Radical Additions to β , β -Difluoroacrylates

Carl L. Bumgardner* and Jason P. Burgess

Department of Chemistry North Carolina State University Raleigh NC, USA 27695-8204

Key Words: Difluoracrylates; radical additions; 3,3-difluoroesters; α,α-difluoroketones; fluoralkyl substituted acetals

Abstract: Tetrahydrofuran, 1,3-dioxolane, hexanal, and benzaldehyde react readily with β , β -difluoroacrylates under free radical conditions to furnish adducts in moderate to high yield.

 β,β -Difluoro derivatives of acrylic acids and esters 1 have shown great diversity as fluorinated substrates in various reactions. For example, 1 (R,R'= alkyl) has been used extensively as a precursor to

$$RO \xrightarrow{F} F$$

 α -difluoromethylated¹, and α -trifluoromethylated carboxylic acid derivatives²; 1(R=alkyl, R'=H) is a suitable substrate in Diels-Alder reactions³ and in Michael additions⁴. However, Michael additions are limited due to the facile elimination of fluoride from the anionic intermediates derived from 1(R=H, R'=alkyl)⁵ and $1(R,R'=-CH_2C(CH_3)_2-)^6$. Feeling that intermediate 2 would not readily loose a high energy fluorine atom, we examined radical addition to 1a and found this to be a viable route to several 3,3-difluoroesters 3, Figure 1.

Although radical additions to acrylates⁷ and to terminal fluorolefins⁸ are well known, no study of radical additions to β , β -difluoroacrylates, 1a, has been reported. Acyl and α -alkoxy radical species were

Fig. 1

chosen for initial study based on the facile additions of these radicals to 1-fluoro-1-(phenylsulfonyl) ethylene⁹. The results in table 1 show that α-alkoxy radicals and acyl radicals can be generated and added to 1 in useful yields. Refluxing 1a (R=C₂H₅, R'=CH₃) in tetrahydrofuran with 1 mol% of 2,2'-azobis(2-methyl-propionitrile) (AIBN) and 1 mol% benzoyl peroxide (BPO) produces the fluoralkyl substituted tetrahydrofuran 4 in 73% yield. Gas chromatography revealed the product to be a 3:2 ratio of the diastereomers. Interestingly, neither AIBN nor BPO alone succeeded in producing the desired transformation, but the mixed initiator system performed satisfactorily.

Table 1. Radical Additions to Ethyl 3,3-difluoro-2-methyl propenoate,1a.

•R"	product	yield	¹⁹ F NMR of adduct
	4	73%	-114.2 ddd J _{FF} =252 Hz -119.7 ddd J _{FF} =252 Hz -114.8 ddd J _{FF} =256 Hz -118.6 ddd J _{FF} =256 Hz
000	5	71%	-114.0 ddd J _{FF} =267 Hz -121.5 ddd J _{FF} =267 Hz
•••	6	53%	-106.4 dd J _{FF} =277 Hz -120.2 dd J _{FF} =277 Hz
O Ph	7	22%	-100.9 dd J _{FF} =287 Hz -110.7 dd J _{FF} =287 Hz

Similarly, refluxing 1a with 1,3 dioxolane in the presence of 1mol% AIBN / 1 mol% BPO furnishes the fluoroalkyl substituted acetal 5 in 71% yield. An attempt to produce the ketal by similar methods from 1a and 2-pentyl-1,3-dioxane failed under various conditions of time and initiator concentrations.

Acyl radicals from both aliphatic and aromatic aldehydes also add to 1a. Refluxing 1a with hexanal and 5 mol% AIBN/5 mol% BPO in benzene provides the α , α -diffuoroketone 6 in 52% yield. The use of 5 mol% AIBN and BPO was required to produce reasonable yields. Likewise refluxing 1a and benzaldehyde with 5 mol% AIBN/5 mol% BPO in benzene produces the α , α -diffuoroketone 7 which was isolated in 22% yield.

In conclusion, radicals from ethers and aldehydes add to the β carbon of β , β -difluoroacrylates. Since we have recently published a general method for synthesizing β , β -difluoroacrylates β in multigram quantities, free radical additions to these substrates constitute a new and useful route to a variety of fluorinated compounds not readily available by conventional methods.

EXPERIMENTAL.

The ¹H and ¹³C NMR spectra were obtained on a GE GN-300 NMR spectrometer operating at 300.52 MHz for ¹H and 75.57 MHz for ¹³C. Spectra were obtained in CDCl₃ with chemical shifts reported in ppm relative to internal TMS. ¹⁹F NMR spectra were obtained on a Bruker AR-100 spectrometer operating at 95.52 MHz for ¹⁹F. Spectra were obtained in CDCl₃ with chemical shifts reported in ppm relative to external CFCl₃. Negative chemical shifts indicate that the resonances are upfield relative to CFCl₃.

Ethyl 3,3-difluoro-2-methyl propenoate, 1a, was prepared by previously described methods¹⁰. 1,3-Dioxolane and hexanal were purchased from Aldrich and distilled prior to use. Benzaldehyde, from Aldrich, was washed with saturated NaHCO₃, dried over MgSO₄ and distilled prior to use. Tetrahydrofuran was distilled from sodium/benzophenone. Benzene, from Fisher, was washed with concentrated H₂SO₄ and then distilled. AIBN from Polyscience and BPO from Aldrich were used as purchased.

Synthesis of 4 0.821g (5.00 mmols) of ethyl 3,3-difluoro-2-methyl propenoate, 1a, 0.008g (0.05 mmols) of AIBN, and 0.012g (0.05 mmols) of BPO, were combined in 10 ml of THF and brought to reflux under N₂. The mixture was refluxed for 12 hrs then cooled to RT. The volatile materials were removed by rotary evaporation. The residue was taken up in ether and washed with saturated NaHCO₃ several times. The organic fractions were combined and dried over anhydrous MgSO₄. After filtration and solvent removal by rotary evaporation the light yellow oil was flash chromatographed using 15% methylene chloride in hexanes to provide 0.810g (73% yield) of 4 in >95 % purity as a 3:2 mixture of diastereomers. MS: base peak 177 [M- C₂H₅O]⁺; ¹H NMR (δ): 1.20 (t, 3H, ³J_{HH}=7.0 Hz); 1.23 (d, 3/2 H, ³J_{HH}=3.7 Hz); 1.26 (d, 3/2 H, ³J_{HH}=3.7 Hz); 1.90 (mult, 4H); 3.10 (mult, 1H); 3.75 (mult, 2H); 4.10 (mult, 3H). ¹³C NMR (δ): 9.9 (t, ³J_{CF}=3 Hz); 11.0 (t, ³J_{CF}=3 Hz); 13.5; 25.0; 26.5; 44.0 (mult); 60.5; 69.0; 78.2 (mult); 122 (t, ¹J_{CF}=272 Hz); 170 (mult). ¹⁹F NMR (δ): -114.2 (ddd, ²J_{FF}=252 Hz, ³J_{HF}=18.1 Hz, ³J_{HF}=5.0 Hz); -119.7 (ddd, ²J_{FF}=252 Hz, ³J_{HF}=21.1 Hz, ³J_{HF}=11.3 Hz); -114.8 (ddd, ²J_{FF}=256 Hz, ³J_{HF}=21.4 Hz, ³J_{HF}=20.9 Hz, ³J_{HF}=9.2 Hz).

<u>Synthesis of 5.</u> 5 was prepared by a procedure similar to 4. 1 H NMR (δ): 1.21 (t, 3H, 3 J_{HH}=7.3 Hz); 1.25 (d, 3H, 3 J_{HH}=7.6 Hz); 3.05 (mult, 1H); 3.93 (mult, 2H); 4.00 (mult, 2H); 4.15 (q, 2H, 3 J_{HH}=7.3 Hz); 5.23 (dd, 1H, 3 J_{HF}=6.6 Hz, 3 J_{HF}=9.5 Hz). 13 C NMR (δ): 10.7 (t, 3 J_{CF}=1.7 Hz); 14.1; 44.7 (t, 2 J_{CF}=47.5 Hz); 62.1; 66.3; 101.1 (t, 2 J_{CF}=65.8 Hz); 120.0 (t, 1 J_{CF}=257 Hz); 170.1 (mult). 19 F NMR (δ): -114.0 (ddd, 2 J_{FF}=267 Hz, 3 J_{HF}=12.5 Hz, 3 J_{HF}=9.0 Hz); -121.5 (ddd, 2 J_{FF}=267 Hz, 3 J_{HF}=16.0 Hz, 3 J_{HF}=7.0 Hz).

Synthesis of 6. 1.044g (7.00mmol) of 1a, 0.697g (7.00mmol) of hexanal, 0.006g (.35 mmol) of AIBN and 0.008g (.35 mmol) of BPO were combined in 10 ml of dry benzene and refluxed for 2 days under N₂. The mixture was cooled to RT and the volatile materials were removed by rotary evaporation. The residue was taken up in ether and extracted with several portions of saturated NaHCO₃. The organic fractions were

combined over anhydrous MgSO₄. After filtration and solvent removal by rotary evaporation yellow oil was Kugelrohr distilled providing 0.991g (52% yield) of 9 as a colorless oil. MS: 205 [M- C_2H_5O]⁺, 230 [M-HF]⁺; ¹H NMR (δ): .90 (mult, 3H); 1.22 (t, 3H, ³J_{HH}=7.3 Hz); 1.30 (mult, 4H); 1.37 (d, 3H, ³J_{HF}=7.2 Hz); 1.62 (mult, 2H); 2.75 (mult, 2H); 3.40 (mult,1H, ³J_{HF}=22.0 Hz, ³J_{HF}=7.2 Hz, ³J_{HF}=7.2 Hz); 4.15 (q, 2H, ³J_{HH}=7.3 Hz). ¹³C NMR (δ): 9.0 (t, ³J_{CF}=3.0 Hz); 13.9; 14.0; 22.0; 25.2; 32.1; 44.0; 44.3 (t, ²J_{CF}=24.4 Hz); 44.0; 62.0; 117.7(t, ¹J_{CF}=256 Hz); 172.8 (d, ³J_{CF}=12.2 Hz); 203.3 (t, ²J_{CF}=26.8 Hz). ¹⁹F NMR (δ): -106.4 (dd, ²J_{FF}=277 Hz, ³J_{HF}=7.2 Hz); -120.2 (dd, ²J_{FF}=277 Hz, ³J_{HF}=22.0 Hz).

Synthesis of 7. 7 was produced by a procedure similar to 6. 1 H NMR (δ): 1.18 (t, 3H, 3 J_{HH}=7.3 Hz); 1.46 (d, 3H, 3 J_{HH}=7.3 Hz); 3.58 (mult, 1H); 4.15 (q, 2H, 3 J_{HH}=7.3 Hz); 7.48 (mult, 3H); 8.10 (mult, 2H). 13 C NMR (δ): 9.6 (t, 3 J_{CF}=4.9 Hz); 13.7; 43.7 (t, 2 J_{CF}=24.4 Hz); 61.1; 128.4; 129.9; 130.0 (t, 1 J_{CF}=258 Hz); 133.9; 134.2; 170.0 (t, 3 J_{CF}=12.0 Hz); 180.0 (mult). 19 F NMR (δ): -100.9 (dd, 2 J_{FF}=287 Hz, 3 J_{HF}=9.4 Hz); -110.7 (dd, 2 J_{FF}=287 Hz, 3 J_{HF}=19.8 Hz)

REFERENCES

- Kitazume, T.; Ohnogi, T.; Miyauchi, H.; Yamazaki, T.; Watanabe, S. J. Org. Chem. 1989, 54, 5630-5632
- 2. Kitazume, T.; Ohnogi, T. Synthesis 1988, 614-615.
- 3. Leroy, J.; Molines, H.; Wakselman, C. J. Org. Chem. 1987, 52, 290-292...
- 4. Archibald, K.; Baum, K. J. Org. Chem. 1990, 55, 3562-3565.
- 5. Fuchikami, T.; Shibata, Y.; Suzuki, Y. Tetrahedron Lett. 1986, 27, 3173-3176.
- 6. Suda, M. Tetrahedron Lett. 1981, 22, 1421-1424.
- 7. Curran, D.P. Synthesis 1988 417-513.
- 8. Suda, M. Tetrahedron Lett. 1981, 22, 2395-2396.
- 9. Matthews, D.P.; McCarthy, J.R. J. Org. Chem. 1990, 55, 2973-2975.
- 10. Bumgardner, C.L.; Burgess, J.P.; Everett, T.S.; Purrington, S.T. J. Fluorine Chem., in press.

(Received in USA 17 December 1991)