M. A.; McAlister, D. R.; Rinz, J. E. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 1980, 102, 1927-1933.

(27) Sweet, J. R.; Graham, W. A. G. *J. Organomet. Chem.* 1979, 173, C9-C12.

(28) Stewart, R. P.; Okamoto, N.; Graham, W. A. G. *J. Organomet. Chem.* 1972, 42, C32-C34.

(29) Treichel, P. M.; Schubkin, R. L. *Inorg. Chem.* 1967, 6, 1328-1334.

(30) Headford, C. E. L.; Roper, W. R. *J. Organomet. Chem.* 1980, 198, C7-C10.

(31) Collins, T. J.; Roper, W. R. *J. Organomet. Chem.* 1978, 159, 73-89.

(32) Herrmann, W. A.; Krüger, C.; Goddard, R.; Bernal, I. *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. Engl.* 1977, 16, 334.

(33) Lilga, M. A.; Ibers, J. A. *Inorg.* 1984, 23, 3538-3543.

(34) Abbreviations: dppe = Ph₂PCl₂CH₂PPh₂, Ph = C₆H₅, Me = CH₃, Et = C₂H₅, THF = tetrahydrofuran, s = singlet, d = doublet, qu = quartet, q = quintet, m = multiplet, br = broad.

(35) Hopkinson, M. J.; Nixon, J. F. *J. Organomet. Chem.* 1978, 148, 201-206.

identified as unreacted starting material. The remaining material was a mixture of VII and the deprotonation product $\text{Ir}(\text{CO})(\text{dppe})_2^+$. The two products could not be separated by recrystallization. The reaction of OH^- with VI in acetone also gave VII. However, decomposition occurred in this solvent to form $\text{IrH}_2(\text{dppe})_2^+$: ^1H NMR (CD_3CN) δ -13.5 (hydride, q, $J_{\text{hydride-P}} = 15.2$ Hz), 2.9 (methylene, br), 7.4 (phenyl); $^{31}\text{P}\{^1\text{H}\}$ NMR (CD_3CN) δ 25.2 (s). A resonance for the hydroxyl proton was not observed in the ^1H NMR spectrum.

trans-[IrCl(CHO)(dppe)₂][BF₄] (VIIIa). CH_2Cl_2 (5 mL) was added to *trans*-[IrCl(CO)(dppe)₂][BF₄] (IIIa) (0.257 g, 0.226 mmol) and the mixture cooled to -78 °C. $\text{LiB}(\text{sec-Bu})_3\text{H}$ (0.70 mL, 0.70 mmol) was added. The mixture was stirred at -78 °C for 0.5 h and allowed to warm slowly to room temperature to dissolve the suspended solid. Addition of ether gave a precipitate. Recrystallization at -78 °C invariably gave a product containing the decomposition product [IrHCl(dppe)₂][BF₄]: ^1H NMR (CD_2Cl_2 , -50 °C) δ 3.0 (methylene, br), 6.5–8.0 (phenyl), 11.4 (formyl, q, $J_{\text{formyl-P}} = 6.6$ Hz); $^{31}\text{P}\{^1\text{H}\}$ NMR (CD_2Cl_2 , -50 °C) δ 16.3 (s).

The same method was used to prepare the deuterated formyl complex *trans*-[IrCl(CDO)(dppe)₂][BF₄] (VIIIb) with the use of $\text{LiB}(\text{sec-Bu})_3\text{D}$ in place of $\text{LiB}(\text{sec-Bu})_3\text{H}$: ^1H NMR (CD_2Cl_2 , -50 °C) δ 3.0 (methylene, br), 6.5–8.0 (phenyl); $^{31}\text{P}\{^1\text{H}\}$ NMR (CD_2Cl_2 , -50 °C) δ 16.3 (s).

trans-[IrH(CHO)(dppe)₂][BF₄] (IXa). The compound *trans*-[IrH(CO)(dppe)₂][BF₄] (VI) (0.711 g, 0.596 mmol) was dissolved in 5 mL of CH_2Cl_2 and was cooled to -45 °C. $\text{LiB}(\text{sec-Bu})_3\text{H}$ (1.8 mL, 1.8 mmol) was added, and the solution was stirred for 5 h. The solvent was removed under vacuum at -30 °C, and the residue was recrystallized from acetonitrile/ether at room temperature to afford the desired product: yield 75%, ^1H NMR (acetone-*d*₆) δ -13.6 (hydride, dq, $J_{\text{hydride-formyl}} = 9.8$ Hz, $J_{\text{hydride-P}} = 15.3$ Hz), 2.5 (methylene, br), 6.5–8.2 (phenyl), 14.4 (formyl, br m); $^{31}\text{P}\{^1\text{H}\}$ NMR (acetone-*d*₆) δ 28.9 (s). Anal. Calcd for $\text{C}_{53}\text{H}_{50}\text{BF}_4\text{IrOP}_4$: C, 57.56; H, 4.56. Found: C, 57.44; H, 4.59.

The same method was used to prepare the deuterated formyl complex *trans*-[IrH(CDO)(dppe)₂][BF₄] (IXb) with the use of $\text{LiB}(\text{sec-Bu})_3\text{D}$ in place of $\text{LiB}(\text{sec-Bu})_3\text{H}$: ^1H NMR (acetone-*d*₆) δ -13.6 (hydride, q, $J_{\text{hydride-P}} = 15$ Hz), 2.5 (methylene, br), 6.5–8.2 (phenyl); $^{31}\text{P}\{^1\text{H}\}$ NMR (acetone-*d*₆) δ 28.9 (s).

trans-IrH(CHOH)(dppe)₂][BF₄] (X). (a) *In situ*. At -50 °C *trans*-[IrH(CHO)(dppe)₂][BF₄] (IXa) was dissolved in CDCl_3 . A slight excess of $\text{HBF}_4 \cdot \text{Et}_2\text{O}$ was added, and the sample was placed in an NMR probe at -50 °C. $^{31}\text{P}\{^1\text{H}\}$ NMR spectra show a new singlet at 28.7 ppm. A new hydride resonance appears as a broad multiplet in the ^1H NMR spectrum at -11.6 ppm. Low-field resonances are present at 13.1 (CHOH, br m) and 16.0 ppm (CHOH, br d, $J_{\text{CHOH-CHOH}} = 8.5$ Hz). Decoupling at 13.1 ppm results in the collapse of the -11.6 ppm resonance to a quintet ($J_{\text{hydride-P}} = 14.6$ Hz) and of the 16.0 ppm resonance to a broad singlet.

(b) **Isolation as the Ethyl Ether Adduct [IrH(CHOH)(OEt₂)(dppe)₂][BF₄].** The complex *trans*-[IrH(CHO)(dppe)₂][BF₄] (IXa) (ca. 0.40 g, 0.36 mmol) was cooled to -78 °C, and 5 mL of CH_2Cl_2 was slowly added. Excess $\text{HBF}_4 \cdot \text{Et}_2\text{O}$ was added, and the solution was stirred for 10 min. Addition of Et_2O resulted in the precipitation of a white solid that was filtered, washed with Et_2O , and pumped dry. Recrystallization from $\text{CH}_2\text{Cl}_2/\text{THF}$ at room temperature gave the desired product: ^1H NMR (CD_3CN , +30 °C) (Figure 1) δ -12.1 (hydride, qd, $J_{\text{CHOH-hydride}} = 7.3$ Hz, $J_{\text{hydride-P}} = 14.6$ Hz), 1.2 (methyl, t, $J_{\text{H-H}} = 7.1$ Hz), 2.9 (methylene, br m), 4.5 (methylene, qu, $J_{\text{H-H}} = 7.1$ Hz), 6.5–7.5 (phenyl), 12.8 (CHOH, br s) (decoupling the 12.8 ppm resonance results in collapse of the -12.1 ppm resonance to a quintet ($J_{\text{hydride-P}} = 14.6$ Hz)); $^{31}\text{P}\{^1\text{H}\}$ NMR (CD_3CN , +30 °C) δ 28.7 (s). Anal. Calcd for $\text{C}_{57}\text{H}_{61}\text{B}_2\text{F}_8\text{IrO}_2\text{P}_4$: C, 53.58; H, 4.81. Found: C, 53.38; H, 4.69.

Reaction of *cis*-[IrCl(CO)(dppe)₂][BF₄] (I) with MeOH in the Presence of Et₃N. To a solution of I in CD_2Cl_2 were added MeOH and Et_3N . Peaks in the $^{31}\text{P}\{^1\text{H}\}$ NMR spectrum corresponding to I decreased and were replaced after ca. 0.5 h by a singlet for *trans*-[IrCl(CO₂Me)(dppe)₂][BF₄] (II) at 2.2 ppm.

Reaction of *trans*-[IrCl(CO₂Me)(dppe)₂][BF₄] (II) with Acid. HCl gas was bubbled through a solution of II in CDCl_3 . $^{31}\text{P}\{^1\text{H}\}$ NMR spectra show the disappearance of the singlet at




Figure 1. ^1H NMR spectrum of *trans*-[IrH(CHOH)(O(C_2H_5)₂)(dppe)₂][BF₄]₂ in CD_3CN .

1.9 ppm for II and the appearance of a peak at 11.2 ppm for *trans*-[IrCl(CO)(dppe)₂]²⁺ (III).

Reaction of *cis*-[IrCl(CO)(dppe)₂][BF₄] (I) with H₂O. When water was added to an acetone suspension of I, immediate dissolution of the solid occurred. An infrared spectrum of the solution (BaF₂ solution cells) showed a CO₂ vibration at 2320 cm^{-1} . The solvent was then removed under vacuum. Infrared and NMR spectra of the resulting solid were identical with spectra of an independently prepared sample of [IrHCl(dppe)₂][BF₄] (IV).³⁵

In an NMR experiment, ca. 20 mg of I was dissolved in 2:1 acetone-*d*₆/water at -30 °C. Initiation of a reaction to form IV occurred on warming the sample to -15 °C. No intermediates were detected.

Reaction of *cis*-[IrCl(CO)(dppe)₂][BF₄] (I) with OH⁻. In an NMR tube at -40 °C ca. 20 mg of I was dissolved in 2:1 acetone-*d*₆/H₂O. When a solution of NaOH in water was added, an immediate color change to orange occurred. A singlet at +50.0 ppm in the $^{31}\text{P}\{^1\text{H}\}$ NMR spectrum shows the formation of Ir(dppe)₂⁺.

Attempted Reaction of [IrHCl(dppe)₂][BF₄] (IV) with OH⁻. This experiment was carried out in exactly the same manner as for the reaction of I with OH⁻. No reaction took place.

Attempted Reaction of *trans*-[IrCl(CO)(dppe)₂][SO₃CF₃]₂ (IIIb) with H₂O. A solution of *trans*-[IrCl(CO)(dppe)₂][SO₃CF₃]₂ (IIIb) dissolved in 5:1 acetone/water was refluxed under N₂ for 6 h. The solvent was pumped off under vacuum, and the resulting solid was redissolved in CDCl_3 . A $^{31}\text{P}\{^1\text{H}\}$ NMR spectrum indicated that no reaction had taken place. The CDCl_3 was removed, the residue was redissolved in 5:1 acetonitrile/water, and the solution was refluxed for an additional 7 h. The $^{31}\text{P}\{^1\text{H}\}$ NMR spectrum again showed only starting material.

Reaction of *trans*-[IrCl(CO)(dppe)₂][SO₃CF₃]₂ (IIIb) with OH⁻. Approximately 20 mg of IIIb was dissolved in 2 mL of CD_3CN , and the solution was cooled to -20 °C. Addition of an aqueous NaOH solution afforded Ir(dppe)₂⁺, as evidenced by a singlet at +50.0 ppm in the $^{31}\text{P}\{^1\text{H}\}$ NMR spectrum. Similar results were found in a acetone-*d*₆/water solution at -40 °C.

Attempted Reaction of *trans*-[IrH(CO)(dppe)₂][BF₄] (VI) with H₂O. A solution of VI dissolved in 5:1 acetone/water was refluxed for 6 h. An orange solution resulted. The solvent was pumped off under vacuum, and the residue was dissolved in CDCl_3 . The $^{31}\text{P}\{^1\text{H}\}$ NMR spectrum indicated the presence of IrH(dppe)₂²⁺ (31.1 ppm (br s)) and Ir(dppe)₂⁺ (+50.0 ppm (s)). In a similar experiment VI was again dissolved in 5:1 acetone/water. With a slow CO purge the solution was refluxed for 7 h and remained colorless. NMR spectra show only unreacted VI.

Reaction of *cis*-[IrH(CO)(dppe)₂][BF₄]₂ (V) with H₂O. A sample of V was dissolved in acetone-*d*₆ in an NMR tube. Peaks corresponding to V in the ³¹P{¹H} NMR spectrum disappeared on addition of H₂O to the sample. A new singlet for Ir(CO)-(dppe)₂⁺ that appeared at 25.1 ppm was slowly replaced by a singlet at 23.5 ppm corresponding to *trans*-IrH(CO)(dppe)₂²⁺ (VI).

Reaction of *cis*-[IrH(CO)(dppe)₂][BF₄]₂ (V) with OH⁻. To a sample of V dissolved in acetone was added an aqueous solution of NaOH. The solvent was removed. Subsequent ³¹P{¹H} and ¹H NMR spectroscopy on the product identified it as [Ir(CO)-(dppe)₂][BF₄].

Reaction of *trans*-[IrH(CO₂H)(dppe)₂][BF₄]₂ (VII) with Acid. To a sample of VII, prepared in situ by addition of an aqueous NaOH solution to *trans*-[IrH(CO)(dppe)₂][BF₄]₂ (VI) dissolved in CD₃CN, was added HBF₄·Et₂O. ³¹P{¹H} and ¹H NMR spectra showed the formation of *trans*-[IrH(CO)(dppe)₂][BF₄]₂ (VI). Addition of ether to the reaction mixture precipitated a solid that had bands in the infrared spectrum at 2050 and 2160 cm⁻¹, identical with bands assigned to ν(C≡O) and ν(Ir—H) in the infrared spectrum of VI.

Reaction of *cis*-[IrCl(CO)(dppe)₂][BF₄]₂ (I) with LiBEt₃H. Approximately 20 mg of I was dissolved in CD₂Cl₂ in an NMR tube, and the solution was cooled to -78 °C. LiBEt₃H (ca. twofold excess) was added, and the NMR tube was placed in the precooled probe at -70 °C. ³¹P{¹H} and ¹H NMR spectra were recorded at this temperature and at 10 °C intervals on warming. ¹H NMR spectra from -70 to -30 °C show a formyl resonance appearing as a doublet of multiplets centered at 12.9 ppm. ³¹P{¹H} NMR spectra in this temperature range are temperature dependent. Broad featureless resonances at -70 °C coalesce at ca. -60 °C and begin to sharpen at higher temperatures. The resonances are not fully resolved at -20 °C where decomposition occurs at an appreciable rate. The major decomposition product at -20 °C (with excess LiBEt₃H) is Ir(CO)(dppe)₂⁺, as indicated by a singlet at 24.5 ppm in the ³¹P{¹H} NMR spectrum. As the temperature is raised further this peak is replaced by triplets at 31.5 and 20.0 ppm (*J*_{P-P} = 7.9 Hz) that arise from *cis*-IrH₂(dppe)₂⁺. Hence H₂ is liberated in the decomposition process. A peak in the ³¹P{¹H} NMR spectrum at 15.9 ppm at -30 °C shifts to 16.3 ppm at +30 °C and is assigned to *trans*-IrCl(CHO)(dppe)₂⁺ (VIIIa).

Reaction of *cis*-[IrH(CO)(dppe)₂][BF₄]₂ (V) with LiB(sec-Bu)₃H. A solution of V in CD₂Cl₂ was cooled to -50 °C and LiB(sec-Bu)₃H was added. A reaction took place slowly to form Ir(CO)(dppe)₂⁺, as evidenced by a broad singlet at 24.5 ppm. As the solution was warmed, this peak sharpened and moved to 25.0 ppm. No evidence for a formyl resonance was found in the ¹H NMR spectra.


Reaction of *trans*-[IrH(CHOH)(dppe)₂][BF₄]₂ (X) with Et₃N. To a solution of *trans*-[IrH(CHOH)(dppe)₂][BF₄]₂ (X) in CD₃CN were added several drops of Et₃N. New resonances at 28.0 ppm in the ³¹P{¹H} NMR spectrum and at -13.7 and 14.2 ppm in the ¹H NMR spectrum demonstrate the formation of *trans*-[IrH(CHO)(dppe)₂][BF₄]₂ (IXa).

Reaction of *trans*-[IrCl(CHO)(dppe)₂][BF₄]₂ (VIIIa) with HBF₄·Et₂O. Approximately 20 mg of VIIIa was dissolved in CDCl₃ at -50 °C. Slightly less than 1 equiv of HBF₄·Et₂O was added, and the sample was placed in an NMR probe at -50 °C. A new singlet appeared at 11.7 ppm in the ³¹P{¹H} NMR spectrum. A broad resonance in the ¹H NMR spectrum occurred at 12.5 ppm. No other new low field or hydride resonances were present. When the sample was warmed to +30 °C, decomposition of the product resulted with *cis*-[IrH(CO)(dppe)₂][BF₄]₂ (V) precipitating from the solution, as evidenced by the ³¹P{¹H} NMR spectrum and by vibrations in the infrared spectrum at 2135 and 2070 cm⁻¹.

Isolation of the hydroxycarbene complex was attempted at low temperature. Ten milliliters of CH₂Cl₂ was added slowly to dissolve solid VIIIa cooled to -78 °C. Several drops of HBF₄·Et₂O were added to the stirred solution. Slow addition of 25 mL of Et₂O precipitated a white solid. The solid was filtered cold and pumped dry under vacuum. NMR spectra of a sample of this material dissolved in CDCl₃ at -50 °C show resonances only for complex VIIIa.

Results

Reaction of *cis*-IrCl(CO)(dppe)₂²⁺ with Methanol and Methoxide. The complex *cis*-IrCl(CO)(dppe)₂²⁺ (I)




is susceptible to attack at the carbonyl carbon atom by weak nucleophiles. This reactivity is demonstrated by the reaction of I with methanol in the presence of triethylamine to afford the *trans* methoxycarbonyl complex II (Scheme I). This reaction, monitored by ³¹P{¹H} NMR spectroscopy, is complete in approximately 30 min and only the *trans* isomer is formed. Complex II may also be prepared by the reaction of I with MeO⁻. Typical of alkoxycarbonyl complexes is the reaction of II with acid to form the carbonyl complex *trans*-IrCl(CO)(dppe)₂²⁺, III^{19,36} (Scheme I).

Reactivity of the Complexes *cis*- and *trans*-IrX(CO)(dppe)₂²⁺ (X = Cl, H) with Water and Hydroxide. (i) *cis*-IrCl(CO)(dppe)₂²⁺. Among this series of complexes *cis*-[IrCl(CO)(dppe)₂][BF₄]₂ (I) is the most reactive with water. At room temperature it reacts to form [IrHCl(dppe)₂][BF₄]₂ (IV) (Scheme II). The liberation of CO₂ is verified by the growth of a band at 2320 cm⁻¹ in solution infrared spectra taken during the course of the reaction. Variable-temperature NMR studies indicate that the reaction of I with H₂O takes place at temperatures as low as -15 °C.

The reaction of I with NaOH at low temperature was monitored by NMR spectroscopy. An immediate reaction occurs upon mixing I and OH⁻ at -50 °C; the resultant bright orange solution contains Ir(dppe)₂⁺, as indicated by a singlet at +50.0 ppm in the ³¹P{¹H} NMR spectrum (Scheme II). No intermediates were detected in this reaction.

(ii) *trans*-IrCl(CO)(dppe)₂²⁺. The complex *trans*-[IrCl(CO)(dppe)₂][SO₃CF₃]₂ (IIb), unlike the *cis* isomer I, is resistant to nucleophilic attack by water. Complex IIb was unchanged after 6 h of refluxing in an acetone/

(36) (a) Deeming, A. J.; Shaw, B. L. *J. Chem. Soc. A* 1969, 443-446. (b) Malatesta, L.; Caglio, G.; Angoletta, M. *J. Chem. Soc.* 1965, 6974-6983. (c) Ibekwe, S. D.; Taylor, K. A. *J. Chem. Soc. A* 1970, 1-3. (d) Angelici, R. J. *Acc. Chem. Res.* 1972, 5, 335-341.




water solution and after an additional 7 h of refluxing in an acetonitrile/water solution. Complex IIIb did react with hydroxide ion in a manner analogous to I, however. Addition of hydroxide ion to IIIb resulted in the formation of Ir(dppe)₂⁺ with no detectable intermediates. Scheme III summarizes these results.

(iii) *cis*-IrH(CO)(dppe)₂²⁺. In an earlier report we described the reactions of water and hydroxide ion with *cis*-IrH(CO)(dppe)₂²⁺ (V).³³ This complex was expected to show reactivity with water similar to *cis*-IrCl(CO)(dppe)₂²⁺ (I): both complexes have the same *cis* geometry and have similar $\nu(\text{C}\equiv\text{O})$ stretching frequencies (I, $\nu(\text{C}\equiv\text{O})$ 2080 cm⁻¹; V, $\nu(\text{C}\equiv\text{O})$ 2075 cm⁻¹). Unexpectedly, nucleophilic attack at CO does not occur in the reaction of V with H₂O, but rather isomerization to *trans*-IrH(CO)(dppe)₂²⁺ (VI) occurs (Scheme IV). The compound formed initially is the deprotonation product Ir(CO)(dppe)₂⁺, and the reaction continues slowly to give VI. Hydroxide ion irreversibly deprotonates complex V. Again, attack at carbon monoxide does not occur. Thus V is unusually acidic for a third-row transition-metal complex.


(iv) *trans*-IrH(CO)(dppe)₂²⁺. The complex *trans*-IrH(CO)(dppe)₂²⁺ (VI), like *trans*-IrCl(CO)(dppe)₂²⁺ (III), shows no reactivity with water. Unlike III, however, VI loses CO on refluxing in an acetone/water solution to give an orange solution that contains IrH(dppe)₂²⁺ and Ir(dppe)₂⁺ (Scheme V). However, if VI is refluxed for 7 h in acetone/water with a CO purge through the solution, no reaction occurs.

Complex VI reacts readily with OH⁻ at room temperature to form *trans*-IrH(CO₂H)(dppe)₂⁺ (VII) and the deprotonation product Ir(CO)(dppe)₂⁺. Addition of acid to a solution of VII reforms VI (Scheme V), a reaction analogous to that of *trans*-IrCl(CO₂Me)(dppe)₂⁺ (II) with acid to yield *trans*-IrCl(CO)(dppe)₂²⁺ (IIIa) (Scheme I).

Reaction of the Complexes *cis*- and *trans*-IrX(CO)(dppe)₂²⁺ (X = H, Cl) with Hydride Donating Agents. An initial attempt to prepare a formyl complex involved the reaction of LiBEt₃H with *cis*-[IrCl(CO)-




(dppe)₂][BF₄]₂ (I) at low temperature. A reaction, which was monitored by NMR spectroscopy, occurs at -70 °C in CD₂Cl₂ to give a new compound that we formulate as *cis*-IrCl(CHO)(dppe)₂⁺ (eq 1). The ¹H NMR spectrum



of this compound shows a doublet of multiplets centered at 12.9 ppm ($J_{\text{formyl-P}(\text{trans})} = 58$ Hz) for the formyl proton. A similar multiplet was observed by Thorn for *cis*-IrH(CHO)(PMe₃)₄⁺ ($\delta_{\text{CHO}} 14.0$ ($J_{\text{formyl-P}(\text{trans})} = 49$ Hz)).¹⁹ Also appearing in the ¹H NMR spectrum of *cis*-IrCl(CHO)(dppe)₂⁺ is a multiplet centered at 6.5 ppm, upfield of the main phenyl resonances, indicative of a *cis* geometry. The ³¹P{¹H} NMR spectrum is very complex with broad lines and is temperature dependent. A detailed investigation of the presumed fluxional process was not undertaken. However it may involve phosphine dissociation since at -40 °C a resonance arising from *trans*-IrCl(CHO)(dppe)₂⁺ (VIIIa) (vide infra) appears.

Isolation of *cis*-IrCl(CHO)(dppe)₂⁺ has not been achieved. Reaction of LiBEt₃H and I in CH₂Cl₂ at -78 °C followed by addition of ether results in the precipitation of an off-white solid. Low-temperature filtration gives a solid that rapidly decomposes on warming to room temperature. The solid also decomposes on standing at -50 °C.


The complexes *trans*-[IrX(CHO)(dppe)₂][BF₄] (X = Cl (VIIIa), H (IXa)) are prepared by the addition of LiB(sec-Bu)₃H to *trans*-[IrX(CO)(dppe)₂][BF₄]₂ in CH₂Cl₂ at -45 °C (eq 2). Complexes VIII and IX are stable in the




solid state, although IX turns orange under laboratory lighting. Both formyl complexes decompose readily at

room temperature in halogenated solvents to form IrHCl(dppe)₂²⁺. In acetone, however, IXa shows only minimal decomposition to Ir(CO)(dppe)₂²⁺ after 6 h at room temperature.

Reaction of the Complexes *trans*-[IrX(CHO)(dppe)₂][BF₄] (X = Cl, H) with Acid. HBF₄·Et₂O reacts instantaneously with the complexes *trans*-[IrX(CHO)(dppe)₂][BF₄] (X = H (IXa), Cl (VIIIa)) at -50 °C to yield the hydroxycarbene complexes *trans*-[IrX(CHOH)(dppe)₂][BF₄]₂ (X = H (X), Cl (XI)) (eq 3). ¹H NMR



spectra of a sample of X, prepared in situ at -50 °C in CDCl₃, clearly show the proton resonances of the hydride, hydroxyl, and carbene groups. Spectra of the isolated material at the same temperature in CDCl₃ show a single broad low-field resonance and a slightly shifted hydride resonance. (The ³¹P{¹H} NMR spectra are identical.) This hydride resonance sharpens to a quintet of doublets when the sample is warmed to room temperature. An exchange process involving reversible O-protonation may be taking place. This is consistent with the fact that X is deprotonated readily by Et₃N to afford the formyl complex IXa (eq 4). Proton transfer is much more facile for complex



XI, the chloro derivative, than for X. Although the complex *trans*-[IrCl(CHO)(dppe)₂][BF₄], VIIIa, reacts readily with HBF₄·Et₂O to afford a complex whose spectra are consistent with *trans*-IrCl(CHOH)(dppe)₂²⁺ (XI) by analogy with X, this product cannot be isolated and decomposes in solution upon warming to form *cis*-IrH(CO)(dppe)₂²⁺.

Elemental analysis of an isolated sample of X indicates the presence of a diethyl ether molecule. ¹H NMR spectra (Figure 1) of this material suggest that ether is bound to X, rather than simply cocrystallized, since the methylene (4.5 ppm) and methyl (1.2 ppm) resonances are shifted from those of free diethyl ether (3.3 and 1.1 ppm, respectively). With time these resonances slowly decrease in intensity and are replaced by those of free diethyl ether. Addition of ether to the sample results in the reappearance of the 4.5 and 1.2 ppm resonances. These results suggest that this dicationic carbene complex is highly electrophilic and reversibly binds diethyl ether at the carbene carbon atom.

Discussion

Reactivity of IrX(CO)(dppe)₂²⁺ with H₂O and OH⁻.



In this study we have examined the reactivity of a series of dicationic carbonyl complexes, IrX(CO)(dppe)₂²⁺ (X = H, Cl), with water and hydroxide ion. These complexes

show varied reactivity with water. The CO ligand of *cis*-IrCl(CO)(dppe)₂²⁺ (I) is very electrophilic, reacting with water at -15 °C to afford IrHCl(dppe)₂²⁺ and CO₂. The *trans* isomers *trans*-IrCl(CO)(dppe)₂²⁺ (III) and *trans*-IrH(CO)(dppe)₂²⁺ (VI), however, are surprisingly stable and do not react at elevated temperatures. The complex *cis*-IrH(CO)(dppe)₂²⁺ (V) is structurally similar to I and has a similar C≡O stretching frequency; yet the initial reaction of V with water involves deprotonation to form Ir(CO)(dppe)₂²⁺.

We have previously discussed the interaction of *cis*-IrH(CO)(dppe)₂²⁺ (V) with H₂O, OH⁻, and other bases.³³ The chemistry of V is dominated by its Brønsted acidity, being readily deprotonated by strong (NaOH, LiB(sec-Bu)₃H) and by weak (H₂O, Et₃N, pyridine) bases. Through initial interaction with the hydride ligand, chloride ion and water isomerize V to the *trans* isomer. Thus, the most electrophilic site in *cis*-IrH(CO)(dppe)₂²⁺ is not the CO ligand but rather the "hydride" ligand. While first-row transition-metal hydrides can be very acidic, the more basic third-row transition-metal complexes typically are not. Deprotonation can be achieved in certain cases with strong bases, such as alkoxide.³⁷

The reaction of coordinated carbon monoxide with water or hydroxide has often been presumed to proceed through an intermediate hydroxycarbonyl complex.^{2,8} Few of these complexes have actually been isolated, however. Characterized examples include IrCl₂(CO₂H)(CO)(PMe₂Ph)₂,^{36a} (η-C₅H₅)Fe(CO₂H)(CO)(PPh₃)₃,³⁸ (η-C₅H₅)Re(CO₂H)(NO)(L) (L = CO,²⁸ PPh₃,^{24a}), and Pt(CO₂H)Cl(PET₃)₂.³⁹ The direct observation of the complex *trans*-IrH(CO₂H)(dppe)₂²⁺ (VII) and the isolation of *trans*-IrCl(CO₂Me)(dppe)₂²⁺ (II) in this study suggest that hydroxycarbonyl intermediates are also involved in the reactions of water or hydroxide ion with *cis*- and *trans*-IrCl(CO)(dppe)₂²⁺. An understanding of the modes of decomposition of these intermediates leads to a possible explanation for the relative stability of VII.

Two decomposition routes have been suggested for hydroxycarbonyl complexes.^{2,8a} The decomposition product could be either a reduced metal complex or a metal hydride. One route involves β-hydrogen elimination to form directly a metal-hydrogen bond. This process requires a vacant coordination site on the metal (eq 5). Alternatively, reductive decarboxylation can occur by initial deprotonation to form an intermediate carbon dioxide complex and subsequent dissociation of CO₂ with reduction of the transition-metal center (eq 6). (In eq 5 and 6, x refers to the oxidation state of the metal.)




The complexes *cis*- and *trans*-IrCl(CO)(dppe)₂²⁺ immediately react with OH⁻ at low temperature to afford

(37) (a) Schunn, R. A. In "Transition Metal Hydrides"; Muettterties, E., Ed.; Marcel Dekker: New York, 1971; Vol. 14, pp 173-253. (b) Jordan, R. F.; Norton, J. R. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1982**, *104*, 1255-1263. (c) Laing, K. R.; Roper, W. R. *J. Chem. Soc. A* **1969**, 1889-1891. (d) Cavit, B. E.; Grundy, K. R.; Roper, W. R. *J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun.* **1972**, 60-61.


(38) Grice, N.; Kao, S. C.; Pettit, R. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1979**, *101*, 1627-1628.

(39) Catellani, M.; Halpern, J. *Inorg. Chem.* **1980**, *19*, 566-568.

Scheme VI



Scheme VII




Ir(dppe)_2^+ . Scheme VI outlines two possible pathways in the formation of this product. Although β -hydrogen elimination of an intermediate hydroxycarbonyl complex would directly yield IrHCl(dppe)_2^+ which, upon reaction with hydroxide ion, could form Ir(dppe)_2^+ , this process can be ruled out since reaction of IrHCl(dppe)_2^+ with OH^- does not take place under the conditions of the experiment. In the second pathway deprotonation of the hydroxycarbonyl ligand with a second equivalent of hydroxide ion, followed by CO_2 loss, forms Ir(dppe)_2^+ . This route of reductive decarboxylation to an intermediate CO_2 complex is the one that occurs for these complexes in basic media. The presumed intermediate $\text{trans-IrCl(CO}_2\text{)(dppe)}_2$ is analogous to the carbon dioxide complexes $\text{MCl(CO}_2\text{)(LL)}_2$ ($\text{M} = \text{Rh, Ir}$; $\text{LL} = \text{Et}_2\text{PCH}_2\text{CH}_2\text{PEt}_2$, $\text{Me}_2\text{PCH}_2\text{CH}_2\text{PMe}_2$) reported by Herskovitz and co-workers.⁴⁰ Stability of these CO_2 adducts was found to increase with increasing transition-metal basicity and with decreasing bulk of the chelating ligand. CO_2 dissociation should be facile from $\text{trans-IrCl(CO}_2\text{)(dppe)}_2$ owing to the lower basicity and greater bulk of dppe compared with $\text{Me}_2\text{PCH}_2\text{CH}_2\text{PMe}_2$.

Under acidic conditions the presumed intermediate $\text{cis-IrCl(CO}_2\text{H)(dppe)}_2^+$, formed from the reaction of water with $\text{cis-IrCl(CO)(dppe)}_2^{2+}$, decomposes to IrHCl(dppe)_2^+ . IrHCl(dppe)_2^+ may be formed by either of the two modes presented in Scheme VII. The β -hydrogen elimination route requires a vacant coordination site. A strong intramolecular interaction between phenyl groups and the cis, nonphosphine ligands is observable by ^1H NMR spectroscopy for $\text{cis-IrCl(CO)(dppe)}_2^{2+}$ and is typically seen for similar complexes in the cis geometry.⁴¹ The increased bulk of the hydroxycarbonyl ligand in $\text{cis-IrCl(CO}_2\text{H)(dppe)}_2^+$ intensifies this interaction and facilitates phosphine dissociation. By analogy, note that only $\text{trans-IrCl(CO}_2\text{Me)(dppe)}_2^+$ is formed in the reaction of

$\text{cis-IrCl(CO)(dppe)}_2^{2+}$ with $\text{MeOH/Et}_3\text{N}$, although the cis methoxycarbonyl complex must initially be formed since $\text{cis-IrCl(CO)(dppe)}_2^{2+}$ is stereochemically rigid. We believe that the bulk of the methoxycarbonyl ligand promotes phosphine dissociation and that this dissociation is the preliminary step in the isomerization to form the less sterically hindered isomer.

The second possible mode of decomposition of $\text{cis-IrCl(CO}_2\text{H)(dppe)}_2^+$ is reductive decarboxylation. Initial deprotonation would form an iridium- CO_2 complex that again should readily lose CO_2 for both steric and electronic reasons. The Ir(dppe)_2^+ thus formed would react with liberated H^+ in the presence of Cl^- to form the observed product IrHCl(dppe)_2^+ .

The complex $\text{trans-[IrH(CO}_2\text{H)(dppe)}_2][\text{BF}_4]$ (VII), formed by the reaction of hydroxide ion with $\text{trans-[IrH(CO)(dppe)}_2][\text{BF}_4]_2$, is relatively stable in solution. However, the analogous complex $\text{trans-[IrCl(CO}_2\text{H)(dppe)}_2][\text{BF}_4]$ is not stable, as it decomposes in basic solution via reductive decarboxylation. Since the differences in coordination geometry between these two hydroxycarbonyl complexes should be minimal, the reason for the stability of VII is probably an electronic one. The electron density trans to the hydride ligand is greater than that trans to the chloride ligand in these complexes. This is expected since hydride is a stronger trans labilizing ligand than chloride.⁴² That hydride is a stronger electron donor than chloride is clearly reflected in the formyl $\text{C}=\text{O}$ stretching frequencies (Table I) for $\text{trans-IrCl(CHO)(dppe)}_2^+$ (VIIIa, 1625 cm^{-1}) and $\text{trans-IrH(CHO)(dppe)}_2^+$ (IXa, 1590 cm^{-1}). This implies a greater contribution from B for IXa; i.e., more electron density resides on a ligand



trans to hydride than on one trans to chloride. Hence the $-\text{CO}_2\text{H}$ group in VII is more electron rich and therefore less acidic than in $\text{trans-IrCl(CO}_2\text{H)(dppe)}_2^+$, making reductive decarboxylation less likely. In addition decomposition of $\text{trans-IrH(CO}_2\text{H)(dppe)}_2^+$ by β -hydrogen elimination is hindered by the coordinative saturation of this complex.

Reactivity of $\text{IrX(CO)(dppe)}_2^{2+}$ with Hydride Donating Agents. Transition-metal formyl complexes have been of recent interest as models for intermediates thought to be present in carbon monoxide hydrogenation catalysis. Since the first preparation of a stable formyl complex, Fe(CHO)(CO)_4^- , by Collman and Winter in 1973,¹⁴ a great deal of effort has been spent preparing and studying the reactivity of these complexes.¹⁵ Synthetic routes to formyl complexes include the reaction of CO with certain transition-metal hydride complexes,^{16,17} reactions with formylating agents, such as acetic formic anhydride,¹⁴ direct oxidative addition of formaldehyde,^{18,19} and nucleophilic attack of hydride on coordinated CO.²⁰⁻²² Of these methods, the first three are successful only with the most reactive systems and are therefore limited in scope. Hydride addition, however, is relatively straightforward and generally applicable. Thus trialkyl- and trialkoxyborohydride reagents have been used extensively to prepare a large number of anionic and neutral formyl complexes, many of which are thermally unstable.^{15,43,44} Cationic

(40) (a) Herskovitz, T. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 1977, 99, 2391-2392. (b) Herskovitz, T. *Inorg. Synth.* 1982, 21, 99-103. (c) Calabrese, J. C.; Herskovitz, T.; Kinney, J. B. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 1983, 105, 5914-5915.

(41) (a) Ginsberg, A. P.; Lindsell, W. E. *Inorg. Chem.* 1973, 12, 1983-1985. (b) Hoots, J. E.; Rauchfuss, T. B. *Inorg. Chem.* 1983, 22, 2806-2812. (c) Chatt, J.; Pombeiro, A. J. L.; Richards, R. L. *J. Organomet. Chem.* 1980, 184, 357-364.

(42) Appleton, T. G.; Clark, H. C.; Manzer, L. E. *Coord. Chem. Rev.* 1973, 10, 335-422.

(43) Casey, C. P.; Neumann, S. M. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 1976, 98, 5395-5396.

formyl complexes are scarce. Only two types, IrH-(CHO)(PMe₃)₄⁺¹⁹ and M(CHO)(CO)(dppe)₂⁺ (M = Ru, Os),²³ have appeared in the literature.

Each of the compounds *cis*- and *trans*-IrX(CO)(dppe)₂²⁺ (X = H, Cl) reacts with trialkylborohydrides. We have prepared two new cationic formyl complexes, *trans*-IrH-(CHO)(dppe)₂⁺ (IXa) and *trans*-IrCl(CHO)(dppe)₂⁺ (VIIIa) by the reaction of LiB(sec-Bu)₃H with the corresponding *trans* hydrido and chloro carbonyl dicationic. A transient formyl complex formulated as *cis*-IrCl(CHO)(dppe)₂⁺ forms when LiBEt₃H is added to a solution of *cis*-IrCl(CO)(dppe)₂²⁺. The instability of this complex may be related to the increased steric bulk of the formyl relative to the CO ligand. As mentioned above, bulky ligands in the *cis* position could lead to phosphine dissociation. Coordinatively unsaturated formyls are unstable with respect to hydride migration to the metal center.^{20a} The low-temperature reaction of *cis*-IrH(CO)(dppe)₂²⁺ (V) with LiB(sec-Bu)₃H, as expected on the basis of the Brønsted acidity of V, affords the deprotonation product Ir(CO)(dppe)₂⁺. H₂ is presumably liberated.

Hydroxycarbene species have been suggested to be important intermediates in carbon monoxide hydrogenation processes.¹² A rare example of O-protonation of a formyl ligand to afford a hydroxycarbene complex²⁴ is the reaction of *trans*-IrH(CHO)(dppe)₂⁺ (IXa) or *trans*-IrCl(CHO)(dppe)₂⁺ (VIIIa) with HBF₄. Although protonation occurs at the formyl oxygen atom in both cases, as shown by NMR spectroscopy, only *trans*-[IrH(CHOH)(dppe)₂][BF₄]₂ (X) is isolable owing to the greater basicity of the formyl oxygen atom in IXa, illustrative of the greater contribution of resonance form B for IXa compared with VIIIa. Proton exchange is apparently facile in both complexes since a resonance for the hydroxyl proton could not be observed in ¹H NMR spectra of isolated X and *trans*-IrCl(CHOH)(dppe)₂⁺ prepared in situ. Similarly, the hydroxyl protons could not be observed in ¹H NMR spectra of the related methyl hydroxycarbene complexes (η-C₅H₅)Fe(C(OH)Me)(CO)(PPh₃)₄,⁴⁵ MnX(C(OH)Me)(CO)₄ (X = Br, I),⁴⁶ and ReX(C(OH)Me)(CO)₄ (X = Cl, Br, I).⁴⁷ An exchange process is also suggested by a broadening of the hydride resonance of X as the temperature is lowered. The acidity of the hydroxyl proton in this complex is confirmed by the reaction with NEt₃, in which *trans*-IrH(CHO)(dppe)₂⁺ (IXa) is regenerated.

The complexes *trans*-[IrH(CHOH)(dppe)₂][BF₄]₂ and [(η-C₅H₅)Re(CHOH)(NO)(PPh₃)X] (X = SO₃CF₃, *p*-CH₃C₆H₄SO₃)²⁴ are the only known examples of isolated and characterized hydroxycarbene complexes. Stable salts of (η-C₅H₅)Re(CHOH)(PPh₃)(NO)⁺ have been prepared by Gladysz and co-workers by the reaction of (η-C₅H₅)Re(CHO)(PPh₃)(NO) with the strong acids HSO₃CF₃ and *p*-CH₃C₆H₄SO₃H.²⁴ The weaker acid CF₃CO₂H at -70 °C also gives the hydroxycarbene complex. However this salt is unstable above -40 °C where it decomposes to a mixture of (η-C₅H₅)Re(CO)(PPh₃)(NO)⁺ and (η-C₅H₅)Re(Me)(PPh₃)(NO). These workers suggest that the protonation reaction is reversible and that a disproportionation takes place that involves hydride transfer from trace amounts of the formyl to the electrophilic carbon atom of the hydroxycarbene ligand. Similar schemes involving hydrox-

ycarbene intermediates have been proposed for the acid-induced decomposition reactions of anionic and neutral formyl complexes.^{25,26,48}

The carbene carbon atom of *trans*-IrH(CHOH)(dppe)₂⁺ is highly electrophilic and reversibly binds diethyl ether as evidenced by ¹H NMR spectroscopy and elemental analysis. In spite of this electrophilicity and the presence of equilibrium amounts of *trans*-IrH(CHO)(dppe)₂⁺ hydride transfer does not occur. This may reflect a lower degree of hydridic character for the formyl hydrogen atom as expected for these cationic formyl complexes. On the other hand, a bimolecular interaction, as presumably occurs in the above cited cases, may be unfavorable owing to the steric bulk of the dppe ligands. These electronic and steric factors no doubt contribute to the stability of *trans*-[IrH(CHOH)(dppe)₂][BF₄]₂ (X). This complex is much more stable than the related formyl complex *trans*-[IrH(CHO)(dppe)₂][BF₄] (IXa). We do not know the details of the decomposition of IXa. However formyl complexes often decompose by hydride migration to the metal center^{20a} or by hydride transfer processes.²¹ Protonation of the O atom to form the hydroxycarbene complex suppresses these processes and may be viewed as Lewis acid stabilization of the formyl complex.⁴⁹

In halogenated solvents *trans*-IrH(CHO)(dppe)₂⁺ (IXa) decomposes to IrHCl(dppe)₂⁺. The complex *trans*-[IrCl(CHO)(dppe)₂][BF₄] (VIIIa) also decomposes to give the same product. This similarity in decomposition together with the observation that IXa is relatively stable in non-halogenated solvents suggests to us that IXa decomposes by initial chlorine atom extraction to form *trans*-IrCl(CHO)(dppe)₂⁺. Further decomposition presumably involves either phosphine or chloride dissociation followed by hydride migration to the iridium center. Displacement of CO by the dissociated ligand forms the observed product.

Chloride dissociation may be favored over phosphine dissociation in VIIIa owing to the strong trans influence of the formyl ligand. Metal-chlorine and metal-hydrogen stretching frequencies in octahedral Ir(III) complexes have been used as a measure of the trans influence of the trans ligand.⁴² Lower stretching frequencies indicate a larger trans influence. The Ir-Cl stretching frequency for VIIIa (Table I) is very low, 220 cm⁻¹, and is in the range typical for chlorine trans to acyl. For complexes of the type IrCl(L)(dppe)₂⁺ in this study, decreasing trans influence based on Ir-Cl stretching frequencies follows the order CHO (220 cm⁻¹) > H (255 cm⁻¹) > CO₂Me (270 cm⁻¹) > P (300 cm⁻¹; complex I, L = CO) > CO (310 cm⁻¹). The strong trans influence of the formyl ligand is also evident from the low Ir-H stretching frequency, 1940 cm⁻¹, observed for IXa. Decreasing trans influence, based on Ir-H stretching frequencies for complexes of the type IrH-(L)(dppe)₂⁺, follows the order CHO (1940 cm⁻¹) > CHOH (2040 cm⁻¹) > CO₂H (2080 cm⁻¹) > P (2140 cm⁻¹; complex V, L = CO) > CO (2160 cm⁻¹) > Cl (2220 cm⁻¹). Both sets of data give a consistent ordering of the relative trans influencing strengths of these ligands. Of the ligands containing carbon and oxygen, formyl is by far the strongest trans influencing ligand and is closely related to acyl.

(44) Winter, S. R.; Cornett, G. W.; Thompson, E. A. *J. Organomet. Chem.* 1977, 133, 339-346.

(45) Green, M. L. H.; Hurley, C. R. *J. Organomet. Chem.* 1967, 10, 188-190.

(46) Moss, J. R.; Green, M.; Stone, F. G. A. *J. Chem. Soc., Dalton Trans.* 1973, 975-977.

(47) Darst, K. P.; Lukehart, C. M. *J. Organomet. Chem.* 1979, 171, 65-71.

(48) Steinmetz, G. R.; Geoffroy, G. L. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 1981, 103, 1278-1279.

(49) (a) Butts, S. B.; Strauss, S. H.; Holt, E. M.; Stimson, R. E.; Alcock, N. W.; Shriver, D. F. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 1980, 102, 5093-5100. (b) Shriver, D. F. In "Catalytic Activation of Carbon Monoxide"; American Chemical Society: Washington, DC, 1981; ACS Symp. Ser. No. 152, pp 1-18. (c) Richmond, T. G.; Basolo, F.; Shriver, D. F. *Organometallics* 1982, 1, 1624-1628.

Conclusions

The stabilities of the formyl and hydroxycarbonyl complexes prepared in this study are related to their coordinative saturation and to the steric and electronic conditions at the iridium center. Thus complexes of *cis* geometry, in which there is a strong steric interaction, tend to be unstable. For example, *cis*-IrCl(CHO)(dppe)₂⁺ is not stable above -50 °C and *cis*-IrCl(CO₂H)(dppe)₂⁺, the presumed intermediate in the reaction of *cis*-IrCl(CO₂H)(dppe)₂²⁺ with H₂O and OH⁻, is not observable at all. The *trans* isomers of these formyl and hydroxycarbonyl complexes are more stable and, except for *trans*-IrCl(CO₂H)(dppe)₂⁺, can be isolated and characterized. An electronic effect, the increased electron density *trans* to the hydride ligand, seems to be the major reason for the stability of *trans*-IrH(CO₂H)(dppe)₂⁺. This effect also explains the stability of *trans*-IrH(CHOH)(dppe)₂²⁺ relative to *trans*-IrCl(CHOH)(dppe)₂²⁺. The complex *trans*-IrH(CHOH)(dppe)₂²⁺ is in turn more stable in solution than the formyl complex IrH(CHO)(dppe)₂²⁺. This increased stabilization

in the presence of a Lewis acid is probably a result of suppression of the usual modes of decomposition that formyl complexes exhibit, i.e., hydride migration to the metal and hydride transfer reactions, and suggests that hydroxycarbonyl complexes could be very important intermediates in the reduction of carbon monoxide.

Acknowledgment. This work was kindly supported by the National Science Foundation (Grant CHE-8308076). We thank Johnson-Matthey, Inc., Malvern, PA, for the loan of iridium salts used in this work.

Registry No. I, 91606-11-0; II, 94570-04-4; IIIa, 91685-25-5; IIIb, 94596-64-2; IV, 66673-10-7; V, 91685-23-3; VI, 66350-34-3; VII, 94570-06-6; VIIIa, 94570-08-8; VIIIb, 94570-15-7; IXa, 94570-10-2; IXb, 94570-17-9; X, 94570-12-4; *trans*-[IrCl(CO)(dppe)₂]Cl₂, 94570-13-5; Ir(CO)(dppe)₂⁺, 40264-88-8; *cis*-IrH₂(dppe)₂⁺, 47898-62-4; LiB(*sec*-Bu)₃H, 38721-52-7; LiBEt₃D, 74540-86-6; Ir(dppe)₂⁺, 29871-99-6; IrH(dppe)₂²⁺, 66350-22-9; CO, 630-08-0; [IrH(CHOH)(OEt₂)(dppe)₂][BF₄]₂, 94570-19-1; LiBEt₃H, 22560-16-3.

Communications

New Bimetallic Cobalt(II) Complexes of Chelated, Bridged Phosphido Ligands

Loren Chen, Dennis J. Kountz, and Devon W. Meek*

Department of Chemistry
and the Materials Research Laboratory
The Ohio State University, Columbus, Ohio 43210

Received August 6, 1984

Summary: Treatment of cobaltocene with secondary phosphines (e.g., R₂PH or the linked bis(secondary diphosphines) H(Ph)P(CH₂)_nP(Ph)H, *n* = 2, 3) produces phosphido-bridged dicobalt complexes 1-5 that contain a Co-Co bond. Reactions of 1-5 with SO₂ at ambient conditions lead to insertion of SO₂ into the Co-Co bond, whereas HBF₄·OEt₂ produces dicobalt cations in which the bridging hydrogen atom bonding can be represented as a closed two-electron, three-center interaction.

Our research group has recently shown that tertiary-secondary diphosphine ligands of the type R₂PCH₂CH₂CH₂P(H)Ph provide rational and controlled routes to phosphido-bridged bimetallic complexes.¹ Syntheses of phosphido and arsenido-bridged compounds have become an active field of research based on the reasonable assumption that these compounds would possess strong binding properties and help maintain the integrity of M-PR₂-M bridges in bimetallic complexes and small metal clusters.² However our results,^{2d} as well as several

other recent reports,^{2a,3-5} indicate that M-PR₂-M bridges are more reactive than previously thought. We have attempted to improve the stability of the bridging phosphido linkage by incorporating it into the chain of a chelating ligand.¹ Flood^{6a} reported the first example of a linked (i.e., via a trimethylene chain) phosphido bridge; two other reports have appeared recently, in which bridging phosphido ligands are connected by *o*-phenylene^{6b} and *o*-xylene^{6c} linkages in iron carbonyl complexes. Herein, we report the syntheses, ³¹P NMR data, and the structures of dicobalt complexes of linked bridging bis(phosphido) ligands.

Hayter,⁷ Werner,⁸ Dahl,⁹ and their co-workers have prepared and studied the binuclear complexes [(C₅R₅)M-

(2) Some leading references on bridging organophosphido complexes are as follows: (a) Carty, A. J. *Pure Appl. Chem.* 1982, 54, 113. (b) Varenkamp, H. *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. Engl.* 1978, 17, 379. (c) Roberts, D. A.; Steinmetz, G. R.; Breen, M. J.; Shulman, P. M.; Morrison, E. D.; Duttera, M. R.; DeBrosse, C. W.; Whittle, R. R.; Geoffroy, G. L. *Organometallics* 1983, 2, 846. (d) Kreter, P. E.; Meek, D. W. *Inorg. Chem.* 1983, 22, 319-326. (e) Jones, R. A.; Lasch, J. G.; Norman, N. C.; Stuart, A. L.; Wright, J. C.; Whittlesey, B. R. *Organometallics* 1984, 3, 114. (3) Collman, J. P.; Rothrock, R. K.; Finke, R. G.; Moore, E. J.; Rose-Munch, F. *Inorg. Chem.* 1982, 21, 146-156.

(4) (a) Harley, A. D.; Guskey, G. J.; Geoffroy, G. L. *Organometallics* 1983, 2, 53-59. (b) Harley, A. D.; Whittle, R. R.; Geoffroy, G. L. *Ibid.* 1983, 2, 383-387. (c) Geoffroy, G. L.; Rosenberg, S.; Shulman, P. M.; Whittle, R. R. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 1984, 106, 1519.

(5) (a) Yu, Y.-F.; Gallucci, J. C.; Wojcicki, A. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 1983, 105, 4826. (b) Yu, Y.-F.; Gallucci, J. C.; Wojcicki, A. *J. Chem. Soc. Chem. Commun.* 1984, 653. (c) Shyu, S.-G.; Wojcicki, A. *Organometallics* 1984, 3, 809.

(6) (a) Flood, T. C.; DiSanti, F. J.; Campbell, K. D. *Inorg. Chem.* 1978, 17, 1643. (b) McKennis, J. S.; Kyba, E. P. *Organometallics* 1983, 2, 1249. (c) Seyferth, D.; Wood, T. G.; Fackler, J. P., Jr.; Mazany, A. M. *Organometallics* 1984, 3, 1121.

(7) Hayter, R. G.; Williams, L. F. *J. Inorg. Nucl. Chem.* 1964, 26, 1977. (8) (a) Werner, H.; Hoffmann, W. *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. Engl.* 1979, 18, 158. (b) Hoffmann, W.; Werner, H. *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. Engl.* 1981, 20, 1014. (c) Klingert, B.; Werner, H. *J. Organomet. Chem.* 1983, 252, C47.

(9) (a) Coleman, J. M.; Dahl, L. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 1967, 89, 542. (b) Kocal, J. A. Ph.D. Thesis, University of Wisconsin-Madison, 1981.

(1) (a) Meek, D. W.; Waid, R. D.; Tau, K. D.; Kirchner, R. M.; Morimoto, C. N. *Inorg. Chim. Acta* 1982, 64, L221-L223. (b) Glaser, R.; Kountz, D. J.; Gallucci, J. C.; Meek, D. W. *Inorg. Chim. Acta* 1983, 77, L207-L209. (c) Glaser, R.; Kountz, D. J.; Waid, R. D.; Gallucci, J. C.; Meek, D. W. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 1984, 106, 6324-6333.