Synthesis of Tungsten Vinyl Alkylidene Complexes via the Reactions of $WCl_2(NAr)(PX_3)_3$ (X = R, OMe) Precursors with 3,3-Disubstituted Cyclopropenes

Lynda K. Johnson,[†] Robert H. Grubbs,^{*,†} and Joseph W. Ziller[‡]

Contribution No. 8800 from the Arnold and Mabel Beckman Laboratory of Chemical Synthesis, Division of Chemistry and Chemical Engineering, California Institute of Technology, Pasadena, California 91125, and Department of Chemistry, University of California, Irvine, California 92717

Received February 16, 1993

Abstract: Several WCl₂(NAr)(PX₃)₃ complexes were synthesized, including WCl₂(N-2,6-C₆H₃Me₂)(PEt₂Ph)₃ (1), $WCl_2(NPh)[P(OMe)_3]_3(2), WCl_2(N-2,6-C_6H_3Me_2)[P(OMe)_3]_3(3), and WCl_2[N-2,6-C_6H_3(i-Pr)_2][P(OMe)_3]_3(4).$ NMR spectroscopic data for these complexes and a single-crystal X-ray diffraction study of 4 supported a meridional arrangement of the three PX₃ ligands, all lying cis to the apical imido ligand. The lability of one PX₃ ligand in complexes 1–4 was demonstrated by reactions with ethylene and phenyl- and diphenylacetylene to give the corresponding π -acceptor (L) complex $WCl_2(L)(NAr)(PX_3)_2$. In solution, some of the $WCl_2(L)(NAr)(PX_3)_2$ complexes, especially those with $P(OMe)_3$ ligands, were in equilibrium with $WCl_2(L)(NAr)(PX_3)$ and free PX₃; complete removal of 1 equiv of PX₃ was achieved upon addition of CuCl. Complexes 1-4 and also WCl₂(NPh)(PMePh₂)₃ reacted with 3,3-diphenylcyclopropene and 4,8-dioxaspiro[2.5]oct-1-ene (referred to subsequently as diphenyl- and ketalcyclopropene) to give a number of η^2 -cyclopropene complexes and/or vinyl alkylidene complexes. Concentrated diethyl ether solutions of the reactants and use of the sterically smaller tungsten precursors enabled the clean formation of the η^2 -cyclopropene complexes $W(\eta^2$ -cyclopropene)Cl₂(NAr)(PX₃)₂. Spectroscopic data and a single-crystal X-ray diffraction study of $W(\eta^2$ -diphenylcyclopropene)Cl₂(NPh)[P(OMe)₃]₂ indicated an octahedral geometry in which the two mutually trans PX_3 ligands and the cyclopropene occupy equatorial positions cis to the apical imido ligand and the substituents of the cyclopropene lie syn to the imido ligand. The η^2 -cyclopropene complexes were converted to the corresponding vinyl alkylidene complexes $W(=CHCH=CR_2)Cl_2(NAr)(PX_3)_2$ thermally, photochemically, and chemically (catalysis by HgCl₂). For the reactions of the sterically more bulky tungsten precursors with cyclopropenes, direct isolation of the vinyl alkylidene complexes was possible. A crystal structure of an alkoxide derivative W(=CHCH=CPh₂)[N-2,6- C_6H_3 -(*i*-Pr)₂][OCMe(CF₃)₂]₂[P(OMe)₃] confirmed the formation of a diphenylvinyl alkylidene ligand with an s-trans arrangement of the double bonds. In addition to an s-trans isomer, the ketalvinyl alkylidene ligand also formed two different s-cis isomers with one oxygen atom of the ketal ring chelating to tungsten. A crystal structure showed that, in one of these isomers, the ketal ring has been opened by a chloride ligand from tungsten, and a mechanism is proposed for this rearrangement.

Introduction

Traditionally, nucleophilic alkylidene complexes of transition metals have been synthesized almost exclusively via α -hydrogen abstraction routes.¹ However, in recent years the rearrangement of cyclopropenes has shown promise as a new entry to transitionmetal vinyl alkylidene complexes.²⁻⁴ For example, the first

synthesis of isolable transition-metal vinyl alkylidene complexes from cyclopropenes was reported in 1989 and involved the reaction of 3,3-disubstituted cyclopropenes with bis(cyclopentadienyl)titanium(II) and -zirconium(II) precursors.^{2a} Exclusive formation of the vinyl alkylidene product Cp₂(Me₃P)Ti(=CHCH=CRR') was observed upon reaction of 3,3-diphenyl- and 3-methyl-3phenylcyclopropene with $Cp_2Ti(PMe_3)_2$.

Given the ability of nucleophilic alkylidene complexes to catalyze a number of important reactions, including acyclic olefin metathesis,⁵ ring-opening metathesis polymerization,⁵ alkyne polymerizations,6 carbonyl olefinations,7 and acyclic-diene me-

[†] California Institute of Technology.

<sup>California Institute of Technology.
University of California.
(1) Nugent, W. A.; Mayer, J. M. Metal-Ligand Multiple Bonds; John Wiley & Sons: New York, 1988; Chapter 3.
(2) (a) Binger, P.; Muller, P.; Benn, R.; Mynott, R. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. Engl. 1989, 28, 610–611. (b) Nguyen, S. T.; Johnson, L. K.; Grubbs, R. H.; Ziller, J. W. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1992, 114, 3974–3975. (c) Gagne, M. Dur Grubbe, P. Lit. Enderson: La Wiley and State and</sup> R.; Grubbs, R. H.; Feldman, J.; Ziller, J. W. Organometallics 1992, 11, 3933-3935

⁽³⁾ For examples of systems in which cyclopropenes are proposed as precursors to vinyl alkylidene intermediates, please see: (a) Fischer, H.; Hofmann, J.; Mauz, E. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. Engl. 1991, 30, 998-999. (b) Padwa, A.; Kassir, J. M.; Xu, S. L. J. Org. Chem. **1991**, 56, 6971–6972. (c) Hoye, T. R.; Dinsmore, C. J. Tetrahedron Lett. **1991**, 32, 3755–3758. (d) Muller, P.; Pautex, N.; Doyle, M. P.; Bagheri, V. Helv. Chim. Acta **1990**, 73, 1233-1241.

⁽⁴⁾ For general discussions regarding the physical properties, synthetic utility, and multifunctional reactivity of cyclopropenes, see: (a) Binger, P.; Buch, H. M. Top. Curr. Chem. 1987, 135, 77-151. (b) Baird, M. S. Top. Curr. Chem. 1988, 144, 137-209. (c) Halton, B.; Banwell, M. G. In The Chemistry of the Cyclopropyl Group; Rappoport, A., Ed.; John Wiley & Sons: New York, 1987; Part 2, Chapter 21. (d) Salaun, J. In The Chemistry of the Cyclopropyl Group; Rappoport, A., Ed.; John Wiley & Sons: New York, 1987; Part 2, Chapter 13.

⁽⁵⁾ For general references on the metathesis reaction, see: (a) Grubbs, R. (c) For general references on the inclaimest reference, see: (a) Ontob, R. H.; Tumas, W. Science 1989, 243, 907–915. (b) Ivin, K. J. Olefin Metathesis; Academic Press: London, 1983. (c) Grubbs, R. H. In Comprehensive Organometallic Chemistry; Wilkinson, G., Ed.; Pergamon Press, Ltd.: New York, 1982; Vol. 8, pp 499-551. (d) Leconte, M.; Basset, J. M.; Quignard, F.; Larroche, C. In Reactions of Coordinated Ligands; Braterman, P. S., Ed.; Plenum: New York, 1986; Vol. 1, pp 371-420.

^{(6) (}a) Schlund, R.; Schrock, R. R.; Crowe, W. E. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1989, 111, 8004–8006. (b) Park, L. Y.; Schrock, R. T.; Stieglitz, S. G.; Crowe, W. E. Macromolecules 1991, 24, 3489–3495.

^{(7) (}a) Brown-Wensley, K. A.; Buchwald, S. L.; Cannizzo, L.; Clawson, L.; Ho, S.; Meinhardt, D.; Stille, J. R.; Straus, D.; Grubbs, R. H. Pure Appl. Chem. 1983, 55, 1733–1744. (b) Aguero, A.; Kress, J.; Osborn, J. A. J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun. 1986, 531–533. (c) Heppert, J. A.; Dietz, S. D.; Morton, M. D. Abstracts of Papers, 199th National Meeting of the American Chemical Society, Boston, MA; American Chemical Society: Washington, DC, 1990; INOR 262. (d) Bazan, G. C.; Schrock, R. R.; O'Regan, M. B. Organometallics 1991, 10, 1062-1067.

tathesis polymerizations8 and ring-closing reactions,9 the further development of alternative routes to alkylidene complexes would be useful. In the following investigation, the reactivity of 3,3disubstituted cyclopropenes with $WCl_2(NAr)(PX_3)_3$ [Ar = Ph, $2,6-C_6H_3-Me_2$, $2,6-C_6H_3-(i-Pr)_2$; $PX_3 = PMePh_2$, PEt_2Ph , $P(OMe)_3$] complexes was determined. The synthesis of WCl₂(NPh)(PR₃)₃ complexes was first reported in 1983,¹⁰ and their selection as precursors for this study was based upon their ability to form a variety of π -acceptor complexes of the form $WCl_{1}(L)(NPh)(PR_{3})_{2}$ (L = CO, CN-t-Bu, MeC(O)H, olefins, acetylenes; $PR_3 = PMePh_2$, PMe_3) via the substitution of one phosphine ligand.¹¹ The formation of the π -acceptor complex is sensitive to the steric bulk and donating ability of the phosphine ligand, with the displacement of PMePh₂ being particularly facile, presumably due to steric crowding in the equatorial plane.^{11a,b} Here we report that, upon loss of a phosphine or phosphite ligand, the $WCl_2(NAr)(PX_3)_3$ precursors react with 3,3-disubstituted cyclopropenes to give η^2 -cycloproprene complexes and/or vinyl alkylidene complexes, depending on the reaction conditions and the steric bulk of the metal precursor. The vinyl alkylidene complexes that are produced are analogs of known tungsten neopentylidene complexes, such as $W(=CHCMe_3)Cl_2(NPh)L_2$ $(L = PMe_3, PEt_3)$, whose synthesis by α -hydrogen abstraction routes and also by alkylidene transfer from $Ta(=CHCMe_3)L_2Cl_3$ was reported in 1982.12

Results and Discussion

Synthesis, Characterization, and Reactivity of WCl₂(NAr)(PX₃)₃ Complexes. Synthesis. The thermal ring-opening of 3,3-disubstituted cyclopropenes to give tungsten vinyl alkylidene complexes required the synthesis of several new WCl₂(NAr)(PX₃)₃ derivatives containing both substituted arylimido ligands and labile PX₃ ligands (vide infra). Substituted arylimido precursors WCl₄(NAr) [Ar = $2,6-C_6H_3Me_2$, $2,6-C_6H_3(i-Pr)_2$] were synthesized via the established method of reacting $WCl_4(O)$ with the corresponding isocyanate (eq 1).¹⁰ However, in comparison

to the generation of WCl₄(NPh) by this route, longer reaction times and higher temperatures were required for complete formation of the substituted arylimido derivatives. For example, the synthesis of WCl₄(NPh) was reported in refluxing benzene, while the syntheses of WCl4(N-2,6-C6H3Me2) and WCl4[N-2,6- $C_6H_3(i-Pr)_2$] were accomplished in refluxing toluene and p-xylene, respectively.¹³ The lowering of the reaction rates when sterically

(12) Pedersen, S. F.; Schrock, R. R. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1982, 104, 7483-7491

demanding isocyanates are employed is consistent with the postulated [2+2] cycloaddition of the tungsten oxo bond and the isocyanate (eq 1),¹⁴ and it also corresponds with the report that WCl₄(NCMe₃) cannot be made by this method.¹⁵

Since one PMePh₂ ligand of WCl₂(NPh)(PMePh₂)₃ is readily displaced at room temperature,¹¹ initial efforts to obtain substituted arylimido derivatives focused on the synthesis of $WCl_2(NAr)(PMePh_2)_3$ [Ar = 2,6-C₆H₃Me₂, 2,6-C₆H₃(*i*-Pr)₂] complexes via the sodium amalgam reduction of the WCl₄(NAr) precursors in the presence of PMePh₂.¹⁰ Although resonances consistent with the formation of WCl₂(N-2,6-C₆H₃Me₂)(PMePh₂)₃ were observable by ¹H NMR spectroscopy,¹⁶ initial attempts to cleanly isolate this complex in reasonable yields were unsuccessful. For reactions involving the (2,6-diisopropylphenyl)imido precursor, NMR signals characteristic of WCl₂(NAr)(PMePh₂)₃ were not observed. Presumably, unfavorable steric interactions between the arylimido alkyl substituents and the bulky PMePh₂ ligands, which are known to favor coordination in a meridional arrangement cis to the imido group,^{10,17} were responsible for the poor yields in these reactions. When PEt₂Ph, a more donating and slightly smaller phosphine than PMePh₂,¹⁸ was used, the synthesis and clean isolation of WCl₂(N-2,6-C₆H₃Me₂)(PEt₂Ph)₃ (1) was achieved (eq 2).

$$\begin{array}{c} Ar & Ar \\ N & N \\ CI & CI & CI \end{array} + 3 PX_3 \xrightarrow{Na(Hg)} X_3P_{\mathcal{N}}, II_{\mathcal{N}}PX_3 \\ \hline CI & CI & CI \end{array} (2)$$

For $PX_3 = PEt_2Ph$, $Ar = 2.6-C_6H_3-Me_2$ (1) For $PX_3 = P(OMe)_3$, Ar = Ph(2); 2,6-C₆H₃-Me₂(3); 2,6-C₆H₃-(*i*-Pr)₂(4)

Due to the sensitivity of the stability of WCl₂(NAr)(PR₃)₃ complexes to the size of the phosphine ligand, the synthesis of phosphite analogues was pursued. It was hoped that the small size of $P(OMe)_3$ in combination with its weak donating ability, relative to that of phosphines,¹⁹ would enable the synthesis of isolable complexes containing both bulky arylimido substituents and labile donor ligands.²⁰ This proved to be the case: $WCl_2(NAr)[P(OMe)_3]_3$ [Ar = Ph (2), 2,6-C₆H₃-Me₂ (3), 2,6-C₆H₃-Me₃ (3), 2,6-C₆H₃-Me₂ (3), 2,6-C₆H₃-M $C_{i}H_{3}$ -(*i*-Pr)₂ (4)] complexes were synthesized via the sodium amalgam reduction of their respective WCl₄(NAr) precursors in the presence of $P(OMe)_3$ and isolated in good yields (eq 2). The formation of a light purple-gray solution, typically after about 1 h of rapid stirring, provided a clear indicator of the complete formation of $WCl_2(NAr)[P(OMe)_3]_3$. The reaction was stopped at this point, as additional stirring led to poor yields and difficulties in clean isolation of the product. The syntheses of the phosphine analogues do not exhibit the same sensitivity to reaction times,¹⁰ and therefore, it is likely that the π -accepting capability of the

(20) The synthesis of the analogous oxo complex WCl 2(O)[P(OMe)3]3 has been reported: Carmona, E.; Sanchez, L.; Poveda, M. L.; Jones, R. A.; Hefner, J. G. Polyhedron 1983, 2, 797-801.

⁽⁸⁾ Wagener, K. B.; Boncella, J. M.; Nel, J. G. Macromolecules 1991, 24, 2649-265

^{(9) (}a) Fu, G. C.; Grubbs, R. H. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1992, 114, 5426-5427. (b) Fu, G. C.; Grubbs, R. H. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1992, 114, 7324-7325. (10) (a) Bradley, D. C.; Hursthouse, M. B.; Malik, K. M. A.; Nielson, A.

J.; Short, R. L. J. Chem. Soc., Dalton Trans. 1983, 2651-2656. (b) Nielson, . J.; McCarley, R. E.; Laughlin, S. L.; Carlson, C. D. In Inorg. Syntheses; Schreeve, J. M., Ed.; John Wiley & Sons: New York, 1986; Vol. 24, pp 194-200.

 ^{(11) (}a) Su, F.-M.; Cooper, C.; Geib, S. J.; Rheingold, A. L.; Mayer, J.
 M. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1986, 108, 3545-3547. (b) Su, F.-M.; Bryan, J. C.; Jang, S.; Mayer, J. M. Polyhedron 1989, 8, 1261–1277. (c) Nielson, A. J.;
 Ware, D. C. Polyhedron 1990, 9, 603–610. (d) Clark, G. R.; Nielson, A. J.;
 Rickard, C. E. F.; Ware, D. C. J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun. 1989, 343– 345. (e) Clark, G. R.; Nielson, A. J.; Rickard, C. E. F. J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun. 1989, 1157-1159. (f) Clark, G. R.; Nielson, A. J.; Rickard, C. E. F.; Ware, D. C. J. Chem. Soc., Dalton Trans. 1990, 1173-1178

⁽¹³⁾ The synthesis of WCl₄[N-2,6-C₆H₃(*i*-Pr)₂] has been reported in refluxing n-octane. The poorer solubility of the product in n-octane as compared to p-xylene leads to greater ease of isolation, and therefore this method is preferred. See: Schrock, R. R.; DePue, R. T.; Feldman, J.; Yap, K. B.; Yang, D. C.; Park, L.; DiMare, M.; Schofield, M.; Anhaus, J.; Walborsky, E.; Evitt, E.; Kruger, C.; Betz, P. Organometallics 1990, 9, 2262-2275.

⁽¹⁴⁾ Jernakoff, P.; Geoffroy, G. L.; Rheingold, A. L.; Geib, S. J. J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun. 1987, 1610-1611.

⁽¹⁵⁾ Ashcroft, B. R.; Clark, G. R.; Nielson, A. J.; Rickard, C. E. F. Polyhedron 1986, 5, 2081-2091

⁽¹⁶⁾ WCl₂(N-2,6-C₆H₃Me₂)(PMePh₂)₃: ¹H (C₆D₆) δ 1.97 (s, 6, NAr: Me_2), 1.93 (br t, 6, mutually trans PMePh₂'s), 1.54 (d, 3, $J_{HP} = 7.81$ Hz, PMePh₂ trans to Cl).

⁽¹⁷⁾ The occupation of a coordination site trans to an imido ligand by strong σ donor ligands such as phosphines is rare, due to the strong trans influence of the imido ligand. For discussions, see: (a) Reference 1 Ib. (b) Nielson, A. J. Polyhedron 1987, 6, 1647-1667. (c) Nugent, W. A.; Mayer, J. M. Metal-Ligand Multiple Bonds; John Wiley & Sons: New York, 1988; pp 156–157. (d) For a recent exception, see: Reference 11d. (18) (a) Tolman, C. A. Chem. Rev. 1977, 77, 313–348. (b) Tolman, C. A.

Unpublished table.

^{(19) (}a) Collman, J. P.; Hegedus, L. S.; Norton, J. R.; Finke, R. G. Principles and Applications of Organotransition Metal Chemistry; University Science Books: Mill Valley, CA, 1987; pp 66-72. (b) Crabtree, R. H. The Organometallic Chemistry of the Transition Metals; John Wiley & Sons: New York, 1988; pp 71-74. (c) Reference 17a. (d) Verkade, J. G. Coord. Chem. Rev. 1972/73, 9, 1-106.



Figure 1. ORTEP plot of $WCl_2[N-2,6-C_6H_3(i-Pr)_2][P(OMe)_3]_3$ (4). Thermal ellipsoids are drawn at the 50% probability level.

Table I. Selected Bond Lengths and Angles for 4

Bond Lengths (Å)							
W(1)-Cl(1)	2.495(1)	W(1)-Cl(2)	2.475(1)				
W(1) - P(1)	2.451(1)	W(1) - P(2)	2.486(1)				
W(1) - P(3)	2.497(1)	W(1) - N(1)	1.767(3)				
Bond Angles (deg)							
Cl(1)-W(1)-Cl(2)	85.0(1)	Cl(1)-W(1)-P(1)	163.0(1)				
Cl(2)-W(1)-P(1)	78.1(1)	Cl(1)-W(1)-P(2)	83.4(1)				
Cl(2)-W(1)-P(2)	89.1(1)	P(1)-W(1)-P(2)	97.7(1)				
Cl(1) - W(1) - P(3)	89.5(1)	Cl(2)-W(1)-P(3)	88.6(1)				
P(1)-W(1)-P(3)	88.6(1)	P(2)-W(1)-P(3)	172.7(1)				
Cl(1)-W(1)-N(1)	98.0(1)	Cl(2)-W(1)-N(1)	177.0(1)				
P(1)-W(1)-N(1)	98.9(1)	P(2)-W(1)-N(1)	90.9(1)				
P(3) - W(1) - N(1)	91.8(1)	W(1) - N(1) - C(1)	173.5(2)				

phosphite ligand enables reduction beyond the tungsten(IV) oxidation state.

Characterization. Spectroscopic data for the WCl₂(NAr)- $[P(OMe)_3]_3$ complexes is consistent with the expected meridional arrangement of the P(OMe)₃ ligands.¹⁰ For example, a virtual triplet and a doublet appear in the ¹H and ¹³C NMR spectra of these species and correspond, respectively, to the two mutually trans phosphite ligands and the phosphite ligand trans to a chloride ligand. In the ³¹P NMR spectra, coupling between the inequivalent phosphite ligands gives rise to doublet and triplet resonances in a 2:1 ratio. The structure of these complexes was further confirmed by an X-ray crystallographic study of WCl₂[N-2,6- $C_6H_3(i-Pr)_2][P(OMe)_3]_3$ (4).²¹ An ORTEP diagram of this complex is shown in Figure 1, and selected bond distances and angles are given in Table I. The arrangement of the ligands about the metal center exhibits several distortions from a perfect octahedral geometry: The equatorial chloride ligand and the phosphite ligand trans to it [Cl(1) and P(1)] both lie on the opposite side of the equatorial plane from the imido group, a wide angle $[97.7(1)^{\circ}]$ exists between two of the cis phosphite moieties [P(1) and P(2)], and the imido group is bent away from these same two phosphite ligands $[W(1)-N(1)-C(1) = 173.5(2)^{\circ}]$. These distortions appear to relieve steric crowding between the arylimido substituents and the equatorial ligands, an observation that is consistent with the previous proposal that WCl₂[N-2,6- $C_6H_3(i-Pr)_2](PMePh_2)_3$ could not be synthesized due to unfavorable steric interactions between the imido substituents and the PMePh₂ ligands. The W(1)-P(1) bond length of the phosphite lying trans to a chloride ligand [2.451(1) Å] is shorter than the W(1)-P(2) and W(1)-P(3) bond lengths of the two mutually

trans phosphite ligands [2.486(1) and 2.497(1) Å], and this difference is reflected in the ³¹P NMR chemical shifts and coupling constants. That is, the triplet resonance for P(1) [143.4 ppm, $J_{PW} = 566$ Hz] is shifted farther downfield and exhibits a larger tungsten-phosphorus coupling constant than the doublet resonance for P(2) and P(3) [128.0 ppm, $J_{PW} = 456$ Hz].

 π -Complex Formation.²² The lability of the phosphine/phosphite ligands of complexes 1–4 was determined by the reactions of these complexes with ethylene and with phenyl- and diphenylacetylene. Diphenylacetylene reacted at room temperature with WCl₂(N-2,6-C₆H₃Me₂)(PEt₂Ph)₃ (1) to give W(PhC=CPh)-Cl₂(N-2,6-C₆H₃Me₂)(PEt₂Ph)₂(5). The high lability of a PEt₂Ph ligand of 1 is apparent when this reaction is contrasted with the synthesis of the analogous PMe₃ complex W(PhC=CPh)Cl₂(NPh)(PMe₃)₂, which required 20 h of refluxing in benzene.^{11c}

The phosphite complexes 2-4 readily underwent substitution reactions, generally at room temperature, with even relatively poor π -acceptors such as ethylene. In the ¹H NMR spectrum of the ethylene complex $W(H_2C=CH_2)Cl_2[N-2,6-C_6H_3(i-Pr)_2]$ - $[P(OMe)_3]_2$ (6), two multiplets were observed for the ethylene protons (syn and anti to the imido ligand) at 3.25 and 2.85 ppm. According to difference nOe measurements, the upfield ethylene multiplet (2.85 ppm) corresponds to the protons lying syn to the imido ligand. In the ¹³C NMR spectrum, an upfield shift to 42.3 ppm ($\Delta = 80.9$ ppm) was observed for the ethylene carbon resonance upon complexation. This value lies in between the chemical shifts reported for W(H₂C=CH₂)Cl₂(NPh)(PMe₃)₂ (39.4 ppm)^{11f} and W(H₂C=CH₂)Cl₂(NPh)(PMePh₂)₂ (48.0 ppm)^{11b} and thus indicates that, in WCl₂(L)(NAr)[P(OMe)₃]₂ complexes, there is strong back-donation to the π -acceptor ligand (L), despite the potentially poor donating/competing π -accepting ability of the ancillary phosphite ligands.

The room-temperature reaction of $WCl_2(N-2,6-C_6H_3-Me_2)$ -[P(OMe)₃]₃(3) and PhC=CH in toluene resulted in the formation of the corresponding acetylene complex W(PhC=CH)Cl₂(N-2,6-C₆H₃Me₂)[P(OMe)₃]₂(7) in good yield. Slow rotation about the imido group in 7 was evidenced by the observation of a broad singlet for the imido methyl protons. Otherwise, the NMR spectral data of 7 corresponds closely with that of the analogous phosphine complexes of phenylacetylene W(PhC=CH)Cl₂(NPh)-(PMe₃)₂^{11c} and W(PhC=CH)Cl₂(NPh)(PMePh₂)₂.^{11b}

However, in contrast to the analogous phosphine complexes,¹¹ an equilibrium between 7 and the monophosphite adduct $W(PhC=CH)Cl_2(N-2,6-C_6H_3Me_2)[P(OMe)_3]$ (8) was observed in solution.²³ The addition of CuCl, a phosphine/phosphite "sponge",¹² resulted in the clean and selective formation of 8 (eq 3), which was characterized by its ¹H, ¹³C, and ³¹P NMR spectra.



(22) Detailed studies of π -complex formation have been published for WCl₂(NPh)(PR₃)₃ complexes (PR₃ = PMePh₂ or PMe₃; ref 11), and therefore the discussion here is mainly limited to a comparison of the reactivity of the known phosphine complexes with the new phosphite analoss.

known phosphine complexes with the new phosphite analogs. (23) Additional experiments indicated that mono(phosphite/phosphine) adducts of acetylene complexes could be observed in other cases, also. For example: (a) W(PhC=CPh)Cl₂[N-2,6-C₆H₃-(*i*-Pr)₂][P(OMe)₃]₂ was observed in solution as a mixture of the bis- and mono(phosphite) adducts: ¹³C NMR (CD₂Cl₂) bis[P(OMe)₃] adduct, δ 151.2 (t, J_{CP} = 15.70 Hz, PhC=CPh); mono[P(OMe)₃] adduct, δ 174.9 (d, J_{CP} = 9.06 Hz, PhC=CPh cis to P(OMe)₃), 163.4 (d, J_{CP} = 31.50 Hz, PhC=CPh trans to P(OMe)₃); ³¹P (tol-d₈) δ 140.7 (free P(OMe)₃), 123.9 (J_{PW} = 336.9 Hz, mono[P(OMe)₃] adduct), 113.7 (J_{PW} = 358.9 Hz, bis[P(OMe)₃] adduct). (b) W(HC=C-*t*-Bu)Cl₂(N-2,6-C₆H₃Me₂)(PEt₂Ph)₂was observed as mainly the mono(PEt₂Ph) adduct in solution: ¹H (CD₂Cl₂) bis(PEt₂Ph) adduct δ 9.41 (d of d, J_{HP} = 22.36 Hz (trans), 605 Hz (trans), J_{HW} = 9.26 Hz, HC=C-*t*-Bu); ³¹P (CD₂Cl₂) δ 31.8 (J_{PW} = 190 Hz, mono(PEt₂Ph) adduct).

⁽²¹⁾ The structure of the analogous trimethylphosphine complex WCl $_2(NPh)(PMe_3)_3$ has been reported. See: Reference 10a.

Scheme I



Loss of one phosphite resulted in a downfield shift of the remaining tungsten-bound phosphite ligand in the ³¹P NMR spectrum and an increase in the phosphorus-tungsten coupling constant, and it also caused a downfield shift of the acetylenic carbons in the ¹³C NMR spectrum, all consistent with stronger donation by the phosphite and acetylene ligands to the 16-electron complex 8.²⁴ The decrease in steric crowding upon loss of a phosphite ligand enabled free rotation of the imido aryl ring, as was indicated by the appearance of a sharp singlet for the imido methyl protons. According to ¹H and ¹³C NMR data, the phosphite ligand lies trans to the acetylenic proton ($J_{HP} = 20.03$ Hz for PhC=CH) and cis to the acetylenic phenyl group ($J_{CP} = 7.55$ Hz for PhC=CH).

In general, these reactions with simple π -acceptor ligands demonstrated several points: (1) One donor ligand in complexes 1-4 is readily displaced at room temperature. (2) The phosphite complexes are capable of strong back-donation to π -acceptor ligands. (3) The major difference between the reactivity of the phosphine and phosphite tungsten(IV) complexes is the higher lability of a second phosphite ligand upon π -complex formation. This latter observation is consistent with the relative donating/ π -accepting abilities of phosphine and phosphite ligands. More specifically, the electron density of the metal center of the tris(phosphine) precursors WCl₂(NAr)(PR₃)₃ should be greater than that of the resulting π -acceptor (L) complexes WCl₂(L)- $(NAr)(PR_3)_2$, thus electronically favoring loss of phosphine from the tris(phosphine) precursor. In contrast, the combined donating/ π -accepting ability of a phosphite ligand and the π -acceptor ligand (L) should be more comparable, electronically making the loss of a phosphite ligand from the tris(phosphite) and π -acceptor complexes equally likely and perhaps determined by the relative sizes of the phosphite and π -acceptor ligands.

 η^{2} -Cyclopropene Complexes. Synthesis and Stability. The reactions of the WCl₂(NAr)(PX₃)₃ precursors with 3,3-diphenylcyclopropene²⁵ and 4,8-dioxaspiro[2.5]oct-1-ene^{26,27} (referred to throughout the remainder of this paper as diphenylcyclopropene and ketalcyclopropene, respectively) were investigated, and two modes of reactivity were identified: η^{2} -cyclopropene coordination and vinyl alkylidene formation (Schemes I and II). In general, the stability of the η^{2} -cyclopropene complexes decreased as the steric bulk of the ancillary ligands increased, and for the same imido and phosphite ancillary ligands, the η^{2} -diphenylcyclopropene complexes were more stable than the corresponding η^{2} -ketalcy-clopropene complexes.

3288-3290. (0) 1 Empleton, J. L. Auv. Organomet. Chem. 1907, 27, 1-100. (25) 3,3-Diphenylcyclopropene was prepared according to an optimized synthesis (Moore, J. S.; Nguyen, S. T.; Grubbs, R. H. Unpublished results) based on the following literature references: (a) Skattebol, L. Acta Chem. Scand. 1963, 17, 1683-1693. (b) Binger, P. Synthesis 1974, 190-192.

(26) For details of the synthesis and reactivity of 4,8-dioxaspiro[2.5]oct-1-ene (ketalcyclopropene), see: (a) Boger, D. L.; Brotherton, C. E.; Georg, G. I.; Davidsen, S. K.; Heathcock, C. H. Organic Syntheses; Vedejs, E., Ed.; Organic Syntheses, Inc.: USA, 1987; pp 32–41. (b) Boger, D. L.; Brotherton, C. E. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1986, 108, 6695–6713. (c) Butler, G. B.; Herring, K. H.; Lewis, P. L.; Sharpe, V. V., III; Veazey, R. L. J. Org. Chem. 1977, 42, 679–682.

(27) Ketalcyclopropene forms an η^2 -olefin complex upon reaction with Cp₂Ti(PMe₃)₂. See: Binger, P.; Muller, P.; Herrmann, A. T.; Philipps, P.; Gabor, B.; Langhauser, F.; Kruger, C. Chem. Ber. 1991, 124, 2165-2170.

J. Am. Chem. Soc., Vol. 115, No. 18, 1993 8133

Scheme II



Many of the η^2 -cyclopropene complexes were not stable at room temperature when dissolved in standard NMR solvents (e.g., C_6D_6 , tol- d_8 , THF- d_8 , and CD_2Cl_2), thus limiting the conditions under which they could be synthesized. The preparation of η^2 -cyclopropene complexes from the phosphite precursors 2-4 was most readily accomplished in diethyl ether, as the starting tris(phosphite) complexes were moderately soluble in diethyl ether and the resulting η^2 -cyclopropene complexes were only sparingly soluble. Thus, when the cyclopropenes were added to concentrated, heterogeneous purple mixtures of the tris(phosphite) complexes in diethyl ether, the yellow η^2 -cyclopropene complexes began to precipitate almost immediately (Schemes Ia and IIa). The highly concentrated reaction conditions enabled clean reactivity, while the low solubility of the η^2 -cyclopropene complexes in diethyl ether generally prevented conversion to the vinyl alkylidenes on the time scale of the reaction. The preparations of η^2 -cyclopropene complexes from the phenylimido tris(phosphite) precursor WCl₂(NPh)[P(OMe)₃]₃ (2) were especially sensitive to concentration effects. In dilute C_6D_6 solutions, the cyclopropenes were rapidly transformed to unidentified products without loss of 2. After the formation of the η^2 cyclopropene complexes in diethyl ether was complete, the resulting yellow or tan powders were purified by filtration and washing with diethyl ether or pentane. Although recrystallization was not feasible for the least stable derivatives, it was possible to obtain X-ray-quality crystals of W(η^2 -diphenylcyclopropene) $Cl_2(NPh)[P(OMe)_3]_2$ (10) upon cooling a saturated toluene/benzene solution of this complex to 0 °C (vide infra).

Spectroscopic Data. Characteristic of η^2 -olefin complex formation, upfield shifts were observed for the olefinic proton and carbon resonances of the cyclopropenes upon complexation, along with corresponding 24–36-Hz decreases in the value of J_{CH} (Table II). These resonances appeared as triplets due to coupling with the two trans phosphine/phosphite ligands. The trends in stability were supported by the NMR spectroscopic data: For the phosphite complexes, the upfield shift of the η^2 -cyclopropene olefinic proton and carbon resonances decreased as the steric bulk of the imido ligand increased, corresponding to weaker binding of the cyclopropene in the more sterically crowded molecule.

In these η^2 -cyclopropene complexes, syn and anti orientations of the cyclopropene substituents relative to the imido ligand are equally likely on an electronic basis.²⁸ However, only one rotamer was normally observed and isolated, and all of the data point toward it being the syn rotamer. For example, for the η^2 diphenylcyclopropene complexes 9 and 10, substantial upfield shifts to 5.51 and 6.31 ppm, respectively, were observed for the *ortho* protons of the imido ring. These upfield shifts are best explained by the shielding of these resonances by one of the phenyl rings of the η^2 -cyclopropene, requiring that the cyclopropene

^{(24) (}a) Templeton, J. L.; Ward, B. C. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1980, 102, 3288-3290. (b) Templeton, J. L. Adv. Organomet. Chem. 1989, 29, 1-100.

Table II. Selected NMR Spectral Data for η^2 -Cyclopropene Complexes^{a,c,d}

	¹ H (t, $HC = CH)^b$		^{1}H (t, $HC = CH$)		¹³ C (t, HC=CH)			³¹ P (<i>P</i> X ₃)		
η^2 -cyclopropene complex		J _{HP}	δ	J _{HP}	δ	$J_{\rm CH}$	$J_{\rm CP}$	J _{CW}	δ	$J_{\rm PW}$
$W(HC = CHCPh_{2})Ch(NPh)(PMePh_{2})e(9)$	4.19	5.68	3.75	5.63	72.4	195	9	45	5	208
$W(HC - CHCPh_2)Cl_2(HTh)(HMCH_2)_2(9)$	5.08	5.82	4.50	6.01	64.8	193	1 6	37	118	364
$W(HC-CHCPh_2)Cl_2(HFH)[F(OWe)_{3]2}(HO)$	5.29	5.98	4.74	5.79	66.2	1 94	15	40	110	379
$W(HC=CHCPfi_2)Ci_2(N-2,0-C_6H_3Me_2)[F(OMC)_3]_2(H)$	3.66	5.86	3.30	5.71	67.5	188	9	42	5	212
$W(HC = CHCOCH_2CH_2CH_2O)Cl_2(NPH)(PMCPH_2)_2(12)$	4.82	5.62	4.14	5.98	59.9	210	15	37	120	361
$w(HC=CHCOCH_{2}CH_{2}CH_{2}O)Cl_{2}(NPh)[P(OMe)_{3}]_{2} (13)$ W(HC=CHCOCH_{2}CH_{2}CH_{2}O)Cl_{2}(N-2,6-C_{6}H_{3}Me_{2})[P(OMe)_{3}]_{2} (14)	4.96	5.71	4.27	5.91	61.5	194	15	31	113	376

^{*a*} All spectra were acquired in CD₂Cl₂ unless noted otherwise. ^{*b*} In C₆D₆. ^{*c*} Uncomplexed HC = CHCPh₂: ¹H (CD₂Cl₂) δ 7.54 (*H*C = C*H*); ¹³C (CD₂Cl₂) δ 113.8 (*J*_{CH} = 230, HC = CH). ^{*d*} Uncomplexed HC = CHCOCH₂CH₂CH₂CH₂O: ¹H (CD₂Cl₂) δ 7.85 (*H*C = C*H*); ¹³C (CD₂Cl₂) δ 126.0 (*J*_{CH} = 224, HC = CH).



Figure 2. ORTEP plot of W(HC=CHCPh₂)Cl₂(NPh)[P(OMe)₃]₂(10). Thermal ellipsoids are drawn at the 50% probability level.

substituents lie syn to the imido ligand. Consistent with this explanation, similar upfield shifts of the phenylimido *ortho* protons were not observed in the corresponding η^2 -ketalcyclopropene complexes 12 and 13. However, a syn arrangement of the imido ligand and the ketal substituent of the cyclopropene was indicated by difference nOe spectroscopy of W(η^2 -ketalcyclopropene)Cl₂-(NPh)[P(OMe)₃]₂(13). Specifically, irradiation of the 3.72 ppm resonance corresponding to one set of ether methylene protons resulted in a 4.5% enhancement of the phenylimido *ortho* protons. Irradiation of the olefinic protons did not enhance the *ortho* proton resonance of the arylimido ligand and vice versa.

Crystal Structure. A syn arrangement of the imido ligand and the cyclopropene substituents was further supported by an X-ray diffraction study of $W(\eta^2$ -diphenylcyclopropene)Cl₂(NPh)-[P(OMe)₃]₂(10). An ORTEP diagram of this complex is shown in Figure 2, and selected bond lengths and angles are given in Table III. This molecule is best described as a distorted octahedron with the olefinic carbons occupying one position in the equatorial plane. In addition to relieving steric crowding between the equatorial ligands, the displacement of the olefinic

Table III. Selected Bond Lengths and Angles for 10									
	Bond Lengths (Å)								
W(1)-C	21(1)	2.486(3)	W(1)-Cl(2)	2.482(3)					
W(1)-P	(Ì)	2.517(2)	W(1) - P(2)	2.530(3)					
W(1)-N	Ì(1)	1.747(8)	W(1) - C(1)	2.171(9)					
W(1)-C	(2)	2.160(9)	W(1)-Cnt ^a	2.040					
N(1)-C	(16)	1.404(13)	C(1) - C(2)	1.452(12)					
C(1)-C	(3)	1.526(12)	C(2) - C(3)	1.518(13)					
C(3)–C	(4)	1.484(14)	C(3) - C(10)	1.531(13)					
	Bond Angles (deg)								
Cl(1)-W	(1) - Cl(2)	83.9(1)	Cl(1)-W(1)-P(1)	84.3(1)					
Cl(2)-W	(1) - P(1)	89.3(1)	Cl(1) - W(1) - P(2)	84.2(1)					
Cl(2)-W	(1) - P(2)	86.1(1)	P(1)-W(1)-P(2)	168.0(1)					
Cl(1)-W	(1) - N(1)	90.8(2)	Cl(2)-W(1)-N(1)	174.6(2)					
P(1)-W(1)	1) - N(1)	91.5(2)	P(2)-W(1)-N(1)	92.1(2)					
Cl(1)-W	(1)-C(1)	156.3(2)	Cl(2)-W(1)-C(1)	82.9(2)					
P(1)-W(1)–C(1)	76.0(2)	P(2)-W(1)-C(1)	114.3(2)					
N(1)-W((1)–C(1)	102.5(3)	Cl(1)-W(1)-C(2)	156.7(3)					
Cl(2)-W	(1)-C(2)	83.6(2)	P(1)-W(1)-C(2)	115.1(3)					
P(2)-W(1)-C(2)	75.3(3)	N(1)-W(1)-C(2)	101.0(3)					
C(1)-W(1)-C(2)	39.2(3)	$Cnt-W(1)-N(1)^a$	102.5					
Cnt-W(1)P(1)a	95.5	$Cnt-W(1)-P(2)^{a}$	94.9					
Cnt-W(1	$)-Cl(1)^{a}$	166.7	$Cnt-W(1)-Cl(2)^{a}$	82.8					
W(1)-N((1) - C(16)	170.8(6)	C(2)-C(1)-C(3)	61.3(6)					
C(1) - C(2)	2)–C(3)	61.8(6)	C(1)-C(3)-C(2)	57.0(6)					
C(1)-C(3	3)-C(4)	123.7(8)	C(2)-C(3)-C(4)	124.3(8)					
C(1)-C(3	3)–C(10)	112.4(7)	C(2)-C(3)-C(10)	113.2(8)					
C(4) - C(3)	3)C(10)	114.3(8)							

^a Cnt is the centroid of the C(1)-C(2) bond.

carbons ~12.5° beneath the equatorial plane also reduces unfavorable steric interactions between the imido phenyl ring and the cyclopropene phenyl ring that lies syn to tungsten. Adjustments that further accommodate the steric bulk of this cyclopropene phenyl ring include the bending of the imido ligand away from the cyclopropene substituents, resulting in a W(1)-N(1)-C(16) angle of 170.8(6)°, and the bending of the cyclopropene phenyl rings away from the imido ligand (e.g., the C(2)-C(3)-C(4) angle is 11.1° larger than the C(2)-C(3)-C(10) angle). Due to strong π -back-donation by tungsten, there is a substantial lengthening of the double bond [1.452(12) Å] and an accompanying large increase of the apical angle of the complexed cyclopropene ring [57.0(6)°] as compared to that of free cyclopropene [1.294 Å, 50.4°], resulting in a large decrease in ring strain.^{4a,29}

Diphenylvinyl Alkylidene Complexes. General Observations and Trends. In general, diphenylvinyl alkylidene formation was slower than η^2 -diphenylcyclopropene coordination, and an increase in the steric bulk of the tungsten(IV) precursor favored the generation of the diphenylvinyl alkylidene complex over the η^2 diphenylcyclopropene complex. The W(=CHCH=CPh_2)Cl_2-(NAr)(PX_3)_2 compounds were observed as bright orange solutions

⁽²⁸⁾ Throughout this paper, the term "syn" describes the rotamer or isomer of the π -acceptor complex (e.g., η^2 -olefin or alkylidene complex) in which the substituent of the π -acceptor ligand lies on the same side of the equatorial plane as the imido ligand, while the term "anti" refers to the rotamer or isomer in which the π -acceptor substituent thus lies on the opposite side of the equatorial plane from the imido ligand. For a more detailed description of the bonding in these complexes, see: (a) Reference 11b and (b) Nugent, W. A.; Mayer, J. M. Metal-Ligand Multiple Bonds; John Wiley & Sons: New York, 1988; pp 33-36. For detailed studies of syn and anti rotamers of arylimido alkylidene complexes, see: (c) Schrock, R. R.; Crowe, W. E.; Bazan, G. C.; DiMare, M.; O'Regan, M. B.; Schofield, M. H. Organometallics 1991, 10, 1832-1843.

⁽²⁹⁾ Wiberg, K. B. In *The Chemistry of the Cyclopropyl Group*; Rappoport, A., Ed.; John Wiley & Sons: New York, 1987; Part 1, Chapter 1.

Table IV. Selected NMR Spectral Data for (2,6-Dimethyl- and (2,6-Diisopropylphenyl)imido Diphenylvinyl Alkylidene Complexes^a

	H_{α}				Cα				
diphenylvinyl alkylidene complex	δ	$J_{ m HH}$	J _{HP}	H_{β}, δ	δ	$J_{\rm CH}$	$J_{\rm CP}$	J _{CW}	
anti-W[trans-(=CHCH=CPh ₂)]Cl ₂ [N-2,6-C ₆ H ₃ (i-Pr) ₂][P(OMe) ₃] ₂ (15)	12.9	12.8	6.37	10.2	277	130	18.2	123	
anti-W[trans-($=$ CHCH=CPh ₂)][N-2,6-C ₆ H ₃ (i-Pr) ₂][OCMe(CF ₃) ₂] ₂ [P(OMe) ₃] (16)	12.3	14.4	8.06	8.72	264	151	20.7	153	
$syn-W[trans-(=CHCH=CPh_2)][N-2,6-C_6H_3(i-Pr)_2][OCMe(CF_3)_2]_2[P(OMe)_3]$ (16)	11.6	11.0	5.13	8.72	256		21.7		
anti-W[trans-(=CHCH=CPh ₂)][N-2,6-C ₆ H ₃ (<i>i</i> -Pr) ₂][O-2,6-C ₆ H ₃ (<i>i</i> -Pr) ₂] ₂ [P(OMe) ₃] (17)	12.4	14.3	7.89	9.32	259	152	20.8	156	
$syn-W[trans-(=CHCH=CPh_2)][N-2,6-C_6H_3(i-Pr)_2][O-2,6-C_6H_3(i-Pr)_2]_2[P(OMe)_3]$ (17)	12.2	11.4	6.31	8.89	253	125	22.2	164	
anti-W[trans-(=CHCH=CPh ₂)]Cl ₂ (N-2,6-C ₆ H ₃ Me ₂)(PEt ₂ Ph) ₂ (18)	12.2	13.1	4.4	9.55	272	128	12.6		
$syn-W[trans-(=CHCH=CPh_2)]Cl_2(N-2,6-C_6H_3Me_2)(PEt_2Ph)_2$ (18)	11.9	13.1	4.4	8.72	278	130	12.6		
anti-W[trans-($=$ CHCH $=$ CPh ₂)]Cl ₂ (N-2,6-C ₆ H ₃ Me ₂)[P(OMe) ₃] ₂ (19)	12.9	13.0	6.24	9.62	277	130	17.6	117	
$syn-W[trans-(=CHCH=CPh_2)]Cl_2(N-2,6-C_6H_3Me_2)[P(OMe)_3]_2$ (19)	12.4	13.3	6.69	8.80	284	133	17.5		

^a All spectra were acquired in CD₂Cl₂ unless indicated otherwise. ^b Tol-d₈.

and isolated as orange or yellow-orange powders. Coordination of the diphenylvinyl alkylidene moiety to tungsten was indicated spectroscopically by the downfield shifts of H_{α} and C_{α} ³⁰ the splitting of these resonances by the phosphite/phosphine ligands, and the coupling of C_{α} to tungsten (Table IV). In addition, a downfield shift of H_{β} of the alkylidene moiety was also observed, and the large coupling between H_{α} and H_{β} was indicative of an s-trans arrangement of the double bonds of the diphenylvinyl alkylidene ligand.

(2,6-Diisopropylphenyl)imido Precursor. The synthesis of $W(=CHCH=CPh_2)Cl_2[N-2,6-C_6H_3(i-Pr)_2][P(OMe)_3]_2$ (15) was achieved when a concentrated benzene solution containing $WCl_2[N-2,6-C_6H_3(i-Pr)_2][P(OMe)_3]_3$ (4) and a slight excess of diphenylcyclopropene was stirred for 2 h at 80 °C (Scheme Ib). Small amounts of 4 that remained unreacted could be separated from 15 by recrystallization from diethyl ether or by washing the product mixture with pentane. The observation of characteristic H_{α} and H_{β} resonances coupled to the two mutually trans phosphite ligands provided confirmation of the preparation of 15, and difference nOe and low-temperature ¹H NMR spectra lent further insight into its structure. For example, the observation of a 19.2% nOe enhancement of the alkylidene H_{α} resonance of 15 upon irradiation of the isopropyl methine resonance and a 12.4% nOe enhancement in the other direction was indicative of an anti arrangement of the alkylidene ligand relative to the imido group. In the 90 MHz ¹H NMR spectrum of 15 at room temperature, the isopropyl methyl and methine protons gave rise to one doublet and one septet, respectively, indicative of free rotation about the arylimido ligand. Upon cooling of the sample to -80 °C, restricted rotation resulted in two doublet resonances for the isopropyl methyl protons and two septet resonances for the methine protons, thus requiring that the arylimido ring lie in the $Cl-W(N)-C_{\alpha}$ plane, an arrangement that would minimize steric interactions between the isopropyl groups and the phosphite ligands.

High temperatures were necessary for the complete conversion of 4 and diphenylcyclopropene to the diphenylvinyl alkylidene 15. For example, stirring a 1:1 mixture of diphenylcyclopropene and 4 in a concentrated diethyl ether or diethyl ether/methylene chloride solution for as long as 48 h at room temperature did not lead to complete vinyl alkylidene formation. Instead an orange mixture composed of 4, 15, and what are tentatively assigned as two η^2 -cyclopropene species was isolated. An approximately 2:1 ratio of triplets at 5.31 ($J_{HP} = 5.91 \text{ Hz}$) and 5.36 ($J_{HP} = 5.36$ Hz) ppm in the ¹H NMR spectrum in C₆D₆ was indicative of η^2 -cyclopropene complex formation. These chemical shifts are slightly downfield of that observed for the analogous (dimethylphenyl)imido compound 11, again consistent with weaker binding of the cyclopropene to the sterically more bulky imido precursor. For all other combinations of imido and phosphine/ phosphite ancillary ligands that were studied, only one form of the η^2 -cyclopropene complex was observed.

Reactions of 15 with 2 equiv of $LiOCMe(CF_3)_2$ or $LiO-2,6-C_6H_3(i-Pr)_2$ yielded the mono(phosphite) adducts of the corre-

sponding tungsten alkoxide complexes W(=CHCH=CPh₂)-[N-2,6-C₆H₃(*i*-Pr)₂][OCMe(CF₃)₂]₂[P(OMe)₃] (16) and W-(=CHCH=CPh₂)[N-2,6-C₆H₃(*i*-Pr)₂][O-2,6-C₆H₃(*i*-Pr)₂]₂-[P(OMe)₃] (17) (eq 4). Both complexes were isolated as a mixture



Ar = 2,6-C₆H₃-(*i*-Pr)₂; OR = OCMe(CF₃)₂ (16) or O-2,6-C₆H₃-(*i*-Pr)₂ (17)

of syn and anti rotamers. Difference nOe measurements for 16 are consistent with the synthesis of mainly the anti rotamer, and syn and anti designations for 17 are based on comparisons with analogous vinyl alkylidene complexes.^{28c} These complexes are derivatives of the arylimido metathesis catalysts that were developed by Schrock,³¹ and their metathesis activity will be reported separately.³²

An X-ray diffraction study of 16 provided further confirmation of the ring-opening of diphenylcyclopropene to give the corresponding vinyl alkylidene ligand. An ORTEP diagram of the structure, which closely resembles that of *anti*-W[*trans*-(=CHCH=CHMe)][N-2,6-C₆H₃(*i*-Pr)₂][OCMe(CF₃)₂]₂-(quinuclidene),^{28c} is shown in Figure 3 and selected bond lengths and angles are given in Table V. The geometry of 16 is a distorted trigonal bipyramid with the phosphite ligand and one alkoxide ligand [P(1) and O(2)] occupying the apical positions. The structure supports an s-trans resonance-stabilized diphenylvinyl alkylidene ligand, consistent with the large H_a-H_β coupling constant (14.4 Hz) of this complex.

(2,6-Dimethylphenyl)imido Precursors. The room-temperature reaction of diphenylcyclopropene with the phosphine precursor $WCl_2(N-2,6-C_6H_3Me_2)(PEt_2Ph)_3(1)$ in a mixture of diethyl ether and pentane produced the yellow-orange vinyl alkylidene complex $W(=CHCH=CPh_2)Cl_2(N-2,6-C_6H_3Me_2)(PEt_2Ph)_2$ (18) in good yield as an approximately two to one mixture of rotamers (Scheme Ib). Irradiation of the imido methyl groups of the major rotamer resulted in a 20% nOe enhancement of the alkylidene H_{α} resonance, indicative of an anti arrangement of the two ligands, and correspondingly, irradiation of the imido methyl groups of the minor rotamer caused a 14% enhancement of the alkylidene H_{β} resonance, indicative of a syn arrangement of the two ligands.

In contrast, stirring a mixture of diphenylcyclopropene with the analogous phosphite precursor $WCl_2(N-2,6-C_6H_3Me_2)$ - $[P(OMe)_3]_3$ (3) in diethyl ether gave the yellow η^2 -cyclopropene complex $W(\eta^2$ -diphenylcyclopropene) $Cl_2(N-2,6-C_6H_3Me_2)$ - $[P(OMe)_3]_2$ (11) (Scheme Ia). A room-temperature, concentrated CD_2Cl_2 solution of this compound was monitored over a 24-h period by ¹H, ¹³C, and ³¹P NMR spectroscopy, enabling the

⁽³⁰⁾ Schrock, R. R. In *Reactions of Coordinated Ligands*; Braterman, P. S., Ed.; Plenum: New York, 1986; Vol. 1, pp 221-283.

^{(31) (}a) Schrock, R. R.; DePue, R. T.; Feldman, J.; Schaverien, C. J.; Dewan, J. C.; Liu, A. H. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1988, 110, 1423–1435. (b) Schrock, R. R.; Feldman, J.; Cannizzo, L. F.; Grubbs, R. H. Macromolecules 1987, 20, 1169–1172.

⁽³²⁾ Claverie, J. P.; Lee, T. R.; Grubbs, R. H. Manuscript in preparation.



Figure 3. ORTEP plot of W(=CHCH=CPh₂)[N-2,6-C₆H₃(*i*-Pr)₂]- $[OCMe(CF_3)_2]_2[P(OMe)_3]$ (16). Thermal ellipsoids are drawn at the 50% probability level.

Table V. Selected Bond Lengths and Angles for 16

Bond Lengths (Å)								
W(1) - P(1)	2.505(1)	W(1)-O(1)	1.975(4)					
W(1) - O(2)	1.996(3)	W(1) - N(1)	1.753(4)					
W(1) - C(13)	1.947(5)	C(13)-C(14)	1.450(9)					
C(14)-C(15)	1.363(7)	C(15)-C(16)	1.479(7)					
C(15)–C(22)	1.483(9)							
	Bond Angles (deg)							
P(1)-W(1)-O(1)	81.8(1)	P(1)-W(1)-O(2)	163.7(1)					
O(1)-W(1)-O(2)	84.6(1)	P(1)-W(1)-N(1)	83.6(1)					
O(1)-W(1)-N(1)	146.9(2)	O(2)-W(1)-N(1)	103.3(2)					
P(1)-W(1)-C(13)	86.7(1)	O(1)-W(1)-C(13)	109.8(2)					
O(2)-W(1)-C(13)	106.4(2)	N(1)-W(1)-C(13)	98.8(2)					
W(1)-O(1)-C(31)	150.4(3)	W(1)-O(2)-C(35)	137.5(3)					
W(1)-N(1)-C(1)	168.0(3)	W(1)-C(13)-C(14)	122.2(4)					
C(13)-C(14)-C(15)	127.5(5)	C(14)-C(15)-C(16)	123.1(5)					
C(14)-C(15)-C(22)	118.7(5)							

observation of the clean and complete conversion of the η^2 diphenylcyclopropene species to the diphenylvinyl alkylidene complex W(=CHCH=CPh₂)Cl₂(N-2,6-C₆H₃Me₂)[P(OMe)₃]₂ (19) (Scheme Ic). Addition of excess diphenylcyclopropene to a CD_2Cl_2 solution of 11 slowed the rate of conversion to vinyl alkylidene 19. Conversion to the vinyl alkylidene product was also slowed by the addition of 1 equiv of ketalcyclopropene to 11; a complex mixture of products containing both the diphenylvinyl alkylidene complex 19 and the ketalvinyl alkylidene complex 22-B was obtained.

Phenylimido Precursors. The phenylimido precursors WCl₂- $(NPh)(PMePh_2)_3$ and $WCl_2(NPh)[P(OMe)_3]_3$ (1) formed relatively stable η^2 -cyclopropene complexes, and initial attempts to thermally convert these complexes gave low yields of vinyl alkylidenes. However, there are literature precedents for the ring-opening of cyclopropenes upon photolysis³³ or upon catalysis by HgCl₂,³⁴ and therefore, both of these methods were investigated. Catalytic amounts of HgCl₂ converted CD₂Cl₂ solutions of the η^2 -olefin complexes 9 and 10 to the corresponding tungsten vinyl alkylidenes within several hours (Scheme Id). Conversion was complete within minutes upon addition of 1 equiv of HgCl₂; however, such large amounts of HgCl₂ also slowly catalyzed the decomposition of the vinyl alkylidene complex. Photolyzing dilute solutions of the η^2 -cyclopropene complexes 9 and 10 at 0 °C also promoted rearrangement to the corresponding vinyl alkylidene compounds (Scheme Ie).

Ketalvinyl Alkylidene Complexes. Similar to vinyl alkylidene syntheses from diphenylcyclopropene, an increase in the steric bulk of the tungsten(IV) precursor favored formation of the ketalvinyl alkylidene complex over the corresponding η^2 -ketalcyclopropene complex. Moreover, ring-opening of ketalcyclopropene occurred more readily than for 3,3-diphenylcyclopropene. However, the chemistry was also more complex, as several forms of the ketalvinyl alkylidene were noted. As shown in Scheme II. in addition to syn and anti rotamers of the s-trans-vinyl alkylidene ligand A, the chelating s-cis-vinyl alkylidene ligand B and the ring-opened chelating chloro alkylidene C were also observed and were the thermodynamic products of the reaction. In the remainder of this paper, the formulas for these ketalvinyl alkylidene compounds will be written as $W(CHR_Y)Cl_m(NAr)$ - $(PX_3)_n$, where Y = A-C and denotes the structure of the alkylidene

Spectroscopic Data. The ketalvinyl alkylidenes A-C were distinguished by color and by spectroscopic data. Solutions of A and/or **B** were red, whereas solutions of **C** were green. The characteristic spectroscopic feature of A was the large coupling between H_{α} and H_{β} of the s-trans-ketalvinyl alkylidene ligand, which was similar to that of the diphenylvinyl alkylidene ligand. The H_{α} and C_{α} resonances of A appeared as triplets due to coupling with the two mutually trans PX_3 ligands.

For **B**, difference nOe measurements were consistent with an anti orientation of the alkylidene ligand relative to the imido group and an s-cis arrangement of the double bonds of the alkylidene moiety. The coupling constant between H_{α} and H_{β} was smaller than that of s-trans-vinyl alkylidene ligands and also indicative of s-cis double bonds. These observations implied chelation by the ketalvinyl alkylidene group to tungsten, and the incorporation of only one PX₃ ligand in **B**, as was indicated by the appearance of doublet resonances for H_{α} and C_{α} , further supported the displacement of the other donor ligand by the chelating alkylidene ligand. In addition, the downfield shift of one set of ether methylene protons of the ketal ring in **B** (OC_xH , 5.08 ppm; OC_xH' , 4.82 ppm) was consistent with coordination by the adjacent oxygen atom to tungsten.35

In order to maximize π -bonding in **B**, the alkylidene ligand must be cis to the imido ligand and the alkylidene substituents must lie in the N-W-C_{α} plane;^{28b} this would place the chelating ketal functionality trans to the imido ligand. Given these restrictions, the PX3 and chloride ligands must lie in the equatorial plane, with the PX₃ ligand situated either cis or trans to the alkylidene ligand. The inequivalent chemical shifts of the geminal protons of the two ketal methylene groups that lie closest to tungsten (e.g., C_xHH' and C_yHH') support the asymmetric structure in which the PX₃ ligand occupies a position cis to the alkylidene ligand. The slightly larger coupling of phosphorus to H_{α} and C_{α} in **B** than in **A** can then be explained by the weaker trans effect of chloride versus PX3 ligands leading to tighter binding of PX_3 to tungsten in **B**.³⁶

Difference nOe measurements and a small H_{α} - H_{β} coupling constant supported an anti arrangement of the imido and alkylidene ligands and also an s-cis double bond arrangement in C, again implying chelation by the ketalvinyl alkylidene ligand. However, in contrast to **B**, a marked upfield shift (~ 20 ppm) of

^{(33) (}a) Padwa, A. Org. Photochem. 1979, 4, 261-326. (b) Padwa, A. Acc. Chem. Res. 1979, 12, 310-317. (c) Padwa, A.; Blacklock, T. J.; Cordova, D. M.; Loza, R. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1980, 102, 5648-5656. (34) (a) Bishop, K. C., III. Chem. Rev. 1976, 76, 461-486. (b) Shirafuji, T.; Yamamoto, Y.; Nozaki, H. Tetrahedron Lett. 1971, 4713-4714.

⁽³⁵⁾ Structurally similar, chelating o-methoxybenzylidene complexes have been reported: (a) Johnson, L. K.; Virgil, S. C.; Grubbs, R. H.; Ziller, J. W. J. Am. Chem. Soc. **1990**, 112, 5384–5385. (b) Johnson, L. K.; Frey, M.; Ulibarri, T. A.; Virgil, S. C.; Grubbs, R. H.; Ziller, J. W. J. Am. Chem. Soc., in press

⁽³⁶⁾ Huheey, J. E. Inorganic Chemistry, 3rd ed.; Harper & Row: New York, 1983; p 540.

Table VI. Selected NMR Spectral Data for (2,6-Dimethyl- and (2,6-Diisopropylphenyl)imido Ketalvinyl Alkylidene Complexesª

	Η _α			<u>C</u> α						
ketalvinyl alkylidene complex	δ	J _{HH}	$J_{\rm HP}$	δ	J _{CH}	$J_{\rm CP}$	J _{CW}	C _γ , δ	OCH ₂ , δ	XCH ₂ , δ
$W(CHR_B)Cl_2[N-2.6-C_6H_3(i-Pr)_2][P(OMe)_3]$ (20-B)	11.7	9.81	6.82	260	144	20.7	124	165	68.8	67.6 ^b
$W(CHR_{c})Cl[N-2,6-C_{6}H_{3}(i-Pr)_{2}][P(OMe)_{3}]_{2}$ (20-C)	12.6	8.26	2.30	257		10.2		177	62.3	41.1°
$W(CHR_A)Cl_2(N-2,6-C_6H_3Me_2)(PEt_2Ph)_2(21-A)^d$	12.7*	14.2	3.98	264		11.0				
$W(CHR_A)Cl_2(N-2,6-C_6H_3Me_2)(PEt_2Ph)_2 (21-A)^d$	12.6 ^e	13.6	3.79	270		10.8				
$W(CHR_B)Cl_2(N-2,6-C_6H_3Me_2)(PEt_2Ph)$ (21-B)	11.5e	9.93	5.51							
$W(CHR_C)Cl(N-2,6-C_6H_3Me_2)(PEt_2Ph)_2$ (21-C)	12.2	8.07	2.13	262	134	7.2	119	174	61.9	41.6°
$W(CHR_B)Cl_2(N-2,6-C_6H_3Me_2)Cl_2[P(OMe)_3]$ (22-B)	11.9	9.79	6.80	260	145	20.5	122	165	68.7	67.5 ⁶
$W(CHR_{c})Cl(N-2,6-C_{6}H_{3}Me_{2})[P(OMe)_{3}]_{2}$ (22-C)	12.7	_		258	135	10.0	114	177	62.2	41.0°

^{*a*} All spectra were acquired in CD_2Cl_2 unless indicated otherwise. ^{*b*} X = O. ^{*c*} X = Cl. ^{*d*} Syn or anti rotamer. ^{*e*} C₆D₆.

the ¹³C NMR resonance of one of the ether methylene carbons was observed upon formation of C. An X-ray crystallographic study of C (*vide infra*) indicated that this upfield shift was due to substitution of oxygen by one of the chloride ligands of tungsten.³⁷ Another characteristic feature of C was an approximately 10 ppm downfield shift of C_{γ} , which resulted from the contribution of the enone resonance form to the structure of C.³⁷ Contribution by this resonance form also resulted in a lengthening of the W-C_a bond in C and, hence, in smaller phosphorus couplings to H_a and C_a in C than in A and B (Table VI).

(2,6-Diisopropylphenyl)imido Precursor. Ketalcyclopropene reacted with $WCl_2[N-2,6-C_6H_3(i-Pr)_2][P(OMe)_3]_3$ (4) at room temperature to give the corresponding s-cis-vinyl alkylidene 20-B (Scheme IIb). When the reaction was followed by ¹H NMR spectroscopy, the n^2 -cyclopropene complex was not observed. The reaction yields were highest when a highly concentrated, heterogeneous mixture of the tris(phosphite) precursor 4 in diethyl ether was mixed with a slight excess of ketalcyclopropene, although even under these conditions, some of the tris(phosphite) precursor always remained after all of the ketalcyclopropene had reacted. The nature of the side reaction was not identified. The tris(phosphite) compound was separated from 20-B by washing the reaction mixture with a diethyl ether solution containing 5 equiv of $P(OMe)_3$, and the product was then isolated as a golden bis(phosphite) adduct, which exhibited poor solubility in C₆D₆ and tol- d_8 but dissolved readily in THF- d_8 and CD₂Cl₂.

The ¹H NMR spectrum in CD_2Cl_2 showed only the red mono(phosphite) adduct of the s-cis-vinyl alkylidene **20-B** along with 1 equiv of free P(OMe)₃. In the NOSY spectrum, enhancements were observed between H_a and H_β and also between H_a and the isopropyl methine resonance. At both room temperature and at -80 °C, one isopropyl methine resonance and two isopropyl methyl resonances appeared in the ¹H NMR spectrum of **20-B**; given the proposed geometry of complexes **B**, this observation is consistent with free rotation about the imido ligand at both temperatures.

A reasonable explanation for the poor solubility of the isolated form of **20-B** in C_6D_6 and tol- d_8 and its existence as a bis(phosphite) adduct is that **20-B** precipitates from diethyl ether solution as a cationic species **20-B-P(OMe)**₃ in which a phosphite ligand has displaced a chloride ligand (Scheme III). This would account for the difficulties encountered in redissolving **20-B-P(OMe)**₃ in nonpolar solvents and is consistent with the observed rearrangement of complexes **B** to form **C** (vide infra). Upon dissolution in CH₂Cl₂, the cationic species must rapidly form the observed neutral complex **20-B** (Scheme III).

In CD_2Cl_2 solution and in the solid state, slow conversion of **20-B-P(OMe)**₃ to **20-C** was observed. Specifically, less than 50% of **20-B-P(OMe)**₃ converted to **20-C** during 12 h in a concentrated CD_2Cl_2 solution, and conversion of **20-B-P(OMe)**₃ to **20-C** occurred over a period of months in the solid state at room temperature. Upon removal of 1 equiv of phosphite from the



complex, the rearrangement of neutral 20-B to 20-C was not observed after a few days in CD_2Cl_2 solution.

(2,6-Dimethylphenyl)imido Precursors. The room-temperature reaction of ketalcyclopropene with $WCl_2(N-2,6-C_6H_3Me_2)$ -(PEt₂Ph)₃ (1) resulted in the isolation of a mixture of 21-A, 21-B, and 21-C as a red powder in good yield (Scheme IIb). NMR spectra (¹H, ¹³C, ³¹P) of solutions of this powder were clean but complex, and only the H_{α} and C_{α} resonances were assigned. Large $H_{\alpha}-H_{\beta}$ coupling constants of two of the isomers, which composed 71% of the isomeric mixture, were indicative of syn and anti rotamers of 21-A. The H_{α} resonances of these rotamers appeared at 12.70 (J_{HH} = 14.17 Hz, J_{HP} = 3.98 Hz) and 12.66 (J_{HH} = 13.60 Hz, $J_{\rm HP}$ = 3.79 Hz) ppm, and the C_a chemical shifts appeared at 270.4 and 263.9 ppm. Five percent of the product mixture was the mono(phosphite) adduct 21-B. Consistent with its assigned structure, the $H_{\alpha}-H_{\beta}$ and $H_{\alpha}-P$ coupling constants of 21-B (J_{HH} = 9.93 Hz, J_{HP} = 5.51 Hz) were smaller and larger, respectively, than the analogous couplings observed for H_{α} of 21-A.

The red isomeric mixture turned green upon dissolution in CD₂Cl₂ for less than a day at room temperature, and only the fourth isomer, 21-C, was then observed. Single crystals of 21-C were grown from a saturated pentane solution that was slowly cooled to -20 °C, and the structure of this complex was then determined by an X-ray diffraction study. An ORTEP diagram of 21-C is shown in Figure 4, and Table VII contains selected bond angles and lengths. The geometry of 21-C is basically a distorted octahedron with all of the equatorial ligands lying on the opposite side of the plane from the apical imido ligand. The arylimido ring lies in the N(1)-W(1)-C(1) plane, thus further minimizing unfavorable steric interactions between the imido methyl groups and the equatorial phosphine ligands. The W(1)-C(1) bond length is longer than that of a normal tungsten alkylidene, and a substantial amount of π -delocalization of the chelating five-membered ring is indicated by its structure, which lies intermediate between that of a tungsten enolate and a chelating enone, although the bond lengths are slightly closer to those of the latter resonance form.

The NMR spectra of 21-C are consistent with its structural determination. For example, the H_{α} and C_{α} resonances are coupled to the two mutually trans phosphine ligands and are shifted slightly upfield of the same resonances of 21-A. The

^{(37) (}a) Silverstein, R. M.; Bassler, G. C.; Morrill, T. C. Spectrometric Identification of Organic Compounds, 4th ed.; John Wiley & Sons: New York, 1981; Chapter 5.



Figure 4. ORTEP plot of 21-C. Thermal ellipsoids are drawn at the 50% probability level.

Table VII. Selected Bond	Lengths and .	Angles for 21-C
--------------------------	---------------	-----------------

Bond Lengths (Å)							
W(1)-Cl(1)	2.495(1)	W(1) - P(1)	2.509(1)				
W(1) - P(2)	2.512(1)	W(1)–O(1)	2.191(3)				
W(1) - N(1)	1.766(3)	W(1)-C(1)	2.032(5)				
Cl(2) - C(6)	1.819(8)	O(1)-C(3)	1.275(6)				
O(2)-C(3)	1.350(5)	O(2)–C(4)	1.430(7)				
N(1)-C(7)	1.393(5)	C(1) - C(2)	1.398(6)				
C(2)-C(3)	1.388(7)	C(4) - C(5)	1.519(8)				
C(5)–C(6)	1.430(10)						
Bond Angles (deg)							
Cl(1)-W(1)-P(1)	85.5(1)	Cl(1)-W(1)-P(2)	85.7(1)				
P(1) - W(1) - P(2)	166.1(1)	Cl(1)-W(1)-O(1)	81.0(1)				
P(1)-W(1)-O(1)	83.9(1)	P(2)-W(1)-O(1)	84.2(1)				
CI(1) - W(1) - N(1)	106.6(1)	P(1)-W(1)-N(1)	95.7(1)				
P(2)-W(1)-N(1)	97.1(1)	O(1)-W(1)-N(1)	172.4(1)				
Cl(1)-W(1)-C(1)	154.5(1)	P(1)-W(1)-C(1)	94.7(1)				
P(2)-W(1)-C(1)	88.7(1)	O(1)-W(1)-C(1)	73.8(1)				
N(1)-W(1)-C(1)	98.8(2)	C(3) - O(2) - C(4)	115.7(4)				
W(1)-O(1)-C(3)	114.9(3)	W(1)-C(1)-C(2)	120.0(4)				
W(1)-N(1)-C(7)	177.6(3)	O(1)-C(3)-O(2)	119.4(4)				
C(1)-C(2)-C(3)	111.5(5)	O(2)-C(3)-C(2)	121.0(4)				
O(1)-C(3)-C(2)	119.6(4)	C(4) - C(5) - C(6)	111.8(6)				
O(2)-C(4)-C(5)	109.5(5)	Cl(2)-C(6)-C(5)	113.0(6)				

coupling of these resonances to phosphorus and to tungsten is smaller than the analogous coupling observed in 21-A, indicative of a lengthening of the W-C_{α} bond, and the coupling between H_{α} and H_{β} is relatively small (7.96 Hz) due to the s-cis arrangement of the alkylidene double bonds. In the ¹³C NMR spectrum, only one methylene carbon adjacent to oxygen was observed at 61.9 ppm, the methylene carbon adjacent to chlorine appeared 20 ppm upfield at 41.6 ppm, and C_{γ} was shifted downfield to 174 ppm.

Stirring an extremely concentrated diethyl ether mixture of $WCl_2(N-2,6-C_6H_3Me_2)[P(OMe)_3]_3$ (3) and ketalcyclopropene for several hours yielded the tan η^2 -cyclopropene complex 14 (Scheme IIa). Clean and complete conversion of $W(\eta^2$ -ketalcyclopropene) $Cl_2(N-2,6-C_6H_3Me_2)[P(OMe)_3]_2$ (14) to 22-B (25%) and 22-C (75%) was observed after 14 was dissolved in a concentrated CD_2Cl_2 solution at room temperature for 1 day (Scheme IIc). Addition of 1 equiv of diphenylcyclopropene to 14 slowed its conversion to the vinyl alkylidene product and resulted in the production of a complex mixture of products containing both the diphenylvinyl alkylidene complex 19 and the



ketalvinyl alkylidene complex **22-B**, although the latter complex constituted a larger percentage of the product mixture.

When the same starting materials, 3 and ketalcyclopropene, were stirred together in a more dilute diethyl ether solution (Scheme IIb), the red vinyl alkylidene 22-B was isolated as a mono(phosphite) adduct, which did not undergo a rearrangement when dissolved in CD_2Cl_2 for 12 h or when stored in the solid state for months at room temperature, again indicating that a second equivalent of phosphite is needed to promote the conversion of B to C. An anti arrangement of the alkylidene and imido ligands of 22-B was determined by difference nOe measurements, and the methyl groups of the imido ligand were equivalent at both room temperature and at -80 °C, consistent with free rotation of the arylimido ring.

Phenylimido Precursors. As with the η^2 -diphenylcyclopropene complexes, clean thermal conversion of the η^2 -ketalcyclopropene phenylimido complexes to the corresponding vinyl alkylidene species was not observed. In fact, the cleanest thermal conversion observed thus far for the phenylimido compounds was the decomposition of W(η^2 -ketalcyclopropene)Cl₂(NPh)[P(OMe)₃]₂ (13) in the solid state over a period of several months, which resulted in the formation of the ketalvinyl alkylidene complex along with WCl₂(NPh)[P(OMe)₃]₃ (1) and other products. Although the phenylimido η^2 -ketalcyclopropene complexes 12 and 13 decomposed upon addition of HgCl₂, photochemical conversion to the ketalvinyl alkylidene was observed (Scheme IId).

Mechanism for the Formation of C. A mechanism for the formation of isomer C is proposed in Scheme IV and is based upon the following observations: (1) The s-trans-vinyl alkylidene A was only observed in the reaction with the phosphine precursor $WCl_2(N-2,6-C_6H_3Me_2)(PEt_2Ph)_3$ (2). (2) For the same precursor, only a small percentage of B was observed and overall conversion to C was more rapid than for the phosphite precursors. (3) For the phosphite precursors 2–4, isomer B was formed more rapidly than for the phosphine complex 1 and slower overall conversion to C was then observed in CH_2Cl_2 . (4) In the absence of a second equivalent of phosphite/phosphine, B was stable and did not undergo rearrangement to C. (5) All of the experimental data pointed toward the isolation of 20-B-P(OMe)_3 as a cationic complex, in which a phosphite ligand had displaced one of the chloride ligands.

These observations are consistent with the initial formation of the s-trans-vinyl alkylidene complex A upon ring-opening of ketalcyclopropene. Loss of a phosphite/phosphine ligand from A and rotation to an s-cis-vinyl alkylidene ligand would result in the formation of B (path b). Alternatively, loss of a chloride ligand from A and rotation of the alkylidene ligand would enable trapping of a cationic intermediate $B-PX_3$ (path b'), such as was proposed in the isolation of 20-B. One or both pathways (b and b') may be operating, perhaps depending on the nature of the donating ligand. For example, strong donation by phosphine

Tungsten Vinyl Alkylidene Complexes

ligands would stabilize the cationic intermediate B-PX3 and thus promote rapid rearrangement to C via nucleophilic attack by the chloride counterion on the ether methylene carbon (path d). Poor binding by phosphite ligands would favor path b and thus account for the rapid production of \mathbf{B} by phosphite precursors. The presence of a second equivalent of phosphite would enable the production of the cationic species B·PX3 and, hence, the formation of C.

Conclusion. To summarize, WCl₂(NAr)(PX₃)₃ precursors react with diphenyl- and ketalcyclopropene to give η^2 -cyclopropene complexes and/or vinyl alkylidene complexes. The stability of the η^2 -cyclopropene complexes decreases as the steric bulk of the ancillary ligands increases, and some of the larger precursors do not form observable η^2 -cyclopropene complexes. The cyclopropene to vinyl alkylidene rearrangement is promoted thermally, chemically, and photochemically and is observed thermally for the sterically more bulky tungsten(IV) precursors. Although additional studies are needed in order to determine the mechanism of conversion, a tungsten-catalyzed rearrangement is indicated, as uncatalyzed thermal ring-openings of cyclopropenes normally require higher temperatures than those reported here.38

In general, the results of this work and those of other studies² indicate that the rearrangement of cyclopropenes is a very promising method for the synthesis of transition-metal alkylidene complexes. Not only is it useful for synthesizing alkylidenes of early transition metals, such as titanium,^{2a} zirconium,^{2a} and tungsten, but it can also be used to synthesize functional group tolerant, vinyl alkylidene metathesis catalysts of late metals, such as ruthenium^{2b,c} and rhenium.³⁹

Experimental Section

General Considerations. All manipulations of air- and/or watersensitive compounds were performed using standard high-vacuum or Schlenk techniques. Argon was purified by passage through columns of BASF R3-11 catalyst (Chemalog) and 4-Å molecular sieves (Linde). Solid organometallic compounds were transferred and stored in a nitrogenfilled Vacuum Atmospheres drybox. All photolyses were Pyrex-filtered and conducted with a 450-W high-pressure mercury Hanovia lamp. Temperatures were maintained with a clear Pyrex dewar filled with ice water. NMR spectra were recorded with either a JEOL FX-90Q (89.60 MHz ¹H; 22.53 MHz ¹³C; 36.20 MHz ³¹P), a JEOL GX-400 (399.65 MHz ¹H; 100.40 MHz ¹³C), or a QE-300 Plus (300.10 MHz ¹H; 75.49 MHz¹³C) spectrometer. All coupling constants are reported in Hz. For the ¹H and ¹³C NMR virtual triplet resonances of the trans phosphine/ phosphite ligands, the coupling constant $N = |^2 J_{HP} + {}^4 J_{HP}|$ is given, where N is the separation of the outer lines of the triplet.⁴⁰

Materials. Toluene, benzene, diethyl ether, and tetrahydrofuran were distilled or vacuum-transferred from sodium benzophenone ketyl. p- $Xy lene \, was \, dried \, over \, CaH_2 \, and \, distilled \, under \, argon. \ Methylene \, chloride$ was dried over CaH₂, vacuum-transferred, and then degassed by repeated freeze-pump-thaw cycles. Pentane and hexane were stirred over concentrated H₂SO₄, dried over MgSO₄ and CaH₂, transferred onto sodium benzophenone ketyl solubilized with tetraglyme, and then vacuumtransferred and distilled, respectively. Benzene- d_6 , toluene- d_8 , and THF d_8 were dried over sodium benzophenone ketyl and then vacuum-transferred. Chloroform-d and methylene chloride- d_2 were dried over P_2O_5 , vacuum-transferred, and then degassed by repeated freeze-pump-thaw cycles. Isocyanates were purchased from Trans World Chemicals or Aldrich and purified by fractional distillation under argon. Diphenylcyclopropene,²⁵ ketalcyclopropene,²⁶ PEt₂Ph,⁴¹ WCl₄(O),⁴² WCl₄-

(NPh),¹⁰ and WCl₂(NPh)(PMePh₂)₃¹⁰ were synthesized according to literature methods. PEt₂Ph was purified by vacuum distillation (20 Torr), and $P(OMe)_3$ was vacuum-transferred off Na and then subjected to several freeze-pump-thaw cycles. (CF₃)₂CH₃COH was purchased from PCR, dissolved in Et₂O, and deprotonated with 1 equiv of freshly titrated BuLi.43 White crystals of (CF₃)₂CH₃COLi were obtained by recrystallization at low temperature from a filtered Et_2O /pentane solution. HO-2,6-C₆H₃(*i*-Pr)2 was purchased from Aldrich, purified by fractional distillation under vacuum (8 Torr), and dissolved in Et₂O. White Li-O-2,6-C₆H₃(*i*-Pr)₂ precipitated upon addition of 1 equiv of freshly titrated BuLi.

WCl4(N-2,6-C6H3Me2)(Et2O). 2,6-Dimethylphenylisocyanate (11.2 mL, 80.4 mmol) was added via syringe to a suspension of WCl4(O) (25.02 g, 73.24 mmol) in 115 mL of toluene. After the mixture was refluxed for 48 h, the toluene was removed in vacuo, and the resulting brick-red powder was dissolved in 400 mL of Et₂O. The solution was filtered and then slowly cooled to -50 °C to give 37.2 g of brown crystals in three crops (97.8%): ¹H NMR (C₆D₆) δ 6.75 (d, 2, J = 7.6, H_m), 5.89 (t, 1, J = 7.6, H_p), 4.34 (q, 4, J = 6.9, Et₂O), 3.29 (s, 6, Me), 1.07 (t, 6, J =6.9, Et₂O); ¹³C NMR (C₆D₆) δ 148.3 (C_{ipso}), 145.4 (C_o), 133.9 (C_p), 126.1 (C_m), 65.9 (Et₂O), 17.6 (Me), 13.0 (Et₂O). Alternatively, recrystallization from a 50:50 mixture of Et₂O and THF gave WCl₄(N-2,6-C₆H₃Me₂)(THF). After removal of the Et_2O in vacuo (several days under vacuum), an elemental analysis was obtained for orange-brown WCl4(N-2,6-C6H3Me2). Anal. Calcd for (C8H9Cl4NW): C, 21.60; H, 2.04; N, 3.15. Found: C, 21.96; H, 2.15; N, 3.08.

WCl4[N-2,6-C6H3(i-Pr)2].13 2,6-Diisopropylphenyl isocyanate (11.59 g, 57.00 mmol) was added via cannula to a suspension of $WCl_4(O)$ (19.47 g, 57.00 mmol) in 100 mL of p-xylene. After being refluxed for 12 h, the hot solution was added via cannula to 400 mL of pentane, inducing the precipitation of a red-brown powder. After cooling of the solution to -50 °C, brick-red crystals (25.9 g, 90.5%) were isolated: ¹H NMR $(THF-d_{\theta}) \delta 7.63 (d, 2, J = 8.06, H_m), 6.74 (t, 1, J = 7.69, H_p), 4.62$ (septet, 2, J = 6.59, CHMe₂), 1.37 (d, 12, J = 6.59, CHMe₂); ¹³C NMR (THF-d₈) δ 156.3 (C_o), 146.1 (C_{ipso}), 135.0 (C_p), 122.7 (C_m), 28.2 (CH(CH₃)₂), 26.4 (CH(CH₃)₂).

WCl4[N-2,6-C6H3(i-Pr)2](THF). Brick-red W[N-2,6-C6H3(i-Pr)2]Cl4 (3.02 g, 6.03 mmol) was dissolved in 10 mL of THF and 90 mL of Et₂O. After the solution was filtered, recrystallization at -50 °C gave feathery green crystals (2.58 g, 74.7%): ¹H NMR (CD₂Cl₂) δ 7.59 (d, 2, J = 7.81, H_m), 6.71 (t, 1, J = 7.81, H_p), 4.74 (m, 4, THF), 4.58 (septet, 2, J = 6.59, CHMe₂), 2.17 (m, 4, THF), 1.40 (d, 12, J = 6.59, CHMe₂); ¹³C NMR (CD₂Cl₂) δ 156.3 (C_o), 145.7 (C_{ipso}), 134.7 (C_p), 122.1 (C_m), 74.1 (THF), 27.8 (CH(CH₃)₂), 26.3 (CH(CH₃)₂), 26.1 (THF). Anal. Calcd for (C16H25Cl4NOW): C, 33.54; H, 4.40; N, 2.44. Found: C, 33.72; H, 4.35; N, 2.57.

 $WCl_2(N-2,6-C_6H_3Me_2)(PEt_2Ph)_3(1)$. Diethylphenylphosphine (14.4 mL, ~3.5 equiv) was added via syringe to a green solution of WCl4(N-2,6-C₆H₃Me₂)(Et₂O) (12.25 g, 24.02 mmol) in 140 mL of benzene. The resulting brown solution was transferred via cannula onto a 1% sodium amalgam (1.99 g of Na, 86.6 mmol) and stirred for 11.5 h. The spent amalgam was allowed to settle, and the solution was transferred via cannula into a septum-covered centrifuge tube that had been previously evacuated and back-filled with argon. After being centrifuged, the solution was transferred via cannula into another flask. The spent amalgam was washed twice with a total of 165 mL of benzene, and the resulting solution was also centrifuged. The combined solvent was then removed in vacuo, and the product was washed 3 times with a total of 240 mL of pentane. A tan powder (11.31 g, 53%) was obtained: ${}^{1}H NMR (C_{6}D_{6}) \delta 7.4-6.5 (m,$ 18, Harvi), 3.21 (m, 2, P(CH2CH3)2Ph), 2.59 (m, 2, P(CH2CH3)2Ph), 2.22 (br s, 6, Ar: Me), 2.17-1.84 (m, 8, P(CH₂CH₃)₂Ph), 1.39 (m, 6, $P(CH_2CH_3)_2Ph)$, 0.74 (m, 12, $P(CH_2CH_3)_2Ph)$; ¹³C NMR (C₆D₆) δ 156.5 (Ar: C_{ipso}), 141.5 (t, $J_{CP} = 15.4$, C_{ipso} of mutually trans PEt_2Ph 's), 138.0 (d, $J_{CP} = 29.4$, C_{ipso} of PEt₂Ph trans to Cl), 135.2 (br s, Ar: C_o), 133.3 (d, $J_{CP} = 7.0$, C_o of PEt₂Ph trans to Cl), 131.6 (t, $J_{CP} = 3.8$, C_o of mutually trans PEt₂Ph's), 129.1 and 128.4 (Ar: C_m and C_p of mutually trans PEt_2Ph 's), 128.6 (C_p of PEt_2Ph trans to Cl), 128.0 (t, $J_{CP} = 3.5$, C_m of mutually trans PEt₂Ph's), 127.8 (d, $J_{CP} = 7.5$, C_m of PEt₂Ph trans to Cl), 123.8 (Ar: C_p), 27.5 (d, $J_{CP} = 25.6$, P(CH_2CH_3)₂Ph trans to Cl), 21.4 (Ar: Me), 18.1 (t, N = 22.0, mutually trans P(CH₂CH₃)₂Ph's), 15.8 (t, N = 23.8, mutually trans P(CH₂CH₃)₂Ph's), 11.4 (d, $J_{CP} = 7.7$, P(CH₂CH₃)₂Ph trans to Cl), 8.3 and 8.0 (mutually trans P(CH₂CH₃)₂-Ph's); ³¹P NMR (C₆D₆) δ -6.9 (s, J_{PW} = 356, PEt₂Ph trans to Cl), -9.2 (s, $J_{PW} = 295$, mutually trans PEt₂Ph's). Anal. Calcd for

^{(38) (}a) Reference 26b. (b) York, E. J.; Dittmar, W.; Stevenson, J. R.; Bergman, R. G. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1973, 95, 5680-5687. (c) Davis, J. H.; Goddard, W. A., III; Bergman, R. G. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1977, 99, 2427-2434.

⁽³⁹⁾ Blanski, R. L.; Calabrese, J. C.; Feldman, J.; Flatt, B. T.; Grubbs, R. H. Manuscript in preparation.
(40) Harris, R. K. Can. J. Chem. 1964, 42, 2275-2281.
(41) Mann, F. G.; Chaplin, E. J. J. Chem. Soc. 1937, 527-535.

⁽⁴²⁾ WOCl4 may be purchased commercially or synthesized from: (a) WO₃ and SOCl₂ (Nielson, A. J. In *Inorg Syntheses*; Kirschner, S., Ed.; John Wiley & Sons: New York, 1985; pp 195–199), (b) WCl₆ and MeOSiMe₃ (ref 23), or (c) WCl₆ and (Me₃Si)₂O (Gibson, V. C.; Kee, T. P.; Shaw, A. Polyhedron 1988, 7, 579-580).

⁽⁴³⁾ Lipton, M. F.; Sorensen, C. M.; Sadler, A. C.; Shapiro, R. H. J. Organomet. Chem. 1980, 186, 155-158.

(C₃₈H₅₄Cl₂NP₃W): C, 52.31; H, 6.24; N, 1.61. Found: C, 51.09; H, 5.99; N, 1.47. The high lability of the PEt₂Ph ligands of 1 prevented a more satisfactory analysis from being obtained.

WCl₂(NPh)[P(OMe)₃]₃ (2). Benzene (210 mL) was added to olivegreen WCl₄(NPh) (20.85 g, 50.02 mmol). Subsequent addition of 24 mL of P(OMe)₃ to the WCl₄(NPh) suspension resulted in the formation of a green solution, which was then transferred via cannula onto a 1% sodium amalgam (4.15 g Na). After being stirred for 27 min, the solution turned purple, and the mixture was then allowed to settle. The purple solution was transferred via cannula into another Schlenk flask. After the spent amalgam was washed with 90 mL of benzene, benzene and excess P(OMe)₃ were removed in vacuo. The resulting residue was dissolved in 200 mL of THF, the solution was filtered, and THF was then removed in vacuo. The remaining purple powder was washed with 100 mL of pentane and dried in vacuo (28.60 g, 79.6%): ¹H NMR (CD₂Cl₂) δ 7.25–7.17 (m, 5, H_{aryl}), 3.75 (t, 18, N = 10.8, mutually trans P(OMe)₃'s), 3.63 (d, 9, $J_{HP} = 10.5$, P(OMe)₃ trans to Cl); ¹³C NMR (CD₂Cl₂) δ 156.6 (Cipso), 128.6 (Ar: Cm), 126.1 (Ar: Co), 125.7 (Ar: Cp), 53.3 (d, JCP = 6.6, $P(OMe)_3$ trans to Cl), 52.9 (t, N = 5.2, mutually trans $P(OMe)_3$'s); ³¹P NMR (C₆D₆) δ 141.1 (t, J_{PP} = 22, J_{PW} = 564, P(OMe)₃ trans to Cl), 129.3 (d, $J_{PP} = 22$, $J_{PW} = 454$, mutually trans P(OMe)₃'s). Anal. Calcd for (C15H32Cl2NO9P3W): C, 25.09; H, 4.49; N, 1.95. Found: C, 24.82; H, 4.37; N, 1.99.

WCl2(N-2,6-C6H3Me2)[P(OMe)3]3 (3). Trimethyl phosphite (25.5 mL) was added to a benzene (210 mL) solution of WCl4(N-2,6-C₆H₃Me₂)(THF) (25.86 g, 50.0 mmol). The resulting green solution was transferred via cannula onto a 1% sodium amalgam (4.20 g Na), and the mixture was stirred until it turned purple (1 h). After the mixture settled, the solution was transferred via cannula into another Schlenk flask, and then the spent amalgam was washed with a total of 120 mL of benzene. After benzene and excess P(OMe)3 were removed in vacuo, the remaining solid was dissolved in 180 mL of THF, and the solution was filtered. Next, THF was removed in vacuo, and the product was washed with 100 mL of pentane and dried in vacuo to give 29.86 g (80.0%) of gray powder: ¹H NMR (CD₂Cl₂) δ 6.99 (t, 1, J = 7.6, Ar: H_p), 6.87 $(d, 2, J = 7.6, Ar: H_m), 3.71 (t, 18, N = 10.4, mutually trans P(OMe)_3's),$ 3.68 (d, 9, J_{HP} = 10.5, P(OMe)₃ trans to Cl), 2.46 (s, 6, Ar: Me); ¹³C NMR (CD₂Cl₂) δ 154.0 (Ar: C_{ipso}), 137.9 (Ar: C_o), 127.9 (Ar: C_m), 125.8 (Ar: C_p), 53.2 (d, $J_{CP} = 7.3$, P(OMe)₃ trans to Cl), 52.8 (t, N =5.2, mutually trans P(OMe)₃'s), 19.2 (Ar: CH_3); ³¹P NMR (C₆D₆) δ 144.8 (t, $J_{PP} = 19.5$, $J_{PW} = 568$, P(OMe)₃ trans to Cl), 127.8 (d, $J_{PP} =$ 19.5, $J_{PW} = 456$, mutually trans P(OMe)₃'s). Anal. Calcd for (C17H36Cl2NO9P3W): C, 27.37; H, 4.86; N, 1.88. Found: C, 27.48; H, 4.68: N. 1.87.

WCl2[N-2,6-C6H3(i-Pr)2]P(OMe)3]3 (4). Brick-red WCl4[N-2,6-C₆H₃(*i*-Pr)₂] (25.04 g, 49.99 mmol) was suspended in 210 mL of benzene. Upon addition of 24.8 mL of P(OMe)₃ to the suspension, a green solution was formed. The solution was transferred via cannula onto a 1% sodium amalgam (4.15 g Na), and the mixture was stirred until it turned purple (45 min). After the mixture was allowed to settle, the solution was transferred via cannula into another Schlenk flask, and the spent amalgam was washed with 70 mL of THF. The combined solvents and excess P(OMe)₃ were removed in vacuo, and the solid residue was dissolved in 250 mL of THF and filtered twice. After removal of THF in vacuo, the purple-gray powder was washed with 100 mL of pentane and dried in vacuo to give 31.17 g (77.7%) of product: ¹H NMR (CD₂Cl₂) δ 7.19 (t, 1, J = 7.59, Ar: H_p), 7.01 (d, 2, J = 7.59, Ar: H_m), 4.15 (septet, 2, J = 6.59, CHMe₂), 3.72 (d, 9, J_{HP} = 10.25, P(OMe)₃ trans to Cl), 3.71 (t, 18, N = 10.76, mutually trans P(OMe)₃'s), 1.11 (d, 12, J = 6.59, CHMe₂); ¹³C NMR (CD₂Cl₂) δ 150.9 (Ar: C_{ipso}), 147.9 (Ar: C_o), 126.5 (Ar: C_p), 123.5 (Ar: C_m), 53.5 (d, $J_{CP} = 7.3$, P(OMe)₃ trans to Cl), 52.9 (t, N = 4.4, mutually trans P(OMe)₃'s), 27.3 (CH(CH₃)₂), 25.1 $(CH(CH_3)_2); {}^{31}PNMR(C_6D_6) \delta 143.4(t, J_{PP} = 20, J_{PW} = 566, P(OMe)_3)$ trans to Cl), 128.0 (d, $J_{PP} = 20$, $J_{PW} = 456$, mutually trans P(OMe)₃'s). Anal. Calcd for (C₂₁H₄₄Cl₂NO₉P₃W): C, 31.44; H, 5.53; N, 1.75. Found: C, 31.54; H, 5.52; N, 1.68.

W(PhC=CPh)Cl₂(N-2,6-C₆H₃Me₂)(PEt₂Ph)₂ (5). A 7-mL Et₂O solution of PhC=CPh (314 mg, 1.76 mmol) was added to 1.54 g (1.76 mmol) of WCl₂(N-2,6-C₆H₃Me₂)(PEt₂Ph)₃ (1). After the solution was stirred for 17.5 h, 30 mL of pentane was added in order to precipitate the product. The suspension was filtered, and the light tan powder was washed with an additional 15 mL of pentane and then dried in vacuo to yield 674 mg (43.2%) of 5: ¹H NMR (C₆D₆) δ 7.74–6.64 (m, 23, H_{aryl}), 3.44 (m, 2, P(CH₂CH₃)₂Ph), 2.99 (s, 3, NAr: Me), 2.62 (m, 2, P(CH₂CH₃)₂Ph), 2.08 (s, 3, NAr: Me), 1.99 (m, 2, P(CH₂CH₃)₂Ph), 1.38 (quintet, 6, J = 7.52, P(CH₂CH₃)-

(CH₂CH₃)'Ph), 0.52 (quintet, 6, J = 7.18, P(CH₂CH₃)(CH₂CH₃)'Ph); ¹³C NMR (CD₂Cl₂) δ 157.3 (t, $J_{CP} = 11.57$, PhC=CPh), 153.6 (t, $J_{CP} = 2.33$, NAr: C_{ipso}), 144.2 (PhC=CPh: C_{ipso}), 143.0 and 139.7 (NAr: C_o, C_o'), 133.3 (t, $J_{CP} = 20.11$, PEt₂Ph: C_{ipso}), 130.6 (t, $J_{CP} = 3.81$, PEt₂Ph: C_o), 128.5 (PEt₂Ph: C_p), 129.1, 128.1, and 127.9 (NAr: C_m, C_{m'}, C_p), 127.5 (PhC=CPh: C_m), 127.4 (t, $J_{CP} = 4.28$, PEt₂Ph: C_m), 126.0 (PhC=CPh: C_o), 125.2 (PhC=CPh: C_p), 21.5 (NAr: Me), 19.9 (NAr: Me'), 16.6 (t, N = 25.38, P(CH₂CH₃)(CH₂CH₃)(Ph), 14.9 (t, N = 24.20, P(CH₂CH₃)(CH₂CH₃)(Ph), 8.0 (P(CH₂CH₃)(CH₂CH₃)'Ph), 7.3 (t, $J_{CP} = 3.10$, P(CH₂CH₃)(CH₂CH₃)(Ph); ³¹P NMR (C₆D₆) δ 6.80 ($J_{PW} = 203$). The high lability of the PEt₂Ph ligands of 5 prevented a satisfactory analysis from being obtained.

W(H2C=CH2)Ch2N-2,6-C6H3(i-Pr)2[P(OMe)3]2 (6). Purple WCl2[N- $2,6-C_6H_3(i-Pr)_2$ [P(OMe)₃]₃ (4) (1.56 g, 1.79 mmol) was dissolved in 20 mL of toluene, and the resulting solution was stirred under 1 atm of ethylene for 0.5 h at room temperature. Orange crystals precipitated from the toluene solution at -50 °C, and subsequent addition of the supernatant to 60 mL of rapidly stirring pentane yielded a golden yellow powder. A total of 0.80 g (64%) was isolated: ¹H NMR (C_6D_6) δ 6.89-6.87 (s, 3, H_{aryl}), 3.95 (br m, 2, $CH(CH_3)_2$), 3.55 (t, 18, N = 10.38, P(OMe)₃), 3.25 (m, 2, HH'C=CHH', protons anti to the imido ligand), 2.85 (m, 2, HH'C=CHH', protons syn to the imido ligand), 1.23 (d, 12, J = 6.75, CH(CH₃)₂); ¹³C NMR (CD₂Cl₂) δ 150.0 (t, $J_{CP} = 2.9$, J_{CW} = 33, Ar: C_{ipso}), 149.8 (Ar: C_o), 127.5 (Ar: C_p), 123.5 (Ar: C_m), 53.65 $(t, N = 6.0, P(OMe)_3), 42.3 (t, J_{CH} = 160.6, J_{CP} = 5.62, J_{CW} = 29,$ H₂C=CH₂), 26.9 (CH(CH₃)₂), 24.4 (CH(CH₃)₂); ³¹P NMR (CD₂Cl₂) δ 120.48 (J_{PW} = 385.7); NOEDS (C₆D₆) irradiation at 3.95 ppm, δ 2.85 (8.1% NOE); irradiation at 3.25 ppm, δ 2.85 (20.2% NOE); irradiation at 2.85 ppm, § 3.95 (8.0% NOE), 3.25 (20.6% NOE). Anal. Calcd for (C₂₀H₃₉Cl₂NO₆P₂W): C, 34.01; H, 5.57; N, 1.98. Found: C, 34.33; H, 5.65; N. 1.91.

 $W(PhC = CH)Cl_2(N-2,6-C_6H_3Me_2)[P(OMe)_3]_2(7)$. A 15-mL benzene solution of phenylacetylene (1.09 g, 10.7 mmol) was transferred via cannula onto a 40-mL purple benzene solution of WCl₂(N-2,6-C₆H₃Me₂)-[P(OMe)₃]₃ (7.74 g, 10.4 mmol). The solution turned golden brown after stirring for 1 h at 25 °C and then for 1 h at 44 °C. The solvent and free $P(OMe)_3$ were removed in vacuo to yield a yellow powder, which was moderately soluble in Et₂O and toluene. Recrystallization from these solvents yielded 6.45 g (85.8%) of yellow product: $^{1}HNMR (C_{6}D_{6})$ δ 10.43 (dd, 1, J_{HP} = 16.85, 5.62, PhC=CH), 7.74 (d, 2, J = 7.57, $PhC = CH: C_o), 7.26 (t, 2, J = 7.81, PhC = CH: C_m), 7.05 (t, 1, J = CH: CH: CH: CM: CH: CH: CH: CH: CH: CH: CH: CH: CH$ 7.57, PhC = CH: C_p), 6.68 (d, 2, J = 7.81, NAr: C_m), 6.63 (t, 1, J =7.57, NAr: C_p), 3.58 (dd, 9, J = 9.3, 0.98, P(OMe)₃), 3.42 (dd, 9, J =9.3, 0.98, P(OMe)₃), 2.6 (br s, 6, NAr: Me₂); ¹³C NMR (CD₂Cl₂) δ 153.1 (t, $J_{CP} = 2.9$, NAr: C_{ipso}), 148.6 (dd, $J_{CP} = 21.3$, 6.6, PhC=CH), 142.6 (t, PhC=CH: C_{ipso}), 140.8 (br s, NAr: C_o), 135.6 (m, $J_{CH} =$ 217.6, J_{CP} = 28.2, 7.7, PhC=CH), 128.5, 127.9, 127.8, 127.5, and 126.7 (NAr: C_m, C_p and PhC = CH: C_o, C_m, C_p), 54.4 (d, $J_{CP} = 6, P(OMe)_3$), 52.9 (d, $J_{CP} = 6$, P(OMe)₃), 20.0 (NAr: Me₂); ³¹P NMR (CD₂Cl₂) δ 118.8 ($J_{PW} = 354$), 117.6 ($J_{PW} = 366$); NOEDS (C_6D_6) irradiation at 10.43 ppm, & 3.58 (1.2% NOE), 2.6 (2.2% NOE); irradiation at 3.58 ppm, δ 10.43 (7.3% NOE); irradiation at 3.42 ppm, no NOE's; irradiation at 2.6 ppm, § 10.43 (6.9% NOE), 7.74 (5.8% NOE), 6.68 (12.2% NOE), 3.58 (0.5% NOE), 3.42 (0.6% NOE). Anal. Calcd for (C22H33Cl2NO6P2W): C, 36.49; H, 4.59; N, 1.93. Found: C, 36.78; H, 4.51: N. 1.95.

Observation of W(PhC=CH)Cl₂(N-2,6-C₆H₃Me₂)[P(OMe)₃] (8). Even in concentrated solutions (tol- d_8 , C₆D₆, THF- d_8 , CD₂Cl₂), 7 was observed to be in equilibrium with the monophosphite adduct 8. After equimolar amounts of CuCl and 7 were dissolved in CD₂Cl₂ for 24 h, only 8 was observed: ¹H NMR (CD₂Cl₂) δ 10.72 (d, 1, $J_{HP} = 20.03$, $J_{HW} = 9.71$, PhC=CH), 7.81-6.75 (m, 8, H_{aryl}), 3.85 (d, 9, $J_{HP} = 10.71$, W(P(OMe)₃)), 3.67 (d, 9, $J_{HP} = 12.59$, Cu(P(OMe)₃)), 2.32 (s, 6, NAr: Me₂); ¹³C NMR (CD₂Cl₂, selected C_{aryl} only) δ 161.3 (d, $J_{CP} = 7.55$, PhC=CH), 153.1 (d, $J_{CP} = 3.49$, NAr: C_{ipso}), 138.4 (m, PhC=CH), 136.6 ($J_{CP} = 3.78$, PhC=CH: C_{ipso}), 54.0 (d, $J_{CP} = 6.58$, W(P(OMe)₃)), 51.2 (Cu(P(OMe)₃)), 19.14 (NAr: Me₂); ³¹P NMR (CD₂Cl₂) δ 130.44 ($J_{PW} = 405$).

W(HC=CHCPh₂)Cl₂(NPh)(PMePh₂)₂ (9). 3,3-Diphenylcyclopropene (420 mg, 2.19 mmol) was dissolved in 15 mL of toluene, and then the solution was added via cannula to a 90-mL toluene solution of WCl₂(NPh)(PMePh₂)₃ (2.01 g, 2.13 mmol). After the reaction mixture was stirred for 9 h, all but ~10 mL of the toluene was removed in vacuo. Addition of 30 mL of pentane and filtration yielded 1.45 g (76.5%) of yellow powder, which was dried under vacuum: ¹H NMR (CD₂Cl₂) δ

7.68–6.59 (m, 33, H_{aryl}), 5.51 (d, 2, NPh: H_o), 3.75 (t, 2, $J_{HP} = 5.63$, HC=CH), 2.47 (t, 6, N = 9.18, $PMePh_2$); ¹³C NMR (CD₂Cl₂; only select C_{aryl} chemical shifts are listed) δ 153.2 and 153.1 (NAr: C_{ipso} and CPhPh': C_{ipso}), 144.6 (CPhPh': C'_{ipso}), 134.1 (PMePhPh': C_{ipso}), 130.5 (PMePhPh': C'_{ipso}), 72.4 (t, $J_{CH} = 195, J_{CP} = 9, J_{CW} = 45, HC=CH),$ 69.7 (CPh₂), 12.1 (t, $N = 32, PMePh_2$); ³¹P NMR (CD₂Cl₂) δ 4.7 ($J_{PW} = 208$).

Stability: Complex 9 was stable in the solid state for months at room temperature and stable for at least 12 h in CD_2Cl_2 solution at room temperature.

W(HC=CHCPh₂)Cl₂(NPh)[P(OMe)₃]₂ (10). A 6-mL Et₂O solution of 3,3-diphenylcyclopropene (154 mg, 0.803 mmol) was added to a purple suspension of WCl₂(NPh)[P(OMe)₃]₃ (538 mg, 0.749 mmol) in 6 mL of Et₂O. A yellow precipitate formed as the reaction mixture was stirred for 23 h. Pentane (10 mL) was added to the suspension, the reaction mixture was filtered, and the pale yellow powder (477 mg, 80.9%) was dried in vacuo: ¹H NMR (CD₂Cl₂) δ 7.22–6.67 (m, 13, H_{aryl}), 6.31 (d, 2, J = 6.74, NPh: H_m), 4.50 (t, 2, J_{HP} = 6.01, HC=CH), 3.92 (t, 18, N = 10.48, P(OMe)₃); ¹³C NMR (CD₂Cl₂) δ 152.9 (CPhPh': C_{ipso}), 152.8 (t, J_{CP} = 3.3, NPh: C_{ipso}), 143.1 (CPhPh': C'_{ipso}), 131.7, 128.1, 125.9 and 125.4 (NPh: C_p and CPhPh': C_p, C'_p), 125.8 (t, J_{CP} = 3.3, NPh: C₀), 64.8 (t, J_{CH} = 193, J_{CP} = 16, J_{CW} = 37, HC=CH), 64.3 (CPh₂), 54.4 (t, N = 6.6, P(OMe)₃); ³¹P NMR (CD₂Cl₂) δ 118.1 (J_{PW} = 364); ³¹P NMR (tol-d₈) δ 117.6 (J_{PW} = 361).

Stability: Complex 10 was stable in the solid state for months at room temperature; after 10 was dissolved for ~ 12 h in CD₂Cl₂ solution at room temperature, partial decomposition and conversion to the vinyl alkylidene was observed.

W(HC=CHCPh₂)Cl₂(N-2,6-C₆H₃Me₂)[P(OMe)₃]₂ (11). A 30-mL Et₂O solution of 3,3-diphenylcyclopropene (392 mg, 2.04 mmol) was added via cannula to a 1.52 g (2.04 mmol) suspension of WCl₂(N-2,6-C₆H₃Me₂)[P(OMe)₃]₃ in 120 mL of Et₂O. After being stirred for several hours, the reaction mixture was filtered, and the yellow powder (668 mg, 40.2%) was dried in vacuo and stored at -30 °C: ¹H NMR (CD₂Cl₂) δ 7.35-6.49 (m, 13, H_{aryl}), 4.74 (t, 2, J_{HP} = 5.79, HC=CH), 3.99 (t, 18, N = 9.08, P(OMe)₃), 2.22 (s, 6, NAr: Me); ¹³C NMR (CD₂Cl₂) δ 153.2 (J_{CW} = 5.4, CPhPh': C_{ipso}), 151.2 (t, J_{CP} = 5.4, NAr: C_{ipso}), 142.1 (CPhPh': C'_{ipso}), 136.1 (NAr: C₀), 132.3, 127.7, 127.5, 127.0, and 126.6 (NAr: C_m and CPhPh': C_p, C'_p), 66.7 (J_{CW} = 2.9, CPh₂), 66.2 (t, J_{CH} = 194, J_{CP} = 15, J_{CW} = 40, HC=CH), 55.3 (t, N = 8, P(OMe)₃), 19.7 (NAr: Me₂); ³¹P NMR (CD₂Cl₂) δ 109.8 (J_{PW} = 379, P(OMe)₃). Anal. Calcd for (C₂₉H₃₉Cl₂NO₆P₂W): C, 42.77; H, 4.83; N, 1.72. Found: C, 42.63; H, 4.73; N, 1.48.

Stability: After ~ 12 h in CD₂Cl₂ solution at room temperature, conversion of 11 to the corresponding vinyl alkylidene 19 was observed.

W(HC=CHCOCH2CH2CH2CH2O)Cl2(NPh)[P(OMe)2]2(12). A 20-mL Et₂O solution of ketalcyclopropene (247 mg, 2.20 mmol) was added via cannula to a suspension of WCl₂(NPh)(PMePh₂)₃ (2.01 g, 2.13 mmol) in a mixture of 130 mL of Et₂O and 50 mL of toluene. After being stirred for 12 h, the reaction mixture was filtered to yield 643 mg (35.2%) of yellow powder, which was dried under vacuum: ¹H NMR (CD₂Cl₂) δ 7.67–6.86 (m, 25, H_{aryl}), 3.74 (t, 2, J = 5.07, OCH₂), 3.66 (t, 2, J = 5.15, OCH_2), 3.30 (t, 2, J_{HP} = 5.71, HC=CH), 2.35 (t, 6, N = 8.79, PMePh₂), 1.69 (quintet, 2, J = 5.22, CH₂CH₂CH₂); ¹³C NMR (CD₂Cl₂) δ 154.1 (NPh: C_{ipso}), 134.2 (t, $J_{CP} = 23.1$, PMePhPh': C_{ipso}), 133.8 (t, $J_{CP} =$ 4.4, PMePhPh': C_o), 132.9 (t, J_{CP} = 4.4, PMePhPh': C'_o), 131.4 (t, J_{CP} = 21.6, PMePhPh': C_{ipso}), 130.1 (2, PMePhPh': C_p , C'_p), 128.1 (t, J_{CP} = 4.8, PMePhPh': C_m) 128.0 (t, J_{CP} = 4.4, PMePhPh': C'_m), 127.8 and 127.5 (NPh: Co, Cm), 127.2 (NPh: Cp), 106.4 (HC=CHCO₂), 67.5 (t, $J_{CH} = 188, J_{CP} = 9.2, J_{CW} = 41.6, HC = CH), 67.0 (OCH_2), 66.4 (OCH_2),$ 26.3 (CH₂CH₂CH₂), 12.0 (t, N = 31.6, PMePh₂); ³¹P NMR (CD₂Cl₂) δ 5.1 (J_{PW} = 212). Anal. Calcd for (C₃₈H₃₉Cl₂NO₂P₂W): C, 53.17; H, 4.58; N, 1.63. Found: C, 52.78; H, 4.38; N, 1.22.

Stability: Complex 12 was stable for months in the solid state at room temperature and also stable for at least 12 h in CD_2Cl_2 solution at room temperature.

5, H_{aryl}), 4.14 (t, 2, J_{HP} = 5.98, HC=CH), 4.03 (t, 2, J = 5.39, OCH₂), 3.86 (t, 18, N = 10.62, P(OMe)₃), 3.65 (t, 2, J = 5.22, OCH₂), 1.70 (quintet, 2, J = 5.23, CH₂CH₂CH₂); ¹³C NMR (CD₂Cl₂) δ 153.0 (t, J_{CP} = 3.1, NPh: C_{ipso}), 127.5 (NPh: C_m), 126.7 (NPh: C_p), 126.4 (t, J_{CP} = 2.8, NPh: C_o), 103.5 (HC=CH-CO₂), 66.5 (OCH₂), 65.2 (OCH₂), 59.9 (t, J_{CH} = 209.9, J_{CP} = 15.3, J_{CW} = 36.6, HC=CH), 52.3 (t, N = 4.0, P(OMe)₃), 25.7 (CH₂CH₂CH₂); ³¹P NMR (CD₂Cl₂) δ 120 (J_{PW} = 361, P(OMe)₃); ¹H NMR (C₆D₆) δ 7.47 (d, 2, J = 7.58, NPh: H_o), 6.98 $(t, 2, J = 7.83, NPh: H_m), 6.81 (t, 1, J = 7.51, NPh: H_p), 4.81 (t, 2, J)$ $J_{\rm HP} = 5.77, J_{\rm HW} = 1.74, \rm HC = CH), 3.96$ (t, 2, $J = 5.42, \rm OCH_2$ anti to tungsten), 3.72 (t, 2, J = 5.52, OCH₂ syn to tungsten), 3.60 (t, 18, N =10.62, $P(OMe)_3$, 1.46 (quintet, 2, J = 5.39, $CH_2CH_2CH_2$); NOEDS (C_6D_6) irradiation at 4.81 ppm, no NOEs; irradiation at 3.96 ppm, δ 4.81 (5.2% NOE); irradiation at 3.72 ppm, δ 7.47 (4.5% NOE). Anal. Calcd for (C₁₈H₃₁Cl₂NO₈P₂W): C, 30.62; H, 4.42; N, 1.98. Found: C, 29.86; H, 4.26; N, 1.91.

Stability: Solid 13 decomposed to a black, sticky oil when stored at room temperature for 5 months. Partial decomposition and conversion of 13 to the vinyl alkylidene was observed after ~ 12 h in CD₂Cl₂ solution at room temperature.

W(HC=CHCOCH2CH2CH2O)Cl2(N-2,6-C6H3Me2)[P(OMe3]2 (14). A 10-mL Et₂O solution of ketalcyclopropene (458 mg, 4.08 mmol) was added to 3.00 g (4.02 mmol) of WCl₂(N-2,6-C₆H₃Me₂)[P(OMe)₃]₃, and the resulting suspension was stirred for 13 h. Removal of the solvent and free P(OMe)₃ in vacuo yielded a pale yellow powder, which was washed with one 30-mL portion and one 150-mL portion of pentane. The tan product (2.58 g, 87.4%) was dried under vacuum and stored at -30 °C in the drybox freezer: ¹H NMR (CD₂Cl₂) δ 7.02–6.78 (m, 3, H_{aryl}), 4.27 (t, 2, $J_{HP} = 5.91$, HC=CH), 3.98 (t, 2, J = 5.32, OCH₂), 3.89 (t, 18, N = 10.30, P(OMe)₃), 3.34 (t, 2, J = 5.43, OCH₂), 2.50 (s, 6, NAr: Me₂), 1.60 (quintet, 2, J = 5.31, CH₂CH₂CH₂); ¹³C NMR (CD₂Cl₂) δ 150.9 (t, $J_{CP} = 2$, $J_{CW} = 16$, NAr: C_{ipso}), 138.3 (NAr: C_o), 126.9 (NAr: C_m), 126.1 (NAr: C_p), 104.0 (HC=CH-CO₂), 66.7 (OCH₂), 64.9 (OCH_2) , 61.5 (t, $J_{CH} = 193.7$, $J_{CP} = 14.9$, $J_{CW} = 31.2$, HC=CH), 54.5 $(t, N = 6.90, P(OMe)_3), 25.8 (CH_2CH_2CH_2), 18.8 (NAr: Me_2); {}^{31}P$ NMR (CD₂Cl₂) δ 113 (J_{PW} = 376, P(OMe)₃). Anal. Calcd for (C20H35Cl2NP2O8W): C, 32.72; H, 4.81; N, 1.91. Found: C, 33.01; H, 4.71; N. 1.59.

Stability: Conversion of 14 to the vinyl alkylidenes 22-B and 22-C was observed after ~ 12 h in CD₂Cl₂ solution at room temperature.

 $W(=CHCH=CPh_2)Cl_2[N-2,6-C_6H_3(i-Pr)_2][P(OMe)_3]_2$ (15). A 30-mL benzene solution of 3,3-diphenylcyclopropene (1.84 g, 9.55 mmol) was added via cannula to a 60-mL benzene solution of WCl₂[N-2,6- $C_6H_3(i-Pr)_2$ [P(OMe)₃]₃ (7.12 g, 8.88 mmol), and the reaction mixture was then stirred for 2 h at 80 °C. The solvent was removed in vacuo, and the resulting orange oil was left under dynamic vacuum for an additional 12 h. The product was then dissolved in 95 mL of THF, and the resulting orange solution was filtered. After all but 10 mL of THF was removed in vacuo, addition of 150 mL of pentane yielded 5.50 g (72.1%) of orange powder: ¹H NMR [tol-d₈, room temperature, 90 MHz (broad multiplets were observed for the CHMe2 protons at higher fields due to slow rotation about the arylimido ligand)] δ 12.85 (d of t, 1, J_{HH} = 12.75, J_{HP} = 6.37, H_{α}), 10.23 (d of t, 1, J_{HH} = 12.75, J_{HP} = 2.45, H_{β}), 7.6–7.0 (m, 13, H_{aryl}), 4.56 (br m, 2, CHMe₂), 3.58 (t, 18, N = 10.90, $P(OMe)_3$, 1.15 (d, 12, J = 6.37, $CHMe_2$); ¹H NMR (CD_2Cl_2 , 300 MHz, -80 °C) δ 12.6 (d of t, 1, H_a), 9.36 (d, 1, J_{HH} = 12.02, H_b), 7.44-7.00 (m, 13, Haryl), 4.30 (m, 1, CHMe2), 3.91 (m, 1, CH'Me2), 3.65 $(t, 18, P(OMe)_3), 1.09 (d, 6, J = 5.82, CHMe_2), 0.65 (d, 6, J = 5.35, CHMe_2), 0.65 (d, 6$ CHMe'₂); ¹³C NMR (CD₂Cl₂) δ 276.8 (t, J_{CH} = 129.9, J_{CP} = 18.2, J_{CW} = 122.9, C_{α}), 150.5 (br s, NAr: C_o), 149.0 (t, J_{CP} = 3.0, NAr: C_{ipso}), 142.8 (t, $J_{CP} = 5.9$, C_{γ}), 141.1 (t, $J_{CP} = 2.3$, $CPhPh': C_{ipso}$), 139.5 $(CPhPh': C_{ipso}), 139.0 (t, J_{CH} = 158.7, J_{CP} = 5.8, C_{\beta}), 131.6 (CPhPh':$ Co), 129.7 (CPhPh': Co), 128.5 (CPhPh': Cp), 128.3 (CPhPh': Cm), 128.2 (NAr: C_m), 128.1 (CPhPh': C_p), 128.0 (CPhPh': C_m), 123.1 (NAr: C_p), 53.3 (t, N = 4.6, P(OMe)₃), 27.4 (CHMe₂), 24.5 (CHMe₂); ³¹P NMR (tol- d_8) δ 130.4 (J_{PW} = 439, P(OMe)₃); NOEDS (C₆D₆) irradiation at 12.85 ppm, δ 10.23 (5.9% NOE), 4.56 (12.4% NOE), 1.15 (2.8% NOE); irradiation at 10.23, & 12.85 (3.3% NOE), 1.15 (1.9% NOE); irradiation at 4.56 ppm, δ 12.85 (19.2% NOE), 1.15 (3.3% NOE); irradiation at 1.15, § 12.85 (6.6% NOE), 4.56 (21.6% NOE). Anal. Calcd for (C33H47Cl2NO6P2W): C, 45.54; H, 5.44; N, 1.61. Found: C, 44.96; H, 5.34; N, 1.58.

W(=CHCH=CPh₂)[N-2,6-C₆H₃(*i*-Pr)₂][OCMe(CF₃)₂]₂[P(OMe)₃] (16). A 30-mL THF solution of $(CF_3)_2$ MeCOLi (878 mg, 4.67 mmol) was cooled to -78 °C and added via cannula over a period of 15 min to

W(HC=CHCOCH₂CH₂CH₂C)Cl₂(NPh)[P(OMe)₃]₂ (13). An 8-mL Et₂O solution of ketalcyclopropene (220 mg, 1.96 mmol) was added to 1.10 g (1.53 mmol) of purple WCl₂(NPh)[P(OMe)₃]₃. The suspension was stirred for 23 h, washed with 75 mL of pentane, and then filtered. The pale yellow powder (985 mg, 91.5%) was dried in vacuo and stored at -30 °C in the drybox freezer: ¹H NMR (CD₂Cl₂) δ 7.25-7.09 (m,

a 30-mL THF solution of W[=CHCH=CPh2]Cl2[N-2,6-C6H3(i-Pr)2][P(OMe)3] (2.02 g, 2.35 mmol) also cooled to -78 °C. After the addition was complete, the orange solution was allowed to warm to room temperature as it was stirred for a total of 7.5 h. After the solvent and free P(OMe)₃ were removed in vacuo, the yellow powder was dissolved in Et₂O and the resulting solution was filtered and cooled to -50 °C to give yellow crystals (1.56 g, 64.0%): ¹H NMR (CD₂Cl₂) anti rotamer, δ 12.25 (dd, J_{HH} = 14.41, J_{HP} = 8.06, H_{α}), 8.72 (d, J = 14.16, H_{β}), 7.51-7.02 (m, 13, H_{arvl}), 3.74 (septet, 1, J = 6.59, CHMe₂), 3.60 (d, 9, $J_{\rm HP} = 10.25$, P(OMe)₃), 3.53 (m, 1, C'HMe₂, overlaps with syn: $P(OMe)_3)$, 1.88 (s, 3, $OCMe(CF_3)_2)$, 1.35 (s, 3, $OC'Me(CF_3)_2)$, 1.27 (d, 3, J = 6.84, CHMeMe'), 1.23 (d, 3, J = 6.34, CHMeMe'), 1.09 (d, 3, J = 6.83, C'HMeMe'), 1.00 (d, 3, J = 6.83, C'HMeMe'); syn rotamer, δ 11.62 (dd, 1, $J_{\text{HH}} = 11.00$, $J_{\text{HP}} = 5.13$, H_{α}), 8.72 (d, 1, H_{β} , overlaps with anti: H_{β}), 3.53 (d, 9, $J_{HP} = 10.25$, P(OMe)₃), 1.73 (s, 3, OC $Me(CF_3)_2$), 1.48 (s, 3, OC $Me(CF_3)_2$), 1.3–1.2 (m, 12, CH Me_2 , overlaps with anti: CHMe₂); ¹³C NMR (CD₂Cl₂) anti rotamer, δ 264.3 $(d, J_{CH} = 150.6, J_{CP} = 20.7, J_{CW} = 153.4, C_{\alpha}), 151.0 (d, J_{CP} = 3.0, NAr:$ C_{ipso}), 147.6 (d, J_{CP} = 2.5, NAr: C_o), 146.0 (d, J_{CP} = 2.5, NAr: C'_o), 140.3 (d, J_{CP} = 3.0, CPhPh': C_{ipso}), 138.9 (d, J_{CP} = 2.0, CPhPh': C_{ipso}), 136.0 (d, $J_{CP} = 7.1$, C_{γ}), 131.3 (CPhPh': C_o), 129.2 (CPhPh': C_o), 128.7 (CPhPh': C_m), 128.6 (d, NAr: C_m), 128.6 (CPhPh': C_p), 128.5 $(CPhPh': C_m)$, 128.4 (d, J = 2.5, NAr: C'_m), 128.1 ($CPhPh': C_p$), 127.1 (NAr: C_p), 125.2 (q, J_{CF} = 287, OCMe(CF_3)(CF_3)'), 124.94 (q, J_{CF} = 290, OCMe(CF₃)(CF₃)'), 124.87 (q, $J_{CF} = 290$, OC'Me(CF₃)(CF₃)'), 124.8 (q, J_{CF} = 188, OC'Me(CF₃)(CF₃)'), 122.9 (d, J_{CH} = 157.6, J_{CP} = 6.6, C_{β}), 82.1 (septet, J_{CF} = 29.0, $OCMe(CF_3)_2$), 80.8 (septet, J_{CF} = $28.3, OC'Me(CF_3)_2), 53.4 (d, J_{CP} = 7.1, P(OMe)_3), 29.9 (CHMe_2), 28.3$ (C'HMe2), 24.0 (CHMe2), 23.54 (C'HMeMe'), 23.50 (C'HMeMe'), 19.4 (OCMe(CF₃)₂), 17.8 (OCMe'(CF₃)₂); syn rotamer, 256.3 (d, J_{CP} = 21.7, C_{α} ; ³¹P NMR (CD₂Cl₂) anti rotamer, δ 147.6 (J_{CW} = 476); syn rotamer, δ 147.9 (J_{CW} = 481). Anal. Calcd for ($C_{38}H_{44}F_{12}NO_5PW$): C, 43.99; H, 4.27; N, 1.35. Found: C, 44.22; H, 4.14; N, 1.32.

 $W(=CHCH=CPh_2)[N-2,6-C_6H_3(i-Pr)_2][O-2,6-C_6H_3(i-Pr)_2]_2$ [P(OMe)_3]2 (17). A mixture of W(=CHCH=CPh_2)Cl_2[N-2,6-C_6H_3-(i-Pr)₂][P(OMe)₃]₂ (3.53 g, 4.11 mmol) and LiO-2,6-C₆H₃(i-Pr₂)₂ (1.51 g, 8.17 mmol) was suspended in 40 mL of cold (-78 °C) Et₂O. The solution was allowed to warm to room temperature as it was stirred for a total of 1.5 h. The solvent and free $P(OMe)_3$ were removed in vacuo, and then the product was dissolved in 120 mL of hexane. The solution was filtered, concentrated to ~ 90 mL, and then cooled to yield 2.96 g (70.0%) of a deep yellow powder. Two isomers were observed in a 61:39 ratio, and the diagnostic NMR signals are as follows: ¹H NMR (CD₂Cl₂) major isomer, δ 12.24 (dd, 1, J_{HH} = 11.37, J_{HP} = 6.31, H_{α}), 8.89 (d, 1, $J = 11.30, H_{\beta}$; 3.68 (d, 9, $J_{HP} = 10.39, P(OMe)_3$); minor isomer, $\delta 12.38$ $(dd, 1, J_{HH} = 14.28, J_{HP} = 7.89, H_{\alpha}), 9.32 (d, 1, J_{HH} = 14.55, H_{\beta}), 3.70$ $(d, 9, J_{HP} = 10.33, P(OMe)_3); {}^{13}C NMR (CD_2Cl_2) major isomer \delta 252.9$ $(d, J_{CH} = 125.4, J_{CP} = 22.2, J_{CW} = 163.8, C_{\alpha}), 150.8 (J_{CW} = 31.1, NAr:$ C_{ipso}), 53.3 (J_{CP} = 7.0, P(OMe)₃); minor isomer, δ 258.9 (d, J_{CH} = 152.2, $J_{CP} = 20.8, J_{CW} = 156.1, C_{\alpha}$, 151.6 ($J_{CW} = 35.3$, NAr: C_{ipso}), 53.2 (J_{CP} = 6.5, P(OMe)₃); ³¹P NMR (CD₂Cl₂) major isomer, δ 145.3 (J_{PW} = 459); minor isomer δ 146.6 (J_{PW} = 435). Anal. Calcd for (C₅₄-H₇₂NO₅PW): C, 62.97; H, 7.09; N, 1.36. Found: C, 61.95; H, 7.17; N. 1.37.

W(=CHCH=CPh₂)Cl₂(N-2,6-C₆H₃Me₂)(PEt₂Ph)₂ (18). A 6-mL Et₂O solution of 3,3-diphenylcyclopropene (469 mg, 2.44 mmol) was added to a Schlenk flask containing 2.02 g (2.31 mmol) of tan WCl₂(N-2,6-C₆H₃Me₂)(PEt₂Ph)₃. The solution was stirred for 0.5 h before 10 mL of pentane was added. After the yellow-orange suspension was stirred for an additional 16.5 h, the solvent was removed in vacuo. Next, the product was washed with one 25-mL portion and one 50-mL portion of pentane in order to remove free PEt₂Ph, and then the remaining orangeyellow powder (1.66 g, 79.9%) was dried in vacuo. Anti and syn rotamers of 18 were obtained in a 65:35 ratio: ¹H NMR (CD₂Cl₂) anti rotamer, δ 12.15 (d of t, 1, J_{HH} = 13.1, J_{HP} = 4.4, H_{α}), 9.55 (d, 1, J = 13.1, H_{β}), 7.6-6.6 (m, Haryi), 2.5-2.3 (m, P(CH2CH3)2Ph), 2.42 (s, 3, NAr: MeMe'), 1.63 (s, 3, NAr: MeMe'), 1.2-1.0 (m, P(CH₂CH₃)₂Ph); syn rotamer, δ 11.89 (d of t, 1, J_{HH} = 13.1, J_{HP} = 4.4, H_{α}), 8.72 (d, 1, J = 13.3, H_{β}), 2.33 (br s, NAr: Me₂); ¹³C NMR (CD₂Cl₂, only selected Carvi resonances listed) anti rotamer, δ 271.7 (t, J_{CH} = 128, J_{CP} = 12.6, C_{α}), 151.6 (NAr: C_{ipso}), 140.5 (t, $J_{CP} = 4.7$, C_{γ}), 138.2 (t, $J_{CH} = 155.6$, $J_{CP} = 4.4$, C_{β}), 21.0, 20.5 and 19.4 (NArMeMe' and syn: NArMeMe'), 17.0 (t, N = 26.8, $P(CH_2CH_3)(CH_2CH_3)/Ph)$, 15.6 (t, N = 26.0, $P(CH_2CH_3)$ -(CH₂CH₃)/Ph), 7.9 (NAr: MeMe'), 7.5 (P(CH₂CH₃)₂Ph and syn: P(CH₂CH₃)₂Ph); syn rotamer, δ 277.9 (t, $J_{CH} = 130, J_{CP} = 12.6, C_{\alpha}$), 150.6 (NAr: C_{ipso}), 141.2 (br m, $J_{CH} = 157.0$, C_{β}), 139.3 (t, $J_{CP} = 4.2$,

C_γ), 18.1 (t, N = 27.2, P(CH₂CH₃)(CH₂CH₃)'Ph), 16.9 (t, N = 31.0, P(CH₂CH₃)(CH₂CH₃)(Ph); ³¹P NMR (CD₂Cl₂) anti rotamer, δ 15.3 ($J_{PW} = 266$); syn rotamer, δ 16.2 ($J_{PW} = 273$); NOEDS (CD₂Cl₂) anti rotamer, irradiation at 12.15 ppm, δ 9.55 (3.6% NOE), 1.63 (5.1% NOE); irradiation at 9.55 ppm, δ 12.15 (1.0% NOE); irradiation at 1.63 ppm, δ 12.15 (19.7% NOE); syn rotamer, irradiation at 8.72 ppm, δ 2.33 (0.3% NOE); irradiation at 2.33 ppm, δ 8.72 (13.9% NOE). Anal. Calcd for (C₄₃H₅₁Cl₂NP₂W): C, 57.48; H, 5.72; N, 1.56. Found: C, 56.36; H, 5.71; N, 1.57.

Observation of W(=CHCH=CPh2)Cl2(N-2,6-C6H3Me2)[P(OMe)3]2 (19). The cyclopropene complex W(CH=CHCPh₂)Cl₂(N-2,6-C₆H₃Me₂)- $[P(OMe)_3]_2$ (205.3 mg) was dissolved in 450 μ L of CD₂Cl₂. In less than 24 h, complete conversion to the corresponding vinylcarbene complex (an 87:13 mixture of rotamers) was observed by NMR spectroscopy. No other products were observed: ¹H NMR (CD₂Cl₂) major rotamer, δ 12.88 (d of t, 1, $J_{HH} = 12.99$, $J_{HP} = 6.24$, H_{α}), 9.62 (d, 1, J = 12.93, H_{β}), 7.5-6.8 (m, 13, H_{aryl}), 3.73 (t, 18, N = 10.96, P(OMe)₃), 2.55 (s, 6, NAr: Me₂); minor rotamer, δ 12.41 (d of t, 1, J_{HH} = 13.28, J_{HP} = 6.69, H_{α}), 8.80 (d, 1, J = 14.54, H_{β}), 2.75 (s, 6, NAr: Me₂); ¹³C NMR (CD_2Cl_2) major rotamer, δ 276.6 (t, $J_{CH} = 129.6$, $J_{CP} = 17.6$, $J_{CW} =$ 116.8, C_{α}), 151.9 (t, J_{CP} = 3.0, J_{CW} = 35.6, NAr: C_{inso}), 142.8 (t, J_{CP} = 5.6, C_{γ}), 140.3 (br s, NAr: C_o), 140.1 (CPhPh': C_{ipso}), 139.3 (CPhPh': C'_{inso}), 138.2 (t, $J_{CH} = 159.2$, $J_{CP} = 5.7$, C_β), 131.0 (CPhPh': C_o), 129.5 (CPhPh': C'_o), 128.5, 128.1, 127.9, 127.7, 127.6, 127.2 (NAr: C_m , C_p and CPhPh': C_m , C'_m , C_p , C'_p), 53.1 (t, N = 4.4, P(OMe)₃), 19.5 (NAr: Me₂); minor rotamer, δ 283.7 (t, $J_{CH} = 132.7$, $J_{CP} = 17.5$, C_{α}); ³¹P NMR (CD₂Cl₂) major rotamer, δ 132.4 (J_{PW} = 442).

 $W(=CHR_B)Cl_2[N-2,6-C_6H_3(i-Pr)_2]P(OMe)_3]P(OMe)_3[(20-B)-P-$ (OMe)₃]. A 10-mL Et₂O solution of ketalcyclopropene (0.99 g, 8.85 mmol) was added via cannula to a purple suspension of WCl₂[N-2,6-C₆H₃(*i*-Pr)₂][P(OMe)₃]₃·THF⁴⁴ (6.12 g, 7.00 mmol) in 20 mL of Et₂O. After a few minutes of stirring, the solution turned deep red. As the stirring was continued for a total of 19.5 h, a large amount of tan precipitate formed. Pentane (120 mL) was added to the reaction mixture, which was then filtered to yield 4.48 g of tan powder and a pale green-yellow filtrate. At this point, a ¹H NMR spectrum of the tan powder in CD₂Cl₂ indicated an 86:14 mixture of 20-B-P(OMe)₃ and the tris(phosphite) precursor 4. The tan powder was then washed first with 30 mL of Et₂O containing 5 equiv of P(OMe)₃ and next with 30 mL of pentane to yield 2.84 g (51.3%) of pure 20-B·P(OMe)₃ as a tan powder. This powder was sparingly soluble in nonpolar NMR solvents, such as C_6D_6 and tol- d_8 . A¹H NMR spectrum of 20-B-P(OMe)₃ in CD₂Cl₂ indicated the presence of 20-B and 1 equiv of free P(OMe)₃. Spectroscopic data for 20-B are reported below. Anal. Calcd for (C24H43Cl2NO8P2W): C, 36.47; H, 5.48; N, 1.77. Found: C, 36.82; H, 5.34, N 1.42.

W(=CHR_B)Cl₂[N-2,6-C₆H₃(*i*-Pr)₂]P(OMe)₃] (20-B). Removal of the second equivalent of phosphite from 20-B-P(OMe)₃ was achieved after washing the compound several times with ~ 100 mL portions of pentane and leaving the compound under dynamic vacuum for at least 1 day after each washing. In the absence of the second equivalent of phosphite, 20-B was stable in solution and in the solid state: ¹H NMR $(CD_2Cl_2) \delta 11.73 (dd, 1, J_{HH} = 9.81, J_{HP} = 6.82, H_{\alpha}), 7.15 (s, 3, H_{aryl}),$ 6.00 (dd, 1, $J_{HH} = 9.81$, $J_{HP} = 1.84$, H_{θ}), 5.08 (m, 1, OCHH'), 4.82 (m, 1, OCHH'), 4.32 (m, 2, OCH₂), 4.16 (septet, 2, J = 6.83, CHMe₂), 3.65 $(d, 9, J = 10.89, P(OMe)_3), 2.55 (m, 1, CH_2CHH'CH_2), 2.09 (m, 1, 1)$ CH₂CHH'CH₂), 1.28 (d, 6, J = 6.89, CHMeMe'), 1.25 (d, 6, J = 6.82, CHMeMe'); ¹³C NMR (CD₂Cl₂) δ 259.5 (d, J_{CH} = 143.8, J_{CP} = 20.7, $J_{CW} = 124.2, C_{\alpha}$, 165.4 (d, $J_{CP} = 5.1, C_{\gamma}$), 151.7 (d, $J_{CP} = 3.0, J_{CW} =$ 38.9, NAr: C_{ipso}), 147.5 (d, $J_{CP} = 2.4$, NAr: C_o), 127.7 (NAr: C_p), 123.2 (NAr: C_m), 93.0 (d, $J_{CP} = 6.1, C_{\beta}$), 68.8 (OCH₂), 67.6 (OCH₂'), $53.4 (d, J_{CP} = 5.8, P(OMe)_3), 28.0 (CHMe_2), 24.5 (CH_2CH_2CH_2), 24.2$ (CHMeMe'), 23.9 (CHMeMe'); ³¹P NMR (CD₂Cl₂) δ 147.1 (J_{PW} = 520). ¹H-¹H and ¹H-¹³C COSY spectra and a NOSY spectrum of 20-B are included in the supplementary material.

Observation of W(=CHR_C)Cl[N-2,6-C₆H₃(*i*-Pr)₂[P(OMe)₃]₂ (20-C). At room temperature, 20-B-P(OMe)₃ converted to 20-C in solution (<50% conversion after 12 h in concentrated CD₂Cl₂ solution) and in the solid state (~15% conversion after 2 months): ¹H NMR (CD₂Cl₂) δ 12.64 (d of t, J_{HH} = 8.26, J_{HP} = 2.30, H_a); ¹³C NMR (CD₂Cl₂) δ 257.0 (t, J_{CP} = 10.2, C_a), 176.7 (C_γ), 98.8 (C_β), 62.3 (OCH₂), 41.1 (CH₂Cl); ³¹P NMR (CD₂Cl₂) δ 144.1 (J_{PW} = 459).

A very concentrated CD_2Cl_2 solution was used to follow the rearrangement of 20-B-P(OMe)₃ to 20-C, and under these conditions, a third

⁽⁴⁴⁾ WCl 2[N-2,6-C₆H₃(*i*-Pr)₂][P(OMe)₃]₃-THF was obtained by recrystallizing 3 from THF. Complex 3 is easier to handle and very pure without recrystallization from THF, and thus, recrystallization is not recommended.

alkylidene resonance was observed. This same resonance was also observed when 6 equiv of P(OMe)₃ was added to a more dilute sample of **20-B-P(OMe)₃**: ¹H NMR (CD₂Cl₂) δ 12.89 (d of t, J_{HH} = 13.97, J_{HP} = 5.04, H_{\alpha}); ¹³C NMR (CD₂Cl₂) δ 264.0 (t, J_{CP} = 14.4, C_{\alpha}), 101.4 (C_{\beta}).

W(CHR_A)Cl₂(N-2,6-C₆H₃Me₂)(PEt₂Ph)₂ (Syn and Anti) (21-A),W-(CHR_B)Cl₂(N-2,6-C₆H₃Me₂)(PEt₂Ph) (21-B), and W(CHR_C)Cl(N-2,6-C₆H₃Me₂)(PEt₂Ph)₂ (21-C). A 6-mL solution of ketalcyclopropene (274 mg, 2.45 mmol) was added to a Schlenk flask containing 2.01 g (2.30 mmol) of tan WCl₂(N-2,6-C₆H₃-Me₂)(PEt₂Ph)₃. The solution was stirred for 0.5 h before adding 10 mL of pentane. After the red suspension was stirred for an additional 16.5 h, the solvent was removed in vacuo. Next, free PEt₂Ph was removed by washing the product with one 25-mL portion and one 50-mL portion of pentane, and the brick-red powder (1.39 g, 73.6%) was then dried in vacuo. 1H, 13C, and 31P NMR spectra acquired immediately after the complex was dissolved in C_6D_6 , tol- d_8 , or CD_2Cl_2 indicated the presence of four alkylidene species: three bis(phosphine) adducts [21-A (syn and anti rotamers) and 21-C] and one monophosphine adduct (21-B). An equivalent amount of free PEt₂Ph relative to the mono(phosphine) adduct 21-B was observed by ³¹P NMR spectroscopy: ¹H NMR (C₆D₆) 21-A (syn and anti), δ 12.70 (d of t, J_{HH} = 14.17, J_{HP} = 3.98, H_{α} , 11%), 12.66 (d of t, J_{HH} = 13.60, J_{HP} = 3.79, H_{α} , 60%); 21-C, δ 12.42 (d of t, $J_{\rm HH}$ = 7.96, $J_{\rm HP}$ = 2.03, H_a , 24%); 21-B, δ 11.54 (d of d, $J_{\rm HH}$ = 9.93, $J_{\rm HP}$ = 5.51, H_{α} , 5%); ¹³C NMR (CD₂Cl₂) **21**-A (syn and anti) δ 270.4 (t, J_{CP} = 10.8, C_{α}), 263.9 (t, J_{CP} = 11.0, C_{α}), 21-C, δ 262.1 $(t, J_{CP} = 7.14, C_{\alpha}); {}^{31}P NMR (tol-d_8) 33.0, 17.8 (J_{PW} = 283), 15.3 (J_{PW})$ = 278), 13.4 ($J_{PW} = 276$).

 $W(=CHR_C)Cl(N-2,6-C_6H_3Me_2)(PEt_2Ph)_2(21-C)$. The red isomeric mixture of 21-A, 21-B, and 21-C (812 mg, 1.00 mmol) was dissolved in 25 mL of CH_2Cl_2 and stirred for 19.5 h before removing the solvent in vacuo. The green powder (512 mg, 63.1%) was washed with 50 mL of pentane and dried in vacuo: ¹H NMR (CD₂Cl₂) δ 12.23 (d of t, 1, J_{HH} = 8.07, J_{HP} = 2.13, H_{α}), 7.7-6.8 (m, 13, H_{aryl}), 5.18 (d, 1, J = 8.04, H_{β}), 3.53 (t, 2, J = 6.58, CH₂Cl or OCH₂), 3.52 (t, 2, J = 5.99, CH₂Cl or OCH₂), 2.3-2.0 (m, 8, P(CH₂CH₃)₂Ph), 2.15 (s, 6, NAr: Me₂), 1.84 (quintet, 2, J = 6.27, CH₂CH₂CH₂), 1.08–0.87 (m, 12, P(CH₂CH₃)₂Ph); ¹³C NMR (CD₂Cl₂) δ 261.6 (t, J_{CH} = 134.2, J_{CP} = 7.2, J_{CW} = 118.6, C_{α}), 174.3 (C_{γ}), 155.4 (J_{CW} = 38.0, NAr: C_{ipso}), 136 (br s, NAr: C_{o}), 132.6 (t, $J_{CP} = 19.5$, PEt₂Ph: C_{ipso}), 130.3 (t, $J_{CP} = 3.8$, PEt₂Ph: C_o), 128.3 and 128.0 (NAr: C_m and PEt_2Ph : C_p), 127.2 (t, $J_{CP} = 3.8$, PEt₂Ph: C_m), 123.0 (NAr: C_p), 94.8 ($J_{CH} = 164.6, C_{\beta}$), 61.9 (OCH₂), 41.6 (CH₂Cl), 32.0 (CH₂CH₂CH₂), 19.7 (br s, NAr: Me₂), 16.2 (t, N = 24.2, $P(CH_2CH_3)(CH_2CH_3)/Ph)$, 14.4 (t, N = 24.0, $P(CH_2CH_3)$ -(CH₂CH₃)/Ph), 6.9 (PCH₂CH₃)₂Ph); ³¹P NMR (CD₂Cl₂) δ 18.6 (J_{PW} = 283); NOEDS (CD₂Cl₂) irradiation at 12.23 ppm, δ 5.18 (11.6% NOE), 2.15 (2.2% NOE); irradiation at 5.18 ppm, δ 12.23 (8.2% NOE); irradiation at 2.15 ppm, δ 12.23 (18.5% NOE). Anal. Calcd for (C34H47NO2P2W): C, 49.90; H, 5.79; N, 1.71. Found: C, 50.23; H, 5.78; N, 1.70.

W(=CHR_B)Cl₂(N-2,6-C₆H₃Me₂)[P(OMe)₃](22-B). A 30-mL Et₂O solution of ketalcyclopropene (319 mg, 2.84 mmol) was transferred via cannula onto a 30-mL Et₂O suspension of purple WCl₂(N-2,6- $C_6H_3Me_2$ [P(OMe)₃]₃ (2.06 g, 2.76 mmol), and the reaction mixture was stirred for 14.25 h. Almost immediately, a yellow precipitate formed; later in the reaction, a red precipitate was observed. Pentane (60 mL) was added to the reaction mixture, which was then filtered, and the resulting red powder (758 mg, 45.0%) was dried in vacuo: ¹H NMR $(CD_2Cl_2) \delta 11.88 (dd, 1, J_{HH} = 9.79, J_{HP} = 6.80, H_{\alpha}), 7.05-6.95 (m, 3, 1.05)$ H_{arvl} , 6.00 (dd, 1, $J_{HH} = 9.78$, $J_{HP} = 1.83$, H_{θ}), 5.08 (m, 1, OCHH'), $4.82 (m, 1, OCHH'), 4.32 (m, 2, OCH_2), 3.65 (d, 9, J = 10.95, P(OMe)_3),$ 2.64 (s, 6, NAr: Me₂), 2.35 (m, 1, CH₂CHH'CH₂), 2.20 (m, 1, CH₂CHH'CH₂); ¹³C NMR (CD₂Cl₂) δ 259.7 (d, J_{CH} = 144.7, J_{CP} = 20.5, $J_{CW} = 122$, C_{α}), 165.3 (C_{γ}), 154.2 ($J_{CW} = 38.9$, NAr: C_{ipso}), 137.5 (NAr: C_o), 127.7 (NAr: C_p), 126.8 (NAr: C_m), 92.7 ($J_{CH} = 168.0, C_{\beta}$), 68.7 (OCH₂), 67.5 (OCH₂), 53.1 (d, $J_{CP} = 5.7$, P(OMe)₃), 24.3 $(CH_2CH_2CH_2)$, 19.1 (NAr: Me₂); ³¹P NMR $(CD_2Cl_2) \delta$ 147.5 $(J_{PW} =$ 522); NOEDS (CD₂Cl₂) irradiation at 11.88 ppm, δ 6.00 (10.7% NOE), 2.64 (2.0% NOE); irradiation at 6.00 ppm, δ 11.88 (6.6% NOE); irradiation at 2.64 ppm, δ 11.88 (12.9% NOE). Anal. Calcd for (C17H26Cl2NO5PW): C, 33.47; H, 4.30; N, 2.30. Found: C, 33.35; H, 4.32; N, 2.57.

Observation of W(=CHR_B)Cl₂(N-2,6-C₆H₃Me₂)[P(OMe)₃] (22-B) and W(=CHR_C)Cl(N-2,6-C₆H₃Me₂)[P(OMe)₃]₂ (22-C). The cyclopropene complex 14 (289 mg) was dissolved in 300 μ L of CD₂Cl₂. In less than 24 h, complete conversion to a mono(phosphite) (22-B, 25%) and a bis(phosphite) (22-C, 75%) vinyl alkylidene complex was observed by NMR spectroscopy. The ¹H, ¹³C, and ³¹P NMR spectra of the

 Table VIII.
 Conversion of 11 to 19 in the Presence of Excess

 Diphenylcyclopropene
 Conversion of 11 to 19 in the Presence of Excess

n	time, h	% 11	% diphenyl- cyclopropene	% 19 anti	% 19 syn	% 19 + diphenyl- cyclopropene
0	3	38	0	28	14	0
2.2	3	52	141	8	5	8
0	22	0	0	65	17	0
2.2	22	26	131	10	10	40

reacn	% 11	% 14	% 19	% 22-B	% ketal- cyclopropene	% diphenyl- cyclopropene
11 + ketal- cyclopropene	55	0	7	4	39	2
14 + diphenyl- cyclopropene	0	?a	<5	25	0	63

^a Two overlapping triplets (84% together) were observed at 4.3 ppm.

mono(phosphite) vinyl alkylidene complex are identical with those of isolated **22-B**, and the spectral assignments for **22-C** follow: ¹H NMR (CD₂Cl₂) δ 12.74 (m, 1, H_a); ¹³C NMR (CD₂Cl₂) 257.5 (t, J_{CH} = 135.0, J_{CP} = 10.0, J_{CW} = 113.6, C_a), 176.5 (C_γ), 155.2 (J_{CW} = 38.8, NAr: C_{ipso}), 135.7 (NAr: C_o), 127.6 (NAr: C_m), 124.1 (NAr: C_p), 98.9 (J_{CH} = 166.7, C_β), 62.2 (OCH₂), 51.6 (P(OMe)₃), 41.0 (CH₂Cl₁), 31.6 (CH₂CH₂CH₂), 18.9 (NAr: Me₂); ³¹P NMR (CD₂Cl₂) δ 144.5 (J_{PW} = 457).

Conversion of 11 to 19 in the Presence of Excess Diphenylcyclopropene (Table VIII). Complex 11 (34.2 mg, 0.0420 mmol) was dissolved in 600 μ L of CD₂Cl₂ (0.0036 M in mesitylene internal standard) together with n equivalents (n = 0 or 2.2) of diphenylcyclopropene. The solution was transferred to an NMR tube, the NMR tube was capped with a rubber septum, and the septum was wrapped with Parafilm. The tube was mechanically rotated for 3 h before a ¹H NMR spectrum was acquired; after rotation of the tube for an additional 19 h, another ¹H NMR spectrum was acquired. The percentages of compounds were determined by integration relative to the mesitylene internal standard and are reported in Table VIII. The insertion product W(=CHC(Ph)2-CH=CHCH=CPh₂)Cl₂(N-2,6-C₆H₃Me₂)[P(OMe)₃] derived from the reaction of diphenylcyclopropene with 19 (first product of ring-opening metathesis polymerization of diphenylcyclopropene by 19) is tentatively proposed on the basis of the following chemical shifts: δ 11.39 (d, J_{HP} = 4.79, H_{α}), 6.08 (d, J = 11.17, C(Ph)₂CH= or CH=CPh₂), 5.73 (dd, $J = 15.69, 11.13, CHCH=CPh_2).$

Reaction of 11 with Ketalcyclopropene and of 14 with Diphenylcyclopropene (Table IX). The η^2 -cyclopropene complex (0.086 mmol) and 1 equiv of 3,3-disubstituted cyclopropene were dissolved together in 600 μ L of CD₂Cl₂ (0.0036 M in mesitylene internal standard). The NMR tube was capped with a rubber septum, the septum was wrapped with Parafilm, and the solution was then left at room temperature for 5.6 h without any external mixing. A ¹H NMR spectrum was then acquired, and the percentages of species present were determined by integration versus the mesitylene internal standard. The reactions were quite complex, and only the readily identifiable η^2 -cyclopropene and vinyl alkylidene complexes are reported in Table IX.

Observation of HgCl₂-Catalyzed Rearrangements of 9 and 10. Procedure A. The η^2 -cyclopropene complex (40 mg) was dissolved in 600 μ L of CD₂Cl₂ (0.0036 M in mesitylene internal standard), and a ¹H NMR spectrum was acquired. Solid HgCl₂ was then added to the solution, and the mixture was shaken or mechanically rotated before acquiring a second ¹H NMR spectrum.

Procedure B. The η^2 -cyclopropene complex (21 mg, 0.023 mmol) and HgCl₂ (6 mg, 0.02 mmol) were combined in a mixture of C₆D₆ and THF-d₈, and the reaction mixture was shaken briefly before acquiring a ¹H NMR spectrum. Experimental and spectral data for the conversions of the diphenylcyclopropene complexes 9 and 10 are given below. Attempted conversions of the η^2 -ketalcyclopropene complexes 12 and 13 resulted only in decomposition.

9. Compound 9 was mixed with 0.088 equiv of HgCl₂ for 2.7 h (procedure A), and complete conversion to the vinyl alkylidene was observed: ¹H NMR (CD₂Cl₂) major rotamer (85%), δ 12.39 (d of t, J_{HH} = 13.09, J_{HP} = 5.71, H_a), 9.00 (d of t, J_{HH} = 13.13, J_{HP} = 1.87, H_b); minor rotamer (15%), δ 12.55 (d of t, J_{HP} = 6.24, H_a), 9.44 (d of t, J_{HH}

= 12.70, H_{β}). Complete conversion (procedure A) to the vinyl alkylidene (>95% rotamer with 12.39 ppm H_{α} resonance) was also observed when 9 was mixed for several minutes with 1 equiv of HgCl₂. After mixing with 1 equiv of HgCl₂ was continued overnight, only 28% of the vinyl alkylidene product remained.

10. A 6.3:1 ratio of 10 to the vinyl alkylidene was observed prior to the addition of HgCl₂; following the addition of 0.079 equiv of HgCl₂ (procedure A) and 2.7 h of mixing, a 1.3:1 ratio was observed: ¹H NMR (CD₂Cl₂) δ 11.96 (d of t, J_{HH} = 13.28, J_{HP} = 4.23, H_a), 8.82 (d of t, J_{HH} = 13.16, J_{HP} = 1.29, H_b). Complete conversion to the vinyl alkylidene product was observed when 10 was mixed for several minutes with 1 equiv of HgCl₂ (procedure B): ¹H NMR (C₆D₆/THF-d₈) δ 12.39 (d of t, J_{HH} = 13.24, J_{HP} = 4.17, H_a), 9.16 (d of t, J_{HH} = 13.24, H_b), 2.38 (t, N = 8.82, PMePh₂).

Observation of Rearrangements of 9, 10, 12, and 13 Induced by Photolysis. The η^2 -cyclopropene complex (10.5 mg) was dissolved in 600 μ L of toluene- d_8 (0.0035 M in mesitylene internal standard), the solution was immediately cooled to 0 °C, and a ¹H NMR spectrum was acquired. The complex was photolyzed for 7 h at 0 °C before acquiring another ¹H NMR spectrum. Complexes 10 and 13 were not completely soluble in tol- d_8 at these concentrations, possibly explaining their incomplete conversion.

9. Before photolysis, a 10.4:1 mixture of 9:vinyl alkylidene was observed; only the vinyl alkylidene was observed after photolysis: ¹H NMR (tol- d_8) 75% major rotamer, δ 12.32 (d of t, 1, J_{HH} = 13.35, J_{HP} = 4.27, H_{α}), 9.13 (d of t, 1, J_{HH} = 13.41, J_{HP} = 1.341, H_{β}), 2.36 (t, 6, N = 8.98, PMePh₂); 25% minor rotamer, δ 12.35 (m, H_{α}), 9.35 (m, J_{HH} = 13, H_{β}), 2.38 (t, N = 8.46, PMePh₂).

10. After photolysis, a 1:3.4 ratio of **10** to vinyl alkylidene was observed: ¹H NMR (tol- d_{θ}) δ 12.84 (d of t, 1, J_{HH} = 13.22, J_{HP} = 6.57, H_a), 9.36 (d of t, 1, J_{HH} = 13.06, J_{HP} = 2.05, H_{θ}), 3.61 (t, 18, N = 10.82, P(OMe)₃).

12. After photolysis, only the vinyl alkylidene product was observed: ¹H NMR (tol- d_8) major isomer (form A), δ 12.48 (d of t, 1, J_{HH} = 13.58, J_{HP} = 3.74, H_{α}), 3.49 (t, 2, J = 6.13, OCH₂), 3.44 (t, 2, J = 6.19, OCH₂), 2.47 (t, 6, N = 8.74, PMePh₂).

13. After photolysis, a 1:3.3 ratio of 13 to the vinyl alkylidene product was observed: ¹H NMR (tol- d_8) 53% major isomer (form A), δ 13.14 (d of t, $J_{\text{HH}} = 13.98$, $J_{\text{HP}} = 4.69$, H_{α}); 47% minor isomer (form B), δ 11.72 (d of d, $J_{\text{HH}} = 9.54$, $J_{\text{HP}} = 7.02$, H_{α}), 6.10 (d of d, $J_{\text{HH}} = 9.33$, $J_{\text{HP}} = 1.58$, H_{θ}).

X-ray Data Collection, Structure Determination, and Refinement for WCl₂[N-2,6-C₆H₃(*i*-Pr)₂]P(OMe)₃]₃(4). A purple crystal of approximate dimensions 0.28 \times 0.40 \times 0.43 mm was oil-mounted on a glass fiber and transferred to the Siemens P3 diffractometer which is equipped with a modified LT-2 low-temperature system. Determination of Laue symmetry, crystal class, unit cell parameters, and the crystal's orientation matrix were carried out by previously described techniques similar to those of Churchill.⁴⁵ Low-temperature (158 K) intensity data were collected via a θ -2 θ scan technique with Mo K α radiation.

All 7579 data were corrected for absorption and for Lorentz and polarization effects and placed on an approximately absolute scale. Any reflection with I(net) < 0 was assigned the value $|F_0| = 0$. The systematic extinctions observed were 0k0 for k = 2n + 1 and h0l for l = 2n + 1; the diffraction symmetry was 2/m. The centrosymmetric monoclinic space group $P2_1/c$ $[C_{2h}^5]$; No. 14] is thus uniquely defined.

All crystallographic calculations were carried out using either the UCI modified version of the UCLA Crystallographic Computing Package⁴⁷ or the SHELXTL PLUS program set.⁴⁸ The analytical scattering factors for neutral atoms were used throughout the analysis;^{49a} both the real $(\Delta f')$ and imaginary $(i\Delta f'')$ components of anomalous dispersion^{49b} were included. The quantity minimized during least-squares analysis was $\sum w(|F_o| - |F_c|)^2$, where $w^{-1} = \sigma^2(|F_o|) + 0.0003(|F_o|)^2$.

The structure was solved by direct methods (SHELXTL PLUS) and refined by full-matrix least-squares techniques. Hydrogen atoms were included using a riding model with d(C-H) = 0.96 Å and U(iso) = 0.06 Å². Refinement of positional and anisotropic thermal parameters led to

convergence with $R_F = 2.8\%$, $R_{wF} = 3.2\%$, and GOF = 1.26 for 334 variables refined against those 6690 data with $|F_0| > 3.0\sigma(|F_0|)$. A final difference-Fourier map yielded $\rho(\max) = 0.94$ e Å⁻³.

X-ray Data Collection, Structure Determination, and Refinement for $W(HC=CHCPh_2)Cl_2(NPh)[P(OMe)_3]_2$ (10). A yellow/gold crystal of approximate dimensions 0.20 × 0.30 × 0.30 mm was oil-mounted on a glass fiber and transferred to the Syntex P2₁ diffractometer which is equipped with a modified LT-1 low-temperature system. Determination of Laue symmetry, crystal class, unit cell parameters, and the crystal's orientation matrix were carried out by previously described techniques similar to those of Churchill.⁴⁵ Low-temperature (183 K) intensity data were collected via a θ -2 θ scan technique with Mo K α radiation.

All 4899 data were corrected for absorption and for Lorentz and polarization effects and placed on an approximately absolute scale. Any reflection with I(net) < 0 was assigned the value $|F_0| = 0$. The systematic extinctions observed were 0k0 for k = 2n + 1 and h0l for l = 2n + 1; the diffraction symmetry was 2/m. The centrosymmetric monoclinic space group $P2_1/c$ [C_{2h}^{δ} ; No. 14] is thus uniquely defined.

All crystallographic calculations were carried out using either the UCI modified version of the UCLA Crystallographic Computing Package⁴⁷ or the SHELXTL PLUS program set.⁴⁸ The analytical scattering factors for neutral atoms were used throughout the analysis;^{49a} both the real $(\Delta f')$ and imaginary $(i\Delta f'')$ components of anomalous dispersion^{49b} were included. The quantity minimized during least-squares analysis was $\sum w(|F_0| - |F_c|)^2$, where $w^{-1} = \sigma^2(|F_0|) + 0.0010(|F_0|)^2$.

The structure was solved by direct methods (SHELXTL PLUS) and refined by full-matrix least-squares techniques. Hydrogen atoms were included using a riding model with d(C-H) = 0.96 Å and U(iso) = 0.08 Å². There is a benzene molecule located about an inversion center (1/2, 1/2, 1/2). Refinement of positional and anisotropic thermal parameters (isotropic for the three unique benzene carbon atoms) led to convergence with $R_F = 4.5\%$, $R_{wF} = 6.0\%$, and GOF = 1.45 for 364 variables refined against those 3721 data with $|F_0| > 3.0\sigma(|F_0|)$. A final difference-Fourier map yielded $\rho(\max) = 1.38$ e Å⁻³.

X-ray Data Collection, Structure Determination, and Refinement for $W(=CHCHCPh_2)[N-2,6-C_6H_3(i-Pr)_2]OCMe(CF_3)_2]_2[P(OMe)_3]$ (16). A yellow crystal of approximate dimensions $0.20 \times 0.30 \times 0.37$ mm was oil-mounted on a glass fiber and transferred to the Siemens P3 diffractometer which is equipped with a modified LT-2 low-temperature system. Determination of Laue symmetry, crystal class, unit cell parameters, and the crystal's orientation matrix were carried out by previously described techniques similar to those of Churchill.⁴⁵ Low-temperature (158 K) intensity data were collected via a θ -2 θ scan technique with Mo K α radiation.

All 6801 data were corrected for absorption and for Lorentz and polarization effects and placed on an approximately absolute scale. Any reflection with I(net) < 0 was assigned the value $|F_0| = 0$. There were no systematic extinctions nor any diffraction symmetry other than the Friedel condition. The two possible triclinic space groups are the noncentrosymmetric P1 $[C_1^1; \text{No. 1}]$ or the centrosymmetric P $\overline{1}$ $[C_t^1; \text{No. 2}]$. With Z = 2 and no expectation of a resolved chiral molecule, the latter centrosymmetric space group is far more probable⁴⁶ and was later shown to be the correct choice.

All crystallographic calculations were carried out using either the UCI modified version of the UCLA Crystallographic Computing Package⁴⁷ or the SHELXTL PLUS program set.⁴⁸ The analytical scattering factors for neutral atoms were used throughout the analysis;^{49a} both the real $(\Delta f')$ and imaginary $(i\Delta f'')$ components of anomalous dispersion^{49b} were included. The quantity minimized during least-squares analysis was $\sum w(|F_0| - |F_c|)^2$, where $w^{-1} = \sigma^2(|F_0|) + 0.0002(|F_0|)^2$.

The structure was solved by direct methods (SHELXTL PLUS) and refined by full-matrix least-squares techniques. Hydrogen atoms were located from difference-Fourier maps and included with isotropic temperature factors. Refinement of the model led to convergence with $R_F = 3.4\%$, $R_{wF} = 3.7\%$, and GOF = 1.64 for 699 variables refined against those 6200 data with $|F_0| > 2.0\sigma(|F_0|)$. A final difference-Fourier map yielded $\rho(max) = 2.2 \text{ e}^{A-3}$ at a distance of 0.85 Å from tungsten.

X-ray Data Collection, Structure Determination, and Refinement for $W(=CHR_C)Cl(N-2,6-C_6H_3Me_2)(PEt_2Ph)_2$ (21-C). A green crystal of approximate dimensions 0.23 × 0.33 × 0.37 mm was oil-mounted on a glass fiber and transferred to the Siemens P3 diffractometer which is equipped with a modified LT-2 low-temperature system. Determination of Laue symmetry, crystal class, unit cell parameters, and the crystal's orientation matrix were carried out by previously described techniques similar to those of Churchill.⁴⁵ Low-temperature (158 K) intensity data were collected via a θ -2 θ scan technique with Mo K α radiation.

⁽⁴⁵⁾ Churchill, M. R.; Lashewycz, R. A.; Rotella, F. J. Inorg. Chem. 1977, 16, 265-271.

⁽⁴⁶⁾ Nowacki, W.; Matsumoto, T.; Edenharter, A. Acta Crystallogr. 1967, 22, 935–940.

⁽⁴⁷⁾ UCLA Crystallographic Computing Package, University of California Los Angeles, 1981. C. Strouse, personal communication.

⁽⁴⁸⁾ Siemens Analytical X-ray Instruments, Inc., Madison, WI, 1988.
(49) International Tables for X-ray Crystallography, Kynoch Press: Birmingham, England, 1974: (a) pp 99-101; (b) pp 149-150.

All 6596 data were corrected for absorption and for Lorentz and polarization effects and placed on an approximately absolute scale. Any reflection with I(net) < 0 was assigned the value $|F_0| = 0$. There were no systematic extinctions nor any diffraction symmetry other than the Friedel condition. The two possible triclinic space groups are the noncentrosymmetric P1 $[C_1^1; \text{No. 1}]$ or the centrosymmetric $P\tilde{I}$ $[C_1^1; \text{No. 2}]$. With Z = 2 and no expectation of a resolved chiral molecule, the latter centrosymmetric space group is far more probable⁴⁶ and was later shown to be the correct choice.

All crystallographic calculations were carried out using either the UCI modified version of the UCLA Crystallographic Computing Package⁴⁷ or the SHELXTL PLUS program set.⁴⁸ The analytical scattering factors for neutral atoms were used throughout the analysis;^{49a} both the real $(\Delta f')$ and imaginary $(i\Delta f'')$ components of anomalous dispersion^{49b} were included. The quantity minimized during least-squares analysis was $\sum w(|F_0| - |F_0|)^2$, where $w^{-1} = \sigma^2(|F_0|) + 0.0004(|F_0|)^2$.

The structure was solved by direct methods (SHELXTL PLUS) and refined by full-matrix least-squares techniques. Hydrogen atoms were included using a riding model with d(C-H) = 0.96 Å and U(iso) = 0.08Å². At convergence, $R_F = 3.0\%$, $R_{wF} = 3.7\%$, and GOF = 1.37 for 379 variables refined against those 5920 data with $|F_0| > 2.0\sigma(|F_0|)$. A final difference-Fourier map yielded $\rho(\max) = 1.5 \text{ e } \text{\AA}^{-3}$ at a distance of 1.36 Å from Cl(2).

Acknowledgment. We gratefully acknowledge support from the NSF, and L.K.J. thanks the NSF, Sandia, and Amoco for graduate fellowships. Funds for the purchase of the Siemens R3m/V diffractometer system were made available to UCI from the NSF under Grant CHE-85-14495. Initial supplies of cyclopropenes from Dr. Jeff Moore, Zhe Wu, and Dr. Eric Ginsburg made this research possible and were greatly appreciated. Thanks also to Zhe Wu for help and advice regarding NMR studies and to Sonbinh Nguyen for helpful discussions.

Supplementary Material Available: Figures showing ${}^{1}H{-}^{1}H$ COSY, NOSY, and ${}^{1}H{-}^{13}C$ COSY spectra for 20-B and tables of experimental data, atomic coordinates, bond lengths, and bond angles for the X-ray diffraction studies of 4, 10, 16, and 21-C (47 pages); tables of observed and calculated structure factors for the X-ray diffraction studies of 4, 10, 16, and 21-C (56 pages). Ordering information is given on any current masthead page.