170

Synthesis and Properties of Glycolaldehyde Di- and Triphosphate

Anthony J. Lawrence, John D. Sutherland*

Department of Chemistry, University of Manchester, Oxford Road, Manchester M13 9PL, UK Fax +44(161)2754939; E-mail: john.sutherland@man.ac.uk *Received 29 October 2001*

Abstract: Use of photolabile acetal protecting groups enables the synthesis of glycolaldehyde di- and triphosphate **4** and **5**, which undergo enolisation at significantly lower pH values than glycolaldehyde phosphate **1**. At pH > 10, **5** is converted to **1** and inorganic pyrophosphate.

Key words: aldehydes, bioorganic chemistry, ozonolysis, phosphorylations, photochemistry

The aldolisation of glycolaldehyde phosphate **1** in the presence of formaldehyde has been shown by Eschenmoser et al. to constitute an efficient route to pentose-2,4-diphosphates with ribose-2,4-diphosphate **2** predominating.¹ The sequence involves reaction of glycolaldehyde phosphate with formaldehyde to give glyceraldehyde-2-phosphate **3** which acts as an aldol acceptor to a second molecule of **1** (Scheme 1).



Scheme 1

An aldolisation route to nucleic acid backbones would gain credence as a potentially prebiotic pathway if it could be shown to operate under conditions at which nucleic acids (in particular RNA) are stable. The phosphorylation of glycolaldehyde using amidotriphosphate² and the aldol reaction of **3** with **1** in the presence of double layer metal hydroxide minerals³ both fulfil this criterion, taking place in aqueous solutions at near neutral pH. The aldol reaction of **1** with formaldehyde however only proceeds in a strongly alkaline medium,¹ conditions under which RNA is hydrolytically labile. In connection with related work we had cause to prepare glycolaldehyde diphosphate **4** and glycolaldehyde triphosphate **5** the properties of which suggest a possible solution to this problem.

Alkylation of *tris*(tetra-*n*-butylammonium) hydrogen pyrophosphate with dimethylallyl bromide in dry acetonitrile as described by Dixit et al.⁴ furnished dimethylallyl diphosphate **6** which was purified by ion-exchange chromatography (Dowex[®] 1X8-400 eluting with an aqueous ammonium bicarbonate gradient) and then converted to the tetramethylammonium salt (treatment with H-Dowex[®] followed by titration to pH 7 with tetramethylammonium hydroxide solution). Ozonolysis in methanol at -78 °C followed by reductive work-up then provided 4 contaminated with ca. 10% **1** as judged by ¹H and ³¹P NMR (Scheme 2).^{1,5} No resonances for non-hydrated aldehydic protons were observed in the ¹H NMR spectrum indicating that both species were > 95% hydrated. It is not clear how 1 is formed during this procedure although it is possible that a carbonyl oxide or α-methoxy-hydroperoxide attacks the α-phosphorus nucleophilically resulting in pyrophosphate cleavage.⁶ Fortuitously the monophosphate 1 served as a convenient internal standard in subsequent experiments. Although dimethylallyl triphosphate was successfully prepared by alkylation of tetrakis(tetran-butylammonium) hydrogen triphosphate, subsequent ozonolysis with reductive work-up gave at least four glycolaldehyde derivatives by ¹H NMR.



Scheme 2 Reagents and conditions: i) O_3 , MeOH, $-78 \,^{\circ}C$; ii) Me₂S; iii) repeated evaporation from H₂O; iv) Na-Dowex[®]

Investigation of the sample of 4 containing 1 by ¹H NMR (in D_2O) revealed that the α -protons of 4 fully exchange at 20 °C, pD 8.0 after 4 days ($t_{1/2}$ ca. 20 h) whereas those of 1 do not (Figure 1). The greater electron-withdrawing capacity of the diphosphate group compared to the monophosphate group is probably responsible for this phenomenon. The increase in electron-withdrawal presumably biases the hydration equilibrium of 4 in favour of hydrate further than that of 1 but the residual aldehyde of **4** is rendered intrinsically more prone to enolisation than the residual aldehyde of **1**. Evidently the effect on intrinsic enolisation rate outweighs the effect on the hydration equilibrium. This extremely subtle electron-demand/hydration controlled enolisation chemistry prompted us to establish a secure synthetic route to 5 and a cleaner route to 4.

Unable to use late stage ozonolysis to reveal the sensitive aldehyde groups of **4** and **5**, we developed a strategy based

Synlett 2002, No. 1, 28 12 2001. Article Identifier: 1437-2096,E;2002,0,01,0170,0172,ftx,en;D24901ST.pdf. © Georg Thieme Verlag Stuttgart · New York ISSN 0936-5214



Figure 1 ¹H NMR spectra (500 MHz, D_2O , pD 8.0 with HOD suppression) of a mixed sample containing **1** and **4** (1:9) maintained at 20 °C; a) t = 0, b) t = 4 days

upon the use of photolabile protecting groups. Benzoyl glycolaldehyde 7 was prepared conveniently by quantitative dibenzoylation of Z-but-2-ene-1,4-diol followed by ozonolysis with reductive work-up in 86% yield. The bis(o-nitrobenzyl) acetal 8 was obtained in 70% yield using Noyori's procedure;^{7,8} other methods were unsuccessful (Scheme 3). Saponification of the ester function of 8 in 75% yield followed by careful triflylation (quantitative) gave the key intermediate 9. Displacement of the triflate with tris(tetra-n-butylammonium) hydrogen pyrophosphate followed by reverse-phase HPLC gave the acetal 10 in 16% yield (compromised for purity). Deprotection at pH 4.5 by irradiation with a standard slide projector in a biphasic solvent system⁹ proceeded smoothly to give 4 in quantitative yield and a high state of purity as judged by ¹H and ³¹P NMR. All data were consistent with those obtained from the route outlined in Scheme 2.5 Displacement of the triflate with *tetrakis*(tetra-n-butylammonium) hydrogen triphosphate was successful and, after similar HPLC purification, 11 was obtained in 33% yield (again compromised for purity) from 9. Photolytic deprotection of 11 proceeded smoothly and the triphosphate 5 was obtained in quantitative yield, in a high state of purity as judged by ¹H and ³¹P NMR.¹⁰

With pure samples of **4** and **5** in hand, an investigation of their solution behaviour was undertaken. The two compounds were found to undergo comparable H/D exchange $(t_{1/2} \text{ ca. } 20 \text{ h})$ in D₂O at 20 °C, pD 8.0; conditions under which **1** remains unchanged. Further studies were carried out with **5** at higher pH values. At pH 10 and above, **5** was observed to hydrolyse to **1** and inorganic pyrophosphate (¹H, ³¹P NMR) with a half-life of ca. 1 hour at pH 10.5, 20 °C. Since the hydrolysis is not seen below pH 10 and



Scheme 3 Reagents and conditions: i) BzCl, pyridine; ii) O_3 , CH₂Cl₂, -78 °C; iii) Me₂S; iv) *o*-NO₂-C₆H₄CH₂OSiMe₃, Me₃SiOSO₂CF₃, -78 °C to r.t. (over 3 h); v) LiOH (1 M), H₂O/1,4-dioxan (1:1); vi) (CF₃SO₂)₂O, pyridine/CH₂Cl₂, 0 °C, 2 min (HCl_(aq.)) (1 M) quench); vii) (Buⁿ₄N)₃HP₂O₇, CH₃CN; viii) (Buⁿ₄N)₄HP₃O₁₀, CH₃CN; ix) HPLC (C-18: Et₃NH·HCO₃ (50 mM), CH₃CN gradient); x) Na-Dowex[®]; xi) hv, EtOAc:dil. HCl_(aq.) (pH 4.5)

the *gem*-diol of **5** is likely to have a pK_a near 10 it is probable that the hydrolysis mechanism involves attack of the hydrate alkoxide on the α -phosphorus atom resulting in the displacement of inorganic pyrophosphate and the formation of the cyclic intermediate **12** which can then ringopen to **1** (Scheme 4).¹¹



Scheme 4 Proposed mechanism for the conversion of 5 to 1

The ease with which **5** enolises coupled with its propensity for hydrolysis suggests that it might be possible at lower pH values, where RNA is stable, for **5** to aldolise with formaldehyde giving glyceraldehyde-2-triphosphate which could then hydrolyse to **3**. Current work is aimed at addressing this possibility and at investigating possible prebiotic routes to **5**.

Acknowledgement

This work was supported by the BBSRC and the EPSRC.

References

- Müller, D.; Pitsch, S.; Kittaka, A.; Wagner, E.; Wintner, C. E.; Eschenmoser, A. *Helv. Chim. Acta* **1990**, *73*, 1410.
- (2) Krishnamurthy, R.; Arrhenius, G.; Eschenmoser, A. Origins of Life and Evolution of the Biosphere **1999**, *29*, 333.
- (3) Krishnamurthy, R.; Pitsch, S.; Arrhenius, G. Origins of Life and Evolution of the Biosphere **1999**, 29, 139.
- (4) Dixit, V. M.; Laskovics, F. M.; Noall, W. I.; Poulter, C. D. J. Org. Chem. 1981, 46, 1969.
- (5) **Compound 4**: ¹H NMR (500 MHz, D₂O, pD 8.0): $\delta = 3.79$ (2 H, dd, J = 4.5, J = 6.5, CH₂), 5.04 (1 H, t, J = 4.5, CH); ¹³C NMR (125 MHz, D₂O, pD 8.0): $\delta = 67.9$ (d, J = 5.0), 88.5 (d, J = 9.0); ³¹P NMR (83 MHz, 10 mM EDTA in D₂O, pD 8.0): $\delta = -9.25$ (d, J = 22.0), -5.06 (d, J = 22.0); MS (ES-, CV -15 V): m/z (%) = 237(100), [M³⁻ + 2 H⁻]⁻; MS (ES-, CV -25 V): m/z (%) = 237(100), [M³⁻ + 2 H⁺]⁻), 219(100), [M³⁻

+ 2 H⁺ – H₂O][–]); MS (ES-, CV -35 V): m/z (%) = 219(100), [M^{3–} + 2 H⁺ – H₂O][–]).

- (6) For related chemistry involving acylation of α-methoxyhydroperoxides by anhydrides see: Schreiber, S. L.; Liew, W.-F. *Tetrahedron Lett.* **1983**, *24*, 2363.
- (7) Tsunoda, T.; Suzuki, M.; Noyori, R. Tetrahedron Lett. 1980, 21, 1357.
- (8) Gravel, D.; Murray, S.; Ladouceur, G. J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun. **1985**, 1828.
- (9) Pitsch, S. Helv. Chim. Acta 1997, 80, 2286.
- (10) **Compound 5**: ¹H NMR (300 MHz, D₂O, pD 8.5): $\delta = 3.78$ (2 H, dd, J = 4.5, J = 7.5, CH₂), 5.04 (1 H, t, J = 4.5, CH); ¹³C NMR (75 MHz, D₂O, pD 8.5): $\delta = 68.1$ (d, J = 5.5), 88.3(brs); ³¹P NMR (83 MHz, 1 mM EDTA in D₂O, pD 9.0): $\delta = -20.85$ (t, J = 19.5), -9.70 (d, J = 19.5), -4.95 (d, J = 19.5); MS (ES-, CV -35V): m/z (%) = 321(20), [M⁴⁻ + 2 H⁺ + Na⁺ - H₂O]⁻), 299(5) [M⁴⁻ + 3 H⁺ - H₂O]⁻).
- (11) Taylor, S. D.; Kluger, R. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1993, 115, 867.