

The Preparation of *unsym*-Difluorotetrachloroethane

BY WILLIAM T. MILLER

No very convenient methods for the preparation of *unsym*-difluorotetrachloroethane have appeared in the literature, although it has been synthesized by the chlorination of difluorotrichloroethane [$\text{CHCl}_2\text{CF}_2\text{Cl}$].¹ In this work, it was found possible to obtain the desired compound satisfactorily from trifluorotrichloroethane² [$\text{CF}_2\text{Cl}-\text{CCl}_2\text{F}$] and aluminum chloride. The simplicity of the experimental procedure and cheapness of the starting materials make the product readily available for the first time.

This reaction is not entirely a simple replacement of fluorine by chlorine as some gaseous products are formed³ and too long heating leads to the formation of hexachloroethane. It was probably for this last reason that Henne and Newman⁴ obtained little of the difluoro compound after refluxing trifluorotrichloroethane with aluminum chloride for forty-eight hours.

Experimental

Two hundred cc. of trifluorotrichloroethane² and 40 g. of finely powdered aluminum chloride were refluxed on a steam-bath for five hours. The surface of the aluminum chloride turned dark during this period. Distillation of the product through a 50×1 cm. column packed with glass helices yielded 51 g. of *unsym*-difluorotetrachloroethane, b. p. $90-91^\circ$, m. p. $40-41^\circ$, and a very small residue composed principally of hexachloroethane. The lower boiling material distilled almost entirely below 48° but was shown by freezing to contain appreciable amounts of $\text{CF}_2\text{ClCCl}_3$. Longer periods of reaction yielded increased quantities of hexachloroethane.

(1) Locke, Brode and Henne, *THIS JOURNAL*, **56**, 1726 (1934).

(2) "Freon 113" of Kinetic Chemicals, Inc., Carney's Point, N. J.

(3) Compare U. S. Patent 1,994,035 (C. A., **29**, 2974 (1935)).

(4) Henne and Newman, *THIS JOURNAL*, **60**, 1697 (1938).

DEPARTMENT OF CHEMISTRY
CORNELL UNIVERSITY
ITHACA, N. Y.

RECEIVED FEBRUARY 19, 1940

Some Addition Compounds of Phthaleins and Metallic Salts*

BY GEORG SACHS AND LILI RYFFEL-NEUMANN

K. H. Meyer and A. Hantzsch in 1907¹ described some compounds produced by addition of metallic salts to phenolphthalein, its lactoid dimethyl ether and its quinonoid dimethyl ether ester in non-aqueous solvents. No compound

(*) Contribution from the 2nd² Chemical Institute of the University of Vienna.

(1) K. H. Meyer and A. Hantzsch, *Ber.*, **40**, 3479 (1907).

of this kind seems to have been prepared since except a zinc chloride addition compound of the hexamethyl ether of phloroglucin-phthalein.² It is surprising that these compounds are all colored, although the organic component might be colorless or even, as, *e. g.*, in the case of dimethoxyphenolphthalein, incapable of assuming a quinonoid structure.

By extending the Meyer and Hantzsch method to other phthaleins we found a number of definite types of addition compounds. As metallic salts we used stannic chloride and antimony pentachloride. Besides phenolphthalein and its dimethyl ether, the phthaleins we dealt with were 3,6-dimethylfluoran, fluorescein and the lactoid dimethyl ether of fluorescein. All addition compounds we obtained were colored. It may be pointed out that all phthaleins mentioned above give colored addition products with acids also and that there is a certain connection between those two series of addition compounds.

The substances were prepared by mixing the component solutions in the manner adopted by Meyer and Hantzsch, a deep tint indicating the formation of an addition compound. In several cases the metal chloride was added without solvent, or cooling was necessary. If the resulting substance did not separate by itself, it was precipitated by a second liquid.

The substances formed differed widely in their stability toward water. Those derived from dimethylfluoran were the most stable. Some of them were, because of their extreme sensitivity to moisture, obtainable only in a closed apparatus. A few substances were so unstable that they could not be weighed for testing and the analysis had to be limited to the determination of the proportion of some constituents. In such cases the addition of indifferent molecules of the solvent could not be controlled.

The compounds we prepared are collected in Table I. The compounds prepared by Meyer and Hantzsch from the same components are inserted and denoted by "M. and H."

The compound (4) mentioned by Meyer and Hantzsch could not be obtained. Instead compound (5) resulted, but, whereas Meyer and Hantzsch claim that the substance was formed slowly, (5) precipitated at once.

Except substance (9) which has a more complicated formula and substance (11) which is a salt

(2) H. Lund, *Chem. Zentr.* **102**, 11, 2695 (1931).